1	Decoding the Dynamics of Climate Change Impact: Temporal Patterns of Surface
2	Warming and Melting on the Nivlisen Ice Shelf, Dronning Maud Land, East
3	Antarctica
4	Geetha Priya M ^{a,*} , Raghavendra K R ^a , Mahesh B ^a , Rakshita C ^a , Dhanush S ^a , Sivaranjani S ^a , Deva Jefflin A R ^a ,
5	Krishna Venkatesh ^a , Narendra Kumar M ^a , Alvarinho J. Luis ^b
6 7 8	^a Centre for Incubation Innovation Research and Consultancy (CIIRC), Jyothy Institute of Technology, Bengaluru 560082, Karnataka, India ^b National Centre for Polar and Ocean Research, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa 403 804, India *Corresponding author: geetha.sri82@gmail.com
9	Abstract. The dynamics of surface melting in Antarctica play a crucial role in understanding glacier and ice sheet
10	behavior and monitoring climate change in polar regions. This study focuses on analyzing various aspects of the
11	Nivlisen ice shelf in East Antarctica, including melt ponds, supraglacial lakes (SGLs), seasonal surface melt extent,
12	and surface ice flow velocity. Data from Landsat and Sentinel-1 for the austral summers between 2000 and 2023 were
13	used for spatial and temporal analysis. During November and December from 2000 to 2014, the overall area of melt
14	ponds and SGLs on the ice shelf remained relatively low, measuring approximately one square kilometer. However,
15	from 2015 to 2023, there was a consistent increase in surface melting, resulting in the formation of more melt ponds
16	and SGLs. Significant SGL depths were observed in 2016, 2017, 2019, and 2020, while the years 2008, 2016, and
17	2020 exhibited the highest volumes and progressive growth in SGL area. To understand the impact of surface melting
18	on ice shelf dynamics, the relationship between seasonal surface melt extent and surface ice flow velocity was
19	examined. The findings were validated through ground truth data collected from a melt pond in central Dronning
20	Maud Land during the austral summer of 2022-2023, along with model-based results. The integration of Unmanned
21	Aerial Vehicle (UAV) survey and the installation of Pressure Sensor Assembly (PSA) effectively addressed the
22	validation challenge posed by the unavailability of cloud-free data. The observed increase in melt pond depth and
23	volume could significantly affect the stability of the ice shelf. Persistent surface melting conditions are likely to
24	amplify the formation of melt ponds and SGLs, resulting in accelerated ice flow and potential ice shelf destabilization.
25	Continuous monitoring of Antarctic ice shelves is vital to assess the ongoing impact of climate change accurately.
26	
27	Keywords: Surface Melt, Melt Pond, Ice flow velocity, UAV, Pressure sensor, Antarctica, Landsat, Sentinel-1
28	
29	
30	
21	
21	
32	
33	

34 1 Introduction

Ice shelves play a crucial role in regulating the ice flow from Antarctica to the ocean, 35 36 making them significant features in the Polar Regions (Bell et al. 2017; Dell et al. 2020). However, the increasing surface melting on Antarctic ice shelves due to climate change has led to a greater 37 loss of ice (Bell et al. 2017; Dell et al. 2020). Rising temperatures and changing precipitation 38 patterns are responsible for the escalated ice melting and loss (Arthur, C.R. Stokes, et al. 2020). 39 40 Efficient subglacial drainage mechanisms are essential for maintaining uninterrupted ice flow and the calving process of ice shelves, making their preservation a major concern (Tuckett et al. 2021; 41 Sneed and Hamilton 2007; Stevens et al. 2015). Recent measurements indicate a rise in surface 42 melting across the Antarctic continent, further highlighting the impact of environmental changes 43 (Husman et al. 2022; Luis et al. 2022; Saunderson et al. 2022; Valerio et al. 2022). These changes 44 have far-reaching consequences, affecting the meridional temperature gradient, sea level rise, 45 atmospheric conditions, ocean biogeochemical dynamics, and other factors (Rintoul 2018). 46

47 Understanding the consequences of melting on ice shelves is crucial for comprehending Antarctica's contribution to rising sea levels (Geetha Priya et al. 2022; Jakobs et al. 2020; 48 49 Baumhoer et al. 2018; Ghiz et al. 2021). Surface albedo, weather patterns like heat waves and extreme events, and other factors significantly influence the amount of surface melt, which exhibits 50 51 significant year-to-year variability (Mortimer et al. 2016; Hall et al. 2009; Saunderson et al. 2022). Even minor changes in ice shelves can have significant global effects on sea level rise and climate 52 53 patterns (Husman et al. 2022). Prolonged and intense surface melting can give rise to melt ponds and supraglacial lakes (SGL), contributing to ice shelf instability (Liang et al. 2021; Colosio et al. 54 2021; König and Oppelt 2020). Meltwater accumulates in depressions, leading to the formation of 55 SGLs (Dell et al. 2022; Moussavi et al. 2020). While these lakes are transient, they vary in size, 56 depth, and spatial distribution. Some SGLs can extend for several kilometers and reach depths of 57 several meters. Analyzing variations in the volume and area of circular or linear surface water 58 59 bodies helps us better understand meltwater dynamics (Dell et al. 2020). SGLs typically undergo cycles of formation and drainage, with most lakes reabsorbing or emptying during the austral 60 61 summer (Hawes et al. 2011). However, some SGLs drain through crevasses, moulins, melt channels, or other drainage features, potentially impacting the underlying ice dynamics 62 (Leppäranta et al. 2020; Dell et al. 2020; Sneed and Hamilton 2007; Liu et al. 2022) 63

The presence of melt ponds and SGLs reduces the surface reflectivity (albedo) of glaciers, 64 resulting in increased solar energy absorption and enhanced surface melting (Lombardi et al. 2019; 65 Jakobs et al. 2021). This process further destabilizes ice shelves by warming the surrounding ice 66 67 column (Furfaro et al. 2014; Fricker et al. 2021). SGL characteristics, including size, depth, distribution, and atmospheric variables like temperature and radiation, play a complex role in the 68 69 relationship between SGL development, surface albedo, and ice shelf dynamics (Arthur, C. Stokes, 70 et al. 2020; Buzzard et al. 2018). The presence and behavior of SGLs have significant implications for ice shelf stability and their impact on inland ice flow (Arthur, C. Stokes, et al. 2020; Arthur et 71 al. 2022; Liu et al. 2022). 72

The formation of SGLs in Antarctica can occur through various mechanisms, such as the 73 accumulation of meltwater on ice sheets or glaciers, leading to the development of ponds or lakes. 74 The lower albedo of these water bodies increases solar radiation absorption, accelerating surface 75 76 melting (Arthur, C. Stokes, et al. 2020; Luis et al. 2022; Jakobs et al. 2020; Halas et al. 2023; Hall et al. 2009; Lampkin and Karmoskay 2009; Alley 2017). This localized increase in surface melting 77 contributes to greater meltwater production and expansion of lakes (Mahagaonkar, A et al. 2023; 78 Dirscherl et al. 2020). Additionally, glacial lakes facilitate the flow of meltwater to the ice shelf's 79 80 base, causing accelerated melting and compromising the shelf's structural integrity, potentially leading to collapse (Izeboud and Lhermitte 2023). Understanding the interaction between SGLs, 81 surface albedo, and ice shelf dynamics is vital for comprehending ice shelf behavior and stability 82 under changing environmental conditions (Gardner and Sharp 2010). 83

84 In this study, we utilize microwave Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) and optical data to estimate various parameters of the Nivlisen Ice Shelf (NIS) on the east coast of Antarctica, 85 86 including surface melt extent, SGL characteristics (depth, area, volume), and surface ice flow velocity. While optical data can underestimate the true extent of meltwater due to limited 87 availability of cloud-free imagery, field measurements using pressure sensors validate pond depth 88 estimates obtained from UAV multispectral data. The findings of this research provide valuable 89 insights into the vulnerability of the NIS to climate change, contributing to our understanding of 90 91 surface melting, melt ponds and SGLs, and the increasing meltwater, with implications for future sea level rise predictions (Pina and Vieira 2022; Qiao et al. 2023). The objective of this study is to 92 conduct a comprehensive technical analysis of the dynamics of the Nivlisen Ice Shelf (NIS) in East 93 Antarctica. Using satellite data from the Landsat and Sentinel-1 datasets, the analysis will be 94

conducted at both spatial and temporal scales (Alimasi et al. 2020; Kaushik et al. 2022). The
primary goals are to estimate surface melt extent, determine various parameters related to SGLs
(area, length, volume, depth), analyze ice shelf velocity, and assess the influence of surface melt
on seasonal ice velocity patterns. Field measurements utilizing pressure sensors to monitor
meltwater levels are employed for empirical verification of the results.

100 2 Study Area

Antarctica, renowned for its vast ice sheets, encompasses approximately 98% of the landmass in 101 the Antarctic region. Within East Antarctica, a significant territory known as Dronning Maud Land 102 103 sprawls across more than 2.7 million square kilometers. This expansive region boasts a coastline stretching approximately 2,000 kilometers, characterized by immense ice shelves. The degree of 104 105 surface ice loss serves as a critical determinant in assessing the extent of melting on these ice shelves (Arthur, C. Stokes, et al. 2020; Vaňková et al. 2021; Bell et al. 2018; Ghiz et al. 2021; 106 107 Husman et al. 2022; Alley 2017). The selection of Nivlisen Ice Shelf (NIS) for this study stems from the recent surge in melt ponds and surface-generated lakes (SGLs) observed within the ice 108 109 shelf region. Moreover, NIS experiences significant melting throughout the austral summer, resulting in the formation of SGLs. These phenomena render the ice shelf increasingly susceptible 110 111 to hydrofracturing (Cook and Vaughan 2010; Jakobs et al. 2020; Aoki et al. 2017; Baumhoer et al. 2018; Kingslake et al. 2017; Liang et al. 2021; Trusel et al. 2022), making it a pivotal area for 112 investigating the processes and causes leading to ice loss on the Antarctic ice shelf (Trusel et al. 113 2012). Figure 1 illustrates the expansive nature of NIS, covering an area of approximately 7,600 114 square kilometers and extending around 80 kilometers north to south and 130 kilometers east to 115 west into the Lazarev Sea (Dell et al. 2020). Situated within the center of Dronning Maud Land 116 (cDML) at coordinates 70.3S and 11.3E, NIS possesses ice thickness ranging from 150 to 700 m. 117 The Vigrid and Lazarev ice shelves flank NIS, while the Potsdam Glacier feeds it from the 118 southeast, contributing an average ice thickness of 1,000 m. In the southeastern corner of the ice 119 shelf, the exposed ice moves at an average speed of 80 m per year due to katabatic winds. The 120 southern part of NIS encompasses the Schirmacheroasen, an ice-free region with lakes, ponds, and 121 a maximum elevation of 250 m above sea level (Lindbäck et al. 2019). During the summer months, 122 surface melting occurs, resulting in the creation of melt ponds and SGLs across various regions of 123 NIS, including its north, west, and southern sectors. Since 2016, there has been a notable evolution 124 in meltwater ponding attributed to rising temperatures. As a consequence, NIS is experiencing 125

accelerated melting, making it a strategically significant location for investigating the dynamicsand factors influencing ice loss on the Antarctic ice shelf (Alley et al. 2018).



128

Fig. 1. Study area on East Antarctica consisting of Nivlisen Ice Shelf, central Dronning Maud
 Land located at 70.3 °S,11.3°E with a 2000 km coastline encompassing large ice shelves with the
 coordinate reference system "WGS84 Antarctic polar stereographic."

132 **3 Data**

For assessing seasonal variations in melt ponds and supraglacial lakes (SGLs), satellite-based 133 134 multispectral datasets were used. Landsat-7, Landsat-8, and Landsat-9 satellites provided data during the austral summer with minimal cloud cover. Landsat-7, launched in 1999, has eight 135 136 spectral bands and 15-30 m resolution. Landsat-8, launched in 2013, offers 11 spectral bands and 15-100 m resolution. Landsat-9, launched in 2021, shares the same capabilities. Synthetic Aperture 137 138 Radar (SAR) data was obtained from the Alaska Satellite Facility. Sentinel-1 SAR data, part of the Copernicus Earth monitoring program, was used for surface melt and velocity estimations. 139 140 Sentinel-1A and Sentinel-1B, launched in 2014 and 2016, respectively, provide high-resolution images with a revisit period of 6 days. The data utilized include Sentinel-1 Level-1 Interferometric 141 Wide (IW) swath Ground Range Detected (GRD) and Single Look Complex (SLC) products. 142 These data sources contribute to understanding surface melt and velocity over the Nivlisen ice 143 shelf (NIS). Supplementary Table S1 and S2 provide the specific datasets used. 144

145 **4** Methodology

Figure 2 depicts the comprehensive process flow utilized to capture the seasonal patterns and variations of surface melt, specifically in the form of melt ponds and Supraglacial Lakes (SGLs) over the NIS region. The methodology incorporates an InSAR (Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar) based displacement estimation technique.

150 4.1 Melt Pond Depth Model

The satellite data collected are initially in Digital Number (DN) format, which needs to be converted to Top of Atmosphere (TOA) reflectance. Equations 1 and 2 are employed for the visible bands (red and blue) to achieve this conversion. The TOA reflectance is corrected for the sun's angle, taking into account the sun's zenith and elevation angles. Bedrock masking is applied to the TOA blue and red bands.

$$\rho' = M_{Rf}Q_{Cal} + A_{Rf} \tag{1}$$

(2)

157
$$\rho = \frac{\rho'}{\cos(\theta_{SZ})} = \frac{\rho'}{\cos(\theta_{SE})}$$

158 Where Q_{Cal} is the visible band in DN format, ρ' is TOA reflectance without correction for the sun's 159 angle, M_{Rf} is a multiply rescaling factor (band specific), A_{Rf} is an additive rescaling factor (band 160 specific), ρ is TOA reflectance with correction for the sun's angle, θ_{SZ} is the sun's zenith angle, and 161 θ_{SE} is the sun's elevation angle

The modified Normalized Difference Water Index for icy locations (NDWI_ICE) is then calculated using the blue and red visible bands to map the melt ponds and SGLs over the ice shelf (Equation 3). NDWI_ICE accentuates the spectral differences between unfrozen water and relatively arid snow/ice surfaces. A threshold value of 0.25 is used to identify the melt ponds and SGLs, which is adjusted by 0.01 based on the amount of water visible in the false-color composite. The areas of the melt ponds and SGLs are calculated using the vectorized raster(Arthur, C. Stokes, et al. 2020; Dell et al. 2020).

169
$$NDWI_{ICE} = \frac{(BLUE_{Ref} - RED_{Ref})}{(BLUE_{Ref} + RED_{Ref})}$$
(3)

170 Where, $BLUE_{Ref}$ is the blue visible band reflectance and RED_{Ref} is the red visible band 171 reflectance.

To estimate the depth (Ld) of the melt ponds and SGLs, a multispectral data-based Melt 172 Pond Depth (MPD) model (Equation 4) is utilized (Philpot 1989; Sneed and Hamilton 2007; Pope 173 et al. 2016; Arthur et al. 2022; Geetha Priya et al. 2022). The model is based on the radiative 174 transfer principle, simulating the interaction of light with different components such as the water 175 column, underlying aquatic bottom, and surrounding environment. The depth calculation relies on 176 the rate of light attenuation in water, determined by the absorption and scattering properties of the 177 water. Additionally, knowledge of the lake bottom's albedo, which affects the reflected light, is 178 179 essential for accurate depth estimation. The proportion of dissolved and suspended materials impacts the reflectance of optically deep water, potentially affecting the depth estimation. 180

181
$$L_d = \frac{\left[\ln(L_{br} - R_{\infty}) - \ln\left(R_{Ld} - R_{\infty}\right)\right]}{\alpha}$$
(4)

182 Where, L_{br} is peripherals reflectance of the lake, R_{∞} is the reflectance of optically deep water, R_{Ld} 183 is the reflectance of the water body, α is the attenuation constant. The L_{br} was calculated for each 184 melt pond/SGL in every scene by averaging two pixels buffer. The mean variation between using 185 $R_{\infty} = 0$ (i.e., reflectance from open ocean water) and using R_{∞} values obtained from the lakes in 186 the scenarios is less than 10%. As a result, the R_{∞} value was considered to be nil for all scenes

187 4.2 Estimation of Surface melt extent

The surface melt extent over NIS involves processing Sentinel-1 Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data using the SNAP algorithm. The data is calibrated to obtain backscatter values (σ^0) (Zhu et al. 2023)., followed by speckle noise reduction and correction for geometric distortions and topographic variations. The calibrated data is then transformed into decibels, and the extent of surface melt is determined by thresholding the resulting histogram. This process, outlined in Jewell Lund et al., 2022, generates a binary image that delineates the area of interest (Lund et al. 2022).

194 **4.3** Surface ice velocity

The Alaska Satellite Facility (ASF) provides access to On Demand services (https://hyp3docs.asf.alaska.edu/using/vertex/) for generating SAR interferometry products from Sentinel-1 data. By selecting reference and secondary scenes through geographic search in Alaska Vertex, interferograms are constructed. The Baseline tool ensures coherent phase measurements by identifying data with reasonable perpendicular baseline values for InSAR processing. SBAS utilizes a large number of interferograms with short baselines and high temporal resolution. Pre-

- and post-processing steps involve data import, geoid correction, burst estimation, mosaicking,
- 202 unwrapping, and phase unwrapping using SNAPHU. The final output includes geocoded velocity
- 203 information (Sivalingam et al. 2022).



Fig. 2. Process flow – Estimation of surface melt extent (P1), depth of melt ponds and
 Supraglacial lakes using melt pond depth model, area and volume (P2), and ice flow velocity
 using (P3).

208 5 Results and Discussion

209 5.1 Dynamics of melt ponds and SGLs

A pixel-based approach was used to estimate the depth of melt ponds and SGLs on the ice 210 shelf, following the methodology in section 4.1 (Fig 2., P2). By analyzing individual pixel values 211 and incorporating the MPD model's depth profile, more accurate volume estimation was achieved, 212 overcoming limitations of conventional methods and ensuring reliability of the results. The study 213 encompassed austral summers from 2000 to 2023, focusing on the peak melt period. The depth 214 estimates are presented in supplementary Figures S1-S8 and Figure 3, highlighting the trend over 215 the years. The analysis revealed a relatively low total area of melt ponds and SGLs (<1 km²) in 216 November and December from 2000 to 2014. However, a significant increase in meltwater volume 217 218 was observed in January and February, representing the peak meltwater volume of the season(Hall et al. 2009; Orr et al. 2022; Vaňková et al. 2021). A consistent pattern of melting and increased 219 220 formation of melt ponds and SGLs was observed during the NDJF period from 2015 to 2023 (Figure 3). These findings provide insights into the seasonal variability of meltwater accumulation 221 and the timing and magnitude of peak meltwater volume in the study area. The distribution of melt 222 ponds and SGLs showed clustering, with a higher concentration observed in the southern 223 grounding zone. Conversely, the central part of the ice shelf exhibited minimal melt ponds and 224 SGLs due to lower surface melt rates. The results were analyzed in conjunction with weather data 225 obtained from the Meteostat database for the study area, specifically weather station No. 89512. 226 The temperature trend from 2000 to 2023 is depicted in Figure 3. 227



Fig. 3. Model-based melt pond depth for the austral summer of 2022–2023.





Fig. 4. Maximum depth during austral summers of 2000-2023.

230







Fig. 5. Area and volume during austral summers of 2000-2023

On January 6, 2000, the melt ponds and Subglacial Lakes (SGLs) in Antarctica reached a 234 maximum depth of 2.3 m, with a volume of 2,070.52 m³ and an area of 1.203 km². The following 235 year, on January 1, 2001, the maximum depth was 2.25 m, with an area of 27.081 km² and a 236 volume of 2,033.63 m³. Despite the average temperature being -1.1°C on January 14, 2003, the 237 maximum depth of the melt ponds and SGLs was 1.68 m, covering an area of 140.83 km² and a 238 239 volume of 1,516.46 m³. The maximum depth and volume decreased in 2003 compared to the previous years, while the area of the melt pond increased. On January 18, 2007, the highest depth 240 and volume were 2.23 m and 2,007.38 m³, respectively, covering an area of 36.18 km². These 241 values increased compared to 2003, possibly due to higher average and maximum temperatures. 242 243 On January 26, 2010, the maximum depth and volume were 2.29 m and 2,050.51 m³, respectively, with an area of 10.26 km². The peak values for depth, area, and volume occurred on January 5, 244 2008, measuring approximately 2.83 m, 187.18 km², and 2.547.56 m³, respectively, between 2000 245 and 2010. On February 5, 2011, the depth and volume decreased, while the area increased to 55.83 246 km², indicating significant growth compared to January 2010. Similarly, on February 2, 2012, the 247 depth, area, and volume significantly decreased to 1.28 m, 1.05 km², and 1,148.79 m³, respectively, 248 possibly due to environmental factors. On February 14, 2014, with lower temperatures compared 249 to 2012, the maximum depth and volume were 1.83 m and 1,643.41 m³, respectively, covering an 250 area of 38.73 km^2 . 251

Throughout the austral summers spanning from 2015 to 2020, there were variations in the maximum depth, area, and volume of melt ponds and Subglacial Lakes (SGLs) in Antarctica. Noteworthy findings include the highest recorded maximum depth and volume of 2.54 m and 2,278.52 m³, respectively, on January 26, 2016. The maximum area of 24.99 km² was observed on February 2, 2016. The austral summer of 2016 to 2017 witnessed the peak depth (4.15 m) and volume (3,736.76 m³) on December 27, 2016, attributed to the highest average and maximum temperatures. Similarly, in the austral summer of 2017 to 2018, the estimated maximum depth and volume of SGLs were 3.06 m and 2,750.92 m³, respectively, associated with elevated temperature records. In the following years, 2018 to 2020, higher values of maximum depth and volume were recorded, indicating fluctuating temperatures during the peak melt season.

During the austral summers from 2020 to 2021, the maximum depth, area, and volume of SGLs increased significantly on December 22, 2020, attributed to higher temperature records. For the austral summers of 2021 to 2022, larger values were recorded on November 7, 2021, but the volume decreased by January 26, 2022. Drainage through fissures and canals likely caused the reduction. During the austral summers of 2022 to 2023, higher values were observed on January 6, 2023, but decreased by February 15, 2023, due to temperature drop and drainage mechanism.

During the Antarctic summer, surface lakes are common in inland ice shelf areas, while 268 269 central parts have fewer Supraglacial Lakes (SGLs). Fluctuations in lake area result in varying meltwater volume throughout the season. Higher melt rates lead to increased surface runoff, 270 271 establishing a linear relationship between SGL area and volume. Since 2000, SGL depth, area, and volume have increased. The years 2016, 2017, 2019, and 2020 exhibit maximum SGL depth. 2008, 272 273 2016, and 2020 show maximum volume with a gradual area increase. The highest area occurred in January 2008 and 2023, with an increase from January 2022 followed by a mid-February 274 275 decline. These findings align with IPCC and Bell et al.'s predictions of increasing surface meltwater coverage and volume on Antarctic ice shelves due to rising temperatures. 276

5.2 Seasonal surface melt and surface ice flow velocity variations of the NIS: Limitations and Focus on austral summers 2019-2023

The objective of this study is to estimate the seasonal surface melt and surface ice flow velocity of the NIS in East Antarctica, focusing specifically on the austral summer period from November to February. The analysis is limited to the austral summers of 2019-2023 due to data constraints. The MEaSUREs Program of NASA provides ice motion data from 1996 to 2016 on annual scale, while Sentinel-1 data is available from 2014 onwards. However, suitable InSAR pairs for ice surface displacement/velocity analysis were not found for the austral summers from 2014 to 2019. Similarly, suitable InSAR pairs from ERS, ALOS PALSAR, and RADARSAT satellites
were limited or unavailable for the period from 2000 to 2014 in the study area.

287 **5.3** Seasonal surface melt extent

The surface melt extent (SME) map, estimated for austral summers from 2019 to 2023, shows melt detected in the northwest and southern parts of the shelf, while the center remains dry. Figure 6 depict temperature, surface melt extent, and precipitation patterns, contributing to the understanding of surface melting in the study region.

In November 2019, a significant surge in surface melting occurred with an average melt area 292 of 1,531 km². The mean temperature during this period fluctuated between -7.9°C and -1.2°C. In 293 December 2019, the average melt area expanded to 2,102 km², coinciding with a mean temperature 294 range of -3.9°C to 2.9°C. On December 15, 2019, the highest recorded mean temperature of 1.6°C 295 was observed. In January 2020, the average extent of surface melt reached 2,202 km², showing a 296 slight increase compared to December. The monthly average temperature ranged from -5°C to 297 2.7°C. February 2020 experienced a substantial escalation in the average surface melt area, totaling 298 3,086 km², accompanied by an average temperature range of -6.8°C to 0.6°C. This increase in 299 melting may be influenced by the albedo effect, which amplifies the melting process. 300

In November 2020, the estimated surface melt area reached approximately 1,519 km², 301 similar to the values observed in November 2019. The mean temperature during that period ranged 302 from -13.1°C to -0.3°C, with recorded precipitation of 4.1mm. December 2020 showed a decrease 303 in surface melt area, with an estimated average of 1.239 km^2 , coinciding with a rise in mean 304 temperature from -4.8°C to 1.4°C. However, factors such as high freezing winds and wind chill 305 variations could have contributed to the reduction in surface melt area. January 2021 marked the 306 peak melt season, with an expanded surface melt area of 2,474 km², accompanied by an average 307 temperature rise from -6.1°C to 3.8°C. In February 2021, the average surface melt area decreased 308 to 1,947 km², accounting for two-thirds of the extent observed in February 2020, with a mean 309 temperature range of -8.4°C to -1.4°C. 310

In November 2021, the surface melting in the region increased, with an average surface melt area of approximately 1,618 km2. The mean temperature during this period ranged from -12.3°C to -1.5°C. This represented an increase of around 100 km² compared to the surface melt observed in November 2019 and 2020. December 2021 experienced a significant rise in the melt area, reaching approximately 2,392 km², primarily due to higher temperatures ranging from -4.5°C to

0.9°C. In January 2022, despite being the peak melt season, the average extent of surface melt
decreased to 2,177 km², possibly due to recorded precipitation in late December 2021 and January
2022. February 2022 witnessed a further reduction in the average surface melt area to 1,702 km²,
correlated with a significant decrease in the average temperature. Overall, the austral summer of
2021-2022 demonstrated increased surface melting in December compared to other months.
In November 2022, there was a significant increase in surface melting, with an average

surface melt area of 3,187 km². This marked a substantial rise compared to previous Novembers. 322 The mean temperature during this period ranged from -10°C to -1.9°C. However, in December 323 2022, there was a reduction in surface melting despite an increase in temperature and recorded 324 precipitation of 0.3 mm. The average surface melt area was around 3,083 km2. In January 2023, 325 the average extent of surface melt decreased to 2,735 km², possibly due to the chilling effect of 326 freezing katabatic winds. February 2023 witnessed an increase in surface melt, with an average 327 surface melt of 3,725 km², similar to patterns observed in February 2020, potentially influenced 328 by the albedo effect. The analysis of surface melt during the austral summers of 2019-2020 to 329 330 2022-2023 highlights variations in melt extent influenced by temperature, precipitation, and external factors. 331



332

Fig. 6. The surface melt extent (SME) map over NIS for the austral summer 2022-2023

334 5.4 Seasonal surface ice flow velocity

Unwrapped interferograms generate displacement maps, quantifying ground movement in meters and direction relative to the satellite's radar line-of-sight. Measurements were collected during the austral summers of 2019-2023. Figure 18 displays the unwrapped phase and velocity map for January 10 and January 22, 2023, as an illustrative example.



Fig. 7. (a) The unwrapped phase and (b) surface ice flow velocity map obtained during January
10, 2023 (master) and January 22, 2023 (slave) over Dronning Maud Land (Negative values
indicate movement in the direction away from the satellite along the radar line-of-sight, while
positive values indicate movement towards the satellite along the radar line-of-sight)





345

346

Fig. 8. The velocity obtained for 12-day time period during the months of austral summers considered for the study.

The ice velocity data for the austral summer of 2019-2020 indicates a gradual increase over time. In mid-November 2019, the velocity measured 2.13 m/12 days, which slightly increased to 2.18 m/12 days by the end of the month. This upward trend is supported by an average velocity of 0.8 m/12 days during that period. However, a contrasting pattern emerges in December 2019, as the velocity declines from 2.28 m/12 days to 1.72 m/12 days. The mean velocity at the end of December 2019 is recorded at 0.44 m/12 days, deviating from the previous upward trend.

Moving into January 2020, the velocity starts at 0.69 m/12 days but undergoes a significant increase to 2.11 m/12 days by the end of the month. This surge in velocity indicates a notable acceleration likely related to the peak melt season. The early part of February to mid-February 2020 marks the peak velocity period, with a mean velocity of 0.96 m/12 days. However, there is a subsequent decrease in the velocity values, dropping from 2.11 m/12 days to 1.81 m/12 days. Comparing the different time periods, it is interesting to note that the velocity observed in December 2019 surpasses that of November 2019, January, and February 2020.

In the austral summer of 2020-2021, the ice velocity shows some variations. In November, the velocity decreases from 2.23 m/12 days to 1.81 m/12 days. Moving into December, the velocity reaches 1.73 m/12 days. In January, the velocity increases to 2.41 m/12 days, suggesting a potential 363 correlation between velocity and the peak melt season. However, in February, the velocity364 decreases, making the relationship less clear.

For the austral summer of 2021-2022, there is a significant decline in velocity observed. In early November 2021, the velocity measures 2.20 m/12 days, but by the end of November, it decreases to 1.33 m/12 days. However, in early December, there is a temporary recovery with a velocity of 2.22 m/12 days. From mid-December to the end of December 2021, the velocity decreases once again. The velocity values fluctuate from mid-January to the end of February 2022, but the mean velocity shows a decreasing trend.

In the austral summer of 2022-2023, the velocity remains relatively consistent at 2.23 m/12 days from mid-November to early December 2022. However, there is a decrease in the mean velocity. From mid-December to the end of December 2022, the velocity shows a noticeable decrease. The velocity values continue to fluctuate until the end of February 2023, with a slight increase in velocity but a decrease in the mean velocity.

These observations highlight the variations in ice velocity during different austral summer periods. The analysis reveals that surface melt and velocity in NIS are interconnected. Higher maximum and mean velocity values consistently occur in January during austral summers from 2019 to 2023, indicating significant melting. December exhibits greater velocity fluctuations, suggesting instability and the onset of melting. Understanding the connection between velocity and surface melting is crucial for ice shelf dynamics and stability. These findings enhance our understanding of the relationship between surface melt, velocity, and the future of NIS.

Seasonal surface Melt and surface ice flow velocity patterns during the austral summer 2019-2023

Velocity and surface melt are important parameters for understanding ice sheet dynamics and climate change impacts. Examining their relationship provides valuable insights into the interplay between ice dynamics and surface processes in Polar Regions. Analyzing data and trends can reveal correlations and deepen our understanding of the region's dynamics during austral summers.

In November 2019, the surface melt area increased to 1,531 km², and the velocity rose from 2.13 m/12 days to 2.18 m/12 days, indicating a positive correlation between surface melt and velocity. However, in December 2019, while the surface melt area expanded to 2,102 km², the velocity declined to 1.72 m/12 days, suggesting a possible decoupling. January 2020 showed a slight increase in both the surface melt area (2,202 km²) and velocity (2.11 m/12 days), partially restoring the positive relationship. February 2020 exhibited significant increases with a surface melt area of 3,086 km² and a peak velocity of 2.11 m/12 days. Overall, surface melt and velocity are positively correlated, indicating a feedback mechanism between them.

In November, the velocity decreases from 2.23 m/12 days to 1.81 m/12 days, while the surface melt area remains similar at 1,519 km², suggesting an inverse correlation. In December, both the surface melt area and velocity fall to around 1,239 km² and 1.73 m/12 days, respectively, indicating a potential positive association. In January, an increase in velocity to 2.41 m/12 days leads to a significant rise in surface melt (2,474 km²) during the peak melt season. However, in February, both surface melt (1,947 km²) and velocity (2.23 m/12 days) decrease, showing a weaker link.

In November 2021, the surface melt area increases to 1,618 km2 while the velocity 405 decreases to 1.33 m/day, suggesting an inverse correlation. By December 2021, the surface melt 406 expands to around 2,392 km², accompanied by a slight velocity increase to 2.22 m/day. In January 407 2022, the surface melt area decreases to 2,177 km², possibly influenced by precipitation and 408 fluctuating velocity. In February 2022, the surface melt area further declines to 1,702 km2, and 409 velocity remains erratic. The analysis indicates a complex interaction between surface melt and 410 velocity during the 2021-2022 austral summer, influenced by temperature, precipitation, and other 411 factors. 412

413 In November 2022, the velocity remains constant at 2.23 m/12 days while the surface melt area rises to 3,187 km², indicating a potential positive correlation. However, in 414 December 2022, despite a decrease in surface melt area to 3,083 km², the velocity also decreases, 415 suggesting a weakening association. In January 2023, the surface melt area further decreases to 416 2,735 km², while the velocity fluctuates, highlighting the complex relationship. A subsequent 417 increase in both surface melt area (3,725 km²) and velocity in February 2023 may signify the 418 restoration of a positive link. The analysis predicts a dynamic interaction between surface melt and 419 velocity during the 2022-2023 austral summer, influenced by temperature, precipitation, and wind 420 patterns. 421

The surface melt-displacement relationship varied across different austral summer periods. While some periods showed strong correlations (e.g., November 2019 and February 2023), others exhibited weak or no correlation (e.g., December 2019 and January 2021). Fluctuations observed may be influenced by factors like wind patterns, precipitation, and local conditions. To understand this relationship, further research considering these variables is needed

5.6 Dynamics of ice flow in melt and non-melt regions of NIS: Velocity Insights from Profiles R1-R4

Early in the melt season, small surface lakes form at Schirmacheroasen in relatively flat 429 areas near the grounding line of the NIS. Analyzing the velocity patterns in the melt and non-melt 430 regions of the NIS provides valuable information. Four linear profiles (R1, R2, R3, and R4) were 431 selected, spanning the NIS with a length of 30 km each (Figure 21). R1 and R2 represent the melt 432 regions of the ice shelf, while R3 and R4 represent the non-melt regions. These profiles are 433 arranged from bottom to top. Melt is observed in the northwest (ice front) and southern parts 434 (grounding line) of the shelf above the Schirmacheroasen, while the central part of the shelf 435 remains mostly unaffected by melting during the majority of the melt seasons. The ice flow 436 velocity data obtained from SAR data processing for both the surface melt and non-melt regions 437 438 are evaluated in relation to the distance for the maximum and minimum surface melt periods during the austral summers of 2019-2023. 439



Fig. 9. The four profiles R1, R2, R3, and R4 of 30-km long drawn over NIS in the melt and non-

2023)

442 melt regions (Background image is surface ice flow velocity map estimated during January

443

444

445 **5.6.1 Profile R1: melt region**

Profile R1 in the northwest melt region of NIS was studied during the minimum and 446 maximum Surface Melt Extent (SME) periods from AS 2019-2023 (Figure 21). The ice flow 447 pattern remained consistent, averaging 0.35 m/12 days, during the lowest and highest SME periods 448 in the austral summer of 2021–2022. In contrast, between the lowest and highest SME periods in 449 the austral summer of 2020–2021, the velocity increased by twice as much, from 0.9 m/12 days to 450 1.8 m/12 days on average. However, a decreasing trend in velocity was observed during the 451 minimum and maximum SME periods in the austral summers of 2019–2020 and 2022–2023, with 452 453 an average velocity of 1.5 m/12 days. Notably, beyond a 10 km distance, the velocity gradually rose, likely due to the declining slope towards the ice front. This 10 km range coincided with an 454 455 ice rise/rumple feature that provided support to the ice flow.

456 **5.6.2. Profile R2: melt region**

Profile R2, located at the grounding line above the Schirmacheroasen in the southern region 457 of NIS (Figure 21), was analyzed and presented in figure 23. Similar velocity patterns were 458 observed in AS 2019-2022, 2020-2021, and 2022-2023, with average and maximum ice flow 459 rates of around 1 m/12 days and 1.4 m/days, respectively. However, AS 2021-2022 showed a 460 significant decrease in velocity compared to previous austral summers, almost half in magnitude. 461 The presence of high ice flow near the grounding line suggests the presence of melt ponds. As the 462 distance increased up to 15 km, ice flow declined, likely due to reduced melting or the absence of 463 464 water bodies. Beyond 15 km, linear water bodies were observed, indicating reduced ice flow and 465 limited meltwater availability.

466 5.6.3. Profile R3 & R4: non-melt region

In the central part of NIS, specifically above the Schirmacheroasen, non-melt regions R3 and R4 were identified. The velocity profiles during the minimum and maximum SME periods of the austral summer of 2019-2023, as depicted in Figure 24, provide insights into the behavior of

ice flow in these regions. For both R3 and R4, a consistent pattern of velocity was observed during
the austral summer of 2019-2020, with the average and maximum velocities ranging from 0.9m to
1 m/12 days and 1.1 to 1.3 m/12 days, respectively, exhibiting negligible variations. This similar
velocity pattern persisted during the austral summer of 2020-2021 and 2022-2023, maintaining an
average velocity of 1 m/12 days.

In the austral summer of 2021-2022, there was a slight increase in maximum velocity (0.4 to 0.56 m/12 days), while the average velocity remained constant at 0.3 m/12 days. This suggests a stable flow with minimal variation in maximum velocity. Overall, there was a gradual increase in velocity with distance, indicating a decreasing slope towards the ocean. Notably, in the nonmelt regions of R3 and R4, velocity remained relatively constant throughout the examined austral summer periods.

481 **5.7 Field Validation**

The fieldwork was conducted during the austral summer period of 2022-2023 as a part of 482 the 42nd Indian scientific expedition to Antarctica. During the fieldwork, a melt pond (figure 25) 483 was considered near the Maitri, Indian research base (due to logistical feasibility) located at 484 (11°45'6.786"E, 70°46'22.475"S) in Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica for installation of 485 Pressure Sensor Assembly (PSA)(Fausto et al. 2012). Due to the unavailability of cloud-free 486 satellite-based optical data over the selected melt pond, Unmanned Aerial Survey was carried out 487 488 over the selected melt pond using P4 multispectral sensor. Details about the pressure sensor and 489 UAV sensor used are given in Table 4.



490

493

491 Fig. 10. Validation site: Glacial Lake / melt pond selected near the Maitri, Indian research 492 station located in Dronning Maud land, East Antarctica.

494 Table 1 Details about the sensor used for field validation i.e., pressure sensor for depth
495 estimation (equivalent water level) and a multispectral sensor for estimating the depth over the
496 melt pond using MPD model

497	Instrument type	Manufacturer	Model type	Accuracy
498	Pressure sensor	KELLER	DCX-22 SG	±2. 5 (cm)
499		Druckmesstechnik AG, Switzerland		
500				
501	Multispectral sensor	SZ DJI Technology Co Ltd (DJI), China	P4 Multispectral	Wavelength accuracy of ±1 nm
502				

503 5.7.1. UAV with P4 Multispectral sensor:

504 The P4 RTK (real-time kinematic) Multispectral is a high-precision UAV that is equipped with a multispectral camera as shown in figure 26. This sensor allows the UAV to capture images 505 506 of the study area in multiple spectral bands. The P4 Multispectral has six 1/2.9-inch CMOS sensors, including one RGB sensor for visible light imaging and five monochrome sensors (Blue 507 (B): 450 nm; Green (G): 560 nm; Red (R): 650 nm; Red edge (RE): 730 nm; Near-infrared (NIR): 508 840 nm) for multispectral imaging. The RGB sensor has an effective pixel count of 2.08 MP, and 509 510 the five monochrome sensors each have an effective pixel count of 2.08 MP. The P4 Multispectral can be used to collect valuable data about the earth's surface, such as crop health, forest health, 511 and environmental conditions. The present study attempts to showcase the ability of using UAV 512 data for cryosphere studies in Antarctica. 513

514 5.7.2. Pressure Sensor Assembly (PSA):

The PSA's current design is given in graphical representation as shown in figure 27. An 515 armored silicon hose of 26mm diameter with a total length of 10m that houses a pressure sensor 516 was used. The pressure sensor is attached to a 23-cm long, slightly larger than 26 mm iron rod that 517 518 is inserted with silicone sealant and connected with hose clamps in the bottom half of the hose. The rod serves as both a waterproof closure and a dead weight. A T-junction is fitted at the top 519 end of the hose to allow the logger cable exiting the closed PSA system to link the pressure sensor 520 to the logger. The other T-junction branch connects to a 2L expansion rubber bladder. The bladder 521 is generally half-full to enable volume variations in the antifreeze (for example, due to sun heating) 522 without causing pressure buildup in the assembly. The hose is filled with an antifreeze solution 523 (ethylene glycol with water in the proportion 50:50) and the PSA was mounted on a pole of 5-m 524 long erected in the pond/lake area with the help of a Teflon pulley and guides. The pulley 525 arrangement is made to ensure that the pressure sensor slides down as the melting progress and 526 remains in contact with the pond ice floor /bottom bed surface over which meltwater is ponding. 527



528

Fig. 11. (a) The graphical representation of the Pressure Sensor Assembly installation over the
melt pond selected for the study (b) The bladder box on the T-junction is fitted at the top end of
the hose to allow the logger cable exiting the closed PSA system and other T-junction's branch
connects to a 2L expansion rubber bladder

The setup was installed by drilling up to 3 m into the frozen pond (location) with a Jiffy ice auger (4G four stroke) and extenders by late November 2022 before melting. The sensor used is a DCX-22 data logger which is a versatile device designed for measuring water level, water pressure, and temperature. The Sealed Gauge variant delivers the highest level of accuracy and is well-suited for submersion applications. With an extended battery life, the DCX-22 data logger can record data for up to 10 years, capturing measurements at hourly intervals.

539 5.7.3. PSA data collection:

540 The pressure sensor was programmed to collect pressure and temperature data for every 15 541 minutes with the help of the data logger attached to the sensor. Data gaps were encountered when the sensor was exposed due to refreezing of pond / low water levels post-late December 2022. Data 542 was retrieved from the data logger on a daily basis to avoid data loss due to low battery/memory 543 and also to ensure that the sensor bottom is always in contact with the melt pond ice floor/bottom 544 545 bed surface. In the present study, the melt pond/SGL depth is defined as the vertical distance between the water level and the lowest point of the melt pond/SGL bed within the cross-section of 546 547 the melt pond/SGL. The mean temperature and pressure from the melt pond bottom as measured by the sensor during the field period are shown in figure 28. 548

The hydrostatic pressure of a fluid in the bar is measured and stored by the DCX-22 device. The conversion of pressure from bar to water column level in meters of water (mH2O) is significantly affected by the density of the medium. The KOLIBRI Desktop software (Leitner et al. 2013) utilizes equation (5) to calculate the height of the water column. A water density of 999.89 kg/m³ is considered as the average temperature on 20th December 2022 was found to be around 1°C. The iron rod length has been compensated for the depth estimates.

$$d = \frac{P}{\rho * g}$$
(5)

where P = hydrostatic pressure (N/m²), $\rho =$ water density (kg/m³), g = gravitational acceleration (m/s²), d = height of water column (m)

558 **5.7.4. GCPs and GVPs**

559 The ground control points (GCPs) were established on the field (figure 29) using printed white crosses over the stable regions and the melt pond to achieve high positional accuracies and to 560 minimize elevation-dependent biases. The GCP survey was carried out using SP80 Spectra 561 Precision GNSS receivers. Manual measurements of pond depth were also carried out at different 562 563 points spread across the pond's periphery. The PSA installation was aimed at depth measurement interior to the melt pond where manual interventions were not feasible as the melt progresses 564 565 (presence of slush, wide melt channels, etc.). The PSA installed point and manual measurement points were also surveyed as ground validation points (GVPs). 566

567

568 5.7.5. Melt pond depth estimation

The radiative transfer model was employed to estimate the depth of the melt pond using multispectral data 569 570 collected from UAV (Tovar-Sánchez et al. 2021 and Archibold et al. 2015). The estimated depth profile is 571 presented in Figure 30. The model-based results were compared with measurements obtained through PSA and manual measurements. The comparison is summarized in Table 5. Variations in the recorded depths 572 573 of melt ponds arise from uncertainties and the discrepancy in timing between measurements and aerial surveys conducted at various intervals throughout the observation day. Figure 30 illustrates the 574 575 regression analysis conducted to compare the field-based depth measurements with the model-based results. The Pearson's correlation coefficient of 0.9 indicates a strong linear positive correlation 576

- 577 between the two datasets. The comparison was performed by calculating the root-mean-square error
- 578 (RMSE) between the physical in-situ measurements obtained at the field points and the results derived
- from the model.





580

Fig. 12. (a) An aerial view of the selected melt pond, the RGB image of the lake obtained from P4
multispectral sensor, (b) The view of the lake during the peak melting period, on 20th December
2022, (c) The model-based melt pond depth derived from the UAV survey on 20th December 2022,
(d) Correlation analysis of the measured values

Table 2 Depth values obtained from the pressure sensor and Melt Pond depth model for 20th
 December 2022

Parameters	Findings
Date of validation / UAV survey data	20 th December 2022
Model-based depth at GVP	$0.75\pm0.2m$
PSA-based depth at GVP	$0.92\pm0.03~m$
RMSE at GVP	0.17

587

588 **5.7.6.** Limitations

The present study analyzed the surface melt NIS which is 130 m wide and 80 m long (Dell 589 et al. 2020) with a large number of melt ponds and SGLs. The parameters studied are highly 590 dynamic and varied in temporal and spatial evolution (Zhu et al. 2023). The depth estimation over 591 NIS was limited only to the spatial extent from 70.3823°S, 10.3667°E to 76.7242°S, 12.8838°E. 592 This study is confined to the surface melt in the form of melt ponds and SGLs during the period 593 from 2000 to 2023. Various factors such as (a) large or small debris slumps, (b) ice calving, (c) 594 katabatic wind effect, (d) pond floor collapse, (e) subaqueous melt, (f) structural collapse and (g) 595 variations in water storage caused by a changing balance between water filling (melting) and 596 drainage (discharge) are not considered in in detecting the changes in pond/lake water level. These 597 factors can all contribute to changes in water level, and by analyzing the data, scientists can better 598 understand the causes of these changes and the potential impacts on the environment. Due to 599 logistical constraints, the installation was done at only one melt pond location. The PSA data is 600 used only to validate the model-based melt pond depth estimation in addition to manual depth 601 estimations. 602

603

5.8 Field-based ice flow motion

Due to logistical feasibility, field validation of ice flow was conducted using SP80 Spectra 604 605 Precision GNSS receivers. Two ground control points (GCPs) were established near the grounding line of the NIS during 29th December 2022 to 10th January 2023, with a 12-day interval (Figure 606 607 31). This data collection timeframe was synchronized with Sentinel-1 satellite data acquisition. The survey was carried out in static mode, and post-processing of the base-related data was 608 conducted. The obtained displacement values from both the GNSS and DInSAR processes for the 609 NIS are presented in Table 6. It is important to note that uncertainties exist, and discrepancies in 610 611 the measured displacements are observed due to the different nature of the measurements. The GNSS-based displacement provides a 3D measurement, while the DInSAR-based measurement is 612 in the Line of Sight (LOS) direction (Komac et al. 2015). These differences, along with 613 uncertainties, contribute to the observed variations in the measured displacements (Figure 32). 614





Fig. 13. Location of two ground control points (GCPs) near the grounding line of the NIS during
 the period of 29th December 2022 to 10th January 2023, with a 12-day interval.

618 **5.8.1 Uncertainty in surface ice flow velocity**

In regions adjacent to the NIS that are considered stable (Schirmacheroasen), a buffer of three pixels (equivalent to approximately 90 m) was applied to compare ice and ice-free zones. Utilizing nearly 200 samples, the Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) was found to be approximately 2.8 m/year. It is important to note that the accuracy of these measurements is influenced by the level of coherence observed between the SAR images used. A higher degree of coherence is achieved when employing a smaller temporal resolution and a perpendicular baseline, leading to improved accuracy in the measurements obtained.

626 6 Conclusion

A comprehensive analysis was conducted on NIS, East Antarctica, focusing on the 627 628 parameters of supraglacial lakes (SGLs), seasonal surface melt extent, and surface ice flow 629 velocity. Monitoring meltwater is crucial to evaluate the potential destabilization of ice shelves. Relative to the year 2000, the depth, area, and volume of SGLs in NIS have increased. Over the 630 decade from January 2003 to January 2023, the depth and volume of melt ponds grew by a factor 631 of 1.5, while the area increased by a factor of 1.2. While SGL development is limited in the middle 632 of the ice shelf, surface lakes are frequently observed in its interior regions. These cyclic variations 633 in total lake area lead to fluctuations in meltwater volume during the melt season. Limited data 634 enabled the prediction and analysis of seasonal surface melts and surface ice flow velocity 635 fluctuations in NIS, with a focus on the austral summers of 2019–2023. The findings of this study 636

provide essential data for assessing the sensitivity of NIS to climate change and making future 637 projections on sea level rise, particularly regarding surface melting, melt ponds/SGLs, and their 638 impact on ice flow velocity. The impact of meltwater on an ice shelf depends on its quantity, 639 640 distribution, and the overall state and stability of the ice shelf. With climate change leading to unprecedented polar ice melting, scientists and policymakers are increasingly concerned about the 641 effects of meltwater on ice shelves. It is anticipated that SGL coverage and volume will 642 643 significantly increase under enhanced atmospheric warming, particularly in ice-shelf regions susceptible to hydro fracture. 644

- 645 **Declaration of competing interest**
- 646

647 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. 648

- Data availability 650
- 651

649

652 653 Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgement 654

The authors acknowledge the logistical support given by the National Centre for Polar and 655 656 Ocean Research, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Govt. of India under the Indian Scientific Expedition to Antarctica (ISEA) with project code 42-AMOS/OR-06(2) to undertake this research. The 657 658 authors gratefully acknowledge the support rendered by PTICL, MM Forgings, TATA STEEL, Jyothy Industries, Rational Technologies and CIIRC, Jyothy Institute of Technology, Bengaluru. 659

References 660

1. Alimasi N, Enomoto H, Hirasawa N. 2020. Spatiotemporal variation of ice sheet melting 661 in the Antarctic coastal marginal zone and the influence of ice lenses and rain using satellite 662 observation. [Internet]. 663 microwave Polar Sci 25(July):100561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2020.100561 664

- 2. Alley, K. E. Studies of Antarctic Ice Shelf Stability: Surface Melting, Basal Melting, and 665 Ice Flow Dynamics, PhD thesis, Department of Geological Sciences, University of 666 667 Colorado Boulder, 2017.
- 3. Alley KE, Scambos TA, Miller JZ, Long DG, MacFerrin M. 2018. Quantifying 668 669 vulnerability of Antarctic ice shelves to hydrofracture using microwave scattering

670		properties. Remote Sens Environ. 210(January):297–306.
671		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.03.025
672	4.	Aoki, S., Takahashi, T., Yamazaki, K. et al. Warm surface waters increase Antarctic ice
673		shelf melt and delay dense water formation. Commun Earth Environ 3, 142 (2022).
674		https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00456-z
675	5.	Archibold AR, Rahman MM, Yogi Goswami D, Stefanakos EK. 2015. The effects of
676		radiative heat transfer during the melting process of a high temperature phase change
677		material confined in a spherical shell. Appl Energy. 138:675-684.
678		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.10.086
679	6.	Arthur JF, Stokes C, Jamieson SSR, Carr JR, Leeson AA. 2020. Recent understanding of
680		Antarctic supraglacial lakes using satellite remote sensing. Prog Phys Geogr. 44(6):837-
681		869. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133320916114
682	7.	Arthur JF, Stokes CR, Jamieson SSR, Rachel Carr J, Leeson AA. 2020. Distribution and
683		seasonal evolution of supraglacial lakes on Shackleton Ice Shelf, East Antarctica.
684		Cryosphere. 14(11):4103-4120. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-4103-2020
685	8.	Arthur JF, Stokes CR, Jamieson SSR, Rachel Carr J, Leeson AA, Verjans V. 2022. Large
686		interannual variability in supraglacial lakes around East Antarctica. Nat Commun. 13(1):1-
687		12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29385-3
688	9.	Banwell AF, Willis IC, Macdonald GJ, Goodsell B, Macayeal DR. Direct measurements of
689		ice-shelf flexure caused by surface meltwater ponding and drainage. Nat Commun
690		[Internet].(2019):1-10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08522-5
691	10	. Barella R, Callegari M, Marin C, Klug C, Sailer R, Galos SP, Dinale R, Gianinetto M,
692		Notarnicola C. 2022. Combined Use of Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 for Glacier Mapping: An
693		Application over Central East Alps. IEEE J Sel Top Appl Earth Obs Remote Sens.
694		15:4824-4834. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2022.3179050
695	11	. Baumhoer CA, Dietz AJ, Dech S, Kuenzer C. 2018. Remote Sensing of Antarctic Glacier
696		and Ice-Shelf Front Dynamics — A Review. :1–28. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10091445
697	12	. Bell RE, Banwell AF, Trusel LD, Kingslake J. 2018. Antarctic surface hydrology and
698		impacts on ice-sheet mass balance. Nat Clim Chang [Internet]. 8(12):1044-1052.
699		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0326-3
	10	

Bell RE, Chu W, Kingslake J, Das I, Tedesco M, Tinto KJ, Zappa CJ, Frezzotti M,
Boghosian A, Lee WS. 2017. Antarctic ice shelf potentially stabilized by export of

702	meltwater in surface river. Nature [Internet]. 544(7650):344-348.
703	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22048
704	14. Brogioni M, Andrews MJ, Urbini S, Jezek KC, Johnson JT, Leduc-Leballeur M, MacElloni
705	G, Ackley SF, Bringer A, Brucker L, et al. 2023. Ice Sheet and Sea Ice Ultrawideband
706	Microwave radiometric Airborne eXperiment (ISSIUMAX) in Antarctica: first results from
707	Terra Nova Bay. Cryosphere. 17(1):255–278. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-255-2023
708	15. Buzzard SC, Feltham DL, Flocco D. 2018. A Mathematical Model of Melt Lake
709	Development on an Ice Shelf. J Adv Model Earth Syst. 10(2):262-283.
710	https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001155
711	16. Cavalieri DJ, Parkinson CL. 2008. Antarctic sea ice variability and trends, 1979–2006. J
712	Geophys Res. 113(C7):1–19. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007jc004564
713	17. Colosio P, Tedesco M, Ranzi R, Fettweis X. 2021. Surface melting over the Greenland ice
714	sheet derived from enhanced resolution passive microwave brightness temperatures (1979-
715	2019). Cryosphere. 15(6):2623-2646. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-2623-2021
716	18. Cook AJ, Vaughan DG. 2010. Overview of areal changes of the ice shelves on the Antarctic
717	Peninsula over the past 50 years. Cryosphere. 4(1):77-98. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-4-77-
718	2010
719	19. Dell R, Arnold N, Willis I, Banwell A, Williamson A, Pritchard H, Orr A. 2020. Lateral
720	meltwater transfer across an Antarctic ice shelf. Cryosphere. 14(7):2313-2330.
721	https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2313-2020
722	20. Dell RL, Banwell AF, Willis IC, Arnold NS, Halberstadt ARW, Chudley TR, Pritchard HD.
723	2022. Erratum: Supervised classification of slush and ponded water on Antarctic ice
724	shelves using Landsat 8 imagery (Journal of Glaciology 68: 268 (401-414) DOI:
725	10.1017/jog.2021.114). J Glaciol. 68(268):415-416. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2022.15
726	21. Dirscherl M, Dietz AJ, Kneisel C, Kuenzer C. 2020. Automated mapping of antarctic
727	supraglacial lakes using a machine learning approach. Remote Sens. 12(7).
728	https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12071203
729	22. Fausto RS, Van As D, Ahlstrøm AP, Citterio M. 2012. Instruments and methods: Assessing
730	the accuracy of Greenland ice sheet ice ablation measurements by pressure transducer. J
731	Glaciol. 58(212):1144-1150. https://doi.org/10.3189/2012JoG12J075
732	23. Fricker HA, Arndt P, Brunt KM, Datta RT, Fair Z, Jasinski MF, Kingslake J, Magruder LA,
733	Moussavi M, Pope A, et al. 2021. ICESat-2 Meltwater Depth Estimates: Application to

- Surface Melt on Amery Ice Shelf, East Antarctica. Geophys Res Lett. 48(8).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090550
- 736 24. Friedl P. 2019. Derivation of glaciological parameters from time series of multi-mission
 737 remote sensing data Applications to glaciers in Antarctica and the Karakoram (January).
- 738 25. Furfaro R, Picca P, Kargel JS, Science P. 2014. Global Land Ice Measurements from Space.
 739 Glob L Ice Meas from Sp.(July). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79818-7
- 26. Gardner AS, Sharp MJ. 2010. A review of snow and ice albedo and the development of a
 new physically based broadband albedo parameterization. J Geophys Res Earth Surf.
 115(1):1–15. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001444
- 743 27. Geetha Priya M, Deva Jefflin AR, Luis AJ, Bahuguna IM. 2022. Estimation of surface melt
 744 induced melt pond depths over Amery Ice Shelf, East Antarctica using Multispectral and
 745 ICESat-2 data. Disaster Adv. 15(8):1–8. https://doi.org/10.25303/1508da01008
- 28. Ghiz ML, Scott RC, Vogelmann AM, Lenaerts JTM, Lazzara M, Lubin D. 2021. Energetics
 of surface melt in West Antarctica. Cryosphere. 15(7):3459–3494.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-3459-2021
- 29. Halas P, Mouginot J, de Fleurian B, Langebroek PM. 2023. Impact of seasonal fluctuations
 of ice velocity on decadal trends observed in Southwest Greenland. Remote Sens Environ.
 285(January). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113419
- 30. Hall DK, Nghiem S V., Schaaf CB, DiGirolamo NE, Neumann G. 2009. Evaluation of
 surface and near-surface melt characteristics on the Greenland ice sheet using MODIS and
 QuikSCAT data. J Geophys Res Earth Surf. 114(4):1–13.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001287
- 31. Hawes IAN, Safi K, Sorrell B, Webster-brown J, Arscott D. 2011. Summer-winter
 transitions in Antarctic ponds I : The physical environment Summer winter transitions in
 Antarctic ponds I : The physical environment. (May).
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102011000046
- 32. Horwath M, Dietrich R, Baessler M, Nixdorf U, Steinhage D, Fritzsche D, Damm V,
 Reitmayr G. 2006. Nivlisen, an Antarctic ice shelf in Dronning Maud Land: Geodeticglaciological results from a combined analysis of ice thickness, ice surface height and iceflow observations. J Glaciol. 52(176):17–30.
- 764 https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828953
- 33. Husman SDR, Hu Z, Wouters B, Munneke PK, Veldhuijsen S, Lhermitte S . 2022. Remote

Sensing of Surface Melt on Antarctica : Opportunities and Challenges. IEEE J Sel Top Appl
Earth Obs Remote Sens. PP(Xxx):1–20vol. 16, pp. 2462-2480, 2023, doi:
10.1109/JSTARS.2022.3216953

- 34. Izeboud M, Lhermitte S. 2023. Remote Sensing of Environment Damage detection on
 antarctic ice shelves using the normalised radon transform. Remote Sens Environ
 [Internet]. 284(November 2022):113359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113359
- 35. Jakobs CL, Reijmer CH, van den Broeke MR, van de Berg WJ, van Wessem JM. 2021.
 Spatial Variability of the Snowmelt-Albedo Feedback in Antarctica. J Geophys Res Earth
 Surf. 126(2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JF005696
- 36. Jakobs CL, Reijmer CH, Smeets CJPP, Trusel LD, Van De Berg WJ, Van Den Broeke MR,
 Van Wessem JM. 2020. A benchmark dataset of in situ Antarctic surface melt rates and
 energy balance. J Glaciol. 66(256):291–302. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2020.6
- 37. Johnson A, Fahnestock M, Hock R. 2020. Evaluation of passive microwave melt detection
 methods on Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves using time series of Sentinel-1 SAR. Remote
 Sens Environ [Internet]. 250(February):112044. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112044
- 38. Kaushik S, Cerino B, Trouve E, Karbou F, Yan Y, Ravanel L, Magnin F. 2022. Analysis of
 the Temporal Evolution of Ice Aprons in the Mont-Blanc Massif Using X and C-Band SAR
 Images. Front Remote Sens. 3(June):1–17. https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.930021
- 39. Kingslake J, Ely JC, Das I, Bell RE. 2017. Letter. Nat Publ Gr [Internet]. 544(7650):349–
 352. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22049
- 40. Komac M, Holley R, Mahapatra P, van der Marel H, Bavec M. 2015. Coupling of
 GPS/GNSS and radar interferometric data for a 3D surface displacement monitoring of
 landslides. Landslides. 12(2):241–257. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-014-0482-0
- 41. König M, Oppelt N. 2020. A linear model to derive melt pond depth on Arctic sea ice from
 hyperspectral data. Cryosphere. 14(8):2567–2579. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-25672020
- 42. Konovalov Y V. 2021. Abatement of Ocean-Wave Impact by Crevasses in an Ice Shelf
 Abatement of Ocean-Wave Impact by Crevasses in an Ice Shelf. (January).
 https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse9010046
- 43. Lampkin DJ, Karmoskay CC. 2009. Surface melt magnitude retrieval over Ross Ice Shelf,
 Antarctica using coupled MODIS near-IR and thermal satellite measurements. Cryosph
 Discuss. 3(3):1069–1107. https://doi.org/10.5194/tcd-3-1069-2009

- 44. Mahagaonkar, A., Moholdt, G., and Schuler, T. V.: Recent Evolution of Supraglacial Lakes
 on ice shelves in Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica, The Cryosphere Discuss.
 [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2023-4, in review, 2023.
- 45. Leitner JF, Perteneder F, Liu C, Rendl C, Haller M. 2013. Kolibri Tiny and fast gestures
 for large pen-based surfaces. Conf Hum Factors Comput Syst Proc.:1789–1798.
 https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2466236
- 46. Leppäranta M, Luttinen A, Arvola L. 2020. Physics and geochemistry of lakes in Vestfjella,
 Dronning Maud Land. Antarct Sci. 32(1):29–42.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102019000555
- 47. Liang D, Guo H, Zhang L, Cheng Y, Zhu Q, Liu X. 2021. Time-series snowmelt detection
 over the Antarctic using Sentinel-1 SAR images on Google Earth Engine. Remote Sens
 Environ. 256(February). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112318
- 48. Lindbäck K, Moholdt G, Nicholls KW, Hattermann T, Pratap B, Thamban M, Matsuoka K.
 2019. Spatial and temporal variations in basal melting at Nivlisen ice shelf, East Antarctica,
 derived from phase-sensitive radars. Cryosphere. 13(10):2579–2595.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-2579-2019
- 49. Liu C, Hu R, Wang Y, Lin H, Zeng H, Wu D, Liu Z, Dai Y, Song X, Shao C. 2022.
 Monitoring water level and volume changes of lakes and reservoirs in the Yellow River
 Basin using ICESat-2 laser altimetry and Google Earth Engine. J Hydro-Environment Res
 [Internet]. 44(May):53–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jher.2022.07.005
- 50. Liu H, Wang L, Jezek KC. 2006. Spatiotemporal variations of snowmelt in Antarctica
 derived from satellite scanning multichannel microwave radiometer and Special Sensor
 Microwave Imager data (1978-2004). J Geophys Res Earth Surf. 111(1).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JF000318
- 51. Lombardi D, Gorodetskaya I, Barruol G, Camelbeeck T. 2019. Thermally induced
 icequakes detected on blue ice areas of the East Antarctic ice sheet. Ann Glaciol.
 60(79):45–56. https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2019.26
- 52. Luis AJ, Alam M, Jawak SD. 2022. Spatiotemporal change analysis for snowmelt over the
 Antarctic ice shelves using scatterometers. (November):1–18.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.953733
- 53. Lund J, Forster RR, Deeb EJ, Liston GE, Skiles SMK, Marshall HP. 2022. Interpreting
 Sentinel-1 SAR Backscatter Signals of Snowpack Surface Melt/Freeze, Warming, and

- Ripening, through Field Measurements and Physically-Based SnowModel. Remote Sens.
 14(16). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14164002
- 54. Manickam S, Bhattacharya A, Singh G, Yamaguchi Y. 2017. Estimation of Snow Surface
 Dielectric Constant from Polarimetric SAR Data. IEEE J Sel Top Appl Earth Obs Remote
 Sens. 10(1):211–218. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2016.2588531
- 55. Masek JG, Wulder MA, Markham B, McCorkel J, Crawford CJ, Storey J, Jenstrom DT.
 2020. Landsat 9: Empowering open science and applications through continuity. Remote
 Sens Environ. 248(October 2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111968
- 56. Mortimer CA, Sharp M, Wouters B. 2016. Glacier surface temperatures in the Canadian
 High Arctic, 2000-15. J Glaciol. 62(235):963–975. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.80
- 57. Moussavi M, Pope A, Halberstadt ARW, Trusel LD, Cioffi L, Abdalat W. 2020. Antarctic
 supraglacial lake detection using landsat 8 and sentinel-2 imagery: Towards continental
 generation of lake volumes. Remote Sens. 12(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/RS12010134
- 58. Orr A, Deb P, Clem KR, Gilbert E, Bromwich DH, Boberg F, Colwell S, Hansen N, Lazzara
 MA, Mooney PA, et al. 2022. Characteristics of surface "melt potential" over Antarctic ice
 shelves based on regional atmospheric model simulations of summer air temperature
 extremes from 1979/80 to 2018/19. J Clim.:1–61. https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-22-0386.1
- 59. Oza SR, Singh RKK, Vyas NK, Sarkar A. 2011. Study of inter-annual variations in surface
 melting over Amery Ice Shelf, East Antarctica, using space-borne scatterometer data. J
 Earth Syst Sci. 120(2):329–336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-011-0055-8
- 850 60. Valerio L P, Shaw J, Gonzalez F, Robinson S, Kate J H. 2022. Contemporary Remote
 851 Sensing Tools for Integrated Assessment and Conservation Planning of Ice-free Antarctica.
 852 (June):1–22.
- 853 61. Philpot WD. 1989. Bathymetric mapping with passive multispectral imagery. Appl Opt.
 854 28(8):1569. https://doi.org/10.1364/ao.28.001569
- 855 62. Pina P, Vieira G. 2022. UAVs for Science in Antarctica. Remote Sens. 14(7):1–39.
 856 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14071610
- 63. Pope A, Scambos TA, Moussavi M, Tedesco M, Willis M, Shean D, Grigsby S. 2016.
 Estimating supraglacial lake depth in West Greenland using Landsat 8 and comparison with
 other multispectral methods. Cryosphere. 10(1):15–27. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-152016
- 64. Qiao G, Yuan X, Florinsky I, Popov S, He Y, Li H. 2023. Topography reconstruction and

evolution analysis of outlet glacier using data from unmanned aerial vehicles in Antarctica.
Int J Appl Earth Obs Geoinf [Internet]. 117(August 2022):103186.

864 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2023.103186

- 865 65. Rakshita C, Sowjanya A, Swathi P, Geetha Priya M. 2023. Velocity Estimation of East
 866 Antarctic Glacier with SAR Offset Tracking---An Application of Image Processing. In:
 867 Smys S, Tavares JMRS, Shi F, editors. Comput Vis Bio-Inspired Comput. Singapore:
 868 Springer Nature Singapore; p. 323–331.
- 869 66. Rintoul SR. 2018. The global influence of localized dynamics in the Southern Ocean.
 870 Nature. 558(7709):209–218. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0182-3
- 871 67. Safa F, Flouzat G. 1989. Speckle removal on radar imagery based on mathematical
 872 morphology. Signal Processing. 16(4):319–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165873 1684(89)90029-7
- 68. Saunderson D, MacKintosh A, McCormack F, Jones RS, Picard G. 2022. Surface melt on
 the Shackleton Ice Shelf, East Antarctica (2003-2021). Cryosphere. 16(10):4553–4569.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-4553-2022
- 877 69. Sergienko O V. 2022. No general stability conditions for marine ice-sheet grounding lines
 878 in the presence of feedbacks. Nat Commun. 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022879 29892-3
- 70. Sivalingam S, Murugesan GP, Dhulipala K, Kulkarni AV, Devaraj S. 2022. Temporal
 fluctuations of siachen glacier velocity: a repeat pass sar interferometry based approach.
 Geocarto Int. 37(17):4888–4910. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2021.1899306
- 71. Sneed WA, Hamilton GS. 2007. Evolution of melt pond volume on the surface of the
 Greenland Ice Sheet. Geophys Res Lett. 34(3):4–7.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028697
- 72. Steiner N, Tedesco M. 2014. A wavelet melt detection algorithm applied to enhancedresolution scatterometer data over Antarctica (2000-2009). Cryosphere. 8(1):25–40.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-25-2014
- 73. Stevens LA, Behn MD, McGuire JJ, Das SB, Joughin I, Herring T, Shean DE, King MA.
 2015. Greenland supraglacial lake drainages triggered by hydrologically induced basal slip.
 Nature. 522(7554):73–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14480
- 74. Tovar-Sánchez A, Román A, Roque-Atienza D, Navarro G. 2021. Applications of
 unmanned aerial vehicles in Antarctic environmental research. Sci Rep [Internet]. 11(1):1–

- 894 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01228-z
- 75. Trusel LD, Frey KE, Das SB. 2012. Antarctic surface melting dynamics: Enhanced
 perspectives from radar scatterometer data. J Geophys Res Earth Surf. 117(2).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002126
- 76. Trusel LD, Pan Z, Moussavi M. 2022. Repeated Tidally Induced Hydrofracture of a
 Supraglacial Lake at the Amery Ice Shelf Grounding Zone. Geophys Res Lett. 49(7):1–11.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095661
- 77. Tuckett PA, Ely JC, Sole AJ, Lea JM, Livingstone SJ, Jones JM, Van Wessem JM. 2021.
 Automated mapping of the seasonal evolution of surface meltwater and its links to climate
 on the Amery Ice Shelf, Antarctica. Cryosphere. 15(12):5785–5804.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-5785-2021
- 78. Tuckett PA, Ely JC, Sole AJ, Livingstone SJ, Davison BJ, Melchior van Wessem J, Howard
 J. 2019. Rapid accelerations of Antarctic Peninsula outlet glaciers driven by surface melt.
 Nat Commun [Internet]. 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12039-2
- 908 79. Vaňková I, Cook S, Winberry JP, Nicholls KW, Galton-Fenzi BK. 2021. Deriving Melt
 909 Rates at a Complex Ice Shelf Base Using In Situ Radar: Application to Totten Ice Shelf.
 910 Geophys Res Lett. 48(7). https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL092692
- 80. Yuan X, Qiao G, Li Y, Li H, Xu R. 2020. Modelling of glacier and ice sheet microtopography based on unmanned aerial vehicle data, Antarctica. Int Arch Photogramm
 Remote Sens Spat Inf Sci ISPRS Arch. 43(B3):919–923. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsarchives-XLIII-B3-2020-919-2020
- 81. Zhu J, Tan S, Tsang L, Kang DH, Kim E. 2021. Snow Water Equivalent Retrieval Using
 Active and Passive Microwave Observations. Water Resour Res. 57(7):1–21.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR027563
- 82. Zhu Q, Guo H, Zhang L, Liang D, Liu X, Zhou H. 2023. High-resolution spatio-temporal 918 analysis of snowmelt over Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves from 2015 to 2021 using SAR 919 images High-resolution spatio-temporal analysis of snowmelt over Antarctic Peninsula ice 920 921 shelves from 2015 2021 using SAR images. (March). to https://doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2023.2181991 922
- 923