

# **Global protection from tropical cyclones by coastal ecosystems - past, present, and under climate change**

Sarah Hülsen<sup>a\*</sup>, Robert I. McDonald<sup>b</sup>, Rebecca Chaplin-Kramer<sup>c,d</sup>, David N. Bresch<sup>a,e</sup>, Richard Sharp<sup>f</sup>, Thomas Worthington<sup>g</sup>, Chahan M. Kropf<sup>a,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute for Environmental Decisions, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>b</sup> The Nature Conservancy, Arlington, USA

<sup>c</sup> Global Science, WWF, San Francisco, USA

<sup>d</sup> Institute on the Environment, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, USA

<sup>e</sup> Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology, Zürich-Airport, Switzerland

<sup>f</sup> SPRING Innovate, Oakland, USA

<sup>g</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

\* corresponding author (sarah.huelsen@usys.ethz.ch)

**This is a non-peer reviewed pre-print submitted to EarthArXiv. The original is under review with Environmental Research Letters.**

## **Abstract**

Coastal ecosystems have the potential to contribute to disaster risk reduction and adaptation to climate change. While previous studies have estimated the value of current coastal ecosystems for reducing coastal risk, there have been relatively few studies that look at changes in ecosystem service provision, in the past and under climate change. We employ the probabilistic, event-based CLIMADA platform to quantify the protection from tropical cyclones provided by coastal ecosystems, modeling the number of beneficiaries in the past and under future climate change. We also investigate the potential of Nature-based Solutions (NbS), such as mangrove restoration. We find that currently, one in five (21%) of all people impacted annually by tropical cyclones in the global low-elevation coastal zone is within the protection distance of coastal ecosystems. Over the last 30 years, the share of protected people has decreased by approximately 2%, due to ecosystem loss. With climate change, the average annual number of people impacted will increase by 40%. Simultaneously, the proportion of people protected by coastal ecosystems with climate change decreases due to changes in tropical cyclone distribution (-1%). The importance of current coastal protection, and the potential for increasing protection by NbS, varies widely between countries. While the number of people protected globally only increases slightly with mangrove restoration, protection in individual countries can increase by up to 39%. Our findings provide a basis for NbS planning and adaptation policy, by highlighting areas which will be crucial for coastal protection services in a world altered by climate change.

*Keywords:* Nature-based Solutions, climate change adaptation, ecosystem services, natural hazards, tropical cyclones

## 1. Introduction

Communities in low-lying coastal areas are increasingly at risk of extreme sea level events such as tropical cyclone storm surges, often exacerbated both by sea-level rise due to climate change and non-climatic drivers, including historical population and settlement trends (Oppenheimer et al., 2019). With climate change, models show an increase in both the intensity and frequency of tropical cyclones (Bloemendaal et al., 2022; Emanuel, 2021; Knutson et al., 2020). Therefore, climate change will likely exacerbate tropical cyclone risk across the globe (Collins et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2012). The projected changes necessitate large-scale adaptation efforts globally, especially in coastal areas which are not only exposed to direct wind damage but also damage from resulting storm surges.

Coastal ecosystems such as mangroves, coral reefs, seagrass, coastal forests, and salt marshes have the potential to reduce disaster risk (Arkema et al., 2013; Beck et al., 2018; Chaplin-Kramer et al., 2023, 2019; Costanza et al., 2021; Menéndez et al., 2020; Reguero et al., 2021, 2019; Selig et al., 2019; Spalding et al., 2014a; Tiggeloven et al., 2022). Benefits derived from nature are often encompassed in concepts such as Nature-based Solutions (NbS), ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction (Eco-DRR), and ecosystem-based adaptation (EbA) (Cooley et al., 2022; IUCN, 2016; Sudmeier-Rieux et al., 2021; UNDRR, 2021). The mechanisms by which these ecosystems reduce tropical cyclone associated risks include the reduction of wind speed, water retention, as a barrier to prevent flooding, and attenuating wave height and energy (Duarte et al., 2013; Ferrario et al., 2014; Guannel et al., 2016; Jordan and Fröhle, 2022; Narayan et al., 2016; Pinsky et al., 2013; Shepard et al., 2011; Sudmeier-Rieux et al., 2021; Wamsley et al., 2010).

At the same time, coastal ecosystems are negatively affected by anthropogenic habitat degradation (Oppenheimer et al., 2019). Thus, protection and restoration measures have been proposed to maintain the protective function of coastal ecosystems (Beck et al., 2018; Cooley et al., 2022; Tiggeloven et al., 2022; Worthington and Spalding, 2018). To maintain or even increase nature's role in coastal protection requires knowledge about the location of potential

beneficiaries in relation to both coastal risk and coastal ecosystems. It is also necessary to identify historical and potential future changes in the patterns and magnitude of nature's protective potential (Ruckelshaus et al., 2020; Spalding et al., 2014b). Probabilistic risk assessment can provide a nuanced picture of risk since it reflects not only the severity of potential impacts but also the probability of a coastal risk materializing (Aznar-Siguan and Bresch, 2019). While previous studies have estimated the number of beneficiaries of risk reduction through coastal natural habitat, there have been few studies that looked at changes in global ecosystem service provision, historically and with climate change (Arkema et al., 2013; Beck et al., 2018; Burke and Spalding, 2022; Chaplin-Kramer et al., 2023; Menéndez et al., 2020; Selig et al., 2019).

Using a combination of ecosystem index data and probabilistic risk modeling, we aim to answer the question: How has coastal protection by ecosystems changed over time and how will it evolve with a change in tropical cyclone hazard due to climate change? We explore this question based on both historical and potential future changes of coastal ecosystems, population, and climate. Thereby, we quantify how many people on average are annually protected by coastal ecosystems currently and in the past taking into consideration population and ecosystem change. Looking to the future, we interrogate how coastal protection by ecosystems may change with climatic changes and large-scale efforts in nature restoration.

## 2. Data and Methods

### 2.1. Data

#### 2.1.1. Population exposure

WorldPop is a gridded population data product, which uses ancillary data sources to downscale population counts to 1km resolution (Lloyd et al., 2019; WorldPop, 2018). The datasets are spatio-temporally consistent, making WorldPop population data suitable for comparison between years, in contrast to some other available global population datasets (Lloyd et al., 2019; Ruckelshaus et al., 2020). Populations living in the low-elevation coastal zone, i.e. coastal areas less than 10m above sea-level, are especially subject to coastal risk but are also closest to coastal ecosystems (Oppenheimer et al., 2019). Using a digital elevation model (DEM) (Earth Resources Observation And Science (EROS) Center, 2017), we confine the exposure dataset to the population living within 10m elevation of sea level before integrating it into the CLIMADA platform (see Section 2.1.2).

#### 2.1.2. Coastal ecosystem protective capacity

We overlay our population exposure with data from the InVEST Coastal Vulnerability Model, which produces an index-based assessment of coastal vulnerability based on several factors, including the presence of coastal habitats (Natural Capital Project, 2022, 2019; Ruckelshaus et al., 2020). Using a combination of terrestrial coastal land cover and offshore coastal habitat data as inputs, the model computes an ecosystem rank, to reflect the ecosystem-based protection of points along the coastline (Bunting et al., 2018; Burke et al., 2011; ESA CCI-LC, 2017; Mcowen et al., 2017; Natural Capital Project, 2019; UNEP-WCMC and Short, 2005). In addition to the spatial distribution of individual coastal habitats and their protective potential, this computation considers the vicinity of multiple habitats and their combined protective potential. Previous research has shown that a combination of vegetation types has an additive benefit, therefore mixed habitats receive a better ranking than individual habitat types (Guannel et al., 2016; Natural Capital Project, 2019). This yields a relative ranking of the

protective potential of different combinations of coastal ecosystems, where a rank close to 1 corresponds to a very highly protective habitat, while a rank of 5 corresponds to no protective habitat (see Table 1).

*Table 1. Exemplary coastal ecosystems ranking table computed with the InVEST Coastal Vulnerability Model (adapted from Natural Capital Project, 2022).*

<b>Combination of coastal vegetation types</b>	<b>Ranking</b>
None	5 - None
Seagrass	4 – Low
Saltmarsh/wetland	3 – Medium
Saltmarsh/wetland seagrass	3 – Medium
Reefs	2 – High
Mangroves/coastal forest	2 – High
Reefs seagrass	2 – High
Mangroves/coastal forest seagrass	2 – High
Reefs saltmarsh/wetland	2 – High
Mangroves/coastal forest saltmarsh/wetland	2 – High
Reefs mangroves/coastal forest	1 – Very high
Reefs mangroves/coastal forest saltmarsh/wetland	1 – Very high
Reefs mangroves/coastal forest saltmarsh/wetland seagrass	1 – Very high

To integrate the ecosystem data obtained from the InVEST model into CLIMADA, population exposure points are matched with the ecosystem rank data within the maximum protection distance (2000m), i.e. the radius within which coastal ecosystems are expected to serve a protective function (Natural Capital Project, 2022) (for more information see Supplementary Material Figure 1 & Table 1). Where multiple matches are possible, exposure points are assigned the best possible rank, following the reasoning that the vicinity of multiple coastal ecosystems has an additive benefit (Guannel et al., 2016). This is calculated based on a historical habitat layer for 1992 and a current baseline layer for 2020, as well as a layer for the restoration scenario elaborated below.

### 2.1.3. Mangrove restoration scenario

To model how protection by coastal ecosystems may change in the future based on measures to restore nature, we use a mangrove restoration potential scenario. The scenario is based on converting all areas where mangroves have been recently lost (between 1996 and 2020) and which are assessed as having the potential to be restorable back to mangrove habitat, excluding areas which have been converted to urban land use or have eroded into non-tidal open water (Worthington and Spalding, 2018). To quantify the impact of mangrove restoration, we model the change in number of people protected from tropical cyclones by coastal ecosystems compared to current ecosystems.

### 2.1.4. Hazard data

We use hazard data from the Synthetic Tropical cyclOne geneRation Model (STORM) to probabilistically model tropical cyclones under both current and future climatic conditions (Bloemendaal et al., 2020). STORM uses historical storm track data from the International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS) and meteorological datasets from climate models to generate synthetic tracks for 10,000 years of tropical cyclone activity by resampling tracks and intensities from the underlying dataset (Bloemendaal et al., 2022, 2020). Thus, STORM contains many more events than the historical tropical cyclone record, including low-probability events, which enables a more accurate assessment of the hazard, and consequently, the risk. The future hazard modeled by STORM is based on SSP585 over the period 2015-2050. This is a high-emission scenario, which is in line with historical cumulative emissions and current policies; however, the model authors highlight that the average climate conditions during that time period do not vary much between low- and high-emission scenarios (Bloemendaal et al., 2022; O'Neill et al., 2016; Schwalm et al., 2020). In CLIMADA, the storm tracks are used to generate wind fields for each event using the Holland model (Holland, 2008). We use tropical cyclone wind speeds as a proxy for a variety of associated sub-hazards, including storm surge, heavy precipitation, and landslides.

## 2.2. Method

### 2.2.1. Impact and protection

This research uses the open-source probabilistic modeling platform CLIMADA (CLimate ADaptation) to perform a tropical cyclone risk assessment for populations in the vicinity of coastal ecosystems (Aznar-Siguan and Bresch, 2019; Bresch and Aznar-Siguan, 2021). Risk is defined as the probability of an event occurring multiplied by its severity and is obtained by combining the hazard (probabilistic tropical cyclone set), exposure (population distributions), and vulnerability. Here we use a simplified vulnerability model and only count the number of people affected by every Saffir-Simpson tropical cyclone scale value 1-5 to capture all potential ecosystem service beneficiaries (National Hurricane Center, 2022). CLIMADA is spatially explicit, which means impact is measured by calculating which exposures (i.e. number of people) are located in an event's windfield. Hence, we obtain the severity, or impact, of each tropical cyclone event in the probabilistic set as the number of people subject to a maximum wind speed of a given category. Subsequently, we compute the average annual impact, or risk, per exposure point by averaging over all events weighted by their annual occurrence probability. This thus covers yet differentiates regions that are regularly and rarely exposed to tropical cyclones.

Using a spatial overlay with InVEST coastal ecosystem data, we assess the absolute number and proportion of people simultaneously within the protection distance of coastal ecosystems, i.e. the radius within which coastal ecosystems have the potential to provide a protective service to coastal populations. The number of people protected by coastal ecosystems then corresponds to the sum of all impacted people that are within the protection distance of coastal ecosystems (see Section 2.1.2). Thus, protection occurs if there is a non-zero probability of a tropical cyclone at the given location, and population exposure within the protective distance of coastal ecosystems. Conversely, non-protection occurs if a population exposure is impacted outside the protective distance of any coastal ecosystem. The average number of people impacted annually can be aggregated across exposure points, for example to calculate the



average number of people impacted in a certain region, or a given coastal ecosystem category, per year. The proportion of people protected (in %) refers to the fraction of people impacted within the protection distance of coastal ecosystems. Note, this is a proportion of the total number of people impacted (i.e., experience tropical cyclones and are at an elevation below 10m above sea level), not a share of the total number of people in the exposure dataset.

### 2.2.2. Comparison across scenarios

We explore how the protection of people in the low-elevation coastal zone by coastal ecosystems evolves over time based on changes in the population distribution, the coastal ecosystems themselves, and the tropical cyclone climatology. A baseline is established to reflect the current level of coastal protection through ecosystems based on ecosystem service and population data for 2020, as well as tropical cyclone hazard data from the STORM model based on the current climatic conditions. We then quantify changes from the baseline: First, historical changes due to developments in population and ecosystems between 1992 and 2020 are investigated. Next, potential changes in impact and protection due to a changed hazard under climate change until 2050 are analyzed. Finally, the impact of mangrove restoration is assessed. Figure 1(a) shows protection in 1992 (historical), 2020 (baseline) and 2050 (future under climate change), while Figure 1(b) shows how changes in the population distribution, the coastal ecosystems themselves, and the tropical cyclone climatology affects the relative share of protected people. Below, we first discuss the geographical patterns of protection in the baseline (Section 3.1), before further investigating historical and future changes, and the factors influencing these changes (Sections 3.2, 3.3, 3.4).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Current baseline

On average every year 14 million people benefit from protection from tropical cyclones (TC) by coastal ecosystems worldwide (see middle bar in Figure 1(a)). This equates to one in five (21%) of all people in the low elevation coastal zone currently impacted by TC are within the protection distance of coastal ecosystems (see middle bar in Figure 1(b)). To contextualize this annual average over a time period relevant for disaster risk management and adaptation planning, this means that over a time period of 50 years, the expected number of people protected globally would be 700 million. Of those protected, the highest numbers of people are seen for high levels of protection by coastal ecosystems and low wind speed categories (Figure 1(a), Supplementary Material Table 2).

Global scale absolute and relative numbers of people protected by coastal ecosystems only provide limited information, since the patterns and levels of protection are not evenly distributed. The highest number of people impacted are found in Eastern- and South-Eastern Asian countries (Figure 2(a), Table 2), due to a combination of high population density and exposure to high levels of tropical cyclone hazards. Very high and high degrees of protection, as well as a complete lack of protection at individual population exposure points, can be found across global coastlines (Figure 2(b)). However, the share of impacted population within coastal ecosystem protection distance differs considerably between geographical regions. For instance, the difference in the proportion of people protected between Southern Asia and the Caribbean, where the lowest and highest share can be found (8% and 53% respectively), is 45% (Figure 3(a)). The highest absolute number of people protected from TC by coastal ecosystems are found in the Philippines, China, and Japan (Table 2). However, the relative proportion of people protected is highest for countries in the Caribbean and Pacific Islands, where 69 - 92 % of the impacted people are within the protection distance of coastal ecosystems (Table 2).






Figure 1. (a) Global total number of people impacted annually historically (1992), under the current climate (2020), and with climate change (SSP585 in 2050). The colors indicate the degree of protection by coastal ecosystems, while the gray color indicates non-protection.

(b) The global proportion of people protected historically (1992), under the current climate (2020), and with climate change (SSP585 in 2050), and the different factors influencing these changes including loss of coastal ecosystems, population changes, compounding effects of the latter two, and climate change. Note that “compounded” refers to

the change in protection that occurs if coastal ecosystems and population exposure are varied simultaneously, i.e. in addition to the changes in protection based on the two factors individually.



*Figure 2. (a) Population in the low-elevation coastal zone impacted by tropical cyclones (TC) globally in 2020. Each point represents an exposure point impacted by TC, while the color shows the number of people impacted per exposure point annually. Note the logarithmic scale.*

*(b) Population in the low elevation coastal zones protected from TC by coastal ecosystems globally in 2020. The color refers to the degree of protection by nearby coastal ecosystems. Note that only exposure points with a non-zero average annual impact are plotted, i.e. the coastal ecosystem protective rank is only mapped for points impacted by tropical cyclones.*

Table 2. Highest ranking countries or areas in terms of the absolute number of people impacted annually, highest number of people protected, and the proportion of people protected. For each high-ranking country in one of these categories, the rank across the other categories is shown as well. The column “Total number of people impacted annually” refers to the average number of people impacted by tropical cyclones per country each year. “Number of people protected annually” gives the average number of people protected per country each year, while “Proportion of people protected” shows the people protected as a share of people impacted per country.

Highest ranking countries for absolute and relative protection								
Total number of people impacted by tropical cyclones annually			Number of people protected annually			Proportion of people protected (%)		
1	China	30M	1	Philippines	5M	1	US Virgin Islands	92%
2	Japan	11M	2	China	4M	2	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	84%
3	Philippines	9M	3	Japan	2M	3	Saint Kitts and Nevis	72%
4	Taiwan ROC	3M	4	Hong Kong SAR	0.8M	4	Hong Kong SAR	70%
5	Viet Nam	2M	5	South Korea	0.5M	5	Northern Mariana Islands	69%
...								
9	South Korea	1M	6	Taiwan ROC	0.5M	21	Philippines	51%
10	Hong Kong SAR	1M	8	Viet Nam	0.3M	38	South Korea	35%
53	Northern Mariana Islands	17K	38	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	13K	52	Japan	15%
55	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	16K	40	US Virgin Islands	13K	53	Taiwan ROC	15%
56	US Virgin Islands	14K	42	Northern Mariana Islands	12K	56	China	12%
59	Saint Kitts and Nevis	12K	51	Saint Kitts and Nevis	8K	57	Viet Nam	11%

### 3.2. Past changes: population development and coastal ecosystem loss

Due to an increase in coastal population, the absolute number of people currently protected is 18% higher than 30 years ago (2.2 million more people protected annually) (see change from historical to current bar in Figure 1(a)). However, the proportion protected decreases by approximately 2% between 1992 and 2020 (see change from historical to current bar in Figure 1(b)). The decrease in the proportion of the protected is almost entirely due to coastal ecosystem loss (95% of the decrease), and to a lesser extent due to population change (3% of the decrease) (see change in proportion protected based on ecosystem and population change in Figure 1(b)). Note that the change in the proportion protected when considering both ecosystem and population change is larger than the sum of these changes when varying

each factor individually (see compounded change in Figure 1(b)). This indicates that increases in population have occurred predominantly in areas where coastal vegetation has been lost between 1992 and 2020. This combination of ecosystem and population changes compounds to an added decrease in protection (2% of the decrease).

This global view is differentiated further when considering regional changes. Between 1992 and 2020, all regions experience an increase in the annual number of people impacted and protected (compare top and bottom bars for each region in Figure 3(b)). This increase in absolute numbers impacted and protected is due to a higher population exposure caused by population growth. However, protection in relative terms developed differently in different regions, with a general decrease in the share of people protected over the last 30 years observed. The regions most affected by a loss of protection through coastal ecosystems are South America, Northern Africa, and Eastern Asia (decreases of 3%, 2%, and 2%) (Figure 3(a)). While most regions experience a decrease in the share of people protected across any degree of protection, there are some exceptions. Only three regions, namely the Caribbean, Central America, and East Africa, experience an increase in the share of people protected in 2020 (Figure 3(a)). This increase of the share protected in these regions is due to more people currently living in the vicinity of coastal ecosystems in 2020 compared to 1992, while decreases in protection due to coastal ecosystem loss overall are relatively minor (Supplementary Material Table 3).




Figure 3.(a) Proportion of people protected (%) from tropical cyclones by coastal ecosystems across different regions. The colors indicated the degree of protection (very high to low). In both panels, the top bar shows the historical numbers (1992), while the bottom bar shows the current baseline numbers (2020).

(b) Absolute number of people protected annually per region. The colors show the Saffir-Simpson wind speed category. Note the log-scale on the x-axis.

### 3.3. Potential effect of climate change

With climate change, tropical cyclone frequency and intensity are likely to change (Bloemendaal et al., 2022; Emanuel, 2021; Knutson et al., 2020). Therefore, we expect to see both changes in the number of people impacted by TC annually and protected by coastal ecosystems. We modeled the number of people impacted and protected under the SSP585 climate change scenario, while keeping population and ecosystem data constant at the 2020 baseline level.

We observe an increase in both the number of people impacted, as well as the number of people protected. Currently, 67.8 million people in the global low-elevation coastal zone are impacted by TC annually, 14.0 million of which are protected by coastal ecosystems (see middle bar in Figure 1(a)). With climate change, the number impacted increases by 27 million (95 million impacted annually in total) (see right bar in Figure 1(a)). This constitutes a 40%

increase of the number of people impacted across all wind speed categories. However, the number of people protected increases less than the number impacted (34% higher compared to the baseline), resulting in a 1% decrease in the share of people protected compared to the baseline (compare middle and right bar in Figure 1(b)). While this decrease in the share of people protected globally is relatively small, given the number of people impacted under climate change, this decrease corresponds to 1 million people every year. Annual average impacts serve as useful metrics for comparisons, but it is important to bear in mind that annual averages can obscure the magnitude of extreme events. For instance, with climate change, the global annual average number of people impacted by category 5 wind speeds (252 km/h or higher) is approximately 1 million people; however, ten times as many people may be impacted by a single event with a 150-yr return period in the Western Pacific (see Supplementary Material Figure 2). Thus, even small losses of average annual protection by coastal ecosystems can have very negative effects for individual events, since extreme events are becoming more likely with climate change.

#### 3.4. Potential changes under nature protection and restoration scenarios

Under the mangrove restoration scenario and considering changes in TC due to climate change, every year 109,000 more people may be protected from tropical cyclones by coastal ecosystems respectively. The fraction of people protected would thereby increase by 0.1% (Figure 4). It is noticeable that the increase in the degree of protection by coastal ecosystems is higher than switches from non-protection to protection (209,000 people annually, which corresponds to a relative protection increase of 0.2%, or 6.27 million over 30 years) (Figure 4). This results from restoration occurring mostly in areas which already provide some level of protection rather than areas which are completely unprotected.

The global increase in protection is relatively minor compared to historical losses; however, mangroves are confined to low and mid latitudes. Based on the global observation that



changes occur mostly in terms of shifts within levels of ecosystem-based protection, we look at increases in the fraction of people under very high protection, rather than changes from non-protection to protection (Table 3). On a country-scale, much higher gains in the level of coastal ecosystem-based protection are discernable than globally. The highest country-level increase occurs for mangrove restoration in Bermuda (39% increase in the fraction under very high protection), although increases for other countries are in the low single digits (Table 3). For countries with currently small mangrove extents, even minor increases in mangrove land cover can result in comparatively high increases in protection. Smaller countries and island states especially benefit.




Figure 4. Global increases in the proportion of people protected for the mangrove restoration and reforestation scenarios. The top bar represents the increase in total protection, i.e. changes from non-protection in the baseline to protection under the restoration scenario. The lower bar represents the increase in the degree of protection for areas which already receive some level of protection in the baseline. The x-axis indicates the increases in absolute numbers of people protected annually, the percentages within the bar chart indicate the increase in the share of people protected. The increase in the degree of protection is higher than the total increase in protection, which indicates that the mangrove restoration scenario mainly causes an increase in the ranking of areas already receiving some degrees of protection.

Table 3. Country-level changes in very high protection under the mangrove restoration scenario with climate change (SSP585) in 2050. The five countries with the biggest changes are listed, both in terms of absolute and relative changes.

<b>Countries with biggest changes in very high protection under mangrove restoration scenario</b>						
Country	Number of people impacted annually	Baseline value under very high protection	NbS scenario under very high protection	value	Difference between baseline and scenario	
<b>Absolute change</b>						
China	46M	1.4M		1.5M		103.4K
Philippines	11M	2.1M		2.2M		35.9K
Bermuda	8K	3.5K		6.6K		3.2K
Trinidad and Tobago	42K	14.4K		15.9K		1.5K
Barbados	30K	9.8K		11.3K		1.5K
<b>Relative change</b>						
Bermuda	8K	42.6%		81.3%		38.7%
Trinidad and Tobago	42K	32.5%		37.4%		4.9%
Venezuela	118K	34.2%		37.7%		3.5%
Papua New Guinea	6K	31.1%		32.3%		1.2%
Sri Lanka	30K	6.7%		7.5%		0.8%

## 4. Discussion

Globally, a minority (21%) of all people impacted by tropical cyclones in coastal areas are currently within the protection distance of coastal ecosystems. However, in certain countries, a large majority of impacted people are protected, which highlights the importance of coastal ecosystems, as well as the need for analyzing NbS across a variety of spatial scales. In terms of absolute numbers of people, highly populated countries in Eastern and South-Eastern Asia are the chief beneficiaries of the coastal protection services from tropical cyclones of mangroves and coastal forests, coral reefs, sea grass, salt marshes and wetlands. However, in proportional terms, protection by coastal ecosystems is key for small island states and developing countries, especially in the Caribbean and Pacific Islands.

In this study, we use maximum sustained wind speed as a proxy for all tropical cyclone sub-hazards (storm surges, heavy precipitations, floods, landslides, etc.), and therefore cannot model the physical mechanisms (e.g., wave attenuation or water retention) through which coastal ecosystems reduce risk. Thus, the risk reduction reported here relies on the assumption that sub-hazard intensities (e.g. precipitation and surge wave heights) and the derived impacts are correlated to the maximum wind speed, which may not always be the case. This relationship should be treated with caution at local scales but is reasonable over large spatial extents and compatible with the resolution and sophistication of the ecosystem model. Hence, we modeled the ecosystem service in a semi-quantitative fashion only: the ecosystem risk reduction potential is a ranking score, and the hazard intensity is categorized into the Saffir-Simpson scale. Protection is provided as soon as a pixel is below 10m elevation, is within 2km of an ecosystem, and is hit by at least one tropical cyclone of the probabilistic cyclone set. Our research therefore only focuses on areas affected by tropical cyclones, but coastal ecosystems may provide important coastal protection benefits in other areas as well. Furthermore, both absolute and relative numbers of people protected are sensitive to choices e.g. with regards to the protective distance chosen (see Supplementary Material Table 1). While some studies have modeled hazard attenuation through coastal ecosystems for both

cyclonic and non-cyclonic flooding more explicitly, they tend to focus more on economic damages averted, and to lesser extent people protected (Beck et al., 2018; Menéndez et al., 2020; Tiggeloven et al., 2022). Simultaneously, studies from a more human-centric or ecosystem service provision perspective frequently use non-probabilistic hazard indices (Arkema et al., 2013; Chaplin-Kramer et al., 2019; Selig et al., 2019). Our research combines the strengths of both approaches by providing a probabilistic risk assessment for populations in proximity to coastal ecosystems. This is well suited for identifying spatial and temporal patterns in the protective function of coastal ecosystems at scale.

The historical decrease of relative protection by coastal ecosystems over the past 30 years caused by coastal ecosystem loss (Figure 1(b) and Figure 3) is concerning. Other studies have demonstrated the negative consequences of ecosystem loss for coastal protection, both for moderate changes in coastal vegetation and complete loss scenarios (Arkema et al., 2013; Beck et al., 2018; Menéndez et al., 2020; Tiggeloven et al., 2022). Our findings complement these perspectives by (i) identifying where the decrease in protection has occurred historically, and (ii) quantifying the magnitude of changes, as well as the relative effects of population and ecosystem changes. Previous research has shown that coastal areas are subject to both increases in population and ecosystem degradation, and that both factors play a role in increasing coastal disaster risk (Cooley et al., 2022; Oppenheimer et al., 2019). We further find that ecosystem loss is the main factor, but there are strong regional variations across the globe.

The increase in the absolute number of people protected, due to an exacerbated hazard under climate change, which results in a higher number of people impacted, can give the impression that protection by coastal ecosystems is increasing (Figure 1(a)). However, the projected tropical cyclones will predominantly affect areas which are currently unprotected by coastal ecosystems. Hence, the proportion of people protected by coastal ecosystems is decreasing, even though the overall increase in tropical cyclone frequency leads to more people being protected. It is concerning that a decrease in relative protection is observed due to changes

in tropical cyclone activity alone, since extrapolating historical coastal ecosystem losses as well as population growth would lead to an even more pronounced reduction in coastal protection through ecosystems. In addition, the STORM model only considers climate change in the intensity and frequency of tropical cyclones. Hence, coastal risk aggravating factors such as sea-level rise or erosion are not included. These, along with potential adverse effects of higher storm frequencies on the coastal ecosystems, are likely to further increase the risk and thus the need for coastal ecosystem protection (Beck et al., 2018; Cooley et al., 2022; Schuerch et al., 2018).

As a possible adaptation measure, we considered the potential for mangrove restoration (Menéndez et al., 2020; Narayan et al., 2016; Rana et al., 2022; Worthington and Spalding, 2018). However, at the global scale changes from non-protection to protection are fairly small. Thus, NbS should not be seen as a silver bullet for disaster risk and climate adaptation. Yet, the potential for offsetting some of the decreases in protection due to historical habitat loss should not be underestimated. Not all areas are equally suitable for mangrove restoration, with the most urbanized areas being the least suited both from an ecological and from a socio-economic point of view (Worthington and Spalding, 2018). Areas where coastal ecosystems already protect a high share of the coastal population are of interest (e.g., in small island states and developing countries), as well as areas where nature's protective value has been degraded in the recent past. Note that we specifically considered restoration which implies that areas that never had ecosystem protection cannot be targeted. Our scenario focuses on mangroves as they give the highest level of protection, in a number of countries and provide a wide range of other co-benefits, and restoration is already ongoing in a number of countries (Das et al., 2022; Gerona-Daga and Salmo, 2022; Spalding et al., 2014b; Worthington and Spalding, 2018). This does not mean that restoration efforts for other coastal ecosystems such as seagrass, saltmarsh or wetlands are not of value. Indeed, it suffices to look at the historical decrease in protection due to ecosystem losses to realize their potential if restored. Overall, our analysis of both the past and future changes in protection underpin the necessity of global

ecosystem preservation and restoration efforts for meeting adaptation goals in a changing climate also highlighted in previous studies (Beck et al., 2018; Spalding et al., 2014b; Tiggeloven et al., 2022). Simultaneously, we must caution that the effects of the tropical cyclones and the changing climate on the coastal ecosystems themselves, which were not considered here, might jeopardize the NbS adaptation efforts. This may lead to an overestimation of the areas considered to be restorable under climate change. Future research can attempt to model these multi-directional interactions of coastal risk, climate change, and coastal ecosystems.

## 5. Conclusions

Through the integration of index-based ecosystem data and probabilistic risk modeling, we identify patterns of coastal protection in relation to cyclone risk at different spatial and temporal scales. Our findings show how ecosystem-based coastal protection has reduced over the last 30 years due to ecosystem and population change, as well how it may change over the next 30 years based on climatic changes and potential nature protection and restoration activities. Our results provide insights on the contribution NbS can make to climate change adaptation globally, and how benefits are distributed across different countries. Currently, one in five people (21%) impacted by tropical cyclones in the low elevation coastal zone experience some level of protection from coastal ecosystems, such as coral reefs, mangroves, coastal forests, seagrass, salt marshes and wetlands. This share has decreased by 2% over the last 30 years mainly due to coastal ecosystem degradation and would be even more distinct without the simultaneous increase of coastal population in protected areas. With climate change, 40% more people will be impacted by tropical cyclones annually, yet the share of annually protected people decreases by 1%, which amounts to 31.5 million over 30 years. The potential to increase coastal protection by restoring nature is not distributed evenly across the globe, but very high in smaller countries and island states. Our analysis can support prioritization of areas for more localized assessments of the applicability of NbS for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation.

### Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at the following DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000626330>.

Code is available under

[https://github.com/CLIMADA-project/climada\\_papers/tree/main/202305\\_coastal\\_ecosystems\\_TC](https://github.com/CLIMADA-project/climada_papers/tree/main/202305_coastal_ecosystems_TC).

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Simona Meiler and Nadia Bloemendaal for discussing options regarding the analysis of the tropical cyclone data. We would like to thank Mark Spalding for his comments on a draft of this article. This research has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 101003687 and was supported by a grant from the Bezos Earth Fund to The Nature Conservancy for research into nature-based climate mitigation and adaptation.

## References

- Arkema, K.K., Guannel, G., Verutes, G., Wood, S.A., Guerry, A., Ruckelshaus, M., Kareiva, P., Lacayo, M., Silver, J.M., 2013. Coastal habitats shield people and property from sea-level rise and storms. *Nat. Clim. Change* 3, 913–918. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1944>
- Aznar-Siguan, G., Bresch, D.N., 2019. CLIMADA v1: a global weather and climate risk assessment platform. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 12, 3085–3097. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-3085-2019>
- Beck, M.W., Losada, I.J., Menéndez, P., Reguero, B.G., Díaz-Simal, P., Fernández, F., 2018. The global flood protection savings provided by coral reefs. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 2186. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04568-z>
- Bloemendaal, N., de Moel, H., Martinez, A.B., Muis, S., Haigh, I.D., van der Wiel, K., Haarsma, R.J., Ward, P.J., Roberts, M.J., Dullaart, J.C.M., Aerts, J.C.J.H., 2022. A globally consistent local-scale assessment of future tropical cyclone risk. *Sci. Adv.* 8, eabm8438. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abm8438>
- Bloemendaal, N., Haigh, I.D., de Moel, H., Muis, S., Haarsma, R.J., Aerts, J.C.J.H., 2020. Generation of a global synthetic tropical cyclone hazard dataset using STORM. *Sci. Data* 7, 40. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0381-2>
- Bresch, D.N., Aznar-Siguan, G., 2021. CLIMADA v1.4.1: towards a globally consistent adaptation options appraisal tool. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 14, 351–363. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-351-2021>
- Bunting, P., Rosenqvist, A., Lucas, R., Rebelo, L.-M., Hilarides, L., Thomas, N., Hardy, A., Itoh, T., Shimada, M., Finlayson, C., 2018. The Global Mangrove Watch—A New 2010 Global Baseline of Mangrove Extent. *Remote Sens.* 10, 1669. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10101669>
- Burke, L., Reytar, Kathleen, Spalding, Mark, Perry, Allison, 2011. *Reefs at risk revisited*. World Resources Institute, Washington, DC.
- Burke, L., Spalding, M., 2022. Shoreline protection by the world's coral reefs: Mapping the benefits to people, assets, and infrastructure. *Mar. Policy* 146, 105311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2022.105311>
- Chaplin-Kramer, R., Neugarten, R.A., Sharp, R.P., Collins, P.M., Polasky, S., Hole, D., Schuster, R., Strimas-Mackey, M., Mulligan, M., Brandon, C., Diaz, S., Fluet-



- Chouinard, E., Gorenflo, L.J., Johnson, J.A., Kennedy, C.M., Keys, P.W., Longley-Wood, K., McIntyre, P.B., Noon, M., Pascual, U., Reidy Liermann, C., Roehrdanz, P.R., Schmidt-Traub, G., Shaw, M.R., Spalding, M., Turner, W.R., van Soesbergen, A., Watson, R.A., 2023. Mapping the planet's critical natural assets. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 7, 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01934-5>
- Chaplin-Kramer, R., Sharp, R.P., Weil, C., Bennett, E.M., Pascual, U., Arkema, K.K., Brauman, K.A., Bryant, B.P., Guerry, A.D., Haddad, N.M., Hamann, M., Hamel, P., Johnson, J.A., Mandle, L., Pereira, H.M., Polasky, S., Ruckelshaus, M., Shaw, M.R., Silver, J.M., Vogl, A.L., Daily, G.C., 2019. Global modeling of nature's contributions to people. *Science* 366, 255–258. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw3372>
- Cooley, S., Schoeman, D., Bopp, L., Boyd, P., Donner, S., Ito, S.-I., Kiessling, W., Martinetto, P., Ojea, E., Racault, M.-F., Rost, B., Skern-Mauritzen, M., Ghebrehiwet, D.Y., 2022. Ocean and coastal ecosystems and their services, in: Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D.C., Tignor, M.M.B., Poloczanska, E.S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A., Rama, B. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- Costanza, R., Anderson, S.J., Sutton, P., Mulder, K., Mulder, O., Kubiszewski, I., Wang, X., Liu, X., Pérez-Maqueo, O., Luisa Martinez, M., Jarvis, D., Dee, G., 2021. The global value of coastal wetlands for storm protection. *Glob. Environ. Change* 70, 102328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102328>
- Das, S.C., Das, S., Tah, J., 2022. Mangrove Ecosystems and Their Services, in: Das, S.C., Pullaiah, Ashton, E.C. (Eds.), *Mangroves: Biodiversity, Livelihoods and Conservation*. Springer Nature, Singapore, pp. 139–152. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0519-3\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-0519-3_6)
- Duarte, C.M., Losada, I.J., Hendriks, I.E., Mazarrasa, I., Marbà, N., 2013. The role of coastal plant communities for climate change mitigation and adaptation. *Nat. Clim. Change* 3, 961–968. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1970>
- Earth Resources Observation And Science (EROS) Center, 2017. Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Void Filled. <https://doi.org/10.5066/F7F76B1X>
- Emanuel, K., 2021. Response of Global Tropical Cyclone Activity to Increasing CO<sub>2</sub>: Results from Downscaling CMIP6 Models. *J. Clim.* 34, 57–70. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0367.1>
- ESA CCI-LC, 2017. ESA/CCI viewer [WWW Document]. URL <http://maps.elie.ucl.ac.be/CCI/viewer/download.php> (accessed 10.14.22).
- Ferrario, F., Beck, M.W., Storlazzi, C.D., Micheli, F., Shepard, C.C., Airoidi, L., 2014. The effectiveness of coral reefs for coastal hazard risk reduction and adaptation. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3794. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4794>
- Gerona-Daga, M.E.B., Salmo, S.G., 2022. A systematic review of mangrove restoration studies in Southeast Asia: Challenges and opportunities for the United Nation's Decade on Ecosystem Restoration. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 9.
- Guannel, G., Arkema, K., Ruggiero, P., Verutes, G., 2016. The Power of Three: Coral Reefs, Seagrasses and Mangroves Protect Coastal Regions and Increase Their Resilience. *PLOS ONE* 11, e0158094. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158094>

- Holland, G., 2008. A Revised Hurricane Pressure–Wind Model. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 136, 3432–3445. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2008MWR2395.1>
- IUCN, 2016. WCC-2016-Res-069: Defining Nature-based Solutions. Presented at the World Conservation Congress., Hawai'i.
- Jordan, P., Fröhle, P., 2022. Bridging the gap between coastal engineering and nature conservation? *J. Coast. Conserv.* 26, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-021-00848-x>
- Knutson, T., Camargo, S.J., Chan, J.C.L., Emanuel, K., Ho, C.-H., Kossin, J., Mohapatra, M., Satoh, M., Sugi, M., Walsh, K., Wu, L., 2020. Tropical Cyclones and Climate Change Assessment: Part II: Projected Response to Anthropogenic Warming. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 101, E303–E322. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0194.1>
- Lloyd, C.T., Chamberlain, H., Kerr, D., Yetman, G., Pistoiesi, L., Stevens, F.R., Gaughan, A.E., Nieves, J.J., Hornby, G., MacManus, K., Sinha, P., Bondarenko, M., Sorichetta, A., Tatem, A.J., 2019. Global spatio-temporally harmonised datasets for producing high-resolution gridded population distribution datasets. *Big Earth Data* 3, 108–139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20964471.2019.1625151>
- Mcowen, C., Weatherdon, L., Bochove, J.-W., Sullivan, E., Blyth, S., Zockler, C., Stanwell-Smith, D., Kingston, N., Martin, C., Spalding, M., Fletcher, S., 2017. A global map of saltmarshes. *Biodivers. Data J.* 5, e11764. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.5.e11764>
- Menéndez, P., Losada, I.J., Torres-Ortega, S., Narayan, S., Beck, M.W., 2020. The Global Flood Protection Benefits of Mangroves. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 4404. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61136-6>
- Narayan, S., Beck, M.W., Reguero, B.G., Losada, I.J., Wesenbeeck, B. van, Pontee, N., Sanchirico, J.N., Ingram, J.C., Lange, G.-M., Burks-Copes, K.A., 2016. The Effectiveness, Costs and Coastal Protection Benefits of Natural and Nature-Based Defences. *PLoS One* 11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154735>
- National Hurricane Center, 2022. Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale [WWW Document]. URL <https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/aboutsshws.php> (accessed 8.30.22).
- Natural Capital Project, 2022. InVEST 3.13.0.post6+ug.g6b07b42 User's Guide. Stanford University, University of Minnesota, Chinese Academy of Sciences, The Nature Conservancy, World Wildlife Fund, and Stockholm Resilience Centre. [WWW Document]. URL [http://releases.naturalcapitalproject.org/invest-userguide/latest/en/coastal\\_vulnerability.html](http://releases.naturalcapitalproject.org/invest-userguide/latest/en/coastal_vulnerability.html) (accessed 3.24.23).
- Natural Capital Project, 2019. InVEST [WWW Document]. *Nat. Cap. Proj.* URL <https://naturalcapitalproject.stanford.edu/software/invest> (accessed 4.8.22).
- O'Neill, B.C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D.P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., Knutti, R., Kriegler, E., Lamarque, J.-F., Lowe, J., Meehl, G.A., Moss, R., Riahi, K., Sanderson, B.M., 2016. The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 3461–3482. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016>
- Oppenheimer, M., Glavovic, B.C., Hinkel, J., van de Wal, R., 2019. Sea Level Rise and Implications for Low-Lying Islands, Coasts and Communities IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Pinsky, M.L., Guannel, G., Arkema, K.K., 2013. Quantifying wave attenuation to inform

- coastal habitat conservation. *Ecosphere* 4, art95. <https://doi.org/10.1890/ES13-00080.1>
- Rana, A., Zhu, Q., Detken, A., Whalley, K., Castet, C., 2022. Strengthening climate-resilient development and transformation in Viet Nam. *Clim. Change* 170, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03290-y>
- Reguero, B.G., Secaira, F., Toimil, A., Escudero, M., Díaz-Simal, P., Beck, M.W., Silva, R., Storlazzi, C., Losada, I.J., 2019. The Risk Reduction Benefits of the Mesoamerican Reef in Mexico. *Front. Earth Sci.* 7.
- Reguero, B.G., Storlazzi, C.D., Gibbs, A.E., Shope, J.B., Cole, A.D., Cumming, K.A., Beck, M.W., 2021. The value of US coral reefs for flood risk reduction. *Nat. Sustain.* 4, 688–698. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00706-6>
- Ruckelshaus, M., Reguero, B.G., Arkema, K., Compeán, R.G., Weekes, K., Bailey, A., Silver, J., 2020. Harnessing new data technologies for nature-based solutions in assessing and managing risk in coastal zones. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.* 51, 101795. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101795>
- Schuerch, M., Spencer, T., Temmerman, S., Kirwan, M.L., Wolff, C., Lincke, D., McOwen, C.J., Pickering, M.D., Reef, R., Vafeidis, A.T., Hinkel, J., Nicholls, R.J., Brown, S., 2018. Future response of global coastal wetlands to sea-level rise. *Nature* 561, 231–234. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0476-5>
- Schwalm, C.R., Glendon, S., Duffy, P.B., 2020. RCP8.5 tracks cumulative CO2 emissions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117, 19656–19657. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2007117117>
- Selig, E.R., Hole, D.G., Allison, E.H., Arkema, K.K., McKinnon, M.C., Chu, J., de Sherbinin, A., Fisher, B., Glew, L., Holland, M.B., Ingram, J.C., Rao, N.S., Russell, R.B., Srebotnjak, T., Teh, L.C.L., Troëng, S., Turner, W.R., Zvoleff, A., 2019. Mapping global human dependence on marine ecosystems. *Conserv. Lett.* 12, e12617. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12617>
- Shepard, C.C., Crain, C.M., Beck, M.W., 2011. The Protective Role of Coastal Marshes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE* 6, e27374. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027374>
- Spalding, M.D., Mclvor, A.L., Beck, M.W., Koch, E.W., Möller, I., Reed, D.J., Rubinoff, P., Spencer, T., Tolhurst, T.J., Wamsley, T.V., van Wesenbeeck, B.K., Wolanski, E., Woodroffe, C.D., 2014a. Coastal Ecosystems: A Critical Element of Risk Reduction. *Conserv. Lett.* 7, 293–301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12074>
- Spalding, M.D., Ruffo, S., Lacambra, C., Meliane, I., Hale, L.Z., Shepard, C.C., Beck, M.W., 2014b. The role of ecosystems in coastal protection: Adapting to climate change and coastal hazards. *Ocean Coast. Manag.* 90, 50–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2013.09.007>
- Sudmeier-Rieux, K., Arce-Mojica, T., Boehmer, H.J., Doswald, N., Emerton, L., Friess, D.A., Galvin, S., Hagenlocher, M., James, H., Laban, P., Lacambra, C., Lange, W., McAdoo, B.G., Moos, C., Mysiak, J., Narvaez, L., Nehren, U., Peduzzi, P., Renaud, F.G., Sandholz, S., Schreyers, L., Sebesvari, Z., Tom, T., Triyanti, A., van Eijk, P., van Staveren, M., Vicarelli, M., Walz, Y., 2021. Scientific evidence for ecosystem-based disaster risk reduction. *Nat. Sustain.* 4, 803–810. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00732-4>
- Tiggeloven, T., de Moel, H., van Zelst, V.T.M., van Wesenbeeck, B.K., Winsemius, H.C.,

Eilander, D., Ward, P.J., 2022. The benefits of coastal adaptation through conservation of foreshore vegetation. *J. Flood Risk Manag.* 15, e12790. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12790>

UNDRR, 2021. Nature-based solutions for disaster risk reduction. United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, Geneva, Switzerland.

UNEP-WCMC, Short, F.T., 2005. Global Distribution of Seagrasses. <https://doi.org/10.34892/X6R3-D211>

Wamsley, T.V., Cialone, M.A., Smith, J.M., Atkinson, J.H., Rosati, J.D., 2010. The potential of wetlands in reducing storm surge. *Ocean Eng.* 1, 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2009.07.018>

WorldPop, 2018. Global 1km Population. <https://doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/WP00647>

Worthington, T., Spalding, M., 2018. Mangrove Restoration Potential: A global map highlighting a critical opportunity (Report). <https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.39153>