1 2 3 4 5 6 7	This manuscript is a preprint and has been submitted to Geophysical Journal International. It has undergone one round of peer-review. Subsequent versions of this manuscript may have different content as a result of the review process. If accepted, the final version of this manuscript will be available via the 'Peer-reviewed Publication DOI' link on the right-hand side of this webpage. We welcome feedback, so please feel free to contact any of the authors directly or by leaving a comment.
8	
9	
10	
11	Imaging evidence of subduction, collision, and
12 12	receiver functions
13 14	
15 16 17	Amy Gilligan(1),* David G. Cornwell(1), Nicholas Rawllinson(2), Felix Tongkul(3), Simone Pilia(4), Tim Greenfield(2), Conor A. Bacon(5)
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 School of Geosciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia College of Petroleum Engineering and Geosciences, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia
25 26	5. Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York, USA
27	* Corresponding author: amy.gilligan@abdn.ac.uk
28 29	Summary
30	
31	Northern Borneo (Sabah) has a complex geological history, having
32	experienced multiple episodes of subduction, magmatism, uplift, subsidence,
33	and extension since the Mesozoic. This includes the subduction of the proto-
34	South China Sea beneath what is now the north-western margin of Sabah,
35	which terminated ~21 Ma; a postulated later phase of northward subduction of
36	the Celebes Sea plate, which terminated ~9 Ma; extension in central Sabah
37	~9-10Ma; rapid emplacement and exhumation of a granite intrusion ~7Ma,

38 which forms Mt Kinabalu today, and the development of a fold and thrust belt 39 offshore during the last 5 Myr. While these events have all left an imprint in 40 the rock record at the surface, it has not been possible, until recently, to 41 investigate deeper lithospheric processes that have shaped Sabah. However, 42 the installation of 46 broadband seismometers with a ~40 km station spacing 43 as part of the northern Borneo Orogeny Seismic Survey (nBOSS) between 44 2018 and 2020 means that for the first time it is now possible to constrain the 45 architecture of the crust and uppermost mantle beneath Sabah. Here we 46 present the results of receiver function analysis using two years of passive 47 seismic data recorded by the nBOSS network, and an additional 24 Malaysian 48 Meteorological Service broadband seismometers also located in Sabah. We 49 calculate P-wave receiver functions and use these in a joint inversion with 50 surface wave data to obtain shear velocity models of crustal structure. We find 51 that the crustal thickness in northern Borneo varies between 24 and 60 km. 52 The thickest crust occurs beneath the Crocker Range, while the thinnest crust 53 is found in central Sabah, potentially recording Miocene extension. The crust 54 beneath the 4095m high Mt Kinabalu is also comparatively thin. Distinct, low-55 velocity, dipping anomalies identified in our shear wave velocity models 56 provide clear evidence for underthrusting of Dangerous Grounds continental 57 crust following subduction and collision.

58

59 Keywords

60

Asia; Crustal Structure; Crustal Imaging; Subduction Zone Processes; Joint
Inversion

64 Introduction

66	Subduction is fundamental to the growth of continents (e.g. Foley et al.,
67	2002), driving plate motion (Forsyth and Uyeda, 1975), and long-term climate
68	regulation (e.g. Johnston et al., 2011). Eventually subduction will come to an
69	end (e.g., via continent-continent collision), and this may result in magmatism,
70	exhumation, rapid uplift, and subsidence (e.g. Zandt et al., 2004, Levander et
71	al., 2011, Li et al., 2016). The processes occurring in these post-subduction
72	settings remain, at present, poorly understood. Given that subduction has
73	been happening on Earth for at least 1.8 Ga (Weller and St Onge, 2017),
74	explaining post-subduction processes is vital, not just for our understanding of
75	present-day tectonics, but also for interpreting the deep geological record.
76	
77	Northern Borneo is an ideal location for studying post-subduction processes.
78	It is thought to be the site of two subduction systems that have terminated
79	since the start of the Neogene: the subduction of the proto-South China Sea
80	(pSCS) until ~21 Ma (Lai et al., 2021, Hall 2013, Morley and Back, 2008,
81	Tongkul 1994, Tongkul 1991) along the present-day NW coast of Sabah, and
82	the subduction of the Celebes Sea along the present-day SE coast of Sabah,
83	which terminated ~9 Ma (Lai et al., 2021). In this study we use passive
84	seismic data recorded by a network of broadband seismometers deployed
85	across the Malaysian state of Sabah, situated on the northern end of the
86	island of Borneo, between 2018-2020 (Figure 1) to image the crust and
87	mantle lithosphere to both improve our understanding of the tectonic setting of

northern Borneo and provide new insight into subduction termination and
post-subduction processes.

90

91 Geology and tectonic setting of Sabah

92

93 The diverse surface geology of Sabah is testament to the rich range of 94 tectonic processes that have affected the northern part of Borneo since the 95 Mesozoic. The oldest dated rocks are those of the Segama Valley Felsic 96 Intrusions (250 and 241 Ma) (Burton-Johnson et al., 2020) in eastern Sabah, 97 which are intruded into ophitic rocks, with some subsequent, mineralogically 98 distinct, felsic intrusions in the same area being dated at ~178 Ma. It has been 99 proposed (Burton-Johnson et al. 2020, Balaguru and Nichols, 2004) that 100 these formed in an extensional basin in a suprasubduction setting, which was 101 then uplifted and eroded in the latest Cretaceous or earliest Paleocene, 102 around 66 Ma (Balaguru and Nichols, 2004). 103 104 By the Paleocene (66-56Ma) subduction of the proto-South China Sea towards the south-east beneath what is now the western edge of Sabah had 105 106 begun (e.g., Hutchison et al., 2000, Rangin et al., 1999). Cumulate gabbros, 107 part of the Sabah Ophiolite, in the Tongod-Telupid area have been dated to 108 42.65 ± 0.51 Ma (Lai et al., 2021), and from their geochemical signature are 109 thought to have formed in a back-arc basin. Similarly, the geochemistry of the 110 Sandakan andesitic tuff $(33.9 \pm 7.7 \text{ Ma}, \text{Bergman et al.}, 2000)$ could also suggest a back-arc basin setting (Lai et al., 2021, Hutchison et al., 2000). In 111 112 the fore-arc, thick (~9000 m) sedimentary successions of deep marine

sandstones, shales and minor conglomerates, are present in the NE-SW

trending Crocker Basin (Balaguru and Nichols, 2004).

115

116 Opening of the South China Sea (~33-32 Ma, (Franke, 2013, Barckhausen et al., 2014, Li et al., 2014)), driven by subduction of the proto-South China Sea, 117 118 pushed continental slivers, including the Dangerous Grounds and Reed Bank 119 blocks, towards Borneo (e.g., Tongkul 1991, Tongkul 1994, Hutchison et al., 120 2000, Hall 2013, Rangin et al., 1999). Subduction of the proto-South China 121 Sea continued in the earliest part of the Miocene (24-21 Ma, Lai et al., 2021 122 and references therein), however, at around 21 Ma the Dangerous Grounds 123 block collided with and then underthrust northern Borneo (Lai et al., 2021, Hall 124 2013, Morley and Back, 2008, Tongkul 1994, Tongkul 1991), which ultimately 125 caused subduction to cease. This collision led to uplift above sea level 126 (Burton-Johnson et al, 2020, Hall 2013, Morley and Back, 2008). However, by 127 the end of the Early Miocene, most of Sabah was at or below sea level once more, with low hills where the Crocker Range is today (Hall 2013, Cottam et 128 129 al, 2013).

130

Subduction of the Celebes Sea beneath eastern Sabah began at a similar
time to the termination of subduction of the proto-South China Sea and may
have been a result of changes in regional stresses due to the SabahDangerous Grounds collision (Lai et al., 2021, Linang et al., 2022). In the Dent
Peninsular, rocks that are the product of arc magmatism have been dated to
18.8-17.8 Ma and in the Semporna Peninsula to 18.2-14.4 Ma (Macpherson
et al., 2010). It is, however, important to note that the idea that there was

northwards subduction of the Celebes Sea is contested (Burton-Johnson and
Cullen, 2023). A slab from this subduction event has yet to be imaged in the
mantle.

141

142 Roll-back of the Celebes Sea subduction from 19 Ma led to extension in the 143 Sulu Sea and in Sabah (Hall, 2013). Thick (~6 km) successions of 144 carbonates, shallow marine, and fluvio-deltaic sediments, including coals, 145 were deposited in a basin in Central Sabah (Tongkul and Chang, 2003, 146 Balaguru and Nichols 2004, Burton-Johnson et al., 2021). The coastal/shelf 147 environments for all these sediments, including coal that was buried to 3 km 148 depth (Baluguru and Nichols, 2004), means that subsidence must have 149 continued over a prolonged period. Tsikouras et al., (2021) argue that the 150 major increase in extension suggested by Huang (1991) between 9 and 11 151 Ma led to rifting in Ranau area, and suggest that sea-floor spreading took 152 place in the Telupid area. This is disputed by Cullen and Burton-Johnson 153 (2021) who argue that while extension took place, the Sulu Sea rift did not 154 extend into Sabah. In a recent review Lai et al., (2021) suggest that Celebes 155 Sea subduction beneath Borneo terminated ~9 Ma.

156

The Kinabalu pluton, which forms the 4095 m high Mt Kinabalu, was intruded into peridotites and the Crocker formation between 7.85 and 7.22 Ma, at a depth of 3-8 km (Cottam et al., 2013). Between 6.6 and 5.8 Ma it was rapidly cooled and exhumed with rates of up to 7 mm/yr (Cottam et al., 2013). The emplacement and exhumation of the Kinabalu pluton likely occurred in an extensional setting (Hall et al., 2013, Burton-Johnson et al., 2019).

164	Sabah only became fully emergent above sea level by the end of the Miocene
165	to early Pliocene (~5 Ma), and uplift has occurred since (Roberts et al., 2018,
166	Hall 2013, Morley and Black 2008). This includes uplift of the circular basins,
167	such as the Maliau Basin, in central Sabah (Tongkul and Chang, 2003).
168	During the Pliocene large-scale gravitational collapse occurred, seen in mass
169	transport slumps, megaslides and extensional faults (Cottam et al., 2013), and
170	as result of this, a fold and thrust belt has developed offshore of western
171	Sabah (e.g., Spain et al., 2013, Franke et al., 2008, King et al., 2010). Around
172	5 Ma a change in the composition of volcanic rocks in eastern Sabah also
173	occurs from calc-alkaline to a similar composition to ocean island basalts
174	(OIB), (Macpherson et al., 2010). Volcanism in eastern Sabah has continued
175	into the Holocene, potentially as recently as 24-27 ka based on radiocarbon
176	dating of carbonised material (Kirk, 1968; Bellwood, 1988; cited in Tjia et al.,
177	1992), although Takashima et al., (2004) date the youngest volcanics in their
178	study using thermo-luminescence to 90 ka.

179

180 **Previous geophysical work**

181

182 Regional-scale tomographic studies of South-East Asia have observed

anomalously high seismic velocities in the upper mantle beneath Sabah at

depths of ~100-300 km (e.g., Amaru, 2007, Tang and Zheng, 2013, Hall and

185 Spakman, 2015, Zenonos et al., 2019, Wehner et al., 2022). These high

186 velocities are attributed to the presence of slab remnants in the upper mantle.

187 While the earlier body-wave studies (e.g., Amaru, 2007, Hall and Spakman

2015, Zenonos et al., 2019) had limited resolution beneath Sabah, thus
bringing the existence of higher velocities into question, the full-waveform
model of Wehner et al., (2022), SASSY21, uses data from the same dense
seismic network in Borneo used in this study, and so has improved resolution
in this region. Other results from this dense seismic network – the nBOSS
network – are described below.

194

195 In a Sabah-focused P- and S-wave tomographic study, also using nBOSS 196 data, Pilia et al., (2023a), observe two distinct fast velocity anomalies in the 197 upper mantle beneath Sabah. One, an elongate anomaly at depths >250 km 198 underlying most of the Crocker Range, is attributed to the proto-South China 199 Sea Slab, while the other, a relatively narrow (<100 km) anomaly between 200 ~150 and 300 km depth in central Sabah, is interpreted to be a lithospheric 201 drip from the volcanic arc root beneath the Semporna Peninsular. Pilia et al., 202 (2023b) perform thermo-mechanical modelling and suggest that the 203 downwelling drip can cause extension and crustal thinning, resulting in 204 melting and exhumation of sub continental material. As such, the 'Semporna' 205 drip' may play an important role in the emplacement of the Kinabalu pluton, as 206 well as explaining subsidence and uplift, and the lavas with an OIB 207 composition in eastern Sabah. 208 Bacon et al., (2022) investigate anisotropy beneath Sabah using XKS splitting 209 210 measurements extracted from nBOSS teleseismic data. Their results demonstrate that fossil anisotropy in the lithosphere is the main control on 211

anisotropic properties in this post-subduction setting. They observe fast

directions parallel to the strike of the Crocker range in western Sabah, likely
imparted when the Dangerous Grounds block collided with Sabah. In the east
of Sabah, fast directions are sub-parallel to the direction of spreading in the
Sulu Sea, suggesting that the anisotropic fabric may have developed as a
result of extension, while the null results they observe in the southeast may
arise due to the lithospheric drip observed by Pilia et al., (2023a).

219

Roberts et al., (2018) suggest that removal of the lithosphere and

replacement by hot asthenospheric material could explain the relatively rapid

222 uplift and erosion rates observed in Sabah (~0.1-0.3 mm/yr, Morely and Back,

223 2008). They base their estimates of thin lithosphere on regionally extensive

slow shear wave velocities at 100-200 km depth in the global tomographic

model of Schaeffer and Lebedev (2014). However, in recent 2-plane-wave

tomography of Sabah from Greenfield et al., (2022), average lithosphere

thickness beneath Sabah is found to be ~100 km, with the lithosphere only

being thin (<50km) beneath the Semporna Peninsula, consistent with the work

of Pilia et al., (2023b) that suggests that the lithosphere here has dripped off.

230

231 Until recently, estimates of crustal thickness in Sabah had been limited. Holt

232 (1998) modelled gravity data from Sabah and suggested that the whole of

233 Sabah was underlain by crust >30 km thick, that crustal thicknesses beneath

the Crocker Range were ~50 km, and 39 km beneath central Sabah.

Estimates of 27±3 km and 33±2 km beneath seismometers KKM and LDM

near Kota Kinabalu and Lahad Datu respectively have been made by Lipke

237 (2008) from H-κ stacking of receiver functions. A regional crustal thickness

238 map derived from surface wave data made by Tang and Zheng (2013) 239 estimates crustal thickness beneath Sabah to be 27.5-32.5 km. The deployment of the nBOSS seismic network between 2018-2020 has allowed 240 241 for more detailed studies of crustal thickness to be conducted. Greenfield et 242 al. (2022) use the 4.1 km/s velocity contour in their shear wave velocity model 243 as a proxy for the Moho and suggest that crustal thicknesses vary from 25-55 244 km, with the thickest crust beneath the Crocker Range and the Dent 245 Peninsula, and the thinnest crust in north east Sabah. Linang et al. (2022) use 246 Virtual Deep Seismic Sounding (VDSS) to estimate crustal thickness in the 247 range 21 - 46 km, with a similar pattern of thicker and thinner crust. 248 249 Until now, due to a lack of seismic instrumentation in the region, it has not 250 been possible to derive a detailed model of the seismic velocity structure of 251 Sabah's crust. Consequently, debates have continued to emerge (e.g., 252 Milsom et al. 2001, Cullen and Burton-Johnson, 2021) about the nature of the 253 crust beneath this part of Borneo and the processes that have shaped it. 254 255 In this study, we calculate radial P-wave receiver functions at 70 seismic 256 stations, including the recent nBOSS deployment across Sabah, and jointly 257 invert these with surface wave data to develop the first detailed shear velocity 258 model of the crust in Sabah, allowing us to map the Moho geometry beneath. 259 These results provide important constraints on processes that have shaped 260 the region. 261

262 Data and Methods

264 Broadband teleseismic data in this study come from two seismic networks 265 deployed in Sabah (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1). The temporary nBOSS 266 network of 46 seismometers, installed mostly on a ~40x40 km grid, with a 267 mean interstation distance of 37.5km (Bacon, 2021), between March 2018 268 and January 2020, consisted of 18 Güralp 3ESPD instruments and 28 Güralp 269 6TD instruments (Rawlinson, 2018, Pilia et al., 2019). We also used data from 270 the Malaysian Metrological Service permanent seismic network. In Sabah, this 271 consists of 24 permanently installed Streckeisen STS2/2.5 and SS1-Ranger 272 seismometers, predominantly located in regions of elevated seismicity around 273 Mt Kinabalu and Darvel Bay.

274

Calculation of radial P receiver functions from 3-component seismograms of
teleseismic (30-90° epicentral distance) earthquakes allows us to investigate
the structure of the crust, including determining Moho depth and identifying
layering within the crust.

279

280 We performed the initial quality control of the seismograms in two stages.

First, a total of 27,660 3-component seismograms from March 2018-

September 2018 for earthquakes M_w >5 that met the distance criteria were visually inspected. Where the P-wave signal-to-noise ratio was high (e.g., a P arrival could clearly be identified) on all 3 components, these seismograms were classified as 'good' and were taken forward for further analysis. All other seismograms were rejected and classified as 'bad'. Using this classified data set we developed a deep learning algorithm to determine the probability of a 3-component seismogram being suitable for further analysis. The annotated 289 (good or bad) seismograms were converted into spectrograms and 80% of the 290 data were used to train an image classification convolutional neural network, ResNet50, pretrained on ImageNet (He et al., 2016). The data classification 291 292 algorithm was then tested using the remaining 20 per cent of the data and had 293 a 92.7 per cent accuracy. A total of 57,858 3-component seismograms from 294 September 2018-January 2020 were then used with the classification 295 algorithm, and only those classified with a greater than 50 per cent probability 296 of being 'good' were visually inspected. This significantly reduced the time 297 needed for this stage of data quality control, while resulting in a similar 298 proportion of events being taken forward for further analysis. 299 300 After initial quality control, 14,447 seismograms were used to calculate 301 receiver functions using the time-domain iterative deconvolution method of 302 Ligorría and Ammon (1999), with a gaussian width of 1.6, corresponding to a 303 frequency of 0.9Hz. Further quality control steps included removal of receiver 304 functions with a poor fit (<70 per cent), and those which appeared noisy, 305 oscillatory or anomalous to other receiver functions from a similar distance 306 and backazimuth on visual inspection. This left a remaining dataset of 3338 307 receiver functions. Eight stations, one from the nBOSS network, and seven 308 from the Malaysian Metrological Service permanent seismic network, had no 309 usable receiver functions. For stations with usable receiver functions, the 310 number of receiver functions at individual seismometers ranges from 9 at 311 SPM to 183 at SBA8 (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1). This is due to variations in the amount of data available from individual stations 312

and the noise levels at the installation sites.

315 Receiver functions at an individual station are stacked together to reduce 316 noise, and these stacks are used in an inversion for crustal velocity structure. 317 While useful, the interpretation of receiver functions on their own is inherently 318 non-unique (Ammon et al., 1990). Therefore, to ensure that shear velocities 319 we obtain from inversions of receiver functions are realistic for this region, we 320 jointly invert the receiver functions with fundamental mode Rayleigh wave 321 group velocity dispersion curves extracted from the GDM52 global compilation 322 (Ekström, 2011) for each station location for a period range 25–250 s. This model is relatively coarse (1° x 1°), does not see much variation in group 323 324 velocities beneath Sabah (Supplementary Figure 2), and with a minimum 325 period of 25s, is most sensitive to depths of 25km and below, corresponding 326 to the mid to lower crust and upper mantle in this area. We are primarily 327 concerned in this study that the inversions result in velocity models that fit the 328 receiver function data.

329

330 The radial P receiver function stacks, together with the dispersion curves, for 331 each station were inverted for shear velocity structure using joint96 (Herrmann, 2013), an iterative linearised least squares inversion method. 332 333 Several starting models were tested including constant values of 4.48 km/s, 334 (mantle velocity in the ak135 model - see Kennett et al., 1995), 4.28 km/s, and 335 3.70 km/s and a Vp/Vs value of 1.74 down to 100 km depth, parameterised 336 into 2 km thick layers, overlying ak135. While there are some small variations 337 in the absolute shear velocities due to differences in the starting models, they are sufficiently small to not alter the interpretation of the structure. We test 338

different relative weights (p value in joint96) of surface waves to receiver
function data in the inversion: 0.5, 0.1, 0.05 and 0.01. Models with p=0.5 are
smoother than those with a lower p value, reflecting the greater contribution of
surface wave data. Overall, the models show little variation in structure with p
value, indicating that the recovered features are robust (Supplementary
Figure 3).

In order to test the stability of the results, the receiver functions at each station

were divided into 3 time periods (March 2018-Sept 2018, Sept 2018-March

347 2019, March 2019-Jan 2020) and were stacked and inverted separately.

348 There was very little difference between the resulting models and those

obtained from the complete dataset (Supplementary Figure 4). This can be

350 considered a form of bootstrapping, and gives us further confidence that the

351 results are robust

352 **Results**

353

354 Individual receiver functions

355 Plotting individual receiver functions with respect to backazimuth (e.g.

356 Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 5), shows that for some

357 stations there is a degree of variability for events at different backazimuths.

358 There are a number of potential causes of this, including short-length scale

359 variation in crustal structure, anisotropy in the crust, and dipping layers in the

360 crust. Unfortunately the backazimuthal range of events in this study is limited,

361 with events being mainly to the north east or south east of the seismometers,

362 meaning it is not possible to model the cause of the backazimuthal variation

363 effectively. Because of this limitation, we consider all receiver functions at a364 station in a signal stack.

365

366 At some stations we observe receiver functions that do not fit with the typical 367 idea of a receiver function, which in some automated QC approaches would 368 have been rejected. One of the most extreme examples of this are the 369 receiver functions computed for events recorded by the station SBG3 370 (Supplementary Figure 5). Here, the amplitude of the first arrival is relatively 371 low, merging with a large amplitude positive arrival at ~2. There is a large amplitude negative arrival at ~4s, and a large amplitude positive arrival at ~5s. 372 373 At this station there are 52 individual receiver functions that have this pattern 374 (i.e all those with north easterly or south easterly backazimuths). Further, the 375 Malaysian Metrological Service seismometer PTM, located ~20km from 376 SBG3, also shows similar pattern of positive and negative arrivals. PTM is a 377 different type of seismometer, deployed in a different way to SBG3. The consistency between multiple events, and similarities between receiver 378 379 functions at different, but relatively close, locations suggests that, while these 380 receiver functions are not necessarily typical, they do reflect real crustal 381 structure.

382

383 Stacked receiver functions

384

385 Stacked receiver functions at each station are plotted on cross-sections

across Sabah (Figure 2). Cross-section A (Figure 2 (a)) cuts through the

highest topography in Sabah in the region around Mt Kinabalu. Heading SE

388 from the NW coast there is a positive arrival that decreases from ~4.5 s to ~3 389 s delay time at the stations immediately beneath the highest topography. 390 Moving further SE, the delay time of this prominent positive arrival then 391 increases to ~7 s at station SBE4 (dark grey dashed line). At stations to the 392 SE of Mt Kinabalu, this positive arrival is preceded by a large amplitude 393 negative arrival that similarly shows an increase in arrival time from ~3 s at 394 SBF2 to ~5 s at SBE4 (light grey dashed line). There is a clear change in the 395 character of the receiver functions from ~200 km along the cross section, with 396 the portion of cross-section A between SBD5 and SBD7 having a large 397 amplitude positive arrival at ~6-6.5 s (dark grey dashed line). 398

399 In cross-section B (Figure 2 (b)), the stations in the Crocker Range have a 400 relatively consistent large amplitude positive arrival (dark grey dashed line) at 401 ~4 s, while at SBB3 and SBD4, immediately to the SE, the largest amplitude 402 positive arrival appears to be at ~6.5 s. Between these stations and those in 403 the vicinity of the Maliau Basin there is a strong positive arrival at ~3.5 s, while 404 at the stations near to the Maliau Basin there is an arrival at ~5 s. At the 405 south-east end of the cross-section, in the Semporna Peninsula, the largest 406 positive arrivals, after the direct P arrival, is again at a shorter delay time of 407 around 4 s.

408

The peak at ~0 s should correspond to the direct P arrival; however if there are low-velocity sediments in the uppermost crust the P-to-S conversion from the base of these may interfere with the direct P resulting in the first positive arrival being shifted away from 0 s. This is observed at several sites, e.g., SBD6 (Figure 2 (a)) and MALB (Figure 2 (b)) and is anticipated given the
thick sedimentary basins (>6 km sediments, Hall, 2013) in Sabah.

415

416 *Shear velocity structure*

417

418 Using the 1-D shear wave velocity model beneath each station, 2D, 419 composite velocity cross sections have been constructed using bicubic 420 interpolation for several lines across Sabah. The models shown in Figure 3 421 are derived from the inversions that used p=0.1 and the 3.7 km/s starting model; however, the features remain consistent with the various weightings 422 423 and starting models tested. Given the high weight of the receiver functions in 424 the models shown, the descriptions and interpretations of the models are 425 primarily concerned with changes in velocity, and relative velocities, rather 426 than absolute velocities. The orientations of cross-sections A and B are 427 chosen to be approximately perpendicular to the strike of the Crocker range, while cross-sections C, D, and E are chosen to help further elucidate the 3D 428 429 crustal structure.

In cross-section A (Figure 3(a)), low velocity sedimentary basins, labelled as 1 430 431 in the cross-section, are illuminated offshore to the NW (SBG1) and in the 432 south-eastern half of the cross-section (SE of SBE4), confirming the 433 observation of a broadened, delayed P arrival in the receiver function stacks. 434 Low velocities extend to depths of ~10 km. The most striking feature of this 435 cross-section is a dipping high velocity layer, labelled 2, extending from SBE3 to SBE5 from ~5 km to 50 km depth, with a dip in the cross section to the SE, 436 437 which overlies a low velocity layer, labelled 3, with a similar dip. This fits the

pattern of arrivals seen in the receiver function cross-sections: a seemingly
dipping transition from a high velocity to a low velocity layer resulting in a
negative arrival, followed by a low to high velocity discontinuity with increasing
depth.

442 In cross-section B (Figure 3(b)) low velocities, labelled 4, are also observed to 443 ~10 km depth in the vicinity of known sedimentary basins between SBC4 and 444 SBA7. The transition to mantle velocities (~ >4.2 km/s in this model) occurs at 445 ~30-35 km depth in the north-western part of the cross section but deepens to 446 greater than 40 km beneath SBC4. It shallows to ~25 km beneath SBB4 and 447 SBC5, before deepening to ~35 km again beneath the Maliau Basin. This agrees with the pattern of positive arrivals observed in the stacked receiver 448 449 functions, with those for stations between the Crocker Range and the Maliau 450 Basin experiencing the shortest delay times. At the southeast end of cross-451 section B, the crust beneath SBA8 and SBA9 has lower velocities (~3.9 km/s 452 in this model) at the gradient interpreted to be the Moho, labelled 5, than 453 beneath stations elsewhere in the section.

454

455 The differences in crustal structure from south to north through western 456 Sabah is highlighted in cross-section C (Figure 3(c)). The crustal structure at 457 the north-east end of this section, to the north of Mt Kinabalu, has a different 458 character to that in the central portion of the section (between SBD2 and 459 SBE3). In the north east, very high velocities (>4.2 km/s in this model), 460 labelled 6, are observed at ~20 km, while in the central portion they are 461 generally low (<3.4 km/s in this model) at this depth in a somewhat 462 discontinuous layer, labelled 7, likely the dipping low velocity layer observed

463 in cross-section A. Cross-section D (Figure 3(d)) cuts to the east of the 464 Crocker Range, through the Maliau Basin. In the south west of this section there is a northeasterly dipping transition from crust to mantle velocities (~4.2 465 466 km/s in this model) from 25 to 45 km depth between SBA3 and the Maliau 467 Basin. At SBC5 it decreases sharply to a depth of 25 km, the depth it is also 468 observed to be at between SBE4 and SBF4. Beneath SBD4 relatively high velocities are observed in the upper crust, labelled 8, and low velocities are 469 470 observed between 25 and 45km depth. This location corresponds with where 471 ophitic material is found on the surface around Telupid (e.g. Hall 2013). In the south of cross-section E (Figure 3(e)), which cuts through the Semporna and 472 473 Dent Peninsulas, the transition between crustal and mantle velocities at ~35 474 km depth is relatively gradual. This contrasts with further north, where this 475 transition is sharper, and upper mantle velocities are faster.

476

477 Moho depth

478

479 The depth of the Moho beneath each station is picked from its corresponding 480 1-D shear velocity model at the depth that corresponds to the base of the 481 steepest positive velocity gradient where shear velocity exceeds 4 km/s 482 (Figure 4). The depth of the Moho is found to vary from 24 km at SBC6 and SBF4 to 60 km at MTM, although most other measurements are <48 km. The 483 deepest Moho is found in a SW-NE trending band on the eastern edge of the 484 485 Crocker Range, where the Moho depth exceeds 40 km. It is also relatively deep (40-44 km) beneath the Maliau Basin and beneath other circular basins 486 487 to the north of the Maliau Basin, and to the west of the Segama ophiolite. The 488 shallowest Moho depth (24-26 km) is found in a band between the Crocker

489 Range and the circular basins, with changes in Moho depth of ~15-25 km

490 occurring over short lateral distances (~20 km).

491

492 At the stations marked with white hexagons in Figure 4, it was not clear where

the Moho should be picked. For instance, at a subset of stations (e.g., SBD6,

494 SBD7, and SBC8) there is a very gradual increase in velocities over a wide

495 (~40 km) depth range (Supplementary Figure 6), while at other stations (e.g.,

496 SBD5, SBE4, and SBG3) the models have two steep velocity gradients, both

497 of which could represent plausible Moho locations given those found

498 elsewhere in Sabah, for example at 28km and 58km for SBD5.

499

500 Discussion

501

502 Limitations of the models

503

504 The 1D velocity models obtained in the study have a number of limitations, 505 which should be acknowledged in order to avoid over interpretation of the 506 results. The receiver functions used in the inversions are single stacks for 507 each station. This is done to reduce noise and source effects, however it may 508 mask real complexity in crustal structure such as short-length scale lateral 509 variations, anisotropy and dipping layers, which we have not be able to model 510 due to the limitations imposed by the backazimuthal range of the events. 511 Therefore it is likely that crustal structure beneath Sabah is more complex 512 than shown in the models here.

514	In the inversions we use the relatively coarse, global model, GDM52
515	(Ekstrom, 2011), to help ensure the shear velocities are reasonable for the
516	regional context. While the fits to the dispersion curves are reasonably good
517	(Supplementary Figure 3), the focus of this study is the constraints provided
518	by the radial P wave receiver functions, and so we prioritise the fit to the
519	receiver functions for the models that we interpret. As the models are strongly
520	weighted to the receiver functions, this means that the best constrained
521	features in the crustal structure will be the velocity discontinuities, such as the
522	Moho, rather than absolute velocities.
523	
524	Processes affecting crustal thickness
525	
526	It is important to account for the effect of the interference between
527	conversions and multiples (e.g., Gilligan et al., 2014): a consequence is that
528	the largest signal on a receiver function should not necessarily be interpreted
529	as being due to the velocity increase at the Moho. However, there is a
530	consistent pattern between the positive arrivals observed in the stacked
531	receiver functions and the velocity changes at the Moho observed in the
532	models from joint inversion, suggesting that the receiver functions can, in this
533	instance, provide an interpretable picture for trends in Moho depth.
534	
535	The variations in crustal thickness across Sabah observed in this study are in
536	general agreement with the estimates made by recent studies using 2-plane-
537	wave tomography (Greenfield et al., 2022) and virtual deep seismic sounding
538	(Linang et al., 2022): central Sabah appears to have significantly thinner crust

539 than that beneath the Crocker Range and the Circular Basins (Supplementary 540 Figure 7). One notable difference with the Greenfield et al., (2022) Moho 541 estimate is beneath the Semporna Peninsular they observe thick crust 542 (>55km), while in this study we observe crustal thickness of ~34km. This 543 difference is likely to arise due to Greenfield et al., (2022) using the 4.1km/s 544 shear velocity contour as a proxy for Moho depth, and this, as discussed 545 below, may not be an appropriate velocity proxy for the lower crust/upper 546 mantle beneath the Semporna Peninsular.

547

548 The pattern of thicker and thinner crust broadly agrees with the estimates of 549 Holt (1998) using gravity data; however, between the Crocker Range and 550 thickened crust beneath the circular basins we observe a significantly thinner 551 crust (e.g., 25 and 24 km at SBC5 and SBC6 respectively) than the 32 km 552 suggested by Holt (1998). Modelling gravity data is notoriously non-unique, 553 and Holt (1998) uses a very simple model for crustal densities. Given the lack 554 of other constraints on the properties of the crust at the time this may have 555 been appropriate; however, the lateral and vertical heterogeneity of the crust 556 demonstrated in this study indicates a more complex model is required, which 557 may alter the estimates of crustal thickness from the gravity data.

558

It should be noted that the crust in this study is thicker throughout much of
Sabah than was shown in interpretative cross-section of Hall (2013), which
bases Moho depth off the results of Holt (1998), modified for denser material.
Hall (2013)'s cross-section shows a maximum Moho depth of 40 km beneath
the Crocker Range, and around 20 km beneath both the circular basins and

564 the Dent and Semporna peninsulas. Further, our estimates of crustal 565 thickness shows a significantly different pattern and depths to the estimates made by Tang and Zheng (2013). They report crustal thicknesses of ~27.5 to 566 567 32.5 km, increasing southward across Sabah, based on the depth of the 4 568 km/s velocity contour in their shear velocity model. This model encompasses 569 the whole of the South China Sea and surrounding region and, as such, has 570 more limited resolution in Sabah compared to this study and others 571 (Greenfield et al., 2022, Linang et al., 2022) that have used the data from the 572 nBOSS network. The thicker crust we observe, compared to earlier estimates, 573 may mean that there is a larger contribution from regional tectonic shortening 574 to the regional uplift observed by Roberts et al., (2018).

575

576 The relatively thick crust (>40 km) beneath the Crocker Range, particularly on the eastern side, is likely to have been thickened during the Sabah Orogeny 577 578 (~23 Ma), when the Dangerous Grounds block collided with the western edge 579 of northern Borneo at the final stage of the subduction of the proto-South 580 China Sea (e.g., Hutchison et al., 2000, Hall 2013, Rangin et al., 1999). The 581 velocity discontinuity picked as the Moho for many stations in the Crocker Range is the base of the SE dipping slow velocities seen in cross-section A, 582 583 which we interpret as the base of the underthrust Dangerous Grounds crust. 584 585 Extension, related to the roll-back of the Celebes Sea slab (e.g., Hall, 2013), 586 could have thinned the crust in central Sabah to 20-25 km. Indeed, Tsikouras 587 et al. (2021) argue that the basalts they date to 9-10 Ma in the Telupid area,

are rift-related, thus implying significant extension and crustal thinning,

although this is disputed by Cullen and Burton-Johnson (2021). A double

discontinuity is observed in our study in the 1-D velocity models at some 590 591 stations (e.g., SBE4), and could indicate that it may not necessarily be 592 appropriate to simply interpret the velocity gradient at ~20-25 km as a single 593 Moho. If the crust is 20-25 km thick, the question as to the extent to which this 594 crust may have been thinned remains, i.e., what was the pre-extensional 595 crustal thickness? Greenfield et al. (2022) assume that it was 40-50 km, as is 596 observed beneath the Crocker Range and circular basins, and thus calculate 597 a stretching factor of 1.3-2. However, if this area was not significantly 598 thickened during the Sabah Orogeny, which is plausible given the lack of 599 underthrust Dangerous Grounds material observed in this study, then pre-600 extensional thickness may have been less to begin with.

601

602 Related to the question of pre-extensional thickness is whether the ~45 km 603 thick crust observed beneath the Maliau Basin and other circular basins is a 604 result of thickening during the Sabah orogeny (~23 Ma). After thickening it 605 may have been separated from other thickened crust beneath the Crocker 606 Range as a result of extension (e.g., in a crustal scale boudinage process as 607 suggested by Linang et al. (2022)). Alternatively, the crust may have been 608 thickened at a later point in time. Tongkul and Chang (2003) suggest that 609 eastern Sabah experienced N-S compression in the mid Middle Miocene (~13 610 Ma), which led to the segmentation of the large basin in eastern Sabah that 611 had been active in the Early Miocene, and NW-SE compression in the late 612 Upper Miocene (~7-5 Ma), which enhanced the circular shape of the basins, 613 with a period of sediment deposition in between these two compressional 614 events. It may be that during these compressional episodes, potentially

associated with Celebes Sea subduction, some crustal thickening occurredbeneath the circular basins.

617

618 While areas of the highest topography may be anticipated to have some of the 619 thickest crust, intriguingly beneath the stations in the vicinity of the 4095m high Mt Kinabalu the crust is only 30-35km thick. The Kinabalu Granite was 620 621 emplaced between 7.2-7.8Ma (Cottam et al., 2013), well after the termination 622 proto-South China Sea subduction, thus it would be expected that the crust in 623 this region would have been thickened as a result of this collision. The 624 thermomechanical modelling of Pilia et al., (2023b) shows that as a result of a 625 downwelling drip, e.g the Semporna drip, a region of initially thick crust can be 626 thinned. This thinning could facilitate melting of the lower crust, thus it may be 627 that both the presence of the Kinabalu pluton and the thinner-than-anticipated 628 crust we observe can both be explained by part of the lithosphere having 629 dripped off beneath the Semporna peninsula.

630

631 Crustal structure

632

Given the diversity of the surface geology in Sabah, it is unsurprising that the
crust shows considerable variation. The key elements of our interpretation are
shown in Figure 5.

636

637 We interpret the low velocity (<3.4 km/s in our model) layer seen dipping to

the south east from the west coast of Sabah to the eastern edge of the

639 Crocker Range in Cross-section A (Figure 3(a)) as Dangerous Grounds

640 material that has been underthrust beneath Sabah. Underthrusting of 641 attenuated Dangerous Grounds crust has been proposed as the mechanism by which subduction of the proto-SCS stopped (e.g., Hall, 2013, Morley and 642 643 Back, 2008, Hutchison, 2000), but this is arguably the first time it has been 644 imaged. Cross-section B (Figure 3(b)), which cuts to the south of Cross-645 section A, also has a low velocity layer at depths of 20-25 km. In this instance 646 this layer does not seem to dip. We consider this to also be underthrust 647 Dangerous Grounds crust, although this suggests potential along strike 648 variation in the nature of the collision between Sabah and the Dangerous 649 Grounds. Rangin et al. (1999), considering the whole of the proto-SCS, argue 650 that the proto-SCS basin was narrower off the coast of Borneo than the Sulu 651 Sea, and it may be that the differences we observe in underthrust Dangerous 652 Grounds crust are a manifestation of this. Furthermore, Greenfield et al., 653 (2022) note that the lithosphere is thinner in the southwest of Sabah, again 654 suggesting that different processes may have influenced this area compared 655 to those further north.

656

Overlying the low-velocity layer in Cross-section A is a high velocity layer, 657 658 also dipping to the south east, with velocities exceeding 4 km/s in our model 659 in what is interpreted as the upper- to mid-crust. The velocities we observe 660 are consistent with this being mafic to ultramafic material, although, as noted 661 above, the velocities in our models should primarily be interpreted relatively 662 due to the high weight of receiver function observations in the inversion. However, as this high velocity layer appears to lie beneath areas of peridotic 663 664 rocks near Ranau and ophitic rocks near Telupi, we interpret the layer as

obducted ophitic material. It is not possible to constrain the timing of the
emplacement from this study, e.g., it may be the result of late Mesozoic rifting
(Tsikouras et al., 2021), or it could have been emplaced earlier (e.g., Cullen
and Burton-Johnson 2021).

669

670 The crustal structure of the northern tip of Sabah (to the north of SBF2) is 671 distinct from areas to the south, as is particularly seen in Cross-section C 672 (Figure 3(c)), suggesting that distinct geological processes have shaped this 673 region. The change in the character of the crustal structure is in the same 674 place where there is a change in strike of the surface geology, from ~SW-NE 675 to the south to ~WNW-ESE in the north (Tongkul 1990), in the vicinity of Mt 676 Kinabalu. Moreover, it is approximately coincident with where the fast velocity 677 anomaly in the upper mantle that Pilia et al. (2023a) associate with the proto-678 South China Sea slab terminates. Tongkul (1994) suggests, based on the 679 relationships between sedimentary rocks in this region, that the basement 680 here - Mesozoic oceanic crust - is uplifted relative to the area to the south. 681 Tongkul (1994) further suggests that this region was affected by the collision 682 with the Reed Bank, resulting in N-S compression, while further south the collision was with the Dangerous Grounds block. Gozzard et al., (2018) 683 observe that the crust beneath the Reed Bank has not been thinned in the 684 685 same way as the Dangerous Grounds block. Franke et al., (2008) also note 686 the presence of the Kudat block off the eastern shore of northernmost Sabah, 687 which active source seismic data suggests has a different crustal structure. It 688 may be that the different properties of blocks colliding with Sabah, as well as 689 the orientation of the collisions, resulted in the contrasting crustal structure we 690 observe today: underthrust material to the south but not at the northern tip of691 Sabah.

693	In the east of Sabah, the lower crust and upper mantle beneath stations in the
694	Semporna Peninsula (SBA8 and SBA9) is relatively slow (~3.8-4 km/s for the
695	uppermost mantle compared to 4.3-4.5 km/s elsewhere). This is similar to the
696	results from the two-plane wave tomography of Greenfield et al. (2022).
697	Volcanism in this area occurred until at least 0.2 Ma (Lai et al., 2021) and
698	potentially as recently as 24-27 ka (Kirk, 1968; Bellwood, 1988; cited in Tjia et
699	al., 1992) with hot springs found in the vicinity of Tawau today, with water
700	temperatures of up to 75°C (Siong et al., 1991). Pilia et al. (2023b) and
701	Greenfield et al. (2022) propose that part of the lithosphere has been removed
702	beneath the Semporna Penisula and has been replaced by hot
703	asthenospheric material. This would mean that the remaining crust and
704	mantle would be expected to be warm and thus seismically slow, as we
705	observe here.
706	
707	
708	
709	Conclusion
710	
711	We present a high-resolution crustal shear velocity model of Sabah, northern
712	Borneo, from the joint inversion of P receiver functions and surface wave
713	data. We image, for the first time, Dangerous Grounds crust underthrust
714	beneath most of the Crocker Range. This has had the effect of thickening the

715 crust beneath the present-day mountain range, with crustal thicknesses 716 exceeding 40 km. However, beneath Mt Kinabalu, crustal thicknesses are 717 only in the range 30-35km, supporting earlier ideas (Cottam et al., 2013, 718 Sapin et al., 2013, Tsikouras et al., 2021, Pilia et al., 2023b) that some degree 719 of crustal thinning may have been involved in its emplacement. Thinner crust 720 (~25 km) between the Crocker Range and the Circular Basins may be due to 721 extension related to the rollback of the Celebes Sea slab (Hall, 2013), 722 although the amount of extension remains unclear given that pre-extensional 723 crustal thickness remains unknown. Thicker crust (>40 km) beneath the 724 Maliau and other circular basins suggests that these areas have experienced 725 some degree of crustal thickening, which given the late-mid Miocene age of 726 the sediments that have been deformed is likely to have occurred later than 727 the ~21 Ma Sabah Orogeny. Relatively slow velocities in the lower crust and 728 upper mantle beneath the Semporna Peninsula support work by Pilia et al. 729 (2023b) and Greenfield et al. (2021) that lithospheric delamination has 730 occurred here.

731

Overall, we observe a high degree of heterogeneity in the crustal structure beneath Sabah, on length scales of 10s of kilometres. This highlights the complexity of subduction, collisional, post-subduction, and extensional processes that have shaped Sabah over the Cenozoic, and reinforces the importance of dense instrumentation in order to better understand tectonic activity that has occurred in similar settings.

738

739 Acknowledgements

740 Thanks to all those who were involved in the deployment, servicing and 741 recovery of the nBOSS network between March 2018 and January 2020. Many thanks to the landowners throughout Sabah who hosted seismometers. 742 743 Seismometers used in the nBOSS network were provided by the University of 744 Cambridge, the University of Aberdeen (Aberdeen University Geophysical 745 Equipment Repository – AUGER), and the Natural Environment Research 746 Council (NERC) Geophysical Equipment Facility though SeisUK (loan 1038). 747 We thank MetMalaysia for providing access to their restricted continuous 748 waveform data recorded by their permanent MY network in Sabah. A.G was 749 supported by a Royal Astronomical Society Independent Research 750 Fellowship. S. P was supported by the Natural Environmental Research 751 Council (NERC) Grant NE/R013500/1 and from the European Union's Horizon 752 2020 Research and Innovation Program under Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant 753 Agreement 790203. T.G. was supported by an Early Career Fellowship from 754 the Leverhulme Trust. We have made use of several open source Python 755 packages in our analysis and visualisation, including Matplotlib (Hunter, 756 2007); and ObsPy (Beyreuther et al., 2010). A number of figures were 757 produced using the Generic Mapping Tools version 6 (Wessel et al., 758 2019). We thank Robert Herrmann for making the Computer Programs in 759 Seismology freely available. We thank two anonymous reviewers and the 760 editor Gabi Laske for constructive comments, which have helped clarify the 761 focus of the paper.

762

763

764 **Data availability**

- 765
- The nBOSS dataset is accessible through the EarthScope Data Management
- 767 Center (<u>https://www.fdsn.org/networks/detail/YC_2018/</u>). Data from the
- 768 Malaysian national seismic network
- 769 (<u>https://www.fdsn.org/networks/detail/MY/</u>) are restricted but may be obtained
- by contacting the Malaysian Meteorological Department. The exceptions to
- this are stations KKM and LDM which are also available through the
- 772 Earthscope Data Management Center. The Open Science Framework site for
- this project, which includes figures for each station analysed can be found at:
- 774 <u>https://osf.io/2zvcg/</u>
- 775
- 776 Author contributions
- 777
- 778 **A.G.:** Formal analysis, conceptualisation, funding acquisition, investigation,
- resources, visualisation, writing original draft; **D.C.:** Investigation, resources,
- 780 writing review and editing; N.R.: Conceptualisation, funding acquisition,
- resources, investigation, writing review and editing; **F.T.:** Conceptualisation,
- resources, investigation; **S.P.:** Investigation, writing review and editing,
- funding acquisition; **T.G.:** Investigation, writing review and editing; **C.B.:**
- 784 Data curation, investigation.

- 786 787
- 788
- 789
- 790 791
- 792
- 793 **References**
- 794

- Amaru, M. L. (2007). Global travel time tomography with 3-D reference models (Vol. 274).
 Utrecht University.
- Ammon, C. J., Randall, G. E., & Zandt, G. (1990). On the nonuniqueness of receiver function
 inversions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *95*(B10), 15303-15318.
- Bacon, C. A. (2021). Seismic anisotropy and microseismicity: from crustal formation to
 subduction termination, University of Cambridge (United Kingdom)

- Bacon, C. A., Rawlinson, N., Pilia, S., Gilligan, A., Wehner, D., Cornwell, D. G., & Tongkul, F.
 (2022). The Signature of Lithospheric Anisotropy at Post-Subduction Continental Margins:
 New Insight From XKS Splitting Analysis in Northern Borneo. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 23*(11), e2022GC010564.
- Balaguru, A., & Nichols, G. (2004). Tertiary stratigraphy and basin evolution, southern Sabah
 (Malaysian Borneo). *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 23(4), 537-554.
- Barckhausen, U., Engels, M., Franke, D., Ladage, S., & Pubellier, M. (2014). Evolution of the
 South China Sea: Revised ages for breakup and seafloor spreading. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, *58*, 599-611.
- Bellwood, P. S. (1988). Archaelogical research in south-eastern Sabah. Sabah Museum and
 State Archives
- Beyreuther, M., Barsch, R., Krischer, L., Megies, T., Behr, Y., & Wassermann, J. (2010).
 ObsPy: A Python toolbox for seismology. *Seismological Research Letters*, *81*(3), 530-533.
- 822
 823 Bird, P. (2003). An updated digital model of plate boundaries. *Geochemistry, Geophysics,*824 *Geosystems, 4*(3).
- 825
 826 Burton-Johnson, A., Macpherson, C. G., Muraszko, J. R., Harrison, R. J., & Jordan, T. A.
 827 (2019). Tectonic strain recorded by magnetic fabrics (AMS) in plutons, including Mt Kinabalu,
 828 Borneo: A tool to explore past tectonic regimes and syn-magmatic deformation. *Journal of*829 *Structural Geology*, *119*, 50-60.
- Burton-Johnson, A., Macpherson, C. G., Millar, I. L., Whitehouse, M. J., Ottley, C. J., &
 Nowell, G. M. (2020). A Triassic to Jurassic arc in north Borneo: Geochronology,
 geochemistry, and genesis of the Segama Valley Felsic Intrusions and the Sabah
 ophiolite. *Gondwana Research*, *84*, 229-244.
- Burton-Johnson, A., & Cullen, A. B. (2023). Continental rifting in the South China Sea through
 extension and high heat flow: An extended history. *Gondwana Research*, 120, 235-263
- 839
 840 Cottam, M. A., Hall, R., Sperber, C., Kohn, B. P., Forster, M. A., & Batt, G. E. (2013).
 841 Neogene rock uplift and erosion in northern Borneo: evidence from the Kinabalu granite, 842 Mount Kinabalu. *Journal of the Geological Society*, *170*(5), 805-816.
- 844 Cullen, A., & Burton-Johnson, A. (2021). [Comment] New zircon radiometric U-Pb ages and
 845 Lu-Hf isotopic data from the ultramafic-mafic sequences of Ranau and Telupid (Sabah,
 846 eastern Malaysia): Time to reconsider the geological evolution of Southeast
 847 Asia?. *Geology*, *49*(11), 541-541.
- Forsyth, D., & Uyeda, S. (1975). On the relative importance of the driving forces of plate motion. *Geophysical Journal International*, *43*(1), 163-200.
- 851
 852 Franke, D., Barckhausen, U., Heyde, I., Tingay, M., & Ramli, N. (2008). Seismic images of a
 853 collision zone offshore NW Sabah/Borneo. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, *25*(7), 606-624.
- 854

856 anisotropy, 25-250 s. Geophysical Journal International, 187(3), 1668-1686. 857 858 Foley, S., Tiepolo, M., & Vannucci, R. (2002). Growth of early continental crust controlled by 859 melting of amphibolite in subduction zones. Nature, 417(6891), 837-840. 860 861 Franke, D. (2013). Rifting, lithosphere breakup and volcanism: Comparison of magma-poor 862 and volcanic rifted margins. Marine and Petroleum geology, 43, 63-87. 863 864 Gilligan, A., Roecker, S. W., Priestley, K. F., & Nunn, C. (2014). Shear velocity model for the 865 Kyrgyz Tien Shan from joint inversion of receiver function and surface wave 866 data. Geophysical Journal International, 199(1), 480-498. 867 868 Gozzard, S., Kusznir, N., Franke, D., Cullen, A., Reemst, P., & Henstra, G. (2019). South 869 China Sea crustal thickness and oceanic lithosphere distribution from satellite gravity 870 inversion. Petroleum Geoscience, 25(1), 112-128. 871 872 Greenfield, T., Gilligan, A., Pilia, S., Cornwell, D. G., Tongkul, F., Widiyantoro, S., & 873 Rawlinson, N. (2022). Post-Subduction Tectonics of Sabah, Northern Borneo, Inferred From 874 Surface Wave Tomography. Geophysical Research Letters, 49(3), e2021GL096117. 875 876 877 Hall, R. (2013). Contraction and extension in northern Borneo driven by subduction rollback. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 76, 399-411. 878 879 Hall, R., & Spakman, W. (2015). Mantle structure and tectonic history of SE 880 Asia. Tectonophysics, 658, 14-45. 881 882 He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. 883 In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 770-884 778). 885 886 Herrmann, R. B. (2013). Computer programs in seismology: An evolving tool for instruction 887 and research. Seismological Research Letters, 84(6), 1081-1088. 888 889 Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. Computing in science & 890 engineering, 9(03), 90-95. 891 892 Hutchison, C. S., Bergman, S. C., Swauger, D. A., & Graves, J. E. (2000). A Miocene 893 collisional belt in north Borneo: uplift mechanism and isostatic adjustment quantified by 894 thermochronology. Journal of the Geological Society, 157(4), 783-793. 895 896 Holt, R. A. (1998). The gravity field of Sundaland-acquisition, assessment and interpretation. 897 University of London, University College London (United Kingdom) 898 899 Huang, Z., Gradstein, F.M., and Louden, K.E., (1991). Subsidence and sedimentation 900 analysis of marginal basins: Celebes Sea and Sulu Sea, Leg 124, Sites 767 and 768, 901 in Silver, E.A., Rangin, C., von Braymann, M.T., et al, Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling 902 Program, Scientific Results, 124, 399-407 903 904 Johnston, F. K., Turchyn, A. V., & Edmonds, M. (2011). Decarbonation efficiency in 905 subduction zones: Implications for warm Cretaceous climates. Earth and Planetary Science 906 Letters, 303(1-2), 143-152. 907 908 Kennett, B. L., Engdahl, E. R., & Buland, R. (1995). Constraints on seismic velocities in the 909 Earth from traveltimes. Geophysical Journal International, 122(1), 108-124. 910 911 Kirk, H. J. C. (1968). The igneous rocks of the Sarawak and Sabah. Geological Survey 912 Borneo Region, Malaysia, Bull, 5, 201. 913 914

Ekström, G. (2011). A global model of Love and Rayleigh surface wave dispersion and

915 Lai, C. K., Xia, X. P., Hall, R., Meffre, S., Tsikouras, B., Rosana Balangue-Tarriela, M. I., ... & 916 Norazme, N. A. (2021). Cenozoic Evolution of the Sulu Sea Arc-Basin System: An 917 Overview. Tectonics, 40(2), e2020TC006630. 918 919 Levander, A., Schmandt, B., Miller, M. S., Liu, K., Karlstrom, K. E., Crow, R. S., Lee, C.-T. A., 920 & Humphreys, E. D. (2011). Continuing Colorado plateau uplift by delamination-style 921 convective lithospheric downwelling. Nature, 472(7344), 461-465. 922 923 Li, C. F., Xu, X., Lin, J., Sun, Z., Zhu, J., Yao, Y., ... & Zhang, G. L. (2014). Ages and 924 magnetic structures of the South China Sea constrained by deep tow magnetic surveys and 925 IODP Expedition 349. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 15(12), 4958-4983. 926 927 Li, Z. H., Liu, M., & Gerya, T. (2016). Lithosphere delamination in continental collisional 928 orogens: A systematic numerical study. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid 929 Earth, 121(7), 5186-5211. 930 931 Ligorría, J. P., & Ammon, C. J. (1999). Iterative deconvolution and receiver-function 932 estimation. Bulletin of the seismological Society of America, 89(5), 1395-1400. 933 934 Linang, H. T., Pilia, S., Rawlinson, N., Bacon, C. A., Gilligan, A., Cornwell, D. G., & Tongkul, 935 F. (2022). Collision-induced subduction polarity reversal explains the crustal structure of 936 northern Borneo: New results from Virtual Deep Seismic Sounding (VDSS). Geophysical 937 Research Letters, 49(19), e2022GL099123. 938 939 Lipke, K. (2008). Seismologic investigation of the Sunda arc region with receiver 940 functions. Potsdam: University of Potsdam. 941 942 Macpherson, C. G., Chiang, K. K., Hall, R., Nowell, G. M., Castillo, P. R., & Thirlwall, M. F. 943 (2010). Plio-Pleistocene intra-plate magmatism from the southern Sulu Arc, Semporna 944 peninsula, Sabah, Borneo: Implications for high-Nb basalt in subduction zones. Journal of 945 Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 190(1-2), 25-38. 946 947 Milsom, J., Holt, R., Hutchison, C. S., Bergman, S. C., Swauger, D. A., & Graves, J. E. 948 (2001). Discussion of a Miocene collisional belt in north Borneo: uplift mechanism and 949 isostatic adjustment quantified by thermochronology: Journal, Vol. 157, 2000, 783-950 793. Journal of the Geological Society, 158(2), 396-400. 951 952 Morley, C. K., & Back, S. (2008). Estimating hinterland exhumation from late orogenic basin 953 volume, NW Borneo. Journal of the Geological Society, 165(1), 353-366. 954 955 Pilia, S., Rawlinson, N., Gilligan, A., & Tongkul, F. (2019). Deciphering the fate of plunging 956 957 tectonic plates in Borneo. Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 100(10), 18-23. 958 Pilia, S., Rawlinson, N., Hall, R., Cornwell, D. G., Gilligan, A., & Tongkul, F. (2023a). Seismic 959 signature of subduction termination from teleseismic P-and S-wave arrival-time tomography: 960 The case of northern Borneo. Gondwana Research, 115, 57-70. 961 962 Pilia, S., Davies, D. R., Hall, R., Bacon, C. A., Gilligan, A., Greenfield, T., Tongkul, F., Kramer, 963 S. C., Wilson, C. R., Ghelichkhan, S., Cornwell, D. G., Colli, L., & Rawlinson, N. (2023b). 964 Post-subduction tectonics induced by extension from a lithospheric drip. *Nature Geoscience*, 965 1-7.Rangin, C., Spakman, W., Pubellier, M., & Bijwaard, H. (1999). Tomographic and 966 geological constraints on subduction along the eastern Sundaland continental margin (South-967 East Asia). Bulletin de la Société géologique de France, 170(6), 775-788. 968 969 Rawlinson, N. (2018). Northern Borneo Orogeny Seismic Survey [Data set]. International 970 Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks. https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/YC_2018 971 972 Roberts, G. G., White, N., Hoggard, M. J., Ball, P. W., & Meenan, C. (2018). A Neogene 973 history of mantle convective support beneath Borneo. Earth and Planetary Science 974 Letters, 496, 142-158.

976 Sapin, F., Hermawan, I., Pubellier, M., Vigny, C., & Ringenbach, J. C. (2013). The recent 977 convergence on the NW Borneo Wedge-a crustal-scale gravity gliding evidenced from 978 GPS. Geophysical Journal International, 193(2), 549-556. 979 980 Schaeffer, A. J., & Lebedev, S. (2013). Global shear speed structure of the upper mantle and 981 transition zone. Geophysical Journal International, 194(1), 417-449. 982 983 Siong, L. P., Intang, F., & On, C. F. (1991). Geothermal prospecting in the Semporna 984 Peninsula with emphasis on the Tawau area. Geological Society of Malaysia, Bulletin 29, 985 135-155 986 987 Takashima I., Nazri, A. A., Lim, P. S., Koseki, T., Mouri, Y., Nasution, A., & Sucipta, I. E., 988 (2004). Thermoluminescence age determination of guaternary volcanic rocks and alteration 989 products at Tawau area, Sabah, Malaysia. Journal of the Geothermal Research Society of 990 Japan, 26(3), 273-283. 991 992 993 Tang, Q., & Zheng, C. (2013). Crust and upper mantle structure and its tectonic implications 994 in the South China Sea and adjacent regions. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 62, 510-525. 995 Tjia, H. D., Komoo, I., Ali, C. A., & Tahir, S. H. (1992). Geology of Taman Bukit Tawau, 996 Semporna Peninsula, Sabah. Geological Society of Malaysia, Bulletin 31, 113-131 997 998 Tongkul, F. (1990). Structural style and tectonics of Western and Northern Sabah. Geological 999 Society of Malaysia, Bulletin 27, 227-239 1000 1001 Tongkul, F. (1991). Tectonic evolution of Sabah, Malaysia. Journal of Southeast Asian Earth 1002 Sciences, 6(3-4), 395-405. 1003 1004 Tongkul, F. (1994). The geology of Northern Sabah, Malaysia: its relationship to the opening 1005 of the South China Sea Basin. Tectonophysics, 235(1-2), 131-147. 1006 1007 Tongkul, F., and Chang, F. K. (2003) Structural geology of the Neogene Maliau Basin, Sabah. 1008 Geological Society of Malaysia, Bulletin 47, 51-61 1009 1010 Tsikouras, B., Lai, C. K., Ifandi, E., Teo, C. H., & Xia, X. P. (2021). New zircon radiometric U-1011 Pb ages and Lu-Hf isotopic data from the ultramafic-mafic sequences of Ranau and Telupid 1012 (Sabah, eastern Malaysia): Time to reconsider the geological evolution of Southeast 1013 Asia?. Geology, 49(7), 789-793. 1014 1015 Wehner, D., Blom, N., Rawlinson, N., Böhm, C., Miller, M. S., Supendi, P., & Widiyantoro, S. 1016 (2022). SASSY21: A 3-D Seismic Structural Model of the Lithosphere and Underlying Mantle 1017 Beneath Southeast Asia From Multi-Scale Adjoint Waveform Tomography. Journal of 1018 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 127(3), e2021JB022930. 1019 1020 Wessel, P., Luis, J. F., Uieda, L., Scharroo, R., Wobbe, F., Smith, W. H., & Tian, D. (2019). 1021 The generic mapping tools version 6. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(11), 5556-1022 5564. 1023 1024 Zandt, G., Gilbert, H., Owens, T. J., Ducea, M., Saleeby, J., & Jones, C. H. (2004). Active 1025 foundering of a continental arc root beneath the southern Sierra Nevada in 1026 California. Nature, 431(7004), 41-46. 1027 1028 Zenonos, A., De Siena, L., Widiyantoro, S., & Rawlinson, N. (2019). P and S wave travel time 1029 tomography of the SE Asia-Australia collision zone. Physics of the Earth and Planetary 1030 Interiors, 293, 106267. 1031 1032 **Figures** 1033 1034



Figure 1: Map of seismometer stations in Sabah used in this study. Blue triangles are MetMalaysia seismometers deployed before 2017, yellow triangles are MetMalaysia seismometers deployed after 2017. Pink (6TD) and Purple (3ESP) triangles are seismometers deployed as part of the nBOSS project. Lines of section are shown: A1-A2 (6.56°N 115.97°E 4.78°N 119.09°E), B1-B2 (5.691°N 115.05°E - 3.82°N 118.96°E), C1-C2 (4.25°N 115.30°E - 7.26°N 117.23°E), D1-D2(4.25°N 116.30°E - 6.67°N 117.88°E) E1-E2 (4°N 118°E - 7°N 118°E). Geological units are plotted after Hall (2013). The inset map shows the wider geographical area, with the area of the main map highlighted by the blue box, and plate boundaries after Bird (2003), are shown by red lines.



1055

Figure 2: Stacked receiver functions along the lines (a) A1-A2, (b) B1-B2, (c)

1057 C1-C2, (d) D1-D2, and (e) E1-E2. Positive arrivals are filled red, and negative

arrivals are filled blue. In both cases receiver functions from stations within 50
 km of each line have been projected onto the section, along with their

1059 km of each line have been projected onto the section, along with their1060 respective station (green triangles), and topography is plotted above. The

1061 dark grey dashed line highlights positive arrivals, likely from the P-to-S

1062 conversion at the Moho. The light grey dashed line highlights negative arrivals

1063 corresponding to a velocity increase with depth in the crust.



Figure 3: Shear velocity vs depth along lines (a) A1-A2, (b) B1-B2, (c) C1-C2,
(d) D1-D2, (e) E1-E2 from the joint inversion of receiver function and surface
wave data. 1-D models from stations within 50 km of the line of section are
interpolated to make the cross-sections. Grey areas indicate areas with no
station coverage. Green triangles mark the location of stations. Topography
along the line of section is plotted above. Labelled velocity anomalies 1-8 are
discussed in the text.

1072





1074

1075

1076 Figure 4: Moho depths at seismometer stations in Sabah picked from 1D
1077 shear velocity models from the joint inversion of receiver function and surface
1078 wave data. The colour of the circle indicated Moho depth for the station
1079 located at that point, as shown in the scale. White hexagons are locations
1080 where there was no clear Moho to be picked or where there were multiple

- 1081 plausible velocity discontinuities that could be the Moho.
- 1082





Figure 5: Summary map highlighting the key interpretations from this study
from the shear velocity models derived from the joint inversion of receiver
function and surface wave data.

Imaging subduction, collision, and extension in northern Borneo: Constraints from receiver functions

1116 1117

1118

1119

1120 1121 1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

Amy Gilligan(1),* David G. Cornwell(1), Nicholas Rawllinson(2), Felix Tongkul(3), Simone Pilia(4), Tim Greenfield(2), Conor Bacon(5)

- 1. School of Geosciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK
- 2. Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- 3. Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia
 - 4. College of Petroleum Engineering and Geosciences, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia
- Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York, USA
- 1130 * Corresponding author: amy.gilligan@abdn.ac.uk

1131

1132

1133 Supplementary Material

Supplementary table 1: The name, instrument type, and location of the seismometers used in this study, together with the number of good receiver functions after quality control, and the crustal thickness estimated from the joint inversion of receiver function and surface wave data. Where the crustal thickness is N/A this is because there were no good receiver functions for that station. Where crustal thickness is 'X' these are stations where it was not possible to estimate the crustal thickness from the velocity model.

Network	Station	Instrument type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	# of receiver functions	Crustal thickness (km)
YC	SBA2	3ESP	4.43506	115.74560	76	48
YC	SBA3	6TD	4.57347	116.27660	74	30
YC	SBA4	3ESP	4.45879	116.58977	73	38
YC	SBA5	6TD	4.42271	116.85881	84	38
YC	SBA6	3ESP	4.51025	117.30176	18	32
YC	SBA7	6TD	4.44587	117.71442	47	28
YC	SBA8	3ESP	4.43208	118.09522	183	34

YC	SBA9	6TD	4.43637	118.53992	76	34
YC	SBB2	6TD	4.79788	115.69913	62	38
YC	SBB3	3ESP	4.95640	116.14096	126	44
YC	SBB4	6TD	4.81717	116.50497	36	26
YC	SBB5	6TD	4.73101	116.88574	82	44
YC	MALB	3ESP	4.73740	116.97997	51	44
YC	SBB6	6TD	4.83194	117.35575	54	42
YC	SBB7	3ESP	4.96355	117.80282	70	40
YC	SBB8	6TD	4.85014	118.12941	79	34
YC	SBC1	6TD	5.28108	115.17476	24	32
YC	SBC2	3ESP	5.24880	115.69165	114	32
YC	SBC3	6TD	5.25540	116.09856	48	38
YC	SBC4	3ESP	5.27107	116.51573	76	44
YC	SBC5	6TD	5.28637	116.88076	73	26
YC	SBC6	3ESP	5.29518	117.27165	19	24
YC	SBC7	6TD	5.32075	117.69134	23	42
YC	SBC8	3ESP	5.32373	118.04523	13	Х
YC	SBC9	3ESP	5.19098	118.94610	54	36
YC	SBD1	6TD	5.60898	115.60830	42	42
YC	SBD2	3ESP	5.67735	116.03960	55	34
YC	SBD3	6TD	5.63900	116.46225	89	38
YC	SBD4	3ESP	5.66416	116.87691	86	48
YC	SBD5	6TD	5.65637	117.27355	28	Х
YC	SBD6	3ESP	5.68750	117.65900	28	Х
YC	SBD7	6TD	5.64967	118.12955	80	Х
YC	SBD8	6TD	5.50699	118.56041	34	Х

YC	SBE1	6TD	6.20282	115.5963	33	38
YC	SBE2	6TD	6.04611	116.49462	83	34
YC	KINA	6TD	6.05826	116.56593	0	N/A
YC	SBE3	6TD	6.06708	116.83097	63	42
YC	SBE4	3ESP	6.05975	117.30715	48	Х
YC	SBE5	6TD	5.93328	118.01012	37	36
YC	SBF1	6TD	6.45216	116.49845	48	36
YC	SBF2	3ESP	6.47376	116.89069	42	40
YC	SBF3	6TD	6.44177	117.31431	95	24
YC	SBF4	6TD	6.37312	117.62083	30	26
YC	SBG1	6TD	6.70950	116.35092	22	38
YC	SBG2	6TD	6.83352	116.76262	49	44
YC	SBG3	3ESP	6.83170	117.15904	55	Х
MY	DVM	STS-2.5	4.98038	117.84421	11	42
MY	FSM	STS-2.5	5.0855	119.0627	0	N/A
MY	KAM	STS-2.5	6.0745	116.4583	45	32
MY	KDM	SS-1 Ranger	6.9167	116.8333	0	N/A
MY	KIM	STS-2.5	5.587083	117.844717	48	Х
MY	KKM	STS-2	6.0443	116.2147	61	40
MY	KNM	STS-2.5	4.7026	118.203	82	34
MY	KPM	STS-2.5	6.0227	116.545417	21	34
MY	LDM	STS-2.5	5.1777	118.498	35	Х
MY	MTM	STS-2.5	5.789333	116.81665	37	60
MY	PRM	STS-2.5	6.0455	116.70375	51	36
MY	PTM	STS-2.5	6.70523	117.0283	39	Х

MY	RAM	STS-2.5	5.9546	116.681	64	42
MY	SDM	SS-1 Ranger	5.6409	117.195	0	N/A
MY	SGM	STS-2.5	5.0912	118.2446	0	N/A
MY	SMM	SS1- Ranger	4.439838	118.622028	0	N/A
MY	SPM	STS-2	4.7083	116.465	9	34
MY	SRM	STS-2.5	6.29265	116.708383	36	30
MY	SYM	STS-2.5	6.20585	116.5559	42	32
MY	TLM	STS-2.5	5.7391	117.385	0	N/A
MY	TNM	STS-2.5	5.168633	115.960183	27	38
MY	TPM	STS-2.5	6.1427	116.2596	19	34
MY	TSM	SS-1 Ranger	4.2936	117.8725	0	N/A
MY	WRM	STS-2.5	6.3229	116.47825	29	36



1146 **Supplementary figure 1:** Examples of the receiver functions of individual

1147 events used in the station stacks for four stations across Sabah: SBA2,

SBE2, SBF4, and SBA9, and a map indicating the station locations. Receiverfunctions are plotted with respect to backazimuth with positive amplitudes

- 1150 filled red.
- 1151



Supplementary figure 2: Group velocity maps for the GDM52 model

1155 (Ekstrom, 2011), for the periods 25s, 31.25s, 50s, 62.5s, 80.88s, 125s, and 1156 250s.



Supplementary figure 3: Examples of models of shear velocity vs depth from the joint inversion of surface wave and receiver function data, and the receiver functions for these models for four stations across Sabah: SBA2, SBE2, SBF4, and SBA9On each of the shear velocity and receiver function plots the coloured lines show the results from testing different weights of receiver function and surface wave data. On the shear velocity plots, the black arrow indicates the depth that is picked for the Moho in each example. On the receiver function plots, the receiver function data are shown in black.



Supplementary figure 4: Examples of models of shear velocity vs depth from 1170 the joint inversion of surface wave and receiver function data four stations 1171 1172 SBA2, SBE2, SBF4, and SBA9 with a p value of 0.1. On each shear velocity 1173 model the coloured lines show the different models that result from testing 1174 different subsets of the receiver function data: Service 1 (purple) is from the 1175 inversion of stacked receiver functions for events between March 2018-Sept 1176 2018, Service 2 (magenta) is from the inversion of stacked receiver functions 1177 from events between Sept 2018-March 2019, Pullout is from the inversion of

stacked receiver functions from events between March 2019-Jan 2020, and
All is from the inversion of stacked receiver functions for the whole time
period, as shown in Supplementary figure 1. For each station, the same

- 1180 period, as snown in Supplementary ligure 1. For each station, the same
- 1181 surface wave dispersion data was used for each of the inversions.
- 1182 1183



Supplementary figure 5: Examples of the receiver functions of individual

- events used in the station stacks for SBG3 (left) and PTM (right) and a map
- indicating the station locations. Receiver functions are plotted with respect tobackazimuth with positive amplitudes filled red.
- 1189
- 1190
- 1191
- 1192



- 1194

Supplementary figure 6: Examples of models of shear velocity vs depth from the joint inversion of surface wave and receiver function data for stations where a Moho was challenging to identify in this study. SBE4 and SBD5 are examples of stations with two potential discontinuities, while SBD7 shows a gradual increase in velocities over a wide depth range. On each shear velocity model the coloured lines show the different models that result from testing different weights of receiver function and surface wave data.



1205 1206 Supplementary figure 7: Comparison of Moho depths from this study (circles) with other Moho depth estimates from other studies of the region. (a) 1207 1208 comparison with the Moho depth from Greenfield et al., (2022) based on the 1209 4.1km/s velocity contour in their shear velocity model, (b) comparison with the Moho depth from Linang et al, (2022) from the interpolation of crustal depths 1210

obtained from stacked VDSS traces, assuming the depths reflect the Moho

beneath stations, (c) comparison with the Moho depth from Linang et al,

- (2022) from the interpolation of crustal depths at reflection points in the VDSS method.