- The Eurasian Arctic Ocean along the MOSAiC drift in
- 2019–2020: An interdisciplinary perspective on physical
- properties and processes 3
- Kirstin Schulz^{1,2,*}, Zoe Koenig^{3,4,5}, Morven Muilwijk⁴, Dorothea Bauch^{6,7},
- Clara J. M. Hoppe², Elise S. Droste^{2,8}, Mario Hoppmann², Emelia J. Chamberlain^{9,10}, Georgi Laukert^{11,10}, Tim Stanton¹², Alejandra Quintanilla-
- Zurita², Ilker Fer⁵, Céline Heuzé¹³, Salar Karam¹³, Sebastian Mieruch-Schnülle²,
- 7 Till M. Baumann^{5,14}, Myriel Vredenborg², Sandra Tippenhauer², Mats A.
- 8
- Granskog⁴ q
- ¹Oden Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, 10
- Austin, TX, United States 11
- ²Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, 12
- Germany 13
- ³UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway 14
- ⁴Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, Tromsø, Norway 15
- ⁵Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, 16
- Norway 17
- ⁶Leibniz-Laboratory, University of Kiel (CAU), Kiel, Germany 18
- ⁷GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, Kiel, Germany 19
- ⁸School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom 20
- ⁹Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, CA, United States 21
- ¹⁰Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, United States 22
- ¹¹School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom 23
- ¹²Oceanography Department, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, United States 24
- ¹³Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden 25
- ¹⁴Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway 26
- This manuscript has been accepted for publication in Elementa: Science 27
- of the Anthropocene 5/13/2024. One published, the final version of this 28
- manuscript will be available via the "Peer reviewed Publication DOI" link 29
- on the right-hand side of this page and will be available open-access on the 30
- publisher's website. For any question, contact Kirstin Schulz. 31

32 Abstract

The Multidisciplinary drifting Observatory for the Study of Arctic Climate (MO-33 SAiC, 2019–2020), a year-long drift with the Arctic sea ice, has provided the 34 scientific community with an unprecedented, multidisciplinary dataset from the 35 Eurasian Arctic Ocean, covering high atmosphere to deep ocean across all sea-36 sons. However, the heterogeneity of data and the superposition of spatial and tem-37 poral variability, intrinsic to a drift campaign, complicate the interpretation of 38 observations. In this study, we have compiled a quality-controlled physical hydro-39 graphic dataset with best spatio-temporal coverage and derived core parameters, 40 including the mixed layer depth, heat fluxes over key layers, and friction veloc-41 ity. We provide a comprehensive and accessible overview of the ocean conditions 42 encountered along the MOSAiC drift, discuss their interdisciplinary implications, 43 and compare common ocean climatologies to these new data. Our results indi-44 cate that, for the most part, ocean variability was dominated by regional rather 45 than seasonal signals, carrying potentially strong implications for ocean biogeo-46 chemistry, ecology, sea ice, and even atmospheric conditions. Near-surface ocean 47 properties were strongly influenced by the relative position of sampling, within 48 or outside the river-water influenced Transpolar Drift, and seasonal warming and 49 meltwater input. Ventilation down to the Atlantic Water layer in the Nansen Basin 50 allowed for a stronger connectivity between subsurface heat and the sea ice and 51 surface ocean via elevated upward heat fluxes. The Yermak Plateau and Fram 52 Strait regions were characterized by heterogeneous water mass distributions, en-53 ergetic ocean currents, and stronger lateral gradients in surface water properties in 54 frontal regions. Together with the presented results and core parameters, we offer 55 context for interdisciplinary research, fostering an improved understanding of the 56 complex, coupled Arctic System. 57

⁵⁸ 1. Introduction

To a large extent, the Arctic Ocean has been historically inaccessible due to its 59 perennial ice cover, resulting in limited data availability, particularly during win-60 ter. With global warming triggering rapid transformations in the Arctic (Rantanen 61 et al., 2022), a better understanding of processes in the Arctic Ocean and its role 62 in the coupled climate system is urgently needed to accurately predict the effects 63 of a changing climate. Ongoing changes in the Arctic Ocean include declining 64 sea ice cover and longer open water seasons (e.g., Stroeve et al., 2008; Kwok, 65 2018; Kim et al., 2023), Atlantification, i.e., the progression of conditions typi-66 cal for the North Atlantic farther into the Arctic Ocean (Polyakov et al., 2017),

2

a weakening upper ocean stratification, enhanced vertical mixing and transport 68 (Polyakov et al., 2020b,a; Schulz et al., 2022a), increased primary productivity 69 (Arrigo and van Dijken, 2015), and changes in the Arctic ecosystem composition 70 (Gordó-Vilaseca et al., 2023). These changes are observed primarily in the East-71 ern Arctic, while conditions in the Western Arctic exhibit less clear patterns, e.g., 72 no conclusive evidence of increased mixing (Dosser et al., 2021; Fine and Cole, 73 2022), or even show opposite trends, e.g., increased stratification by freshwater 74 accumulation in the Beaufort Gyre (Timmermans and Toole, 2023). 75

The Multidisciplinary drifting Observatory for the Study of Arctic Climate 76 (MOSAiC) was a year-long (2019–2020) drift campaign with the aim to improve 77 our process-level understanding of the coupled Arctic System (Rabe et al., 2022; 78 Shupe et al., 2022; Nicolaus et al., 2022; Fong et al., 2023). A large number of 79 interdisciplinary efforts in MOSAiC involved physical oceanography parameters, 80 such as ocean temperature and salinity or current velocity. Examples include ef-81 forts to calculate the solubility of gases, to determine the origin of water masses 82 that transport tracers and organisms, to quantify the contribution of oceanic heat 83 to sea ice formation and melting, and to constrain the variability in ice-nucleating 84 particles of marine origin. In addition, the modeling community requires updated 85 oceanic boundary conditions and core parameters for model validation (Heuzé 86 et al., 2023b), while climatological datasets, which are often crucial components 87 in modeling frameworks, need ground-truthing to current conditions. However, 88 the diversity of oceanographic equipment used during MOSAiC and the resulting 89 scattered datasets at various levels of processing and documentation hinder easy 90 access to and utilization of these data, especially for non-physical oceanographers 91 and scientists not involved in the field campaign. In addition, the design of MO-92 SAiC as a drifting platform complicates the interpretation of oceanographic mea-93 surements. Superimposed on the annual cycle is the regionality along the more 94 than 3500 km long drift track across the Eurasian basin (Figure 1a; Rabe et al., 95 2022). These challenges might lead to an inconsistent usage and interpretation of 96 the oceanographic data and hinder the inter-comparability of individual studies in 97 the future. 98

In this study, we have compiled an accessible and quality-controlled dataset of hydrographic profiles at the highest possible temporal resolution along the drift and provide derived core parameters (Schulz et al., 2023b), including an interactive data interface (Mieruch, 2023) in the online Ocean Data View webODV (Mieruch and Schlitzer, 2023), which can be used consistently in future disciplinary and interdisciplinary studies. Based on this dataset, we present a comprehensive overview of ocean conditions during the MOSAiC drift, discuss their effect on the coupled system and, to the extent possible, discriminate between spatial and temporal signals. This description of the state of the Eurasian Arctic Ocean in 2019–2020 and the comparison of commonly used climatological datasets to these modern data will also aid the evaluation of ocean models.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide a brief 110 overview of the methods and instrumentation used in this study (more detailed 111 information is available in Text S1). Section 3 describes the geography along the 112 drift track of MOSAiC, and in Section 4 we summarize the water column structure 113 and water mass distribution. Section 5 then focuses on dynamic features, such as 114 surface and tidal current variability and eddies. Parameters related to ocean mix-115 ing, such as the vertical diffusivity and heat fluxes, are presented in Section 6. In 116 Section 7, we compare MOSAiC results to existing climatologies. In the Section 8, 117 we contextualize the MOSAiC data by comparing them to previous findings and 118 discuss the implications of these results for other scientific disciplines. Finally, 119 Section 9 summarizes the main findings and concludes the paper. 120

121 2. Methods and instrumentation

The MOSAiC drift started in September 2019, using the icebreaker RV Polarstern 122 (Knust, 2017) as a drifting platform frozen into the Arctic sea ice, with measure-123 ments conducted from the same ice floe and surrounding sites during five cruise 124 legs. On-site sampling was interrupted from May 15 to June 27, 2020, due to the 125 unavailability of a second icebreaker during the COVID-19 pandemic to perform 126 personnel exchange and resupply, but resumed on the same floe. At the end of 127 July, the floe disintegrated in the marginal ice zone in Fram Strait; after reloca-128 tion north, a second floe was chosen close to the previous drift track to sample the 129 freeze-up period. In the following, we briefly summarize the different datasets and 130 methods used in this study. More details can be found in Text S1, and an overview 131 of the sampling locations is presented in Rabe et al. (2022). 132

We obtained water depths from three different sources: the *Polarstern* 133 echosounder, the combined altimeter and depth readings from the deep casts of the 134 ship-based conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) profiling system and the Inter-135 national Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) v4.2 bathymetric dataset 136 (Jakobsson et al., 2020). Drift track and speed were obtained from the *Polarstern* 137 navigation records and complemented with data from a GPS buoy ("CO1") that 138 remained on the floe when sampling was interrupted in spring. From the drift ve-139 locity, we calculated the ice friction velocity u_{\star} based on the Rossby similarity 140 (see Text S1), as done in Kawaguchi et al. (2022). 141

In total, a set of 2,434 vertical temperature and salinity profiles were com-142 piled, including data from the microstructure profiler (MSS) operated at Ocean 143 City, i.e., a sampling site in the Central Observatory (CO) on the main floe (1,665 144 profiles, 0–350 m; Schulz et al., 2023c), the Ocean City CTD (121 profiles, down 145 to maximum 1000 m; Tippenhauer et al., 2023a) and the Polarstern CTD (134 146 profiles, excluding those during transit; Tippenhauer et al., 2023b). During the 147 drift interruption and on days without any MSS or CTD casts, we used profiles 148 from the ice-tethered profilers ITP94 and ITP111 (428 profiles, down to 1000 m 149 depth; Toole and Krishfield, 2016) and daily mean data at five discrete depths 150 (10 m, 25 m, 50 m, 75 m, 100 m) from a CTD chain on Pacific Gyre buoy 2019O4 151 (86 days; Hoppmann et al., 2022), all deployed near the CO at the start of the drift. 152 Data from all instruments were converted to conservative temperature Θ (°C) and 153 absolute salinity S_A (g kg⁻¹), quality-controlled and cross-calibrated where neces-154 sary (see Text S1). Temperature readings from the Polarstern thermosalinograph 155 are excluded here, as they were found to be unreliable (Figure S1). We recommend 156 not using these data in future analyses. 157

We calculated the mixed layer depth, i.e., the vertical extent of the surface 158 layer with uniform temperature, salinity, and hence density, as the first depth 159 where the potential density anomaly σ_0 increases by $\Delta \sigma_0 > 0.04$ kg m⁻³ com-160 pared to the surface (4–10 m) mean value (or, 0.06 kg m⁻³ if the increase in 161 density at the base of the mixed layer was more gradual; see Text S1). We have 162 omitted giving mixed layer depth estimates in the presence of strong upper (0-163 10 m) ocean stratification (i.e., when there is no classical mixed layer, conditions 164 frequently found during melt season), or when mixed layer depth estimates based 165 on different density thresholds (0.04–0.08 kg m⁻³) were very variable (i.e., the 166 base of the mixed layer was not well defined). Surface salinity and temperature 167 were calculated as the average over 4-10 m depth (to exclude sampling points 168 within an under-ice meltwater lens in spring for the MSS), and the corresponding 169 freezing point temperature was calculated based on the TEOS-10 set of equations 170 (McDougall and Barker, 2011). Additionally, to better identify the surface water 171 composition and origin, we calculated the surface layer (0-15 m) river water frac-172 tion based on an end-member analysis using δ^{18} O isotope and salinity measure-173 ments (Text S1 and Table S2; Bauch et al., 2011) and colored dissolved organic 174 matter (CDOM, an indicator for riverine water) fluorescence from ITP94 (before 175 relocation only; e.g., Granskog et al., 2007; Goncalves-Araujo et al., 2016; Sted-176 mon et al., 2021). We characterized water masses and layers as follows: 177

• The surface mixed layer (ML) from the surface to the base of the ML as

- explained above and in Text S1;
- The halocline layer (HAL) from the base of the ML to $R = \frac{\alpha \Delta \theta}{\beta \Delta S} = 0.05$, where α is the thermal expansion and β is the haline contraction coefficient, following Bourgain and Gascard (2011);

The Atlantic Water thermocline (THERM) from the first depth below the halocline where the temperature exceeds 0.8 times the minimum temperature in the halocline to the first depth where the temperature exceeds 0.8 times the maximum temperature of the Atlantic Water layer, as defined in Schulz et al. (2021);

- Arctic Atlantic Water (AAW) as the conservative temperature range $0^{\circ}C < \Theta < 2^{\circ}C$ (Korhonen et al., 2013).
- Atlantic Water (AW) with conservative temperature $\Theta > 2^{\circ}C$ (Rudels, 2012);
- Upper Polar Deep Water (UPDW) from the first depth when temperatures fall below $\Theta = 0^{\circ}$ C, down to $\sigma_{0.5} = 30.444$ kg m⁻³, the potential density referenced at 500 m depth (Rudels, 2009);
- Eurasian Basin Deep Water (EBDW) between $\sigma_{0.5} = 30.444$ kg m⁻³ and $\sigma_1 = 37.46$ kg m⁻³ (Smethie Jr et al., 1988). σ_1 refers to the potential density referenced at 1000 m depth;
- Canadian Basin Deep Water (CBDW) with the same range as EBDW, but with $\Theta > -0.6^{\circ}$ C and absolute salinity $S_A > 35.083$ g kg⁻¹ following Rudels (2009), with the salinity threshold converted from practical salinity of 34.915 in Rudels (2009) at 1500 m depth;
- Eurasian Basin Bottom Water (EBBW) from $\sigma_1 = 37.46$ kg m⁻³ to the sea floor (Smethie Jr et al., 1988);

• In the Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait regions: Arctic Intermediate Water (AIW) in the same range as UPDW ($\Theta = 0^{\circ}$ C to $\sigma_{0.5} = 30.444$ kg m⁻³) following Meyer et al. (2017b);

• In the Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait regions: Nordic Sea Deep Water (NSDW) from $\sigma_{0.5} = 30.444$ kg m⁻³ to the sea floor (Meyer et al., 2017b).

Current velocity profiles (approximately 20–400 m depth) obtained with a 75 kHz acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP; Baumann et al., 2021) were used to calculate depth-averaged surface layer (14–30 m) and tidal (whole water depth) currents of different frequencies (see Meyer et al., 2017b, and Text S1 for more details on the methodology) and to identify eddies visually. Tidal velocities were then compared to data from the Arctic Ocean Tidal Inverse Model AOTIM5 (Erofeeva and Egbert, 2020).

6

Turbulent mixing parameters presented here are based on the dissipation rate 216 of turbulent kinetic energy ε , measured with the MSS (Schulz et al., 2022b). The 217 value ε describes how much small (0.1–1 m) scale turbulent kinetic energy ("tur-218 bulence") is present to mix the water column. From ε , we calculated the depth of 219 the surface active mixing layer, i.e., the depth range where turbulence is elevated 220 due to friction at the ocean-sea ice interface ($\varepsilon \geq 5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ W kg}^{-1}$). From ε 221 and the local stratification, we calculated the turbulent diffusivity K_z along each 222 profile, as described in Bouffard and Boegman (2013). This method takes into ac-223 count how K_z scales in different energetic regimes, i.e., in the presence of high 224 or low turbulence and strong or weak stratification. Spatio-temporal averages in 225 different regions or over certain vertical layers were obtained using the maxi-226 mum likelihood estimator (MLE; Baker and Gibson, 1987) and heat fluxes over 227 the halocline and thermocline (Section 2) were calculated following Schulz et al. 228 (2021). In addition, eddy-correlation-based heat fluxes at 3 m depth were mea-229 sured with an Autonomous Ocean Flux buoy at a distance of 15–25 km from 230 Polarstern (Stanton et al., 2012; Stanton and Shaw, 2023). 231

We compare four typical Arctic Ocean climatological datasets and two commonly used state estimates (i.e., models constrained with observational data to minimize the misfit to these observations), listed in Table 1, to the MOSAiC data. These data products cover different time periods, contain different types of data from various sources and are produced using distinct methods and interpolation procedures (see Text S1 for details).

Dataset	Reference	Vertical layers	Temporal coverage
PHC3	Steele et al. (2001)	24	1948–1997
WOA18	Locarnini et al. (2018); Zweng et al. (2018)	57	1955–2017
MIMOC	Schmidtko et al. (2013)	81	1970–2011
WOA23	Boyer et al. (2018)	57	1991-2020
ASTE	Nguyen et al. (2021)	50	2002-2017
ECCOv4	Forget et al. (2015)	50	1992–2015

Table 1. Climatologies and state estimates (*italics*) of temperature and salinity used for comparison with the MOSAiC observations (Section 7).

238 3. Geography along the drift track

²³⁹ The Arctic Ocean is a semi-enclosed basin, connected to the Atlantic Ocean via

240 Fram Strait between Svalbard and Greenland and the Barents Sea and to the Pa-



Figure 1. Bathymetry along the drift track.

(a) Bathymetric map of the Arctic Ocean with drift track (violet from *Polarstern*, orange from positioning buoy "CO1" between Legs 3 and 4) indicated; (b) bathymetry along the drift track from the *Polarstern* echosounder (teal), International Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO v4.2) data set (black) and the deep conductivity, temperature, depth (CTD) casts (red squares). For better orientation, landmarks of the drift and the start and end of the individual legs are indicated with colored dots and triangles in both panels. The orange line in (b) indicates the time period when the floe was left uncrewed.

cific via the Bering Strait between Russia and Alaska. Surrounded by wide shelf 241 seas, the deep Arctic basin is separated by the Lomonosov Ridge, which reaches 242 from the Siberian shelf to the Canadian shelf, into the Amerasian and Eurasian 243 basins. The Eurasian Basin is further divided into the Amundsen Basin and the 244 Nansen Basin by the Gakkel Ridge (Figure 1a). The shallow Yermak Plateau ex-245 tends northwards from the continental shelf on which the Svalbard archipelago is 246 located, with the Nansen Basin on its eastern side and Fram Strait on its western 247 side. These geographic divides have a large impact on Arctic Ocean circulation 248 patterns and hence on the water column structure in the different regions. When 249 interpreting the results from a drift campaign such as MOSAiC, regional gradients 250 have to be taken into account. 251

The MOSAiC drift started in October 2019 in the 4400 m deep Amundsen 252 Basin (green dot in Figure 1) and progressed parallel to the Gakkel Ridge within 253 the basin over virtually flat bottom topography for around 5 months. The drift 254 then crossed the rough topography of the Gakkel Ridge over a 3-week time period 255 between March 18 and April 9, 2020 (yellow to red dot in Figure 1), and crossed 256 the Nansen Basin. At the beginning of June, the drift reached the shallow Yermak 257 Plateau (local depth approximately 800 m; purple dot in Figure 1) northwest of 258 Svalbard. After crossing the plateau from east to west, the floe entered the deeper 259 waters and complex topography of Fram Strait on July 16 (blue dot in Figure 1) 260 and drifted south, until the floe eventually broke up in the marginal ice zone. 261 After a relocation closer to the North Pole, in the vicinity of the previous drift 262 track (white triangle in Figure 1), measurements were resumed on a second floe 263 in the Amundsen Basin. This time, the drift was directed northwards, parallel to 264 the Lomonosov Ridge, until the expedition ended on September 20, 2020. 265

Compared to the water depth measurements from MOSAiC, we found that the 266 bathymetric data from IBCAO v4.2 perform well in the basins and for the Gakkel 267 Ridge and Yermak Plateau region, but agree less well with the highly variable 268 bottom depth in Fram Strait. In the following, we use the bathymetric data from 269 IBCAO and any basin averages (e.g., of temperature and salinity profiles) refer to 270 averages over the regions indicated above and in Figure 1b, with a discrimination 271 between conditions in the Amundsen Basin during winter (first part of the drift) 272 and during summer (last part of the drift). 273

²⁷⁴ 4. Water column structure and variability

²⁷⁵ In the following sections, we provide a short general overview of the water masses ²⁷⁶ of the Eurasian Arctic Ocean and their formation and characteristics (Section 4.1). We then elaborate on the observed variability of the near-surface waters (Section 4.2), the Atlantic Water layer (4.3) and the deep water masses (Section 4.4) during the MOSAiC drift.

280 4.1. Water masses in the Arctic Ocean

Large amounts of terrestrial freshwater (and other material) enter the Arctic Ocean 281 from Siberia and are advected towards Fram Strait together with sea ice formed 282 on the Siberian shelves transported via the Transpolar Drift (e.g., Mysak, 2001; 283 Karcher et al., 2012; Rudels, 2012; Charette et al., 2020). Both the transport of 284 freshwater and sea ice across the Arctic Ocean are often referred to as the "Trans-285 polar Drift". While both transport patterns are qualitatively similar, the exact trans-286 port pathway and the velocities of sea ice and river water-rich surface water differ 287 (see Section 5). In this study, Transpolar Drift refers to the transport of relatively 288 fresh, river water-rich surface water from Siberian regions towards Fram Strait 289 unless specified otherwise. 290

The surface waters within the Transpolar Drift are characterized by high 291 concentrations of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and various lithogenic ele-292 ments and may carry organisms originating from the coastal and shelf zones 293 (Krumpen et al., 2019; Charette et al., 2020). Paffrath et al. (2021) showed, based 294 on lithogenic provenance tracers, that most of the freshwater encountered in the 295 Eurasian Arctic Ocean is derived from the Lena, Yenisei and Ob rivers, whose 296 contributions do not fully mix and form distinct freshwater domains within the 297 Transpolar Drift. The high nutrient loads in these terrestrial waters is partially uti-298 lized on the wide Siberian shelves (Laukert et al., 2022), and their role for primary 299 production at the pan-Arctic scale is still not entirely clear (Fouest et al., 2013; Ter-300 haar et al., 2021; Gibson et al., 2022). Mixed with ambient waters, this land-runoff 301 forms a relatively fresh surface layer uniform in temperature and salinity: the po-302 lar mixed layer (ML; gray in Figure 2c of the MOSAiC data). This surface layer 303 is bound by a pycnocline, i.e., a sharp increase in density, primarily set by salinity 304 here, over a few meters, which we refer to as the base of the surface mixed layer. 305 Below, salinity increases further, but more gradually, i.e., over tens of meters, with 306 temperatures at or close to the freezing point. This layer is called the Arctic halo-307 cline (teal in Figure 2c, Schauer et al., 1997; Rudels, 2012). In temperature and 308 salinity space (i.e., TS-diagrams), the halocline appears as an increase in salinity 309 close to the freezing point line (as in Figure 3a). Due to its strong stratification, 310 the halocline suppresses the vertical exchange between the surface layer and un-311 derlying waters (Schulz et al., 2023a) and prevents both heat and nutrients from 312





(a) Conservative temperature (°C), (b) absolute salinity (g kg⁻¹) and (c) water mass distribution along the drift, based on the composite dataset presented in this study. In (a–c), topographic regions are shown (in brown), including the Amundsen Basin (AB), Gakkel Ridge (GR), Nansen Basin (B), Yermak Plateau (Pl) and Fram Strait (FS); the white regions have no data coverage. Gray lines in (a) and (b) indicate isopycnals with a spacing of 0.2 kg m⁻³. The color bar in (c) indicates the mixed layer (ML), halocline (HAL), Arctic Atlantic Water (AAW), Atlantic Water (AW), Upper Polar Deep Water (UPDW), Eurasian Basin Deep Water (EBDW), Eurasian Basin Bottom Water (EBBW), Canadian Basin Deep Water (CBDW), Arctic Intermediate Water (AIW) and Nordic Sea Deep Water (NSDW). Data gaps in June are caused by ice-tethered profiler (ITP) data not covering the whole water column. Note that the y-axis is nonlinear, zoomed in the upper 400 m. In (a), triangles indicate the start and end of the legs; dots and vertical dotted lines, the geographical markers; and the orange line, the uncrewed period of the drift as in Figure 1b.

the Atlantic Water layer to reach the surface. In addition, the strong stratification also decouples the speed and even direction of lateral advection in the surface layer and halocline, which may all contribute to a heterogeneous distribution of tracers as well as microorganisms in these layers, despite both being located in the potentially sun-lit upper ocean.

Relatively warm and saline water from the Atlantic enters the Arctic Ocean 318 through eastern Fram Strait and the shallow Barents Sea, carrying high nutri-319 ent concentrations (Torres-Valdés et al., 2013) and organisms of Atlantic origin 320 (Snoeijs-Leijonmalm et al., 2022). This water circulates counterclockwise along 321 the Arctic continental slopes (Schauer et al., 1997; Rudels, 2012) and is modified 322 on its pathway by heat loss to the atmosphere when it resides close to the surface 323 in the Barents Sea (Smedsrud et al., 2013; Meyer et al., 2017a) and subsequently 324 by mixing with colder water masses (Lenn et al., 2009; Rippeth et al., 2015). This 325 modification appears as a temperature decrease and a progressively deeper po-326 sition of the warm and saline Atlantic Water within the water column along its 327 advective pathway (e.g., Schulz et al., 2021). When Atlantic Water temperatures 328 are below 2°C, we refer to it as modified, or Arctic Atlantic Water (AAW; beige 329 in Figure 2c). In TS-diagrams, this layer is visible as a temperature peak, i.e., an 330 increase and decrease of temperature over a narrow salinity range (Figure 3a). The 331 distribution and modification of Atlantic Water can also be inferred from prove-332 nance tracers (e.g., Bauch et al., 2016; Laukert et al., 2017, 2019). 333

The identification of deep waters below the Atlantic Water layer is less 334 straightforward, as changes in temperature and salinity at these depths can be 335 close to the instrument precision (as in the MOSAiC data, red box in Figure 3). 336 Moreover, historical definitions for these deep waters might not hold anymore, as 337 the properties of the water masses involved in their formation have been chang-338 ing due to ongoing global warming (Somavilla et al., 2013; von Appen et al., 339 2015; Karam et al., 2024). Here, we use a set of historical definitions that dif-340 fer between the central basins and the regions of Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait 341 (see Section 2), but we advise treating these results with caution. In the central 342 Eurasian Arctic Ocean (Amundsen and Nansen Basins), Upper Polar Deep Water 343 (UPDW; lilac in Figure 2c) resides below the Atlantic Water layer. UPDW is a het-344 erogeneous water mass formed as a mixture of intermediate waters, flowing into 345 the Arctic Ocean through Fram Strait, and Atlantic Water that has been strongly 346 cooled during winter in the Barents Sea, as well as saline and dense plumes formed 347 on the shelves by brine rejection during sea ice formation (e.g., Rudels, 2009). In 348 the TS-diagram, this water mass is a mostly straight line with increasing salin-349 ity and decreasing temperature (Figure 3b). Below the UPDW, the primary water 350

mass is Eurasian Basin Deep Water (EBDW; green in Figure 2c), with occasional 351 intrusions of relatively warm and saline Canada Basin Deep Water (CBDW; pink 352 in Figure 2c). EBDW is characterized by nearly constant temperature and is the 353 result of the interaction between inflowing deep waters through Fram Strait and 354 dense plumes from the shelves (e.g., Smethie Jr et al., 1988). CBDW enters the 355 Eurasian Basin across the Lomonosov Ridge and proceeds as a narrow boundary 356 current, but is episodically transported into the interior basin by eddies (Karam 357 et al., 2023). The water mass close to the seafloor is called Eurasian Basin Bottom 358 Water (EBBW; dark purple in Figure 2c); its properties are impacted notably by 359 dense overflows and geothermal heating (e.g., Smethie Jr et al., 1988). In Fram 360 Strait, there is Arctic Intermediate Water (AIW; orange in Figure 2c) instead of 361 UPDW below the Atlantic Water layer and Norwegian Sea Deep Water (NSDW; 362 brown in Figure 2c) closer to the sea floor. AIW is characterized by nearly con-363 stant salinity and decreasing temperatures with depth and is typically enriched in 364 oxygen, as it is formed through open ocean convection in the Nordic Seas (e.g., 365 Meyer et al., 2017b). NSDW used to be seen as a cold, fresh and very dense water 366 mass but has warmed rapidly since the cessation of Nordic Seas deep convection, 367 as it is no longer replenished. It now closely resembles EBDW (von Appen et al., 368 2015; Karam et al., 2023). All the deep water masses are different mixtures be-369 tween water of Atlantic origin and waters entrained by deep convection (NSDW) 370 or dense water overflows (all Eurasian basins deep waters) and therefore have 371 different tracer properties, especially oxygen (Karam et al., 2023) and transient 372 tracers (Heuzé et al., 2023a). 373

4.2. Surface and subsurface layer properties along the MOSAiC drift

The Amundsen Basin of early winter 2019–2020 was characterized by a well-376 defined surface mixed layer close to the freezing point down to around 30 m depth 377 and a stable halocline below (Figure 4a,d). Intermediate surface salinities around 378 33 g kg^{-1} combined with low CDOM concentrations (Figure 4b,c) suggest that the 379 contribution of river water was relatively small here. This small contribution could 380 be related to different freshwater sources and their respective advective pathways, 381 as the distribution of neodymium isotopes indicates alternating freshwater do-382 mains in this region reflecting variable contributions from the Yenisei, Ob and 383 Lena rivers (G Laukert, unpublished). Sea ice meltwater from the preceding melt 384 season may have also contributed to a fresher surface layer in this region (com-385 pared to the water below) and diluted the river-borne compounds. This dilution 386





Absolute salinity against conservative temperature for (a) the full depth range (for the basin averages, the upper 5 m are not shown); and (b) enlargement of the deep water masses. Gray lines indicate daily profiles and colored lines refer to basin averages as indicated. The black line in (a) indicates the salinity-dependent freezing point temperature, and black rectangles indicate Atlantic Water (AW) and Arctic Atlantic Water (AAW). The small pink rectangle in (a) corresponds to the range displayed in (b). In (b), circles indicate the approximate range of Upper Polar Deep Water (UPDW), Eurasian Basin Deep Water (EBDW), Canadian Basin Deep Water (NSDW).



Figure 4. Ocean surface layer properties along the drift.

(a) Surface mixed layer depth (m, black dots; stratified surface layers are indicated with purple crosses), (b) surface absolute salinity (g kg⁻¹, black line), conservative temperature Θ (°C, red line) and freezing point temperature (°C, blue line), (c) colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM; ppb, black line) and river water fraction (%, green dots) and (d) mixed layer (gray), halocline (teal) and thermocline (red) extent and position of the -1°C isotherm (black dots) along the drift. In (a), triangles indicate the start and end of the legs; dots, vertical dotted lines and annotations, the geographical markers including Amundsen Basin (AB), Gakkel Ridge (GR), Nansen Basin (B), Yermak Plateau (Pl) and Fram Strait (FS). The orange line indicates the uncrewed period of the drift as in Figure 1b.

effect could explain the rather low dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentra-387 tions at the very start of the drift (Kong, 2022). At the beginning of December, 388 a decrease in salinity and an increase in both CDOM and river water fraction 389 (derived from δ^{18} O; see Section 2, Text S1) to over 13% indicate that the floe 390 had entered the river water-rich part of the Transpolar Drift. Somewhat surpris-391 ingly, the position of the maximum river water fraction does not coincide with 392 the highest concentrations of CDOM, which appear only when surface salinity in-393 creases again and the surface layer started to deepen in March (Figure 4a–c). This 394 disjunct could be related to different freshwater sources and their respective ad-395 vective pathways, as the distribution of neodymium isotopes indicates alternating 396 freshwater domains in this region either reflecting increased contributions from 397 the Yenisei and Ob rivers or the Lena River (G Laukert, unpublished). A similar 398 but spatially shifted distribution has already been described based on summer data 399 from 2015, suggesting a strong spatio-temporal variability of the surface waters 400 in the Eurasian Arctic Ocean (Paffrath et al., 2021). 401

As it approached the Gakkel Ridge, the floe left the heavily river-water-402 influenced part of the Transpolar Drift and surface salinity increased to a max-403 imum of 34.3 g kg $^{-1}$. River water fraction and CDOM concentrations decreased 404 during the passage of the ridge (Figure 4c). These decreases were also coinci-405 dent with a decrease of DOC concentrations in the surface layer (Kong, 2022). 406 On the Nansen Basin side of the Gakkel Ridge, the surface mixed layer deepened 407 to around 80 m. At the end of April, the surface stratification, i.e., the halocline, 408 disappeared completely and density only increased at a depth of approximately 409 130 m. These conditions have previously been described as "deep ventilation" 410 (Polyakov et al., 2017), referring to a mixed layer that is not bounded by the 411 halocline but reaches down to the warm Atlantic Water layer. This enhanced con-412 nectivity between the surface and Atlantic layer, compared to the situation in the 413 Amundsen Basin, is also evident from provenance tracer distributions suggest-414 ing enhanced Atlantic Water admixture to the surface (G Laukert, unpublished) 415 and might promote the transport of deep oceanic heat towards the sea ice (see 416 Section 6), thereby slowing basal growth (Lei et al., 2022), and increase verti-417 cal nutrient supply to the surface layer (Randelhoff et al., 2020). The enhanced 418 vertical exchange might also facilitate the transport of organisms advected in the 419 Atlantic Water layer closer to the surface. Deep ventilation, along with relatively 420 constant surface salinity, low river water fraction and CDOM concentrations, per-421 sisted throughout the Nansen Basin until the drift reached the Yermak Plateau in 422 June (Figure 4). 423

Above Yermak Plateau, from the end of May onwards, surface layer temper-

atures increased successively with ongoing solar warming and deviated more and 425 more from the freezing point (Figure 4b). River water fraction and CDOM re-426 mained at the same low levels as encountered in the Nansen Basin, but a slightly 427 lower surface salinity allowed for the presence of a halocline. The Atlantic Water 428 layer on the eastern side and above the plateau was much shallower (see Sec-429 tion 4.3), restricting the vertical extent of the halocline (Figure 4d). Sea ice melt, 430 starting in late May to early June (Lei et al., 2022; Webster et al., 2022), and 431 surface warming created vertical density differences, i.e., stratification, within the 432 near-surface layer. Turbulent mixing in the upper ocean (see Section 6 for details) 433 did not penetrate deeper than 30 m and usually was not strong enough to destroy 434 the near-surface stratification established by meltwater input and warming. Hence, 435 especially later in the season, we often observed no classical surface mixed layer 436 (purple crosses in Figure 4a) and, even in the uppermost layer, vertical gradients in 437 any tracer concentration, e.g., nutrients, or organism distribution, can be expected. 438 When leaving the Yermak Plateau on July 16, we observed another regime 439 shift in the surface layer: Surface salinity abruptly decreased, while river water 440 fraction and CDOM concentrations, which had remained low since entering the 441 Nansen Basin, increased. This change is accompanied by a trend toward less ra-442 diogenic neodymium isotopic compositions (G Laukert, unpublished), suggesting 443 increased admixture of Lena River water and supporting cross-Arctic transport 444 of Siberian freshwater. In Fram Strait, we also observed a subsurface increase of 445 CDOM (data not shown), indicative of the "edge" of the East Greenland Current 446 (which is an extension of the Transpolar Drift of relatively fresh water of Siberian 447 origin). Such a transition from one oceanic (surface) regime to another is often 448 accompanied by sudden changes in biogeochemical water properties (e.g., nutri-449 ent relationships) and potentially also the ecological community structure (e.g., 450 Tippenhauer et al., 2021). The surface temperature anomaly relative to freezing 451 point further increased, to a maximum of 0.4°C shortly before the floe broke up. 452

After relocating north at the end of August, back into the Amundsen Basin, 453 we observed the freshest surface waters (see also Rabe et al., 2022) and a sta-454 ble halocline similar to the first phase of the drift. There are no sensor-based 455 CDOM measurements after the relocation, but the highest CDOM absorption and 456 DOC concentrations in surface waters during MOSAiC were found here (Kong, 457 2022). Moreover, the highest river water fractions based on oxygen isotopes and 458 the least radiogenic neodymium isotope signatures were determined, in line with 459 the strongest Lena River contributions during the entire MOSAiC campaign (G 460 Laukert, unpublished). The similarity of neodymium isotope signatures between 461 this freshwater domain and that in the western Fram Strait may suggest continuous 462

freshwater transport along the Transpolar Drift. However, enhanced freshwater 463 export from the Siberian shelf exhibits a strong seasonality linked to the variable 464 shelf hydrography (Janout et al., 2020), which may be preserved along the Trans-465 polar Drift. The uppermost layer was often stratified due to sea ice melt and solar 466 warming. Whenever a well-defined surface layer existed, it was about 20 m deep, 467 slightly shallower than during the first part of the drift. Surface temperatures were 468 still above freezing when sampling resumed, but approached freezing point at the 469 beginning of September. 470

When sampling was resumed after the floe had been left uncrewed in July, we 471 observed an approximately 1 m thick, low-salinity (S_A from close to 0 to about 472 10 g kg⁻¹) under-ice meltwater layer, visible in salinity profiles (Schulz et al., 473 2022b). At the interface between the fresher meltwater layer and the underlying 474 colder seawater, thin layers of ice formed, so-called false bottoms (Smith et al., 475 2022; Salganik et al., 2023a). Low salinity meltwater layers in leads remained 476 present until strong winds caused enhanced mixing during the period September 477 5–9 (Smith et al., 2023; Nomura et al., 2023). The presence of meltwater resulted 478 in a very strong stratification in the uppermost meters, up to two orders of mag-479 nitude stronger compared to the halocline. Measurements with an uprising turbu-480 lence profiler also show drastically reduced turbulent mixing in the near-surface 481 layer when meltwater layers were present (Fer et al., 2022). Details on the dy-482 namics and implications of meltwater layers can be found in Smith et al. (2022); 483 Nomura et al. (2023); Salganik et al. (2023a); Smith et al. (2023). 484

485 4.3. Atlantic Water layer along the MOSAiC drift

Modified Arctic Atlantic Water (AAW) was present throughout the MOSAiC drift. 486 In the Amundsen Basin, the upper limit of the AAW layer were situated at approx-487 imately 150 m depth. After passing the Gakkel Ridge into the Nansen Basin, the 488 AAW was warmer and situated deeper in the water column (Figure 2a). Relatively 489 unmodified Atlantic Water (AW), coming straight from the Atlantic and being 490 characterized by a core temperature above 2°C, was only present above Yermak 491 Plateau (Figure 2c), where warm waters also resided about 100 m closer to the sur-492 face (Figure 4d), and in Fram Strait. Here, we use the term Atlantic Water (layer) 493 to refer to both AW and AAW. 494

The "older" the Atlantic Water layer, i.e., the longer it has been out of contact with the surface and traveled in the Arctic while being mixed with colder waters, the deeper and colder its core (Rudels, 2015). Hence, we observed a strong correlation ($R^2 = 0.67$, not shown) between the core depth and the core temperature. Along the drift in 2019–2020, the Atlantic Water core was mostly located at around 300 m depth, with a temperature around 1.2°C. Above Yermak Plateau and in Fram Strait, the core was approximately 1°C warmer (and 0.1 kg m⁻³ lighter) and 100 m shallower, but subject to strong variability. In this region, the impact of the shallow and "young" Atlantic Water on, e.g., nutrient supply or organism composition might be more pronounced compared to the situation in the deep basins.

As Atlantic Water can take different paths within the Arctic Ocean, e.g., en-506 tering via Fram Strait or through the Barents Sea, or recirculating into the deep 507 basins from different positions along the continental slope (Rudels, 2012, 2015), 508 different branches of Atlantic Water, with slightly different temperature and salin-509 ity signatures, can often be found at the same position, stacked on top of each other 510 (Rudels and Hainbucher, 2020). These "interleaving" layers can be identified as 511 z-shapes near the Atlantic Water temperature maximum in the TS-diagrams (Fig-512 ure 3a) and as inversion layers and local temperature minima in the temperature 513 profiles. In the Amundsen and Nansen Basin, interleaving involved mainly the 514 Barents Sea and the Fram Strait branches of Atlantic Water. In the more dynamic 515 Fram Strait region, we found strong interleaving, with several sources of Atlantic 516 Water, which might differ in their respective biogeochemical signature that cause 517 vertical gradients in, e.g., nutrient concentration. 518

At the upper bound of the Atlantic Water layer, both temperature and salin-519 ity increase with depth. In quiescent conditions, i.e., when turbulent mixing is 520 negligible and molecular diffusion is the dominant mixing process, temperature 521 gradients diffuse faster than gradients in salinity. This difference in thermal and 522 haline diffusion coefficients creates step-like structures, so-called thermohaline 523 or double-diffusive staircases, typical for the Arctic Ocean (Shibley et al., 2017). 524 These structures can persist for years and over 100 km of horizontal distance, and 525 individual layers can be up to several tens of meters thick (e.g., Lenn et al., 2009; 526 Guthrie et al., 2017). Along the MOSAiC drift, we frequently, but not always, 527 observed thermohaline staircases in the quiescent Amundsen Basin, in line with 528 findings from high resolution observations from drifting stations in the same area, 529 that show 1-3 m thick thermohaline staircase layers in the 200-260 m depth range 530 (Sirevaag and Fer, 2012). Outside of the Amundsen Basin, we sometimes ob-531 served structures that might be remnants of thermohaline staircases in the vertical 532 profiles (not shown), but their characteristic sharp interfaces were absent. These 533 differences point towards a lower connectivity between the surface and deeper 534 ocean in the Amundsen Basin, compared to the other parts of the drift. 535

536 4.4. Deep water along the MOSAiC drift

The deep water masses during the MOSAiC drift have been described in detail in 537 Karam et al. (2023) and Rabe et al. (2022); here, we provide only a brief sum-538 mary. Despite the uncertainties associated with the identification of deep water 539 masses (sensor accuracy, changes in end member properties; see Section 4.1), we 540 observed a somewhat consistent distribution of deep waters across the Eurasian 541 basin during MOSAiC. In the Nansen and Amundsen Basin, UPDW was ob-542 served right under the Atlantic layer down to approximately 1500 m. Below the 543 UPDW, primarily EBDW is found until the sill depth of Fram Strait (approxi-544 mately 2500 m), with occasional intrusions of relatively warm and saline CBDW 545 as a salinity maximum between 1700-2000 m depth (Karam et al., 2023). Below 546 the sill depth of Fram Strait, the temperature increased slightly as we encountered 547 the last deep water mass, EBBW, until the seafloor. Deep waters directly above 548 the Gakkel Ridge and their unique hydrothermal-vent-influenced ecosystem were 549 not sampled during MOSAiC. 550

The deeper waters above the Yermak Plateau and in Fram Strait consisted 551 of UPDW, alternating with likely AIW. Below UPDW/AIW, we again observed 552 CBDW in Fram Strait, as a salinity maximum at roughly 2000 m depth. Close to 553 the bottom in Fram Strait, we found a mixture of NSDW and EBDW. Again, we 554 note that identifying water masses in Fram Strait solely based on their temperature 555 and salinity signature as done in this study is associated with large uncertainties, 556 primarily due to the warming and increased salinity of waters south of Fram Strait 557 over the past decades. Hence, traditional water mass classifications (Marnela et al., 558 2016) do not necessarily hold for the deep waters anymore (Somavilla et al., 2013; 559 von Appen et al., 2015). Other tracers, such as CFC, SF_6 , or dissolved oxygen, are 560 needed to accurately determine the origin of deep water masses, which is beyond 561 our scope but addressed in Karam et al. (2023) and Heuzé et al. (2023a). 562

563 5. Current velocities, tides and eddies

In both central basins, current velocities below the surface mixed layer were small, 564 on the order of 0.01 m s⁻¹. Within the surface mixed layer, current velocities were 565 intensified and correlated with the sea ice drift speed ($R^2 = 0.9$; data not shown). 566 The magnitude of the ocean surface current (14–30 m vertical average), however, 567 was much smaller, on average 16% of the floe drift speed (Figure 5a), meaning that 568 the ice moves around six times faster than the upper ocean. This difference illus-569 trates that, while both sea ice and fresh, riverine water are transported from their 570 region of origin in Siberia across the Arctic towards Fram Strait, their transport 571



Figure 5. Current velocities along the drift.

(a) Sea ice drift (black, m s⁻¹) and combined drift and averaged current velocity in the upper 14–30 m relative to the floe (teal, m s⁻¹), (b) current speed (m s⁻¹) relative to the sea floor and (c) tidal velocities (m s⁻¹) from observations (teal) and the Arctic Ocean Tidal Inverse Model AOTIM5 (black) along the drift. In (a), triangles indicate the start and end of the legs, dots, vertical dotted lines and annotations the geographical markers including Amundsen Basin (AB), Gakkel Ridge (GR), Nansen Basin (B), Yermak Plateau (Pl) and Fram Strait (FS). The orange line indicates the uncrewed period of the drift as in Figure 1b. In (b), orange triangles indicate near-surface eddies, the black line indicates the depth of the surface mixed layer.

timescales and exact pathways differ. Sea ice within the Transpolar Drift typically 572 traverses the Arctic Ocean within 1–3 years (Charette et al., 2020; Steele et al., 573 2004), while the transport timescale for freshwater might be rather on the order of 574 a decade. In addition, the pathway of the Transpolar Drift is strongly influenced 575 by daily to decadal variability in wind conditions (Mysak, 2001), yielding that 576 liquid and solid freshwater of similar origin in space and time might take very 577 different routes through the Arctic Ocean. The difference in sea ice drift and sur-578 face ocean current speed also underlines that, while sampling the same sea ice, the 579 water below the ice quickly changes throughout the drift and oceanic data cannot 580 be treated as a simple time series. Furthermore, as the surface mixed layer tends 581 to move faster than the ocean below, any time series recorded above and below 582 the surface mixed layer base might develop independently of each other. 583

The region around the Yermak Plateau and especially in Fram Strait, is more 584 energetic. Absolute current velocities were much higher (up to 0.4 m s^{-1}) and 585 more variable, and surface currents correlated less with sea ice drift. Here, tides 586 play a greater role, with a dominance of diurnal frequencies above the Yermak 587 Plateau and semi-diurnal frequencies in Fram Strait (data not shown; see Fer et al., 588 2015, for details on tides in the region). In combination with the more variable 589 water column structure in this region (see Section 4), we expect more variability 590 on short, daily to sub-daily, timescales, e.g., in surface nutrient supply or species 591 composition. Assumptions of lateral homogeneity, i.e., negligible spatial gradi-592 ents, which are to some degree justified in the respective deep basins, no longer 593 hold in the dynamic regime of the Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait. 594

Start	End	\mathbf{D}^{a} (m)	$\Delta \mathbf{h}^{b}$ (m)	Туре
17.12.19 01:00	18.12.19 11:00	38	40	Anticyclonic
16.01.20 07:00	17.01.20 10:00	38	48	Anticyclonic
31.01.20 08:00	02.02.20 07:00	22	56	Anticyclonic
11.02.20 14:00	13.02.20 12:00	22	80	Anticyclonic
29.08.20 17:00	30.08.20 17:00	38	40	Cyclonic
03.09.20 23:00	03.09.20 10:00	30	64	Anticyclonic

Table 2. Clearly identifiable upper ocean eddies along the drift.

^{*a*} First depth where the eddy was detected.

^b Vertical eddy thickness.

⁵⁹⁵ Six upper ocean eddies were identified in the halocline in the Amundsen and ⁵⁹⁶ Nansen Basin, listed in Table 2 and indicated in Figure 5b. Five of these eddies

rotated anticyclonically (clockwise) and only one cyclonically, in line with the 597 previously reported prevalence of anticyclonic eddies in the Arctic Ocean (Zhao 598 et al., 2014; von Appen et al., 2022). The timing of these eddies does not coincide 599 with the presence of storms or strong winds, indicating that the eddies had not 600 been formed locally, but might rather be advected and originate from topographic 601 features (Zhao et al., 2014) or barotropic and baroclinic instabilities (von Ap-602 pen et al., 2022). Eddies can transport water masses with distinct biogeochemical 603 signatures over large distances, and their associated higher current velocities can 604 increase local vertical mixing (Son et al., 2022). Both processes can enhance the 605 nutrient supply to the photic zone, making eddies potential biological hotspots. 606 A presumably high fraction of nutrients supplied by eddy activity in the Arctic 607 winter would not be consumed, but would instead (locally) increase the nutrient 608 inventory for the next productive season. In addition, anticyclonic eddies are as-609 sociated with a shoaling of the mixed layer base, which was most pronounced for 610 the eddies in January and February when the mixed layer depth decreased by 10– 611 20 m. However, a similar variability in mixed layer depth is also observed during 612 times when eddies were absent. In the Yermak Plateau/Fram Strait regions, eddy 613 activity is obscured by the strong tides; hence no eddies were identified there. 614

On November 9, 14 and 28 (2019), we also observed a large anticyclonic eddy at greater depth in the middle of the Amundsen Basin, indicated by sloping isopycnals above and below the eddy, with relatively dense waters above the eddy and light waters below, relative to the adjacent water column (data not shown). This eddy carried a warm and saline CBDW intrusion and extended over approximately 1200–2400 m depth (Karam et al., 2023).

621 6. Turbulence and vertical transport

622 6.1. Surface mixing

In contrast to the surface *mixed* layer depth, which describes the depth to which 623 the surface layer is uniform in temperature and salinity (see Section 4.2), the 624 mixing layer depth describes how deep active turbulent mixing, which is created 625 by friction at the ice-ocean interface, or by wind and waves in the marginal ice 626 zone or open water conditions, penetrates into the water column. While active 627 mixing creates the mixed layer by homogenizing the water column, the mixed 628 layer will persist even after the active mixing has decayed. This persistence is be-629 cause, even though the small-scale turbulent motion causing the mixing will dissi-630 pate within hours or days, the re-establishment of gradients near the surface, i.e., 631 re-stratification, often takes much longer, especially in the absence of restoring 632





(a) Surface mixed layer depth (black) and mixing layer depth (red, m, left vertical axis) and upper ocean stratification (teal, right axis, s^{-2}). (b) Mixing layer depth (red, m, left axis; note that the vertical axis is reversed) and friction velocity (gray, right axis). In (a), black and white triangles indicate the start and end of the legs, dots, vertical dotted lines and annotations the geographical markers including Amundsen Basin (AB), Gakkel Ridge (GR), Nansen Basin (B), Yermak Plateau (Pl) and Fram Strait (FS). The orange line indicates the uncrewed period of the drift as in Figure 1b and orange triangles indicate near surface eddies.

forces, such as strong lateral gradients. This delay explains why the distribution of biological and biogeochemical tracers is often homogeneous in the actively mixing layer, but not in the mixed layer, where it instead reflects a combined signal of past active mixing and new biological production (or consumption) in the respective layers (Carranza et al., 2018).

The relation between the depth of the mixed layer and depth of the active 638 mixing layer is illustrated in Figure 6a. At times during MOSAiC, active mixing 639 reached down to the base of the mixed layer, but was often confined to the upper 640 20 m. In the Nansen Basin, in the presence of deep ventilation conditions, active 641 mixing occasionally reached to a maximum depth of 80 m, but not to the mixed 642 layer base located at approximately 130 m. However, we have limited observations 643 of turbulence here, due to the interruption of the drift between Legs 3 and 4. Upon 644 return to the Amundsen Basin in summer, the mixed layer depth was shallower 645 compared to the winter condition, caused by a lower surface salinity and hence 646 stronger upper ocean stratification (teal line; Figure 6a). The active mixing layer 647 depth, however, is comparable to the maximum depth of active mixing typically 648 observed in this region in winter, during the first part of the drift, and reaches 649 deeper than the mixed layer base. In other words, the same level of turbulent 650 energy that created an approximately 30 m deep mixed layer in the presence of 651 weaker upper ocean stratification (first part of the drift), only created a 20 m deep 652 mixed layer in the presence of stronger stratification (last part of the drift). This 653 comparison illustrates how strong stratification requires more turbulent energy to 654 be mixed and that storm events, associated with elevated levels of turbulence, 655 can have a different impact on the vertical transport of, e.g., nutrients and other 656 biogeochemical compounds or organisms, depending on the strength of the upper 657 ocean stratification. 658

As the turbulent energy in the mixing layer mainly originates from friction at 659 the ice-ocean interface, the depth of the mixing layer is, to a large extent, related 660 to the sea ice drift speed. A parameter to describe the impact of drift speed on 661 upper ocean turbulence is the friction velocity, u_{+} (right vertical axis in Figure 6b). 662 In the (winter) Amundsen Basin and in the Nansen Basin, the evolution of the 663 mixing layer depth corresponds to variations in friction velocity, on a daily time 664 scale. The relationship is different, but still visible, above the Yermak Plateau 665 and breaks down in Fram Strait. Both regions were characterized by considerably 666 higher current velocities, which likely contributed to the friction at the ice-ocean 667 interface. Furthermore, sea ice melt probably reduced the bottom roughness of the 668 sea ice (which was kept constant in the u_* calculation here), thereby reducing the 669 efficiency of energy transfer from sea ice drift to surface ocean turbulence. After 670

resuming sampling on another ice floe in the Amundsen Basin in late summer, in the presence of a stronger upper ocean stratification, the mixing layer depth was relatively constant and the effect of the friction velocity less clear. In summary, variations in ice drift speed strongly influenced the mixing layer depth on daily or probably shorter time scales, but other effects like the upper ocean stratification and tides are likely to alter this relationship.

The different timescales on which the active mixing depth and the mixed layer 677 depth vary can have implications for the distribution of tracers and organisms in 678 the near-surface layer. During longer calm periods, when the wind and drift speed 679 are low, vertical biogeochemical gradients might be established within the sur-680 face mixed layer, e.g., if nutrients are preferentially consumed in the upper part 681 of the mixed layer, where more sunlight is available, or if tracers and organisms 682 from melting sea ice are injected to the ocean and accumulate only in the very top 683 layer. A wind event could then easily homogenize these gradients on very short 684 (hourly) timescales, altering the biogeochemical signature over the whole mixed 685 layer depth. Such an event could boost primary productivity, by replenishing sur-686 face nutrients, but could also have an adverse effect by displacing organisms to 687 greater depths, where less sunlight is available and food is more diluted. 688

689 6.2. Turbulent diffusivity

The decay of turbulent energy with increasing distance from the surface, where 690 it is generated mainly by friction under the sea ice, is visible in Figure 7a. In 691 the Amundsen Basin, strong stratification (Figure 7b) confined elevated levels of 692 mixing to the upper approximately 70 m in winter and, due to stronger surface 693 stratification, to approximately 50 m in summer. In the Nansen Basin, where the 694 upper ocean was well mixed or only weakly stratified (yellow lines in Figure 7), 695 turbulence was elevated in the upper 90 m and still slightly above noise level down 696 to approximately 200 m. The Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait regions were more 697 stratified, partly due to buoyancy input by meltwater and solar warming, but also 698 more dynamic (see Section 5). Here, turbulence was strongly elevated in the upper 699 40 m and still elevated below, though weaker than in the Nansen Basin. 700

⁷⁰¹ Vertical diffusivity, the coefficient necessary to calculate turbulent vertical ⁷⁰² fluxes in the presence of stratification, differed both regionally and depending ⁷⁰³ on the vertical position in the water column. In the strongly stratified halocline ⁷⁰⁴ in the Amundsen Basin, values are smallest and on the order of 10^{-6} m² s⁻¹, as ⁷⁰⁵ already reported in Schulz et al. (2023a), illustrating how the halocline separates ⁷⁰⁶ the surface from the deeper water layers. In the conditions we encountered in





Basin-averaged vertical profiles of the (a) turbulent dissipation rate ε (W kg⁻¹), (b) Brunt-Väisälä frequency, N, squared (s⁻²) and (c) vertical diffusivity K_z (m² s⁻¹). Colors refer to the Amundsen Basin (AB) summer and winter conditions, Nansen Basin (NB) and the Yermak Plateau (YP) and Fram Strait (FS) averages, the vertical gray line in (a) indicates the lowest detection ("noise") level of the profiler. Data below around 90 m in the Amundsen Basin and below 200 m in the Nansen Basin and the Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait regions are at noise level and not shown in (c).

summer, characterized by lower surface salinity and a shallower mixed layer, the 707 "bottleneck" for vertical transport formed by the halocline was even more pro-708 nounced (blue and violet lines in Figure 7c). In the Yermak Plateau and Fram 709 Strait regions, upper ocean (30-160 m) vertical diffusivity was an order of mag-710 nitude higher, around 10^{-5} m² s⁻¹ (green line in Figure 7c). In the Nansen Basin, 711 upper ocean vertical diffusivity is highest, ranging from more than 10^{-3} m² s⁻¹ in 712 the upper 50 m and gradually decreasing to approximately 10^{-5} m² s⁻¹ at around 713 170 m depth. Highest vertical fluxes of any tracer, e.g., heat, nutrients or oxygen, 714 can therefore be expected in the Nansen Basin. 715

The variability within both basins was relatively low, with average values providing a good representation of the typical conditions. However, the Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait regions are energetic and exhibited considerably different conditions, e.g., with respect to tidal currents (Section 5), stratification and Atlantic Water layer properties (Section 4). Here, average values can be informative and descriptive, but for detailed studies in those regions, the actual contemporaneous conditions need to be considered.

723 6.3. Heat fluxes

Ocean heat fluxes presented here were calculated in two ways. Close to the surface 724 (3 m depth), high-resolution point measurements of three-dimensional velocity 725 and temperature from an autonomous buoy provided heat fluxes based on direct 726 eddy correlation methods. In deeper layers, we derived heat fluxes from vertical 727 temperature gradients and the vertical diffusion coefficient K_z (described above), 728 e.g., over the halocline or the Atlantic Water thermocline (see Section 2, Text S1). 729 The heat flux at 3 m reflects how a small difference in heat, i.e., water even slightly 730 above the local salinity-controlled freezing point, is transported near the ice-ocean 731 interface. The heat flux over the halocline describes the heat entering the surface 732 mixed layer from the ocean below. The heat flux over the thermocline can be 733 interpreted as the heat lost from the Atlantic Water to the colder water layer above 734 (Schulz et al., 2021). Similarly, vertical fluxes of other tracers, e.g., nutrients or 735 dissolved oxygen, could be calculated from the K_z data presented here and the 736 respective tracer profiles. Depending on the position of the layer of interest, e.g., 737 the nitracline, we expect that these fluxes qualitatively follow the variability we 738 observed in heat fluxes. 739

Heat fluxes at 3 m depth, near the top of the ocean mixed layer (Figure 8a), 740 ranged between -2 W m^{-2} and 7 W m^{-2} , exhibiting a typical wide day-to-day 741 variability, arising primarily from the variable wind-forced motion of the ice (Fig-742 ure 5a). During the winter period, in the absence of solar heating, the 3 m fluxes 743 arose from wind-ice-forced turbulent mixing of heat within the mixed layer and 744 heat trapped by the strong salinity-controlled density gradient at the base of the 745 mixed layer. Heat transport from the base of the mixed layer was strongly am-746 plified in the presence of eddies. During the ice growth period (December to end 747 of April), ice basal growth of 0.92 m to 1.05 m was measured (AOFB altimeter 748 on a different floe; Perovich et al., 2023). This basal growth is dominated by ice 749 conductive fluxes controlled by air temperature, humidity, wind speed, the effects 750 of highly insulating snow, ice thickness and ice salinity. Because the ocean mixed 751 layer temperature is very close to the freezing point (Figure 4b; Section 4.2), heat 752 lost to the ice cannot further cool the ocean, but rather forms ice, releasing brine 753 and removing latent heat from the ice-water interface (e.g., McPhee, 2008). The 754 small contribution to ice basal change from time-integrated predominantly upward 755 heat fluxes for this time series was just 1.2 cm of ice loss, with little contribution 756 after the beginning of May 2020. 757

28



Figure 8. Vertical heat fluxes during the drift.

(a) Heat fluxes (F_h) at 3 m depth, based on eddy-correlation, measured with an Autonomous Ocean Flux Buoy at the Distributed Network "L2" site (Rabe et al., 2022), at a distance of 15–25 km from *Polarstern*. Blue dots are daily averages; the black line is a 6-day low-pass filtered time series and red diamonds are monthly mean flux values. (b) Heat fluxes over the halocline (teal dots) and Atlantic Water thermocline (red dots) based on shear probe measurements. (c-f) Individual (gray) and average (black) conservative temperature (Θ) profiles and average halocline and thermocline heat fluxes in the Amundsen Basin (AB) in summer and winter, the Nansen Basin and the Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait regions (YP/FS). All values are in W m⁻². In (a), triangles indicate the start and end of the legs; dots, vertical dotted lines; and annotations, the geographical markers including the Gakkel Ridge (GR), Nansen Basin (B), Yermak Plateau (Pl) and Fram Strait (FS). The orange line indicates the uncrewed period of the drift as in Figure 1b.

As previously reported, based on the winter Amundsen Basin data from MO-758 SAiC (Schulz et al., 2023a), the heat flux over the halocline is negligible, meaning 759 that the halocline effectively shelters the upper water layers and the sea ice from 760 the heat in the Atlantic Water layer. While there was a minimal upward flux in the 761 Amundsen Basin in winter, with heat fluxes much smaller than 0.1 W m^{-2} , the 762 stronger stratification present in summer completely suppressed any heat trans-763 port over the halocline (Figure 8a,c,f). As the Gakkel Ridge was approached in 764 March, halocline heat fluxes gradually increased, reaching maximum levels above 765 the ridge. However, daily mean values were still small, below 0.8 W m^{-2} (directed 766 upwards). Halocline heat fluxes above the Yermak Plateau were comparable to 767 those above the Gakkel Ridge, until surface heating reversed the temperature gra-768 dient and small, downward-oriented heat fluxes were observed. 769

Upward heat loss from the Atlantic Water layer in the Amundsen Basin was 770 around 1 W m⁻², with little (sub)seasonal variability. Under deep ventilation con-771 ditions in the Nansen Basin, in the absence of a sheltering halocline, the more 772 turbulent surface layer directly connects with the Atlantic Water layer and ther-773 mocline heat fluxes increased by a factor of three, compared to the Amundsen 774 Basin conditions with a stable halocline (Figure 8b,c,d,f). In the Yermak Plateau 775 and Fram Strait regions, heat fluxes were also enhanced, but the temperature struc-776 ture in the water column, and hence the heat flux, was more variable (Figure 8c). 777 Here, heat fluxes were highest on the plateau, where the Atlantic Water layer is 778 shallow and the Atlantic Water core is warmer (and younger) compared to the rest 779 of the drift. Heat fluxes decreased to a level between Nansen and Amundsen Basin 780 conditions as Fram Strait was entered. 781

782 7. Comparison of MOSAiC data and ocean climatologies

Ocean climatologies are interpolations of observed temperature and salinity pro-783 files, which are often used as initial or boundary conditions in modeling studies, 784 or for ground-truthing the results of simulations. In contrast, state estimates are 785 realizations of numerical models that have been optimized to best fit observa-786 tional data, while obeying the physical laws that govern processes in the ocean. 787 The majority of data used to create the climatologies were collected more than 10 788 years ago (Table 1). Because the Arctic is the world's fastest-changing region, it is 789 unclear how representative these datasets still are. The high-resolution MOSAiC 790 data can serve as a benchmark for the "modern-day" Eurasian Arctic, enabling an 791 evaluation of how representative the climatologies are of the current conditions. 792 Here, we compare four climatologies and two state estimates in three time periods/ 793



Figure 9. Comparison of the observations along the drift with climatological datasets and state estimates.

(a-c) Conservative temperature (Θ) and (d-f) absolute salinity profiles of four climatological datasets (PHC3, WOA18, WOA23 and MIMOC) and two state estimates (ECCO and ASTE; see Section 2 and Text S1 for definitions and details) and the MOSAiC observations. Note the different ranges on the y-axis for salinity and temperature. Data have been averaged for the months of January in the Amundsen Basin (AB), May in the Nansen Basin (NB) and July in the Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait regions (YP/NB). (g) Atlantic Water (AW) core conservative temperature (Θ) and (h) depth (m), and (i) halocline (HAL) conservative temperature (Θ) and (j) depth (m).

regions (Figure 9) to the new MOSAiC data. We calculated month-long averages 794 of the MOSAiC data, with the January average representing Amundsen Basin 795 winter conditions, May representing spring conditions in the Nansen Basin, and 796 July representing summer conditions in the Fram Strait region. The correspond-797 ing climatological averages were derived from the objectively analyzed monthly 798 datasets of each climatology/state estimate, utilizing the nearest climatology grid 799 cell to the drift location at the midpoint of the corresponding month. For additional 800 information, including details about the respective data sources for the climatolo-801 gies, see Text S1. 802

Overall, we found good agreement between the climatologies and MOSAiC 803 data, regarding the vertical structure and seasonal and regional variability. The 804 MIMOC and WOA18 climatology show strong agreement and similarity, despite 805 WOA18 containing a larger proportion of older data compared to MIMOC. The 806 two state estimates, ECCO and ASTE, accurately reconstruct the complex ver-807 tical structure and the halocline, as well as seasonal and regional changes. Not 808 all climatologies accurately represent the surface mixed layer, which is subject to 809 considerable short-term variability, as profiles were often averaged over different 810 regions and time periods. MIMOC is the only climatology that considers this issue 811 during the interpolation and objective mapping process. 812

PHC3, with the oldest data of all the data products considered here (Table 1), 813 features a fresher Atlantic layer and halocline, compared to other data prod-814 ucts and MOSAiC data, which is expected as most data are pre-Atlantification 815 (Polyakov et al., 2017). The state estimates ECCO and ASTE are subject to tem-816 perature biases in the Atlantic layer, with ECCO being 1–1.5°C colder and ASTE 817 being 0.2–2.0°C warmer (with a larger bias in spring/summer Eurasian Basin than 818 in the winter Amundsen Basin), compared to the observed Atlantic Water core. 819 ASTE also exhibits a salinity bias, with a fresher Atlantic Water and halocline 820 layer, resulting in a weaker stratification. These biases point to issues reproducing 821 the Atlantic Water pathway (a common issue in many models, e.g., Heuzé et al., 822 2023b; Wang et al., 2023), an underestimation of vertical heat fluxes from the At-823 lantic Water layer and not enough observations along the Eastern Arctic boundary 824 current available to constrain the model (Nguyen et al., 2021). Constraining a new 825 release of ASTE with MOSAiC data will likely reduce this bias. 826

Across all basins and seasons, the MOSAiC data consistently exhibit warmer Atlantic Water, compared to the climatologies. The climatologies demonstrate a clear temporal dependency, with PH3, containing the oldest data, featuring the coldest Atlantic Water, approximately 1°C colder compared to the most recent WOA23. This observation aligns with the expected consequences of rapid Arctic Amplification and Arctic Ocean warming (Rantanen et al., 2022). Another possible shift is indicated in the Amundsen Basin halocline properties, the extent of which decreases from 130–200 m in the (oldest) PHC3 climatology to 70–100 m during MOSAiC. This shift is in line with previous findings of a weakening and shallowing of the halocline over recent decades (Polyakov et al., 2020a).

However, MOSAiC data comprise a snapshot of only one year and do not 837 capture interannual or decadal variability (e.g., Polyakov et al., 2023). The identi-838 fication of long-term variability and/or climate-change-induced changes in water 839 mass properties at all depths is not trivial. It requires in-depth analyses of variabil-840 ity and changes in both the upstream (e.g., properties in and exchanges with the 841 Nordic Seas) and the internal (e.g., shelf ventilation) processes. Such analyses can 842 only be undertaken by comparing MOSAiC to several decades of scarce, histori-843 cal data and are beyond the scope of this study. We also note that, consistent with 844 previous studies (e.g., Timmermans and Marshall, 2020), we observed significant 845 regional disparities within the Arctic Ocean, surpassing temporal variations on 846 both short and long-term timescales. Therefore, while the MOSAiC data reflect 847 conditions in the Eurasian basins, they do not necessarily represent modern-day 848 conditions elsewhere in the Arctic (see also Section 9). 849

850 8. Discussion

851 8.1. MOSAiC findings in comparison with previous results

852 8.1.1. Surface waters

Upper ocean properties along the MOSAiC drift were strongly influenced by the 853 relative position of the sampling within or outside of the river water-rich Trans-854 polar Drift. A direct comparison to earlier observations is challenging, as the ex-855 act pathway of river water is subject to seasonal and interannual variability (e.g., 856 Mysak, 2001; Karcher et al., 2012) and sampling locations of previous expedi-857 tions or ITP drift tracks differ from the MOSAiC locations. At the beginning 858 of the MOSAiC drift, the mixed layer salinity in the eastern Amundsen Basin, 859 around 32 g kg⁻¹ (Figure 4b), appears to be higher than in the early 2010s in 860 the same area: Observations from late summer in 2011 (Polarstern expedition 861 PS78; Gonçalves-Araujo et al., 2018) and 2012 (ITP64; Stedmon et al., 2021) 862 show a fresher surface layer with salinity around 30 g kg⁻¹ and a higher CDOM 863 loading, indicative of larger presence of river runoff in the easternmost Amund-864 sen basin. Similar conditions were observed in 2015 (Polarstern expedition PS94; 865 Stedmon et al., 2021). This difference in surface salinity and CDOM concentra-866 tion might indicate that the first part of the MOSAiC drift was rather intersecting 867

the "edge" of the river water-rich Transpolar Drift and not the core, where surface 868 salinity would likely be closer to 30 g kg⁻¹, at least in late summer, and river 869 water fraction would be closer to 20% (e.g., Bauch et al., 2011; Charette et al., 870 2020; Paffrath et al., 2021). The conditions observed after re-location closer to 871 the North Pole (where the freshwater-rich part of the Transpolar Drift is often lo-872 cated), with surface salinities around 29 g kg⁻¹ (Figure 4b), are more typical for 873 the freshwater-rich part of the Transpolar Drift (e.g., Bauch et al., 2011; Charette 874 et al., 2020). Provenance tracer data show that the river water component of the 875 freshwater-rich part has a considerable proportion of Lena River water, while the 876 lower river water fractions at the "edges" are mainly attributable to contributions 877 from the Yenisei and Ob rivers (G Laukert, unpublished). These attributions are 878 consistent with a shorter advection time of Lena River water into the central Arctic 879 Ocean, resulting in less mixing with ambient water, and suggests significant differ-880 ences in biogeochemical water properties even within the river water-influenced 881 part of the Transpolar Drift. 882

883 8.1.2. Surface mixed layer depth

Peralta-Ferriz and Woodgate (2015) reported estimates of the mixed layer depth 884 for the whole Eurasian Basin, using 519 profiles in the time period 1979–2012. 885 Based on monthly averages, they found a maximum mixed layer depth of 73 m in 886 April, but also observed depths of >100 m in winter and a minimum depth of 22 m 887 in July/August. These ranges are similar to the conditions encountered during 888 MOSAiC, given the high internal variability of the mixed layer depth. Peralta-889 Ferriz and Woodgate (2015) also highlighted that the Arctic mixed layer depth 890 distribution is patchy and found a dominance of upper ocean stratification, rather 891 than wind or drift speed, in determining the local mixed layer depth in ice-covered 892 situations. Throughout the MOSAiC drift, we also found the mixed layer depth to 893 be influenced strongly by the surface salinity, which to first order sets the upper 894 ocean stratification. In the presence of a surface salinity below 30 g kg⁻¹, the 895 maximum mixed layer depth was just over 20 m (Amundsen Basin, summer), 896 whereas at a higher surface salinity of around 32 g kg⁻¹ the surface mixed layer 897 was as deep as 50 m. Deep ventilation, with a mixed layer depth of around 130 m, 898 was observed only at a surface salinity greater than 34.1 g kg⁻¹ (Nansen Basin). 899 Winter deep ventilation has been observed previously (Polyakov et al., 2017) and 900 was attributed to changes associated with Atlantification, e.g., weakened upper 901 ocean stratification, higher turbulence and enhanced heat fluxes. MOSAiC data 902 show that these conditions were present everywhere along the drift track in the 903 Nansen Basin. However, a similar disappearance of the halocline, related to a 904

high surface salinity, was already observed in the eastern Arctic Ocean in the
1990s (Steele and Boyd, 1998) and found to be transient (Boyd et al., 2002).

907 8.1.3. Halocline thickness and stratification

Based on 18,000 profiles of ocean temperature and salinity collected during the 908 period 1997–2008, Bourgain and Gascard (2011) assessed properties of the Arc-909 tic halocline. Similar to the variability encountered during MOSAiC, they found 910 the strongest, i.e., most stratified, halocline layers close to the freshwater sources 911 at the Siberian shelves. The weakest haloclines (together with the deepest mixed 912 layers, down to 70 m) were found in the western Nansen Basin, where we encoun-913 tered a deeper mixed layer and a complete absence of the halocline during MO-914 SAiC. Bourgain and Gascard (2011) found the halocline in the Amundsen Basin 915 to be very stable during their investigated time period, with no clear seasonal vari-916 ability, but their data coverage in winter was sparse. During MOSAiC, we found 917 an apparent seasonal signal, with a thicker (76 ± 9 m versus 50 ± 11 m) and more 918 stratified (50 \pm 7 \times 10⁻⁵ s⁻² versus 28 \pm 8 \times 10⁻⁵ s⁻²) halocline in summer, 919 compared to the winter situation, which is attributed to a lower surface salinity in 920 summer. However, while seasonal meltwater in the surface layer has an effect on 921 the surface salinity, MOSAiC data indicate that the local surface salinity is set by 922 the relative position within or outside the river-water influenced Transpolar Drift 923 rather than by seasonality (see Section 4). Taking into account both seasons, the 924 Amundsen Basin halocline got thinner (55 \pm 14 m versus 70 \pm 10 m) but more 925 stratified $(32 \pm 12 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ versus } 20 \pm 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-2})$ compared to the values 926 reported in Bourgain and Gascard (2011). Given the strong spatial gradients in 927 surface salinity in the Amundsen Basin and the still limited spatial coverage of 928 data, these differences could reflect internal variability rather than trends. 929

930 8.1.4. Heat fluxes

Heat fluxes near the ice-ocean interface (at a depth of 3 m) exhibited low val-931 ues during the MOSAiC winter and displayed significant day-to-day fluctuations. 932 This pattern aligns with the findings of Meyer et al. (2017a) in the Nansen Basin 933 during the N-ICE2015 winter (at 1 m depth). Moving into early spring, specifi-934 cally in May, the heat fluxes recorded by the AOFB buoy reached levels of around 935 5 W m⁻², a value that is consistent with the approximately 10 W m⁻² reported 936 by Meyer et al. (2017a) for the same month. In June, during the N-ICE2015 cam-937 paign, the fluxes ranged over 10–50 W m⁻², reaching peaks exceeding 300 W m⁻² 938 during storms that caused upward mixing of warm subsurface waters. Unfortu-930 nately, the MOSAiC data lack shallow measurements from June onwards. 940

Heat fluxes across the halocline during MOSAiC were virtually zero, which is 941 in line with previous findings (Fer, 2009), including from the SHEBA campaign in 942 the Western Arctic (Shaw and Stanton, 2014). Also, the relatively low heat fluxes 943 over the Atlantic Water thermocline found in Amundsen Basin match previously 944 reported values in that region (Lenn et al., 2009; Schulz et al., 2021). The higher 945 heat fluxes over the thermocline found in the Nansen Basin correspond to values of 946 around 3 W m⁻² found during N-ICE2015 (Meyer et al., 2017a) and elevated heat 947 fluxes in the absence of a halocline, as observed in the Nansen Basin, have been 948 reported previously (Steele and Boyd, 1998). Heat fluxes over the thermocline for 949 June and July were generally confined to the range of 2-5 W m⁻²; much lower 950 than during N-ICE2015. This limited range is attributed primarily to the shallower 951 warm Atlantic layer in the N-ICE2015 area compared to the MOSAiC location 952 and the absence of storms during this period of the MOSAiC drift. 953

954 8.2. Interdisciplinary implications

The regional differences in physical hydrography encountered during the MO-SAiC drift have various implications for other Arctic subsystems. In the following, we discuss how the variability in physical properties along the MOSAiC drift might shape the distribution of nutrients and the carbonate system, bio-optical properties, the ecological structure across multiple trophic levels and sea ice and atmospheric processes.

961 8.2.1. Nutrient and carbonate system dynamics

Water masses, transport and turbulent mixing impact the distribution of nutrients, 962 carbon and other geochemical tracers. Nutrient inventories in the surface waters 963 differ regionally, with signals being potentially larger than the seasonal signals 964 of biological uptake and remineralization (Juranek, 2022), particularly in basins 965 with longer ice-cover duration where the residence time of tracers is increased 966 due to accumulation in surface waters (Eveleth et al., 2014). Similarly, for vari-967 ous carbonate system components, such as dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and 968 total alkalinity (TA), a strong positive correlation is usually found with salinity 969 (Friis et al., 2003), indicating that the marine carbonate system is closely related 970 to physical water mass properties. 971

Atlantic Water, residing at depths greater than 100 m, forms the largest source of nutrients in the central Arctic Ocean and is an enormous reservoir of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), as organic matter from the sun-lit surface ocean eventually sinks and remineralizes. The transport of these nutrients and carbon up to the

photic zone, where they can be utilized by primary producers, is strongly limited 976 by the presence of the halocline, which acts as a barrier layer (e.g., Fer, 2009; 977 Schulz et al., 2022a). When the halocline is absent and the mixed layer penetrates 978 the Atlantic Water layer (Polyakov et al., 2017), ventilation can potentially create 979 locally larger nutrient inventories at the start of the productive season and enhance 980 the biological carbon drawdown (Juranek, 2022). These physical conditions were 981 observed in the Nansen Basin (Section 4.2). Enhanced vertical nutrient transport 982 might also occur when Atlantic Water resides high up in the water column (as on 983 the Yermak Plateau; Section 4.3). On the other hand, vertical mixing of deep DIC 984 during ventilation or passing eddies, can partially offset biological CO₂ drawdown 985 by increasing the partial pressure of CO_2 (p CO_2) in the surface layer (Bates and 986 Mathis, 2009; Lannuzel et al., 2020). 987

Among marine carbonate system components, the surface layer pCO_2 is often 988 the point of focus in sea-air CO₂ exchange studies, as it determines whether the 989 ocean is a sink or source of CO_2 to the atmosphere. The Arctic Ocean is generally 990 considered to be a CO_2 sink, as surface layer p CO_2 is often undersaturated relative 991 to the atmosphere (Tanhua et al., 2009; Schuster et al., 2013; Fransson et al., 2017; 992 Rogge et al., 2023). Arctic Ocean pCO_2 undersaturation is driven by low seawater 993 temperatures, sea ice meltwater input, biological CO_2 uptake during the summer 994 and strong upper ocean stratification (Bates et al., 2006; Takahashi et al., 2009; 995 Fransson et al., 2017). In addition to the variability in the Arctic Ocean's nutri-996 ent content and capacity to absorb atmospheric pCO_2 driven by biogeochemical 997 and sea ice processes, physical processes also can lead to changes in the marine 998 nutrient and carbonate system on short time scales. For example, frontal regions 990 are associated with enhanced biological activity, leading to variability in uptake 1000 and remineralization rates of nutrients across smaller hydrographic scales (Eveleth 1001 et al., 2014). Tidal currents in regions where horizontal gradients of water masses 1002 exist, e.g., between the Yermak Plateau and Fram Strait, can also lead to rapid 1003 change in the nutrient and carbonate system of the surface ocean on semidiurnal 1004 and diurnal time scales and cause polar waters to switch between a CO₂ sink and 1005 source multiple times a day (Skogseth et al., 2013; Llanillo et al., 2019; Droste 1006 et al., 2022). 1007

1008 8.2.2. Optical properties

The optical properties of the surface waters of the MOSAiC exhibited regional differences between the basins, exemplified by the documented differences in CDOM concentrations, with elevated concentration when in the Transpolar Drift (see Section 4.2). In Arctic waters, CDOM is an important factor of light attenua-

tion in the water column (e.g., Hill, 2008; Granskog et al., 2007; Pavlov et al., 1013 2015) and varies regionally, largely depending on the presence of river water. 1014 The presence of river water divides the Eurasian basin into bio-optical provinces 1015 (Gonçalves-Araujo et al., 2018), which has implications for light availability for 1016 primary producers (e.g., Pavlov et al., 2015), especially in the absence of sea ice. 1017 Solar heating of the upper ocean is also affected by the distribution of CDOM 1018 (Hill, 2008; Granskog et al., 2015) and could thus affect sea ice melting across 1019 regimes. 1020

1021 8.2.3. Ecology

Regional variability in both nutrient concentrations and the optical regime can 1022 induce compositional changes to the microbial community, with complex impli-1023 cations for the carbon biogeochemistry. For example, increased vertical transport 1024 of nutrients from the deep ventilation observed in the Nansen Basin could lead 1025 to a shift from smaller to larger phytoplankton, while increased stratification and 1026 warming can lead to opposite trends (Li et al., 2009; Morán et al., 2010). Addi-1027 tionally, hydrographic boundaries can act as physical barriers limiting dispersal, 1028 resulting in vertical and biogeographic differences in microbial diversity and com-1029 munity structure among water masses and basins (Galand et al., 2010; Han et al., 1030 2015). During MOSAiC, unique upper water column microbial community com-103 positions were indeed observed when crossing boundaries such as the base of the 1032 mixed layer, or when drifting into and out of the Transpolar Drift (EJ Chamber-1033 lain, unpublished). A key driver in regional differences in Arctic Ocean bacterial 1034 communities is the relative proportion of Atlantic water influence, with species 1035 composition and ecological function, i.e., substrate utilization, responding rapidly 1036 to changes in the environmental regime. This connection makes the variability in 1037 water masses, for example the high relative proportion of Atlantic water observed 1038 while crossing the Yermak Plateau, a key driver in regional differences of micro-1039 bial communities (Carter-Gates et al., 2020; Priest et al., 2023). At higher trophic 1040 levels, larger boreal species such as fish or squid can enter the Arctic Ocean within 1041 the Atlantic Water layer and appear to survive in parts of the central Arctic. Dur-1042 ing MOSAiC, healthy Atlantic cod were found in the Amundsen Basin, where a 1043 deep scattering layer indicated the presence of living organisms as food supply 1044 (Snoeijs-Leijonmalm et al., 2022). In the Nansen Basin, this deep scattering layer 1045 was absent and fish and squid abundance decreased. The inflow region of young 1046 Atlantic Water near the Yermak Plateau, on the other hand, was characterized by 1047 large aggregations of Atlantic fish species (Snoeijs-Leijonmalm et al., 2022). 1048

1049 8.2.4. Sea ice and atmosphere

Oceanic heat, when reaching the surface, affects sea ice growth and melt. Dur-1050 ing MOSAiC, the sea ice basal growth was found to transition from a rapid to a 1051 slower growth rate, when drifting from Amundsen Basin to Nansen Basin (Lei 1052 et al., 2022). This change in basal growth rate might be related, to some extent, 1053 to the greater vertical heat transport from the Atlantic Water layer in the Nansen 1054 Basin, associated with the ventilation conditions (i.e., absence of the halocline; 1055 Polyakov et al., 2017). During the melt season, elevated ocean surface tempera-1056 tures contribute to sea ice melt and small vertical gradients in upper ocean temper-1057 ature might set different melt rates at, e.g., ridge keels (Salganik et al., 2023b). The 1058 presence of shallow, strongly stratified meltwater layers also affects sea-ice melt 1059 rates (Salganik et al., 2023a; Smith et al., 2023). Indirectly, even atmospheric con-1060 ditions might be influenced by surface ocean conditions, by affecting the emission 1061 of marine aerosol precursors that play an important role in, e.g., cloud formation 1062 (Schmale et al., 2021). 1063

1064 9. Summary and outlook

For this study, we compiled a quality-controlled dataset of temperature and salin-1065 ity profiles and derived parameters, with the best available temporal coverage 1066 along the whole MOSAiC drift across the Eurasian basin in 2019–2020. Derived 1067 core parameters based on this dataset (Table S1; Schulz et al., 2023b; Mieruch, 1068 2023) can be used for interdisciplinary studies aiming to understand interactions 1069 between ocean physical properties and a large range of other measurements con-1070 ducted during MOSAiC. We find that from an ocean perspective, MOSAiC was 1071 a transect across the Eurasian basin rather than a time series primarily reflecting 1072 a seasonal evolution. Considerable gradients in the surface waters were present, 1073 related to the MOSAiC ice camp drifting into and out of the river water-influenced 1074 Transpolar Drift in the Amundsen Basin. In the Nansen Basin, high surface salin-1075 ity and the associated absence of the halocline allowed for a more direct connec-1076 tion and enhanced exchange between the surface and deeper waters of Atlantic 1077 origin. Further south, above the Yermak Plateau and in Fram Strait, oceanic con-1078 ditions were more dynamic, with a pronounced regime shift back into surface 1079 waters with a high fraction of terrestrial water when leaving the Yermak Plateau. 1080 This spatial variability likely entails large implications for the Arctic Ocean bio-108 geochemistry, ecology and even sea ice and atmospheric conditions. 1082

¹⁰⁸³ The large regional variability encountered during the drift illustrates that MO-¹⁰⁸⁴ SAiC results are not representative of the entire Arctic Ocean. Conditions encoun-

tered in the Eurasian deep basins are substantially different from the Amerasian 1085 Basin, where the Beaufort Gyre accumulates large amounts of freshwater and Pa-1086 cific Water is commonly present in the upper water column. Conditions in the 1087 basins also deviate from the more variable and energetic continental shelf and 1088 slope regions. Furthermore, the observed strong dependence of ocean conditions 1089 on the Transpolar Drift pathway, setting surface salinity, stratification and ver-1090 tical transport, illustrates that a slight deviation in the ice drift path could have 1091 restricted the range of sampled conditions. For example, if the drift track had not 1092 crossed the Gakkel Ridge and instead had stayed within the cross-Arctic trans-1093 port pathway of Siberian freshwater, MOSAiC would have missed the ventilation 1094 conditions in the Nansen Basin. The pathway of the Transpolar Drift depends on 1095 large-scale atmospheric forcing and varies on interannual to decadal timescales 1096 (Polyakov et al., 2023). In the period 2007–2021, a positive Arctic Dipole, i.e., rel-1097 atively higher sea level pressure over the Beaufort Sea and Canadian Archipelago 1098 and lower sea level pressure over the Siberian Arctic, reinforced both the Beau-1099 fort Gyre and shifted the Transpolar Drift path from the Amerasian Basin toward 1100 the Lomonosov Ridge. Freshwater of Siberian origin accumulated in the Beaufort 1101 Gyre, leading to a stronger salinity stratification in the Amerasian Basin and a 1102 weaker stratification in the Eurasian Basin. The underlying atmospheric forcing 1103 changes on a timescale of approximately 15 years, and superimposes on climatic 1104 trends such as warming Atlantic water and altered freshwater dynamics. For in-1105 stance, the less pronounced summer sea ice decline since 2007 might originate 1106 from reduced ocean heat transport in the presence of stronger stratification in the 1107 Amerasian Basin created by the positive Arctic Dipole (Polyakov et al., 2023). 1108 The representativeness of MOSAiC results of the annual cycle and for other parts 1109 of the Arctic, especially for the biogeochemical and ecological system, needs to 1110 be assessed with more observations. Nevertheless, the MOSAiC data provide an 111 important benchmark for detecting future changes in the Eurasian basin. 1112

Future research efforts aiming to monitor climatic trends in the Arctic Ocean 1113 need to account for this large interannual and regional variability, which ideally 1114 requires long time series from stationary moorings and repeated sections/stations, 1115 as well as wide temporal and spatial coverage by autonomous drifting buoys and 1116 floats. Numerical models will be necessary to extrapolate and scale up observa-1117 tional data, by identifying the spatial extent of distinct oceanographic regimes 1118 (e.g., ventilation conditions in the absence of a halocline, as in Polyakov et al., 1119 2017, and observed in the Nansen Basin) in response to seasonal and atmospheric 1120 forcing, and process studies will be needed to isolate the respective effect of indi-1121 vidual driving mechanisms for ocean variability. The strength of MOSAiC lies in 1122

its multidisciplinary approach. MOSAiC observed key parameters simultaneously, 1123 including atmospheric forcing, sea ice and ocean conditions, as well as ocean bio-1124 geochemistry and ecology, at high temporal sampling frequency and on a range of 1125 scales from manned measurements at the central floe and autonomous platforms 1126 in the surrounding area to remote sensing by aircrafts and satellites. This strategy 1127 has provided unprecedented means to determine connections within the coupled 1128 Arctic system on multiple timescales. Despite the challenges in data interpreta-1129 tion arising from the overlapping timescales and the superposition of spatial and 1130 temporal signals inherent to a drift campaign, the large variability of conditions 1131 observed during MOSAiC helps us to better understand processes and connec-1132 tions across the coupled system over timescales from hours to months. MOSAiC 1133 datasets also provide an unprecedented opportunity for the scientific community 1134 to improve the ocean and climate models pivotal to Arctic and Earth system re-1135 search. Achieving this goal requires dedicated time, effective communication be-1136 tween observational and modeling communities, and adequate funding. 1137

One final aspect we would like to highlight about the value of MOSAiC for 1138 the polar and climate research community is the high degree of fruitful scientific 1139 collaborations that have been established as a result of this unique experiment. De-1140 spite or perhaps because of the complexity of and challenges encountered during 1141 the campaign, MOSAiC has created a striving community that has been working 1142 together across disciplines to interpret the collected data, involving an increasing 1143 number of early career scientists. Many of the collaborations and partnerships be-1144 tween the international partners have been maintained, and even strengthened and 1145 expanded. The project serves as an example of how to foster scientific collabora-1146 tion and unleash the scientific spirit of a research community. In the end, the true 1147 value of MOSAiC may likely be found beyond the experiment itself. 1148

1149 Data accessibility statement

All datasets used in this study are publicly available, in compliance with the MO-SAiC data policy. In addition, we published a daily average dataset based on the 2,434 temperature and salinity profiles, as outlined in Text S1, and derived parameters, as listed in Table S1, in netCDF format (Schulz et al., 2023b). We also created webODV compliant data files and views (Mieruch, 2023), from which subsets of data can easily be extracted. We strongly advise that future studies using the data presented here also cite the respective original datasets listed below.

¹¹⁵⁷ CO1 GPS buoy: Nicolaus et al. (2021); CTD *Polarstern*: Tippenhauer et al. ¹¹⁵⁸ (2023b,c); Ocean City CTD: Tippenhauer et al. (2023a,d); MSS: Schulz et al.

- (2023c); ITPs (including CDOM data): Toole and Krishfield (2016); PG buoy O4:
- Hoppmann et al. (2022); thermosalinograph: Rex et al. (2021a); Haas et al. (2021);
- ¹¹⁶¹ Kanzow et al. (2021); Rex et al. (2021b,c); ADCP: Baumann et al. (2021); AOFB:
- 1162 Stanton and Shaw (2023).
- 1163 Author Contributions
- ¹¹⁶⁴ Contributed to conception and design: KS, ZK, CJMH, EC, MM, IF, CH, MAG.
- ¹¹⁶⁵ Contributed to acquisition of data: KS, ZK, MM, DB, CJMH, ESD, MH, EC,
- 1166 GL, TS, IF, CH, SK, TB, ST, MAG.
- ¹¹⁶⁷ Contributed to analysis and interpretation of data: KS, ZK, MM, DB, CJMH,
- 1168 ESD, EC, GL, TS, AQ, IF, CH, SK, MV, MAG.
- ¹¹⁶⁹ Drafted and/or revised this article: All authors.
- Approved the submitted version for publication: All authors.

1171 Acknowledgements

Data used in this manuscript were produced as part of the international Multi-1172 disciplinary drifting Observatory for the Study of the Arctic Climate (MOSAiC) 1173 project with the tag MOSAiC20192020 and the Project_ID: AWI_PS122_00. The 1174 Ice-Tethered Profiler data were collected and made available by the Ice-Tethered 1175 Profiler Program (Toole et al., 2011; Krishfield et al., 2008) based at the Woods 1176 Hole Oceanographic Institution (https://www2.whoi.edu/site/itp/). We thank all 1177 persons involved in the expedition of the Research Vessel Polarstern (Knust, 1178 2017) during MOSAiC in 2019–2020 as listed in the MOSAiC extended acknowl-1179 edgment Nixdorf et al. (2021). We especially thank everyone involved in the dis-1180 cussion during the Second MOSAiC Science Conference in Boulder, Colorado, 1181 which motivated this study. We would also like to thank Daniela Ransby (Pan-1182 gaea) and Christopher Kracha (Arctic Data Center) for their great support with 1183 the data publications. Finally, we like to thank two anonymous reviewers and our 1184 editor, Jody Deming, for dedicating their time and for their positive evaluation of 1185 our manuscript. 1186

1187 Funding

Financial support for KS was available through the German (BMBF) and UK (NERC) funded PEANUTS-project (grant number 03F0804A) and the NSF funded projects NSF-AccelNet-2020387 and OPP-1936506. ZK, TB and IF received funding through the AROMA (Arctic Ocean mixing processes and vertical fluxes of energy and matter) project by the Research Council of Norway

42

(grant no 294396). ZK received funding from the Research Council of Norway 1193 through project BREATHE (grant no 325405). MM and MAG were supported 1194 by funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation 1195 programme under grant agreement No 101003826 via project CRiceS (Climate 1196 Relevant interactions and feedbacks: the key role of sea ice and Snow in the polar 1197 and global climate system) and the Research Council of Norway through project 1198 HAVOC (grant no 280292). MAG also acknowledges support from the Hanse-1199 Wissenschaftskolleg Institute of Advanced Study (Delmenhorst, Germany). MV 1200 was supported through the Changing Arctic Ocean (CAO) program, jointly funded 1201 by the UKRI Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) and the BMBF, 1202 project Advective Pathways of nutrients and key Ecological substances in the 1203 ARctic (APEAR) grants NE/R012865/1, NE/R012865/2 and #03V01461. SK and 1204 CH were supported by the Swedish Research Council (grant 2018-03859 awarded 1205 to CH); participation of SK to MOSAiC was supported by the Swedish Research 1206 Polar Secretariat. ESD was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 1207 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 821001; partici-1208 pation of ESD on MOSAiC was supported by the Natural Environment Research 1209 Council (NERC) through the EnvEast Doctoral Training Partnership (grant no. 1210 NE/L002582/1) and the UK Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strat-1211 egy (BEIS) through the UK Arctic Office. TS and the AOFB measurements are 1212 funded by NSF OPP-1723400. GL also gratefully acknowledges financial support 1213 from the Ocean Frontier Institute, supported by a Canada First Research Excel-1214 lence Fund award (grant no. 39291), and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 1215 research and innovation program under a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Postdoctoral 1216 Global Fellowship award (grant no. 101023769). 1217

- 1218 Competing interests
- 1219 All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

- 1220 References
- Arrigo, KR, van Dijken, GL. 2015. Continued increases in Arctic Ocean
 primary production. *Progress in Oceanography* 136: 60–70. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.002.
 Baker, MA, Gibson, CH. 1987. Sampling turbulence in the stratified
- Daker, MAR, Groson, CH. 1987. Sampling turbulence in the strained ocean: Statistical consequences of strong intermittency. *Journal of Physical Oceanography* **17**(10): 1817–1836. doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1987)017;1817:STITSO;2.0.CO;2.
- Bates, N, Mathis, J. 2009. The Arctic Ocean marine carbon cycle: evaluation of air-sea CO₂ exchanges, ocean acidification impacts and potential feedbacks. *Biogeosciences* **6**(11): 2433–2459. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-2433-2009.
- Bates, NR, Moran, SB, Hansell, DA, Mathis, JT. 2006. An increasing CO_2 sink in the Arctic Ocean due to sea-ice loss. *Geophysical Research Letters* **33**(23). doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027028.
- Bauch, D, Cherniavskaia, E, Timokhov, L. 2016. Shelf basin exchange along the
 Siberian continental margin: Modification of Atlantic Water and Lower Halo cline Water. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 115:
 188–198. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2016.06.008.
- Bauch, D, van der Loeff, MR, Andersen, N, Torres-Valdes, S, Bakker, K, Abrahamsen, EP. 2011. Origin of freshwater and polynya water in the Arctic
 Ocean halocline in summer 2007. *Progress in Oceanography* 91(4): 482–495. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2011.07.017.
- Baumann, T, Fer, I, Bryhni, H, Peterson, AK, Allerholt, J, Fang, YC, Hoppmann,
 M, Karam, S, Koenig, Z, Kong, B, Mohrholz, V, Muilwijk, M, Schaffer, J,
 Schulz, K, Sukhikh, N, Tippenhauer, S. 2021. Under-ice current measurements during MOSAiC from a 75 kHz acoustic Doppler profiler. PANGAEA.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.934792.
- Bouffard, D, Boegman, L. 2013. A diapycnal diffusivity model for stratified environmental flows. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans* 61: 14–34. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2013.02.002.
- Bourgain, P, Gascard, JC. 2011. The Arctic Ocean halocline and its interannual variability from 1997 to 2008. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* **58**(7): 745–756. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2011.05.001.
- ¹²⁵³ search Papers **58**(/): /45–/56. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2011.05.001. ¹²⁵⁴ Boyd, TJ, Steele, M, Muench, RD, Gunn, JT. 2002. Partial recovery of the
- Arctic Ocean halocline. *Geophysical Research Letters* **29**(14): 2–1. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014047.

44

Boyer, TP, Garcia, HE, Locarnini, RA, Zweng, MM, Mishonov, AV, Reagan,
 JR, Weathers, KA, Baranova, OK, Seidov, D, Smolyar, IV. 2018. World
 Ocean Atlas 2018. NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information

- 1260 https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/archive/accession/NCEI-WOA18.
- Carranza, MM, Gille, ST, Franks, PJ, Johnson, KS, Pinkel, R, Girton, JB.
 2018. When mixed layers are not mixed. Storm-driven mixing and
 bio-optical vertical gradients in mixed layers of the Southern Ocean.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 123(10): 7264–7289. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014416.
- Carter-Gates, M, Balestreri, C, Thorpe, SE, Cottier, F, Baylay, A, Bibby, TS,
 Moore, CM, Schroeder, DC. 2020. Implications of increasing Atlantic in fluence for Arctic microbial community structure. *Scientific reports* 10(1):
 19262. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76293-x.
- Charette, MA, Kipp, LE, Jensen, LT, Dabrowski, JS, Whitmore, LM, Fitzsim-1270 mons, JN, Williford, T, Ulfsbo, A, Jones, E, Bundy, RM, Vivancos, SM, 1271 Pahnke, K, John, SG, Xiang, Y, Hatta, M, Petrova, MV, Heimbürger-1272 Boavida, L, Bauch, D, Newton, R, Pasqualini, A, Agather, AM, Amon, 1273 RMW, Anderson, RF, Andersson, PS, Benner, R, Bowman, KL, Edwards, 1274 RL, Gdaniec, S, Gerringa, LJA, González, AG, Granskog, M, Haley, B, 1275 Hammerschmidt, CR, Hansell, DA, Henderson, PB, Kadko, DC, Kaiser, K, 1276 Laan, P, Lam, PJ, Lamborg, CH, Levier, M, Li, X, Margolin, AR, Mea-1277 sures, C, Middag, R, Millero, FJ, Moore, WS, Paffrath, R, Planquette, H, 1278 Rabe, B, Reader, H, Rember, R, Rijkenberg, MJA, Roy-Barman, M, Rut-1279 gers van der Loeff, M, Saito, M, Schauer, U, Schlosser, P, Sherrell, RM, 1280 Shiller, AM, Slagter, H, Sonke, JE, Stedmon, C, Woosley, RJ, Valk, O, Ooi-1281 jen, J, Zhang, R. 2020. The Transpolar Drift as a source of riverine and 1282 shelf-derived trace elements to the central Arctic Ocean. Journal of Geo-1283 physical Research: Oceans 125(5): e2019JC015920. ISSN 2169-9275. doi: 1284 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015920. 1285
- Dosser, H, Chanona, M, Waterman, S, Shibley, N, Timmermans, ML. 2021.
 Changes in internal wave-driven mixing across the Arctic Ocean: Finescale
 estimates from an 18-year pan-Arctic record. *Geophysical Research Letters*48(8): e2020GL091747. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091747.
- Droste, ES, Hoppema, M, González-Dávila, M, Santana-Casiano, JM, Queste,
 BY, Dall'Olmo, G, Venables, HJ, Rohardt, G, Ossebaar, S, Schuller, D,
 Trace-Kleeberg, S, Bakker, DCE. 2022. The influence of tides on the marine carbonate chemistry of a coastal polynya in the south-eastern Weddell Sea. *Ocean Science* 18(5): 1293–1320. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/os-

1295 18-1293-2022.

Erofeeva, S, Egbert, G. 2020. Arc5km2018: Arctic Ocean Inverse Tide
Model on a 5 kilometer grid, 2018. Dataset. Arctic Data Center. doi:
10.18739/A21R6N14K.

Eveleth, R, Timmermans, ML, Cassar, N. 2014. Physical and biological controls
 on oxygen saturation variability in the upper Arctic Ocean. *Journal of Geo- physical Research: Oceans* 119: 7420–7432. doi:10.1002/2014JC009816.

Fer, I. 2009. Weak vertical diffusion allows maintenance of cold halocline in the
central Arctic. *Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters* 2(3): 148–152. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1080/16742834.2009.11446789.

Fer, I, Baumann, TM, Koenig, Z, Muilwijk, M, Tippenhauer, S. 2022.
 Upper-ocean turbulence structure and ocean-ice drag coefficient estimates
 using an ascending microstructure profiler during the MOSAiC drift.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 127(9): e2022JC018751. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JC018751.

Fer, I, Müller, M, Peterson, A. 2015. Tidal forcing, energetics, and mixing near the Yermak Plateau. *Ocean Sci* **11**: 287–304. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/os-11-287-2015.

Fine, EC, Cole, ST. 2022. Decadal observations of internal wave en-1313 shear, and mixing in the western Arctic Ocean. Journal ergy, 1314 of Geophysical Oceans **127**(5): e2021JC018056. Research: doi: 1315 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC018056. 1316

Fong, AA, Hoppe, CJM, Aberle, N, Ashjian, CJ, Assmy, P, Bai, Y, Bakker, DCE, 1317 Balmonte, JP, Barry, KR, Bertilsson, S, Boulton, W, Bowman, J, Bozzato, D, 1318 Bratbak, G, Buck, M, Campbell, RG, Castellani, G, Chamberlain, EJ, Chen, 1319 J, Chierici, M, Cornils, A, Creamean, JM, Damm, E, Dethloff, K, Droste, 1320 ES, Ebenhöh, O, Eggers, SL, Engel, A, Flores, H, Fransson, A, Fricken-1321 haus, S, Gardner, J, Gelfman, CE, Granskog, MA, Graeve, M, Havermans, 1322 C, Heuzé, C, Hildebrandt, N, Hill, TCJ, Hoppema, M, Immerz, A, Jin, H, 1323 Koch, B, Kong, X, Kraberg, A, Lan, M, Lange, BA, Larsen, A, Lebreton, 1324 B, Leu, E, Loose, B, Maslowski, W, Mavis, C, Metfies, K, Mock, T, Müller, 1325 O, Nicolaus, M, Niehoff, B, Nomura, D, Nöthig, EM, Oggier, M, Olden-1326 burg, E, Olsen, LM, Peeken, I, Perovich, DK, Popa, O, Rabe, B, Ren, J, Rex, 1327 M, Rinke, A, Rokitta, S, Rost, B, Sakinan, S, Salganik, E, Schaafsma, FL, 1328 Schäfer, H, Schmidt, K, Shoemaker, KM, Shupe, MD, Snoeijs-Leijonmalm, 1329 P, Stefels, J, Svenson, A, Tao, R, Torres-Valdés, S, Torstensson, A, Tose-1330 land, A, Ulfsbo, A, Van Leeuwe, MA, Vortkamp, M, Webb, AL, Gradinger, 1331 RR. 2023. Overview of the MOSAiC expedition: Ecosystem [preprint]. Earth 1332

1333 *arXiv* doi:https://doi.org/10.31223/X5P091.

- Forget, G, Campin, JM, Heimbach, P, Hill, CN, Ponte, RM, Wunsch, C. 2015.
 ECCO version 4: An integrated framework for non-linear inverse modeling and global ocean state estimation. *Geoscientific Model Develop- ment* 8(10): 3071–3104. ISSN 1991-9603. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd8-3071-2015.
- Fouest, VL, Babin, M, Tremblay, JÉ. 2013. The fate of riverine nutrients on Arctic shelves. *Biogeosciences* **10**(6): 3661–3677. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-3661-2013.
- Fransson, A, Chierici, M, Skjelvan, I, Olsen, A, Assmy, P, Peterson, AK,
 Spreen, G, Ward, B. 2017. Effects of sea-ice and biogeochemical processes and storms on under-ice water fCO₂ during the winter-spring transition in the high Arctic Ocean: Implications for sea-air CO₂ fluxes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 122(7): 5566–5587. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012478.
- Friis, K, Körtzinger, A, Wallace, DW. 2003. The salinity normalization of marine
 inorganic carbon chemistry data. *Geophysical Research Letters* 30(2). doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL015898.
- Galand, PE, Potvin, M, Casamayor, EO, Lovejoy, C. 2010. Hydrography shapes
 bacterial biogeography of the deep Arctic Ocean. *The ISME journal* 4(4):
 564–576. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.134.
- Gibson, GA, Elliot, S, Clement Kinney, J, Piliouras, A, Jeffery, N.
 2022. Assessing the potential impact of river chemistry on arctic coastal production. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 9: 738363. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.738363.
- Gonçalves-Araujo, R, Granskog, MA, Bracher, A, Azetsu-Scott, K, Dodd, PA,
 Stedmon, CA. 2016. Using fluorescent dissolved organic matter to trace and
 distinguish the origin of Arctic surface waters. *Scientific Reports* 6(1): 33978.
 ISSN 2045-2322. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33978.
- Gonçalves-Araujo, R, Rabe, B, Peeken, I, Bracher, A. 2018. High colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM) absorption in surface waters of the centraleastern Arctic Ocean: Implications for biogeochemistry and ocean color algorithms. *PLOS One* **13**: e0190838. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190838.
- Gordó-Vilaseca, C, Stephenson, F, Coll, M, Lavin, C, Costello, MJ. 2023. Three decades of increasing fish biodiversity across the northeast Atlantic and the Arctic Ocean. *Proceedings of the US National Academy of Sciences* 120(4):
 e2120869120. doi:https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2120869120.
- 1370 Granskog, MA, Macdonald, RW, Mundy, CJ, Barber, DG. 2007. Distribution,

- characteristics and potential impacts of chromophoric dissolved organic mat-1371 ter (CDOM) in Hudson Strait and Hudson Bay, Canada. Continental Shelf 1372 *Research* 27: 2032–2050. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2007.05.001. 1373 Granskog, MA, Pavlov, AK, Sagan, S, Kowalczuk, P, Raczkowska, A, Stedmon, 1374 CA. 2015. Effect of sea-ice melt on inherent optical properties and verti-1375 cal distribution of solar radiant heating in Arctic surface waters. Journal of 1376 Geophysical Research: Oceans 120(10): 7028-7039. ISSN 2169-9275. doi: 1377 10.1002/2015JC011087. 1378 Guthrie, J, Fer, I, Morison, J. 2017. Thermohaline staircases in the 1379 Amundsen Basin: possible disruption by shear and mixing. Jour-1380 7767nal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 122: 7782. doi: 1381 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC012993. 1382 Haas, C, Hoppmann, M, Tippenhauer, S, Rohardt, G. 2021. Continuous thermos-1383 alinograph oceanography along RV POLARSTERN cruise track PS122/2. 1384 PANGAEA. doi:https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.930024. 1385 Han, D, Ha, HK, Hwang, CY, Lee, BY, Hur, HG, Lee, YK. 2015. Bacterial 1386 communities along stratified water columns at the Chukchi Borderland in 1387 the western Arctic Ocean. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in 1388 *Oceanography* **120**: 52–60. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.01.018. 1389 Heuzé, C, Huhn, O, Walter, M, Sukhikh, N, Karam, S, Körtke, W, Vredenborg, M, 1390 Bulsiewicz, K, Sültenfuß, J, Fang, YC, Mertens, C, Rabe, B, Tippenhauer, S, 1391 Allerholt, J, He, H, Kuhlmey, D, Kuznetsov, I, Mallet, M. 2023a. A year of 1392 transient tracers chlorofluorocarbon 12 and sulfur hexafluoride, noble gases 1393 helium and neon, and tritium in the Arctic Ocean from the MOSAiC expedi-1394 tion (2019-2020). Earth System Science Data Discussions doi:10.5194/essd-1395 2023-232. 1396 Heuzé, C, Zanowski, H, Karam, S, Muilwijk, M. 2023b. The deep Arctic Ocean 1397 and Fram Strait in CMIP6 models. Journal of Climate 36(8): 2551-2584. 1398 doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-22-0194.1. 1399 Hill, VJ. 2008. Impacts of chromophoric dissolved organic material on surface 1400 ocean heating in the Chukchi Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research 113: 1401 C07024. doi:10.1029/2007JC004119. 1402 Hoppmann, M, Kuznetsov, I, Fang, YC, Rabe, B. 2022. Processed data of CTD 1403 buoys 2019O1 to 2019O8 as part of the MOSAiC Distributed Network. PAN-1404 GAEA. doi:https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.940320. 1405 Jakobsson, M, Mayer, LA, Bringensparr, C, Castro, CF, Mohammad, R, John-1406 son, P, Ketter, T, Accettella, D, Amblas, D, An, L, Arndt, JE, Canals, M, 1407 Casamor, JL, Chauché, N, Coakley, B, Danielson, S, Demarte, M, Dickson, 1408
- 48

ML, Dorschel, B, Dowdeswell, JA, Dreutter, S, Fremand, AC, Gallant, D, 1409 Hall, JK, Hehemann, L, Hodnesdal, H, Hong, J, Ivaldi, R, Kane, E, Klaucke, 1410 I, Krawczyk, DW, Kristoffersen, Y, Kuipers, BR, Millan, R, Masetti, G, 1411 Morlighem, M, Noormets, R, Prescott, MM, Rebesco, M, Rignot, E, Semile-1412 tov, I, Tate, AJ, Travaglini, P, Velicogna, I, Weatherall, P, Weinrebe, W, 1413 Willis, JK, Wood, M, Zarayskaya, Y, Zhang, T, Zimmermann, M, Zinglersen, 1414 KB. 2020. The international bathymetric chart of the Arctic Ocean version 1415 4.0. Scientific data 7(1): 176. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0520-1416 9. 1417 Janout, MA, Hölemann, J, Laukert, G, Smirnov, A, Krumpen, T, Bauch, D, 1418 Timokhov, L. 2020. On the variability of stratification in the freshwater-1419 influenced Laptev Sea Region. Frontiers in Marine Science 7: 543489. doi: 1420 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.543489. 1421 Juranek, LW. 2022. Changing biogeochemistry of the Arctic Ocean. Oceanogra-1422 phy 35(3/4): 144–155. doi:https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2022.120. 1423 Kanzow, T, Hoppmann, M, Tippenhauer, S, Rohardt, G. 2021. Continuous 1424 thermosalinograph oceanography along RV POLARSTERN cruise track 1425 PS122/3. PANGAEA. doi:https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.930026. 1426 Μ, S. Heuzé, С, Hoppmann, de Steur. L. 2024. Con-Karam, 1427 Strait. EGUtinued warming of deep waters in Fram 1428 sphere 2024: 1-23.doi:10.5194/egusphere-2024-458. 1429 https://equsphere.copernicus.org/preprints/2024/equsphere-2024-458/. 1430 Karam, S, Heuzé, C, Müller, V, Zheng, Y. 2023. Recirculation of Canada 1431 Basin Deep Water in the Amundsen Basin, Arctic. Journal of Physi-1432 cal Oceanography 53(11): 2559 - 2574. doi:10.1175/JPO-D-22-0252.1. 1433 https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/53/11/JPO-D-22-0252.1. 1434 Karcher, M, Smith, JN, Kauker, F, Gerdes, R, Smethie, WM. 2012. Re-1435 cent changes in Arctic Ocean circulation revealed by iodine-129 observa-1436 tions and modeling. Journal of Geophysical Research 117: C08007. doi: 1437 10.1029/2011JC007513. 1438 Kawaguchi, Y, Koenig, Z, Nomura, D, Hoppmann, M, Inoue, J, Fang, YC, 1439 Schulz, K, Gallagher, M, Katlein, C, Nicolaus, M, Rabe, B. 2022. 1440 Turbulent mixing during late summer in the ice-ocean boundary layer 1441 in the central Arctic Ocean: Results from the MOSAiC expedition. 1442 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 127(8): e2021JC017975. doi: 1443 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017975. 1444 Kim, YH, Min, SK, Gillett, NP, Notz, D, Malinina, E. 2023. Observationally-1445 constrained projections of an ice-free Arctic even under a low emission sce-1446

- nario. *Nature Communications* **14**. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38511-8.
- Knust, R. 2017. Polar research and supply vessel POLARSTERN operated by
 the Alfred-Wegener-Institute. *Journal of Large-Scale Research Facilities* 3:
 A119. doi:https://doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-3-163.
- 2022. *Molecular and optical characterization* of dissolved Kong, Х. 1452 thesis. organic matter in the Central Arctic Ocean. Phd Uni-1453 versity of Bremen, Dissertation, 2022, Bremen. 159 pages. 1454 https://suche.suub.uni-bremen.de/peid=B183177399. 1455
- Korhonen, M, Rudels, B, Marnela, M, Wisotzki, A, Zhao, J. 2013. Time and space variability of freshwater content, heat content and seasonal ice melt in the Arctic Ocean from 1991 to 2011. *Ocean Science* 9(6): 1015–1055. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/osd-9-2621-2012.
- Krishfield, R, Toole, J, Proshutinsky, A, Timmermans, ML. 2008. Automated ice tethered profilers for seawater observations under pack ice in all seasons.
 Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 25(11): 2091–2105. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JTECHO587.1.
- Krumpen, T, Belter, HJ, Boetius, A, Damm, E, Haas, C, Hendricks, S, Nicolaus, M, Nöthig, EM, Paul, S, Peeken, I, Ricker, R, Stein, R. 2019. Arctic warming interrupts the Transpolar Drift and affects long-range transport of sea ice and ice-rafted matter. *Scientific Reports* 9(1): 5459. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41456-y.
- Kwok, R. 2018. Arctic sea ice thickness, volume, and multiyear ice coverage:
 losses and coupled variability (1958–2018). *Environmental Research Letters* 13(10): 105005. doi:https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aae3ec.
- Lannuzel, D, Tedesco, L, Van Leeuwe, M, Campbell, K, Flores, H, Delille, B, 1472 Miller, L, Stefels, J, Assmy, P, Bowman, J, Brown, K, Castellani, G, Chierici, 1473 M, Crabeck, O, Damm, E, Else, B, Fransson, A, Fripiat, F, Geilfus, NX, 1474 Jacques, C, Jones, E, Kaartokallio, H, Kotovitch, M, Meiners, K, Moreau, S, 1475 Nomura, D, Peeken, I, Rintala, JM, Steiner, N, Tison, JL, Vancoppenolle, M, 1476 Van der Linden, F, Vichi, M, Wongpan, P. 2020. The future of Arctic sea-1477 ice biogeochemistry and ice-associated ecosystems. Nature Climate Change 1478 **10**(11): 983–992. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00940-4. 1479 Laukert, G, Frank, M, Bauch, D, Hathorne, EC, Rabe, B, von Appen, WJ, Weg-1480
- ner, C, Zieringer, M, Kassens, H. 2017. Ocean circulation and freshwater
 pathways in the Arctic Mediterranean based on a combined Nd isotope, REE
 and oxygen isotope section across Fram Strait. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 202: 285–309. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.12.028.

- Laukert, G, Grasse, P, Novikhin, A, Povazhnyi, V, Doering, K, Hölemann, J,
 Janout, M, Bauch, D, Kassens, H, Frank, M. 2022. Nutrient and silicon isotope dynamics in the Laptev Sea and implications for nutrient availability in
 the transpolar drift. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 36(9): e2022GB007316.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GB007316.
- Laukert, G, Makhotin, M, Petrova, MV, Frank, M, Hathorne, EC, Bauch,
 D, Böning, P, Kassens, H. 2019. Water mass transformation in the Barents Sea inferred from radiogenic neodymium isotopes, rare earth elements and stable oxygen isotopes. *Chemical Geology* 511: 416–430. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.10.002.
- Lei, R, Cheng, B, Hoppmann, M, Zhang, F, Zuo, G, Hutchings, JK, Lin,
 L, Lan, M, Wang, H, Regnery, J, Krumpen, T, Haapala, J, Rabe,
 B, Perovich, DK, Nicolaus, M. 2022. Seasonality and timing of sea
 ice mass balance and heat fluxes in the Arctic transpolar drift during
 2019–2020. *Elementa Science of the Anthropocene* 10(1): 000089. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.000089.
- Lenn, YD, Wiles, P, Torres-Valdes, S, Abrahamsen, E, Rippeth, T, Simpson, J,
 Bacon, S, Laxon, S, Polyakov, I, Ivanov, V, Kirillov, S. 2009. Vertical mixing
 at intermediate depths in the Arctic boundary current. *Geophysical Research Letters* 36(5). doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036792.
- Li, WK, McLaughlin, FA, Lovejoy, C, Carmack, EC. 2009. Smallest algae
 thrive as the Arctic Ocean freshens. *Science* 326(5952): 539–539. doi:
 10.1126/science.1179798.
- Llanillo, P, Aiken, CM, Cordero, R, Damiani, A, Sepúlveda, E, Fernández-Gómez, B. 2019. Oceanographic variability induced by tides, the intraseasonal cycle and warm subsurface water intrusions in Maxwell Bay, King George Island (West-Antarctica). *Scientific reports* 9(1): 18571. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54875-8.
- Locarnini, R, Mishonov, A, Baranova, O, Boyer, T, Zweng, M, Garcia, H, Reagan, J, Seidov, D, Weathers, K, Paver, C, Smolyar, I. 2018. *World Ocean At- las 2018, Volume 1: Temperature*. A. Mishonov Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas
 NESDIS 81.
- Marnela, M, Rudels, B, Goszczko, I, Beszczynska-Möller, A, Schauer, U. 2016.
 Fram Strait and Greenland Sea transports, water masses, and water mass
 transformations 1999–2010 (and beyond). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 121(4): 2314–2346. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011312.
- McDougall, TJ, Barker, PM. 2011. Getting started with TEOS-10 and the Gibbs Seawater (GSW) oceanographic toolbox. *SCOR/IAPSO WG* **127**: 1–28.

- ¹⁵²³ McPhee, M. 2008. *Air-ice-ocean interaction: Turbulent ocean boundary layer ex-*¹⁵²⁴ *change processes.* Springer Science & Business Media.
- Meyer, A, Fer, I, Sundfjord, A, Peterson, AK. 2017a. Mixing rates and vertical heat fluxes north of Svalbard from Arctic winter to spring. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 122(6): 4569–4586. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012441.
- Meyer, A, Sundfjord, A, Fer, I, Provost, C, Villacieros Robineau, N, Koenig, Z, Onarheim, IH, Smedsrud, LH, Duarte, P, Dodd, PA, Graham, RM, Schmidtko, S, Kauko, HM. 2017b. Winter to summer oceanographic observations in the Arctic Ocean north of Svalbard. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 122(8): 6218–6237. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012391.
- 1535Mieruch,
InitialS.2023.
Release.smieruch/mosaic_hydrographic_core_parameters:
doi:10.5281/zenodo.8304184.1537https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8304184.
- Mieruch,
 S,
 Schlitzer,
 R.
 2023.
 smieruch/webodv:
 we

 1539
 bODV
 v1.0.0.
 Zenodo.
 doi:10.5281/zenodo.8241241.

 1540
 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8241241.
- Morán, XAG, López-Urrutia, Á, Calvo-Díaz, A, Li, WK. 2010. Increasing importance of small phytoplankton in a warmer ocean. *Global Change Biology* 16(3): 1137–1144. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01960.x.
- Mysak, LA. 2001. Patterns of Arctic Circulation. *Science* 293(5533): 1269–1270.
 doi:DOI: 10.1126/science.1064217.
- Nguyen, AT, Pillar, H, Ocaña, V, Bigdeli, A, Smith, TA, Heimbach, P. 2021. The
 Arctic Subpolar Gyre sTate Estimate: Description and assessment of a dataconstrained, dynamically consistent ocean-sea ice estimate for 2002–2017. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 13(5): e2020MS002398.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002398.

Nicolaus, M, Perovich, DK, Spreen, G, Granskog, MA, von Albedyll, L, An-1551 gelopoulos, M. Anhaus, P. Arndt, S. Belter, HJ, Bessonov, V. Birnbaum, 1552 G, Brauchle, J, Calmer, R, Cardellach, E, Cheng, B, Clemens-Sewall, D, 1553 Dadic, R, Damm, E, de Boer, G, Demir, O, Dethloff, K, Divine, DV, Fong, 1554 AA, Fons, S, Frey, MM, Fuchs, N, Gabarró, C, Gerland, S, Goessling, HF, 1555 Gradinger, R, Haapala, J, Haas, C, Hamilton, J, Hannula, HR, Hendricks, S, 1556 Herber, A, Heuzé, C, Hoppmann, M, Høyland, KV, Huntemann, M, Hutch-1557 ings, JK, Hwang, B, Itkin, P, Jacobi, HW, Jaggi, M, Jutila, A, Kaleschke, L, 1558 Katlein, C, Kolabutin, N, Krampe, D, Kristensen, SS, Krumpen, T, Kurtz, N, 1559 Lampert, A, Lange, BA, Lei, R, Light, B, Linhardt, F, Liston, GE, Loose, 1560

B, Macfarlane, AR, Mahmud, M, Matero, IO, Maus, S, Morgenstern, A, 1561 Naderpour, R, Nandan, V, Niubom, A, Oggier, M, Oppelt, N, Pätzold, F, 1562 Perron, C, Petrovsky, T, Pirazzini, R, Polashenski, C, Rabe, B, Raphael, IA, 1563 Regnery, J, Rex, M, Ricker, R, Riemann-Campe, K, Rinke, A, Rohde, J, 1564 Salganik, E, Scharien, RK, Schiller, M, Schneebeli, M, Semmling, M, Shi-1565 manchuk, E, Shupe, MD, Smith, MM, Smolyanitsky, V, Sokolov, V, Stanton, 1566 T, Stroeve, J, Thielke, L, Timofeeva, A, Tonboe, RT, Tavri, A, Tsamados, 1567 M, Wagner, DN, Watkins, D, Webster, M, Wendisch, M. 2022. Overview of 1568 the MOSAiC expedition: Snow and sea ice. *Elem Sci Anth* **10**(1): 000046. 1569 doi:https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.000046. 1570

- Nicolaus, M, Riemann-Campe, K, Bliss, A, Hutchings, JK, Granskog,
 MA, Haas, C, Hoppmann, M, Kanzow, T, Krishfield, RA, Lei, R,
 Rex, M, Li, T, Rabe, B. 2021. Drift trajectories of the main sites
 of the Distributed Network of MOSAiC 2019/2020. PANGAEA. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.937204.
- Nixdorf, U, Dethloff, K, Rex, M, Shupe, M, Sommerfeld, A, Perovich, DK, 1576 Nicolaus, M, Heuzé, C, Rabe, B, Loose, B, Damm, E, Gradinger, R, Fong, 1577 A, Maslowski, W, Rinke, A, Kwok, R, Spreen, G, Wendisch, M, Her-1578 ber, A, Hirsekorn, M, Mohaupt, V, Frickenhaus, S, Immerz, A, Weiss-1579 Tuider, K, König, B, Mengedoht, D, Regnery, J, Gerchow, P, Ransby, D, 1580 Krumpen, T, Morgenstern, A, Haas, C, Kanzow, T, Rack, FR, Saitzev, 1581 V, Sokolov, V, Makarov, A, Schwarze, S, Wunderlich, T, Wurr, K, 1582 Boetius, A. 2021. MOSAiC Extended Acknowledgement. Zenodo. doi: 1583 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5541624. 1584
- Nomura, D, Kawaguchi, Y, Webb, AL, Li, Y, Dall'osto, M, Schmidt, K,
 Droste, ES, Chamberlain, EJ, Kolabutin, N, Shimanchuk, E, Hoppmann,
 M, Gallagher, MR, Meyer, H, Mellat, M, Bauch, D, Gabarró, C, Smith,
 MM, Inoue, J, Damm, E, Delille, B. 2023. Meltwater layer dynamics
 in a central Arctic lead: Effects of lead width, re-freezing, and mixing
 during late summer. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* 11(1). doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2022.00102.
- Paffrath, R, Laukert, G, Bauch, D, Rutgers van der Loeff, M, Pahnke, K.
 2021. Separating individual contributions of major Siberian rivers in the Transpolar Drift of the Arctic Ocean. *Scientific Reports* 11(1): 1–11. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86948-y.
- Pavlov, AK, Granskog, MA, Stedmon, CA, Ivanov, BV, Hudson, SR, Falk Petersen, S. 2015. Contrasting optical properties of surface waters across the
 Fram Strait and its potential biological implications. *Journal of Marine Sys*-

tems **143**: 62–72. ISSN 09247963. doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.11.001.

Peralta-Ferriz, C, Woodgate, RA. 2015. Seasonal and interannual variability of pan-Arctic surface mixed layer properties from 1979 to 2012
from hydrographic data, and the dominance of stratification for multiyear
mixed layer depth shoaling. *Progress in Oceanography* 134: 19–53. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.12.005.

Perovich, D, Raphael, I, Moore, R, Clemens-Sewall, D, Lei, R, Sledd, A, Po lashenski, C. 2023. Sea ice heat and mass balance measurements from four
 autonomous buoys during the MOSAiC drift campaign. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* 11(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2023.00017.

- Polyakov, IV, Ingvaldsen, RB, Pnyushkov, AV, Bhatt, US, Francis, JA, Janout, M,
 Kwok, R, Skagseth, Ø. 2023. Fluctuating Atlantic inflows modulate Arctic
 atlantification. *Science* 381(6661): 972–979. doi:10.1126/science.adh5158.
- Polyakov, IV, Pnyushkov, AV, Alkire, MB, Ashik, IM, Baumann, TM, Carmack,
 EC, Goszczko, I, Guthrie, J, Ivanov, VV, Kanzow, T, Krishfield, R, Kwok,
 R, Sundfjord, A, Morison, J, Rember, R, Yulin, A. 2017. Greater role for
 Atlantic inflows on sea-ice loss in the Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean. *Science* 356(6335): 285–291. doi:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai8204.
- Polyakov, IV, Rippeth, TP, Fer, I, Alkire, MB, Baumann, TM, Carmack, EC, Ing-valdsen, R, Ivanov, VV, Janout, M, Lind, S, Padman, L, Pnyushkov, AV, Rember, R. 2020a. Weakening of cold halocline layer exposes sea ice to oceanic heat in the eastern Arctic Ocean. *Journal of Climate* 33(18): 8107–8123. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0976.1.
- Polyakov, IV, Rippeth, TP, Fer, I, Baumann, TM, Carmack, EC, Ivanov,
 VV, Janout, M, Padman, L, Pnyushkov, AV, Rember, R. 2020b. Intensification of near-surface currents and shear in the Eastern Arctic Ocean. *Geophysical Research Letters* 47(16): e2020GL089469. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL089469.

Priest, T, von Appen, WJ, Oldenburg, E, Popa, O, Torres-Valdés, S, Bienhold,
C, Metfies, K, Boulton, W, Mock, T, Fuchs, BM, Amann, R, Boetius, A,
Wietz, M. 2023. Atlantic water influx and sea-ice cover drive taxonomic and
functional shifts in Arctic marine bacterial communities. *The ISME Journal*pp. 1–14. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01461-6.

Rabe, B, Heuzé, C, Regnery, J, Aksenov, Y, Allerholt, J, Athanase, M, Bai, Y,
Basque, C, Bauch, D, Baumann, TM, Chen, D, Cole, ST, Craw, L, Davies,
A, Damm, E, Dethloff, K, Divine, DV, Doglioni, F, Ebert, F, Fang, YC, Fer, I,
Fong, AA, Gradinger, R, Granskog, MA, Graupner, R, Haas, C, He, H, He, Y,

Hoppmann, M, Janout, M, Kadko, D, Kanzow, T, Karam, S, Kawaguchi, Y,

1599

Koenig, Z, Kong, B, Krishfield, RA, Krumpen, T, Kuhlmey, D, Kuznetsov, I, 1637 Lan, M, Lei, R, Li, T, Torres-Valdés, S, Lin, L, Lin, L, Liu, H, Liu, N, Loose, 1638 B, Ma, X, MacKay, R, Mallet, M, Mallett, RDC, Maslowski, W, Mertens, 1639 C, Mohrholz, V, Muilwijk, M, Nicolaus, M, O'Brien, JK, Perovich, D, Ren, 1640 J, Rex, M, Ribeiro, N, Rinke, A, Schaffer, J, Schuffenhauer, I, Schulz, K, 1641 Shupe, MD, Shaw, W, Sokolov, V, Sommerfeld, A, Spreen, G, Stanton, T, 1642 Stephens, M, Su, J, Sukhikh, N, Sundfjord, A, Thomisch, K, Tippenhauer, 1643 S, Toole, JM, Vredenborg, M, Walter, M, Wang, H, Wang, L, Wang, Y, 1644 Wendisch, M, Zhao, J, Zhou, M, Zhu, J, Laukert, G. 2022. Overview of the 1645 MOSAiC expedition: Physical oceanography. *Elementa: Science of the An-*1646 *thropocene* **10**(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.00062. 1647 Randelhoff, A, Holding, J, Janout, M, Sejr, MK, Babin, M, Tremblay, JE, 1648

- ¹⁶⁴⁹ Kandemon, A, Holding, J, Jahout, W, Seji, WK, Babin, W, Henblay, JE,
 ¹⁶⁴⁹ Alkire, MB. 2020. Pan-Arctic ocean primary production constrained
 ¹⁶⁵⁰ by turbulent nitrate fluxes. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 7: 150. doi:
 ¹⁶⁵¹ https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00150.
- Rantanen, M, Karpechko, AY, Lipponen, A, Nordling, K, Hyvärinen, O, Ruos teenoja, K, Vihma, T, Laaksonen, A. 2022. The Arctic has warmed nearly
 four times faster than the globe since 1979. *Communications Earth & Envi- ronment* 3(1): 168. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00498-3.
- Rex, M, Hoppmann, M, Tippenhauer, S, Rohardt, G. 2021a. Continuous thermos alinograph oceanography along RV POLARSTERN cruise track PS122/1.
 PANGAEA. doi:https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.930023.
- Rex, M, Hoppmann, M, Tippenhauer, S, Rohardt, G. 2021b. Continuous thermos alinograph oceanography along RV POLARSTERN cruise track PS122/4.
 PANGAEA. doi:https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.930027.
- Rex, M, Hoppmann, M, Tippenhauer, S, Rohardt, G. 2021c. Continuous thermos alinograph oceanography along RV POLARSTERN cruise track PS122/5.
 PANGAEA. doi:https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.930028.
- Rippeth, TP, Lincoln, BJ, Lenn, YD, Green, JM, Sundfjord, A, Bacon, S. 2015. Tide-mediated warming of Arctic halocline by Atlantic
 heat fluxes over rough topography. *Nature Geoscience* 8(3): 191. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2350.
- Rogge, A, Janout, M, Loginova, N, Trudnowska, E, Hörstmann, C, Wekerle, C,
 Oziel, L, Schourup-Kristensen, V, Ruiz-Castillo, E, Schulz, K, Povazhnyy,
 VV, Iversen, MH, Waite, AM. 2023. Carbon dioxide sink in the Arctic Ocean
 from cross-shelf transport of dense Barents Sea water. *Nature Geoscience*1673
 16(1): 82–88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-022-01069-z.
- ¹⁶⁷⁴ Rudels, B. 2009. Arctic Ocean Circulation. Academic Press.

- Rudels, B. 2012. Arctic Ocean circulation and variability-advection and exter nal forcing encounter constraints and local processes. *Ocean Science* doi:
 https://doi.org/10.5194/os-8-261-2012.
- Rudels, B. 2015. Arctic Ocean circulation, processes and water masses: A description of observations and ideas with focus on the period prior to the International Polar Year 2007–2009. *Progress in Oceanography* 132: 22–67. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.11.006.
- Rudels, B, Hainbucher, D. 2020. On the formation and spreading of thermohaline intrusions in the Arctic Ocean. *Geophysica* **55**(1-2): 23–59.
- Salganik, E, Katlein, C, Lange, BA, Matero, I, Lei, R, Fong, AA, Fons, SW, Divine, D, Oggier, M, Castellani, G, Bozzato, D, Chamberlain, EJ, Hoppe,
 CJM, Müller, O, Gardner, J, Rinke, A, Pereira, PS, Ulfsbo, A, Marsay, C,
 Webster, MA, Maus, S, Høyland, KV, Granskog, MA. 2023a. Temporal evolution of under-ice meltwater layers and false bottoms and their impact on
 summer Arctic sea ice mass balance. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*11(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2022.00035.
- Salganik, E, Lange, BA, Katlein, C, Matero, I, Anhaus, P, Muilwijk, M, Høyland,
 KV, Granskog, MA. 2023b. Observations of preferential summer melt of
 Arctic sea-ice ridge keels from repeated multibeam sonar surveys. *The Cryosphere* 17(11): 4873–4887. doi:10.5194/tc-17-4873-2023.
- Schauer, U, Muench, RD, Rudels, B, Timokhov, L. 1997. Impact of east ern Arctic shelf waters on the Nansen Basin intermediate layers.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 102(C2): 3371–3382. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/96JC03366.
- Schmale, J, Zieger, P, Ekman, AM. 2021. Aerosols in current and future Arctic climate. *Nature Climate Change* 11(2): 95–105. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00969-5.
- Schmidtko, S, Johnson, GC, Lyman, JM. 2013. MIMOC: A global monthly isopycnal upper-ocean climatology with mixed layers. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 118(4). ISSN 21699291. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20122.
- Schulz, K, Janout, M, Lenn, YD, Ruiz-Castillo, E, Polyakov, I, Mohrholz, V,
 Tippenhauer, S, Reeve, K, Hölemann, J, Rabe, B, Vredenborg, M. 2021.
 On the along-slope heat loss of the Boundary Current in the Eastern Arctic
- Ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans **126**(2): e2020JC016375.
- doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC016375.
- ¹⁷¹¹ Schulz, K, Kadko, D, Mohrholz, V, Stephens, M, Fer, I. 2023a. Winter vertical diffusion rates in the Arctic Ocean, estimated from 7Be measurements

56

and dissipation rate profiles. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* pp. e2022JC019197. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JC019197.

- Schulz, K, Koenig, Z, Muilwijk, M. 2023b. The Eurasian Arctic Ocean along
 the MOSAiC drift (2019-2020): Core hydrographic parameters. Arctic Data
 Center. doi:doi:10.18739/A21J9790B.
- Schulz, K, Lincoln, B, Povazhnyy, V, Rippeth, T, Lenn, YD, Janout, M, Alkire, M,
 Scannell, B, Torres-Valdés, S. 2022a. Increasing nutrient fluxes and mixing
 regime changes in the eastern Arctic Ocean. *Geophysical Research Letters*pp. e2021GL096152. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL096152.

Schulz, K, Mohrholz, V, Fer, I, Janout, M, Hoppmann, M, Schaffer, J, Koenig,
Z. 2022b. A full year of turbulence measurements from a drift campaign in the Arctic Ocean 2019—2020. *Scientific Data* 9(472). doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01574-1.

- Schulz, K, Mohrholz, V, Fer, I, Janout, MA, Hoppmann, M, Schaffer, J, Koenig,
 Z, Rabe, B, Heuzé, C, Regnery, J, Allerholt, J, Fang, YC, He, H, Kanzow,
 T, Karam, S, Kuznetsov, I, Kong, B, Liu, H, Muilwijk, M, Schuffenhauer, I,
 Sukhikh, N, Sundfjord, A, Tippenhauer, S. 2023c. Turbulent microstructure
 profile (MSS) measurements from the MOSAiC drift, Arctic Ocean, version
 PANGAEA. doi:https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.961798.
- Schuster, U, McKinley, GA, Bates, N, Chevallier, F, Doney, SC, Fay, AR,
 González-Dávila, M, Gruber, N, Jones, S, Krijnen, J, Landschützer, P,
 Lefèvre, N, Manizza, M, Mathis, J, Metzl, N, Olsen, A, Rios, AF, Rödenbeck,
 C, Santana-Casiano, JM, Takahashi, T, Wanninkhof, R, Watson, AJ. 2013. An
 assessment of the Atlantic and Arctic sea–air CO₂ fluxes, 1990–2009. *Bio- geosciences* 10(1): 607–627. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-607-2013.
- Shaw, WJ, Stanton, TP. 2014. Vertical diffusivity of the Western Arctic Ocean halocline. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 119(8): 5017–5038.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009598.
- Shibley, NC, Timmermans, ML, Carpenter, JR, Toole, JM. 2017. Spa-1741 tial variability of the Arctic Ocean's double-diffusive staircase. 1742 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans **122**(2): 980–994. doi: 1743 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012419. 1744
- Shupe, MD, Rex, M, Blomquist, B, Persson, POG, Schmale, J, Uttal, T, Althausen,
 D, Angot, H, Archer, S, Bariteau, L, Beck, I, Bilberry, J, Bucci, S, Buck, C,
 Boyer, M, Brasseur, Z, Brooks, IM, Calmer, R, Cassano, J, Castro, V, Chu, D,
 Costa, D, Cox, CJ, Creamean, J, Crewell, S, Dahlke, S, Damm, E, de Boer,
 G, Deckelmann, H, Dethloff, K, Dütsch, M, Ebell, K, Ehrlich, A, Ellis, J, Engelmann, R, Fong, AA, Frey, MM, Gallagher, MR, Ganzeveld, L, Gradinger,

R, Graeser, J, Greenamyer, V, Griesche, H, Griffiths, S, Hamilton, J, Heine-1751 mann, G, Helmig, D, Herber, A, Heuzé, C, Hofer, J, Houchens, T, Howard, 1752 D, Inoue, J, Jacobi, HW, Jaiser, R, Jokinen, T, Jourdan, O, Jozef, G, King, 1753 W, Kirchgaessner, A, Klingebiel, M, Krassovski, M, Krumpen, T, Lampert, 1754 A, Landing, W, Laurila, T, Lawrence, D, Lonardi, M, Loose, B, Lüpkes, C, 1755 Maahn, M, Macke, A, Maslowski, W, Marsay, C, Maturilli, M, Mech, M, 1756 Morris, S, Moser, M, Nicolaus, M, Ortega, P, Osborn, J, Pätzold, F, Per-1757 ovich, DK, Petäjä, T, Pilz, C, Pirazzini, R, Posman, K, Powers, H, Pratt, KA, 1758 Preußer, A, Quéléver, L, Radenz, M, Rabe, B, Rinke, A, Sachs, T, Schulz, A, 1759 Siebert, H, Silva, T, Solomon, A, Sommerfeld, A, Spreen, G, Stephens, M, 1760 Stohl, A, Svensson, G, Uin, J, Viegas, J, Voigt, C, von der Gathen, P, Wehner, 1761 B, Welker, JM, Wendisch, M, Werner, M, Xie, Z, Yue, F. 2022. Overview 1762 of the MOSAiC expedition: Atmosphere. *Elem Sci Anth* **10**(1): 00060. doi: 1763 https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.00060. 1764 Sirevaag, A, Fer, I. 2012. Vertical heat transfer in the Arctic Ocean: The role of 1765 double-diffusive mixing. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **117**(C7). 1766 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC007910. 1767 Skogseth, R, McPhee, MG, Nilsen, F, Smedsrud, LH. 2013. Creation and 1768 tidal advection of a cold salinity front in Storfjorden: 1. Polynya dynam-1769 ics. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 118(7): 3278-3291. doi: 1770 https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20231. 1771 Smedsrud, LH, Esau, I, Ingvaldsen, RB, Eldevik, T, Haugan, PM, Li, C, 1772 Lien, VS, Olsen, A, Omar, AM, Otterå, OH, Risebrobakken, B, Sandø, 1773 AB, Semenov, VA, Sorokina, SA. 2013. The role of the Barents Sea in 1774 the Arctic climate system. Reviews of Geophysics 51(3): 415-449. doi: 1775 https://doi.org/10.1002/rog.20017. 1776 Smethie Jr, W, Chipman, D, Swift, J, Koltermann, K. 1988. Chlorofluoromethanes 1777 in the Arctic Mediterranean seas: evidence for formation of bottom water 1778 in the Eurasian Basin and deep-water exchange through Fram Strait. Deep 1779 Sea Research Part A Oceanographic Research Papers 35(3): 347–369. doi: 1780 https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(88)90015-5. 1781 Smith, MM, Angot, H, Chamberlain, EJ, Droste, ES, Karam, S, Muilwijk, M, 1782 Webb, AL, Archer, SD, Beck, I, Blomquist, BW, Bowman, J, Boyer, M, Boz-1783 zato, D, Chierici, M, Creamean, J, D'Angelo, A, Delille, B, Fer, I, Fong, AA, 1784 Fransson, A, Fuchs, N, Gardner, J, Granskog, MA, Hoppe, CJM, Hoppema, 1785 M, Hoppmann, M, Mock, T, Muller, S, Müller, O, Nicolaus, M, Nomura, 1786 D, Petäjä, T, Salganik, E, Schmale, J, Schmidt, K, Schulz, KM, Shupe, MD, 1787 Stefels, J, Thielke, L, Tippenhauer, S, Ulfsbo, A, van Leeuwe, M, Webster, 1788

58

- M, Yoshimura, M, Zhan, L. 2023. Thin and transient meltwater layers and false bottoms in the Arctic sea ice pack—Recent insights on these historically overlooked features. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* **11**(1). doi: 10.1525/elementa.2023.00025.
- Smith, MM, von Albedyll, L, Raphael, IA, Lange, BA, Matero, I, Salganik, E,
 Webster, MA, Granskog, MA, Fong, A, Lei, R, Light, B. 2022. Quantifying
 false bottoms and under-ice meltwater layers beneath Arctic summer sea ice
 with fine-scale observations. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* 10(1):
 000116. doi:10.1525/elementa.2021.000116.
- Snoeijs-Leijonmalm, P, Flores, H, Sakinan, S, Hildebrandt, N, Svenson,
 A, Castellani, G, Vane, K, Mark, FC, Heuzé, C, Tippenhauer, S,
 Niehoff, B, Hjelm, J, Sundberg, JH, Schaafsma, FL, Engelmann, R, The
 EFICA-MOSAiC Team. 2022. Unexpected fish and squid in the central Arctic deep scattering layer. *Science advances* 8(7): eabj7536. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abj7536.
- Somavilla, R, Schauer, U, Budéus, G. 2013. Increasing amount of Arctic Ocean
 deep waters in the Greenland Sea. *Geophysical Research Letters* 40(16):
 4361–4366. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50775.
- Son, E, Kawaguchi, Y, Cole, S, Toole, J, Ha, H. 2022. Assessment 1807 of turbulent mixing associated with eddy-wave coupling based on 1808 autonomous observations from the Arctic Canada Basin. Journal 1809 127(9): Geophysical Research: Oceans e2022JC018489. doi: of 1810 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JC018489. 1811
- Stanton, T, Shaw, B. 2023. Observations from Autonomous Ocean Flux Buoy 45
 deployed at site L3 during the MOSAiC transpolar drift, Arctic Basin, 20192020. Arctic Data Center. doi:doi:10.18739/A26W96B3T.
- 1815 Stanton, TP, Shaw, WJ, Hutchings, JK. 2012. Observational study of relation 1816 ships between incoming radiation, open water fraction, and ocean-to-ice heat
 1817 flux in the Transpolar Drift: 2002–2010. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
- ¹⁸¹⁸ Oceans **117**(C7). doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007871.
- Stedmon, CA, Amon, RMW, Bauch, D, Bracher, A, Gonçalves-Araujo, R, Hoppmann, M, Krishfield, RA, Laney, S, Rabe, B, Reader, HE, Granskog, MA.
 2021. Intercalibrated dataset of in situ dissolved organic matter fluorescence
 collected using Ice Tethered Profilers in the Central Arctic (2011-2016).
 PANGAEA. doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.934370.
- Stedmon, CA, Amon, RMW, Bauch, D, Bracher, A, Gonçalves-Araujo,
 R, Hoppmann, M, Krishfield, R, Laney, S, Rabe, B, Reader, H,
 Granskog, MA. 2021. Insights into water mass origins in the cen-

- tral Arctic Ocean from in-situ dissolved organic matter fluorescence.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 126(7): e2021JC017407. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017407.
- Steele, M, Boyd, T. 1998. Retreat of the cold halocline layer in the Arctic
 Ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 103(C5): 10419–10435.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/98JC00580.
- Steele, M, Morison, J, Ermold, W, Rigor, I, Ortmeyer, M, Shimada,
 K. 2004. Circulation of summer Pacific halocline water in the Arctic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 109(C2). doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JC002009.
- 1837 Steele, M, Morley, R, Ermold, W. 2001. PHC3 Updated from: A
 1838 global ocean hydrography with a high quality Arctic Ocean. *Jour-* 1839 *nal of Climate* 14(9): 2079–2087. doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/1520 1840 0442(2001)014;2079:PAGOHW;2.0.CO;2.
- Stroeve, J, Serreze, M, Drobot, S, Gearheard, S, Holland, M, Maslanik,
 J, Meier, W, Scambos, T. 2008. Arctic sea ice extent plummets in
 2007. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union* 89(2): 13–14. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008EO020001.
- Takahashi, T, Sutherland, SC, Wanninkhof, R, Sweeney, C, Feely, RA, Chipman, 1845 DW, Hales, B, Friederich, G, Chavez, F, Sabine, C, Watson, A, Bakker, DCE, 1846 Schuster, U, Metzl, N, Yoshikawa-Inoue, H, Ishii, M, Midorikawa, T, No-1847 jiri, Y, Körtzinger, A, Steinhoff, T, Hoppema, M, Olafsson, J, Arnarson, TS, 1848 Tilbrook, B, Johannessen, T, Olsen, A, Bellerby, R, Wong, CS, Delille, B, 1849 Bates, NR, de Baar, HJW. 2009. Climatological mean and decadal change in 1850 surface ocean pCO2, and net sea-air CO_2 flux over the global oceans. Deep 1851 Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 56(8-10): 554–577. 1852 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.009. 1853
- Tanhua, T, Jones, EP, Jeansson, E, Jutterström, S, Smethie Jr, WM, Wallace, DW,
 Anderson, LG. 2009. Ventilation of the Arctic Ocean: Mean ages and inven tories of anthropogenic CO₂ and CFC-11. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 114(C1). doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC004868.
- Terhaar, J, Lauerwald, R, Regnier, P, Gruber, N, Bopp, L. 2021. Around
 one third of current Arctic Ocean primary production sustained by
 rivers and coastal erosion. *Nature Communications* 12(1): 169. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20470-z.
- Timmermans, ML, Marshall, J. 2020. Understanding Arctic Ocean circulation: A review of ocean dynamics in a changing climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 125(4): e2018JC014378. doi:

1865 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014378.

- Timmermans, ML, Toole, JM. 2023. The Arctic Ocean's Beaufort Gyre. Annual
 Review of Marine Science 15: 223–248. doi:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev marine-032122-012034.
- Tippenhauer, S, Janout, M, Chouksey, M, Torres-Valdes, S, Fong, A, Wulff,
 T. 2021. Substantial sub-surface chlorophyll patch sustained by vertical nutrient fluxes in Fram Strait observed with an autonomous
 underwater vehicle. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 8: 605225. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.605225.
- Tippenhauer, S, Vredenborg, M, Heuzé, C, Ulfsbo, A, Rabe, B, Granskog, 1874 MA, Allerholt, J, Balmonte, JP, Campbell, RG, Castellani, G, Chamber-1875 lain, E, Creamean, J, D'Angelo, A, Dietrich, U, Droste, E, Eggers, L, 1876 Fang, YC, Fong, AA, Gardner, J, Graupner, R, Grosse, J, He, H, Hilde-1877 brandt, N, Hoppe, CJM, Hoppmann, M, Kanzow, T, Karam, S, Koenig, 1878 Z, Kong, B, Kuhlmey, D, Kuznetsov, I, Lan, M, Liu, H, Mallet, M, 1879 Mohrholz, V, Muilwijk, M, Müller, O, Olsen, LM, Rember, R, Ren, J, 1880 Sakinan, S, Schaffer, J, Schmidt, K, Schuffenhauer, I, Schulz, K, Shoe-1881 maker, K, Spahic, S, Sukhikh, N, Svenson, A, Torres-Valdés, S, Torstens-1882 son, A, Wischnewski, L, Zhuang, Y. 2023a. Physical oceanography based 1883 on Ocean City CTD during POLARSTERN cruise PS122. PANGAEA. doi: 1884 https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.959964. 1885
- Tippenhauer, S, Vredenborg, M, Heuzé, C, Ulfsbo, A, Rabe, B, Granskog, MA, 1886 Allerholt, J, Balmonte, JP, Campbell, RG, Castellani, G, Chamberlain, E, 1887 Creamean, J, D'Angelo, A, Dietrich, U, Droste, E, Eggers, L, Fang, YC, 1888 Fong, AA, Gardner, J, Graupner, R, Grosse, J, He, H, Hildebrandt, N, Hoppe, 1889 CJM, Hoppmann, M, Kanzow, T, Karam, S, Koenig, Z, Kong, B, Kuhlmey, 1890 D, Kuznetsov, I, Lan, M, Liu, H, Mallet, M, Mohrholz, V, Muilwijk, M, 1891 Müller, O, Olsen, LM, Rember, R, Ren, J, Sakinan, S, Schaffer, J, Schmidt, 1892 K, Schuffenhauer, I, Schulz, K, Shoemaker, K, Spahic, S, Sukhikh, N, Sven-1893 son, A, Torres-Valdés, S, Torstensson, A, Wischnewski, L, Zhuang, Y. 2023b. 1894 Physical oceanography based on ship CTD during POLARSTERN cruise 1895 PS122. PANGAEA. doi:https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.959963. 1896 Tippenhauer, S, Vredenborg, M, Heuzé, C, Ulfsbo, A, Rabe, B, Granskog, 1897 MA, Allerholt, J, Balmonte, JP, Campbell, RG, Castellani, G, Chamber-1898 lain, E, Creamean, J, D'Angelo, A, Dietrich, U, Droste, E, Eggers, L, 1899 Fang, YC, Fong, AA, Gardner, J, Graupner, R, Grosse, J, He, H, Hilde-1900 brandt, N, Hoppe, CJM, Hoppmann, M, Kanzow, T, Karam, S, Koenig, Z, 1901 Kong, B, Kuhlmey, D, Kuznetsov, I, Lan, M, Liu, H, Mallet, M, Mohrholz, 1902

V, Muilwijk, M, Müller, O, Olsen, LM, Rember, R, Ren, J, Sakinan,
S, Schaffer, J, Schmidt, K, Schuffenhauer, I, Schulz, K, Shoemaker, K,
Spahic, S, Sukhikh, N, Svenson, A, Torres-Valdés, S, Torstensson, A, Wischnewski, L, Zhuang, Y. 2023c. Physical oceanography water bottle samples
based on ship CTD during POLARSTERN cruise PS122. PANGAEA. doi:
https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.959965.

Tippenhauer, S, Vredenborg, M, Heuzé, C, Ulfsbo, A, Rabe, B, Granskog, MA, 1909 Allerholt, J, Balmonte, JP, Campbell, RG, Castellani, G, Chamberlain, E, 1910 Creamean, J, D'Angelo, A, Dietrich, U, Droste, E, Eggers, L, Fang, YC, 1911 Fong, AA, Gardner, J, Graupner, R, Grosse, J, He, H, Hildebrandt, N, 1912 Hoppe, CJM, Hoppmann, M, Kanzow, T, Karam, S, Koenig, Z, Kong, B, 1913 Kuhlmey, D, Kuznetsov, I, Lan, M, Liu, H, Mallet, M, Mohrholz, V, Muil-1914 wijk, M, Müller, O, Olsen, LM, Rember, R, Ren, J, Sakinan, S, Schaf-1915 fer, J, Schmidt, K, Schuffenhauer, I, Schulz, K, Shoemaker, K, Spahic, S, 1916 Sukhikh, N, Svenson, A, Torres-Valdés, S, Torstensson, A, Wischnewski, 1917 L, Zhuang, Y. 2023d. Physical oceanography water bottle samples based 1918 on Ocean City CTD during POLARSTERN cruise PS122. PANGAEA. doi: 1919 https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.959966. 1920

Toole, JM, Krishfield, R. 2016. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution IceTethered Profiler Program. Ice-Tethered Profiler observations: Vertical profiles of temperature, salinity, oxygen, and ocean velocity from an IceTethered Profiler buoy system. [ITP94, ITP111, Accessed March 13.
2023]. NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information. doi:
https://doi.org/10.7289/v5mw2f7x.

Toole, JM, Krishfield, RA, Timmermans, ML, Proshutinsky, A. 2011. The icetethered profiler: Argo of the Arctic. *Oceanography* **24**(3): 126–135. doi: https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2011.64.

Torres-Valdés, S, Tsubouchi, T, Bacon, S, Naveira-Garabato, AC, Sanders, R,
 McLaughlin, FA, Petrie, B, Kattner, G, Azetsu-Scott, K, Whitledge, TE.
 2013. Export of nutrients from the Arctic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 118(4): 1625–1644. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20063.

von Appen, WJ, Baumann, TM, Janout, M, Koldunov, N, Lenn, YD, Pickart,
RS, Scott, RB, Wang, Q. 2022. Eddies and the distribution of eddy kinetic energy in the Arctic Ocean. *Oceanography* 35(3/4): 42–51. doi:
https://www.jstor.org/stable/27182695.

von Appen, WJ, Schauer, U, Somavilla, R, Bauerfeind, E, Beszczynska-Möller, A. 2015. Exchange of warming deep waters across Fram Strait. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 103: 86–100. doi:

¹⁹⁴¹ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2015.06.003.

- Wang, Q, Shu, Q, Bozec, A, Chassignet, EP, Fogli, PG, Fox-Kemper, B, Hogg, AM, Iovino, D, Kiss, AE, Koldunov, N, Le Sommer, J, Li, Y, Lin, P, Liu, H, Polyakov, I, Scholz, P, Sidorenko, D, Wang, S, Xu, X. 2023. Impact of high resolution on Arctic Ocean simulations in Ocean Model Intercomparison Project phase 2 (OMIP-2). *Geoscientific Model Development Discussions* 2023: 1–46. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2023-123.
 Webster MA, Holland M, Wright NC, Hendricks S, Hutter N, Itkin P, Light B.
- Webster, MA, Holland, M, Wright, NC, Hendricks, S, Hutter, N, Itkin, P, Light, B,
 Linhardt, F, Perovich, DK, Raphael, IA, Smith, MM, von Albedyll, L, Zhang,
 J. 2022. Spatiotemporal evolution of melt ponds on Arctic sea ice: MOSAiC
 observations and model results. *Elementa Science of the Anthropocene* 10(1):
 000072. doi:https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2021.000072.
- ¹⁹⁵³ Zhao, M, Timmermans, ML, Cole, S, Krishfield, R, Proshutinsky, A, Toole,
 ¹⁹⁵⁴ J. 2014. Characterizing the eddy field in the Arctic Ocean halocline.
 ¹⁹⁵⁵ Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 119(12): 8800–8817. doi:
 ¹⁹⁵⁶ https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010488.
- ¹⁹⁵⁷ Zweng, M, Reagan, J, Seidov, D, Boyer, T, Locarnini, R, Garcia, H, Mishonov,
 ¹⁹⁵⁸ A, Baranova, O, Weathers, K, Paver, C, Smolyar, I. 2018. *World Ocean Atlas* ¹⁹⁵⁹ 2018, Volume 2: Salinity. A. Mishonov Technical Ed.; NOAA Atlas NESDIS
 ¹⁹⁶⁰ 82.