


Medieval and recent SO₂ budgets in the Reykjanes Peninsula: implication for future hazard

A. Caracciolo^{1*}, E. Bali¹, E. Ranta², S.A. Halldórsson¹, G.H. Guðfinnsson¹, B.V. Óskarsson³



<https://doi.org/10.7185/geochemlet.2417>

Abstract



Exposure to volcanic SO₂ can have adverse effects on human health, with severe respiratory disorders documented on short- and long-term timescales. Here, we use melt inclusion and groundmass glass data to calculate potential syneruptive SO₂ emissions during medieval and recent (2021–2024) eruptions across the Reykjanes Peninsula, the most populated area of Iceland, which has recently undergone magmatic reactivation with the 2021–2024 eruptions at Fagradalsfjall and Svartsengi. We target 16 individual eruptions from the medieval volcanic cycle at the Reykjanes Peninsula, the 800–1240 AD Fires, along with the 2021–2023

Fagradalsfjall eruptions and the 2023–2024 eruptions at Sundhnúksgrígar. We calculate potential SO₂ emissions across the RP for the individual eruptions to be in the range of 0.004–6.3 Mt. These estimates correspond to mean daily SO₂ emissions in the range of 1000–111,000 t/day, higher than the mean SO₂ measurements of 5240 ± 2700 t/day during the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption. By using pre-eruptive sulfur values preserved in undegassed melt inclusions, we develop an empirical approach to calculate best- and worst-case potential SO₂ emission scenarios of any past or ongoing Reykjanes Peninsula eruption of known effusion rate. We conclude that the potential sulfur emissions across the RP can be significantly higher than observed during the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption, mainly because of the more evolved nature and higher sulfur contents of magmas erupted during the medieval period. Based on dominant NW wind directions on the Reykjanes Peninsula, eruptions in Brennisteinsfjöll pose the greatest health hazard to the capital area. Sulfate aerosol produced during long-term eruptions may impact visibility and air quality in the Keflavík Airport area. Our findings enable assessment of SO₂ emission scenarios of future eruptions across the RP and can be used together with gas dispersal models to forecast SO₂ pollution at ground level, and its impact on human health.

Received 16 November 2023 | Accepted 27 March 2024 | Published 3 May 2024

Introduction

The release of volcanic gases and aerosols during volcanic eruptions can significantly impact the air quality and climate (e.g., Ilyinskaya *et al.*, 2017), as well as biodiversity (e.g., Weiser *et al.*, 2022). Among volcanic gases, sulfur species (SO₂, H₂S) and associated aerosols (SO₄, H₂SO₄) are the most critical airborne hazards to human health, with short- and long-term impacts that have been recorded at variable distances from eruptive vents (e.g., Schmidt *et al.*, 2015; Ilyinskaya *et al.*, 2017; Stewart *et al.*, 2022; Horwell *et al.*, 2023). For example, several studies have associated cardiorespiratory issues with volcanic sulfur emissions (e.g., Carlsen *et al.*, 2021, and references therein). Hence, a detailed knowledge of potential sulfur releases of active volcanoes located in densely populated areas is critical to understand air quality hazards of future volcanic eruptions. This is the case of the Reykjanes Peninsula (RP) in southwest Iceland, an active spreading area segmented into five volcanic systems, which from west to east are Reykjanes, Svartsengi, Fagradalsfjall, Krýsuvík and Brennisteinsfjöll. The latest magmatic period in the RP occurred ~800 years ago (Sæmundsson *et al.*, 2020), but knowledge about sulfur outputs during those

eruptions has been lacking thus far. Each volcanic system on the RP tends to activate during individual magmatic periods (Sæmundsson *et al.*, 2020), and the recent 2021–2024 Fagradalsfjall and Svartsengi eruptions (Barsotti *et al.*, 2023; Sigmundsson *et al.*, 2024) suggest the potential initiation of a new eruptive period in an area that hosts ~70 % of the Icelandic population. Consequently, there is an increased societal need for a deeper understanding of sulfur emissions across the RP, which is crucial for a comprehensive assessment of sulfur's impact during future eruptions and its potential consequences for human health.

Here, we focus on magmatic units erupted in the volcanic systems of Reykjanes, Svartsengi, Krýsuvík and Brennisteinsfjöll in the RP during the last medieval eruptive cycle, which occurred between the 8th century and 1240 AD, hereafter referred to as the 800–1240 AD Fires (Peate *et al.*, 2009; Caracciolo *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, we target the 2021–2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions and the December 2023, January 2024 and February 2024 eruptions at Sundhnúksgrígar in Svartsengi. We calculate syneruptive sulfur release and potential sulfur emissions of 19 geologically and petrochemically well characterised magmatic

1. NordVulk, Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Iceland, 102, Reykjavík, Iceland
 2. Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki, 00014, Helsinki, Finland
 3. Icelandic Institute of Natural History, 210, Garðabær, Iceland

* Corresponding author (email: alberto@hi.is)



units (Peate *et al.*, 2009; Caracciolo *et al.*, 2023) and compare those with sulfur emissions from the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption (Halldórsson *et al.*, 2022; Barsotti *et al.*, 2023). Also, we estimate daily SO₂ emissions and develop an empirical approach to calculate worst- and best-case potential sulfur emissions for any eruption of a given volume emplaced in the RP.

Samples and Methods

Scoria samples were collected from multiple vents within individual eruptive units of the 800–1240 AD Fires (Table S-1) (Caracciolo *et al.*, 2023). Here, we present new sulfur (S) data for the same groundmass glass ($n=889$) and melt inclusions (MIs) ($n=416$) dataset published in Caracciolo *et al.* (2023). Additionally, we include new MI and groundmass glass data from quenched lavas and tephra erupted during the 2022 and 2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions, as well as data from the eruptions at Sundhnúksgrígar that occurred in the Svartsengi volcanic system in December 2023, January 2024 and February 2024. S was analysed by electron microprobe analyser (EMPA) at the University of Iceland, using the same analytical settings as in Caracciolo *et al.* (2020), and MI compositions have been corrected for post-entrapment processes (PEP) (Tables S-2–S-4) (Caracciolo *et al.*, 2023).

Here, we use the ‘petrological method’ (Devine *et al.*, 1984) to calculate eruptive sulfur emissions based on the difference between S concentrations in mineral-hosted MIs and S concentrations measured in groundmass glass (ΔC_S). The idea behind this reconstruction method is that melt inclusions with similar composition to erupted melts preserve the pre-eruptive volatile content, and quenched groundmass glasses provide an estimate of the post-eruptive volatile content. For the different magmatic units, the highest S concentration measured in PEP-corrected MIs ($C_{S, MI}$) is selected as the pre-eruptive S concentration, whereas the lowest S concentration in groundmass glasses ($C_{S, glass}$) is chosen as the post-eruptive S concentration. By combining the mass of erupted magmas with the mass of S released, we can assess vent syneruptive SO₂ emissions (M_S) of individual eruptions (see Eqs. S-1, S-2) (e.g., Bali *et al.*, 2018, and references therein). Furthermore, we calculate the magnitude of potential SO₂ emissions (potential M_S), which refers to complete degassing of all pre-eruptive sulfur ($C_{S, glass}=0$) and reflects the maximum amount of SO₂ that a specific eruption could potentially have released, assuming that there is no degassing of unerupted magma. This reconstruction method has been shown to have matched field-based volatile measurements exceptionally well during the 2014–2015 Holuhraun eruption (Bali *et al.*, 2018; Pfeffer *et al.*, 2018) and the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption (this work, Table 1).

Sulfur Concentrations in MIs and Groundmass Glass

Sulfur concentration in MIs is in the range of 200–1900 ppm, with a relatively large variability of S at a given MI Mg#. Particularly, the most primitive MIs (Mg# > 65), exclusively preserved in Reykjanes and Krýsuvík, record S contents in the range of 580–1070 ppm (Fig. 1). S concentration in PEP-corrected MI compositions increases with decreasing MI Mg#, as expected for melt compositions controlled by fractional crystallisation. MIs from the 2023–2024 eruptions at Sundhnúksgrígar record pre-eruptive S concentrations in the range of 1400–1600 ppm, in agreement with MI data from the medieval eruptions (Fig. 1b). MIs from the 2022–2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions closely match S concentrations

measured in the 2021 products (Fig. 1c). Groundmass glasses from Brennisteinsfjöll have mean S contents in the range of 150–280 ppm, lower than mean S contents measured in glasses from the other volcanic systems (280–450 ppm) (Fig. 1, Table 1). For comparison, MIs from the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption contain maximum S concentrations of 1200 ppm, whereas the groundmass glasses contain 20–200 ppm S. Sulfide globules were not observed in the erupted samples.

Assessing Sulfur Variability and Degassing during the 800–1240 AD Fires

Considering that medieval and recent eruptions on the RP are likely sourced from mantle-derived melts of diverse compositions (Peate *et al.*, 2009; Halldórsson *et al.*, 2022; Harðardóttir *et al.*, 2022; Caracciolo *et al.*, 2023), including melts with variable S contents (Ranta *et al.*, 2022), we use our MI record to estimate S contents of the local enriched and depleted end member melt components. We distinguish between these components from the K₂O/TiO₂ variability, a robust tracer of mantle heterogeneities in Iceland (Halldórsson *et al.*, 2022; Harðardóttir *et al.*, 2022) (see Supplementary Information). Our modelling, considering that S behaves as an incompatible element in basaltic magmas, shows that most of the MI S variability can be explained by fractional crystallisation (FC) and mixing of, at least, two end member melt compositions (Fig. 1a–d).

In order to evaluate S saturation during magma ascent and fractional crystallisation through the crust, we calculate sulfur content at sulfide saturation (SCSS) along a FC path, which reflects the amount of S²⁻ present in a melt in equilibrium with a sulfide phase (Smythe *et al.*, 2017) (see Supplementary Information). Our modelling suggests that melts are sulfide undersaturated during most of magmatic fractionation across the RP (Figs. 1, S-3, S-4). Only magmas from Svartsengi and Brennisteinsfjöll have a high likelihood to be sulfide saturated prior to eruptions. Furthermore, sulfide saturation is reached earlier during magmatic differentiation of enriched mantle-derived melts than depleted melts (Fig. 1).

Modelling of S degassing with Sulfur_X (Ding *et al.*, 2023) suggests that the basaltic melts that erupted during the 800–1240 AD Reykjanes Fires are unlikely to degas significant amounts of S at known pre-eruptive magma storage depths (Caracciolo *et al.*, 2023) and that significant S degassing only takes place during magma ascent in the last 0.2 kbar (<700 m) (Fig. S-1).

Sulfur Emissions across the RP

Sulfur release ranges between 1000 and 1770 ppm across the RP, a typical range for Icelandic rift basalts (Ranta *et al.*, 2024), with the largest ΔC_S found in lavas from Svartsengi and Brennisteinsfjöll (Table 1). ΔC_S values can be scaled by the mass of erupted material to estimate M_S of individual eruptions, using published volumes of individual eruptive units, in the range of 0.01 km³ to 0.72 km³ (Table 1). Using a melt density of 2700 kg/m³ and assuming a bulk vesicularity of 15 vol. %, we calculate M_S between 0.003 and 5.9 Mt (Fig. 2a). The most voluminous lavas found in Svartsengi and Brennisteinsfjöll released the highest mass of SO₂ into the atmosphere during the medieval period. The syneruptive SO₂ released by these latter voluminous lavas is approximately 2 to 6 times larger than syneruptive SO₂ emissions during the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption, for which we estimated $M_S = 0.78$ Mt ($M_{S, measured} = 0.97 \pm 0.5$; Barsotti *et al.*, 2023). These are roughly between 20 and 70 % of the syneruptive SO₂ emissions estimated for the 2014–2015 Holuhraun eruption ($M_S = 10.5$ Mt; Bali *et al.*, 2018). We calculate SO₂ release of



Table 1 Eruptive units studied in this work and summary of main results. $C_{S,MI}$, pre-eruptive S concentration; $C_{S,MI, glass}$, post-eruptive S concentration; ΔC_S , sulfur emissions at the vent, per unit mass of melt, accounting for crystallinity; V , bulk lava volume; V_{DRE} , vesicle-free lava volume; M_S , syneruptive SO_2 emissions at the vent; potential M_S , potential SO_2 emissions; MOR, mean output rates. Lava volumes for the medieval eruptions are from Einarsson *et al.* (1991), Jónsson (1978) and Sigurgeirsson (2004). ‘No.’ indicates the number of eruptive units, as indicated in Figure 3.

No.	Eruptive unit	Acronym	Volcanic system	Age	$C_{S,MI}$ ppm	$C_{S,MI, glass}$ ppm	ΔC_S ppm	V km ³	V_{DRE} km ³	Mass Kg	M_S Mt	Potential M_S Mt	MOR ^b m ³ /s	Time of lava emplacement days	Daily SO_2 emissions t/day
1	Stampahraun 4	SO	Reykjanes	A.D. 1210–1240	1559	258	1275	0.10	0.09	2.3E+11	0.58	0.71	6.4–67.9 (17.9)	17–193 (65)	3570–40,450 (10,620)
2	Arnarseturshraun	SÖ-A	Svartsengi	1210–1240	1907	196	1667	0.55	0.47	1.3E+12	4.23	4.81	26.1–60.1 (33.5)	106–244 (190)	20,420–47,000 (26,200)
3	Eldvarpahraun	SÖ-E	Svartsengi	1210–1240	1907	112	1759	0.28	0.24	6.4E+11	2.26	2.45	26–93.7 (33.3)	35–125 (97)	21,340–76,900 (27,300)
4	Illahraun	SÖ-I	Svartsengi	1210–1240	1907	312	1563	0.05	0.04	1.1E+11	0.36	0.44	4–42.7 (11.2)	14–145 (52)	2920–31,140 (8180)
5	Sundhnúkar Dec. 2023	Sund 2023	Svartsengi	2023	1610	210	1372	0.011 ^d	0.01	2.5E+10	0.07	0.08	50 ^d	2.5	32,000
6	Sundhnúkar Jan. 2024*	Sund 2024a	Svartsengi	2024	1400	160	1215	-	-	-	-	-	-	<2	-
7	Sundhnúkar Feb. 2024*	Sund 2024b	Svartsengi	2024	1607	164	1414	-	-	-	-	-	-	<2	-
8	Fagradalsfjall 2021	Fagra 2021	Fagradalsfjall	2021	1170	20	1127	0.15 ^c	0.13	4.1E+11	0.78	0.80	9.5 ^c	185	5000
9	Fagradalsfjall 2022	Fagra 2022	Fagradalsfjall	2022	1300	120	1180	0.011 ^d	0.01	2.5E+10	0.06	0.07	7.0 ^d	18	3780
10	Fagradalsfjall 2023	Fagra 2023	Fagradalsfjall	2023	1170	120	1050	0.015 ^d	0.013	3.4E+10	0.07	0.08	7.0 ^d	26	3360
11	Ógmundarhraun	ÓGM	Krýsuvík	1151–1188	1517	138	1351	0.13	0.11	3.0E+11	0.81	0.90	31.9–73.3 (40.8)	21–47 (37)	20,110–46,220 (25,750)
12	Kapelluhraun	KAP	Krýsuvík	1151–1188	1482	183	1273	0.07	0.06	1.6E+11	0.41	0.48	20.2–213 (56)	4–40 (14)	12,000–126,500 (33,240)
13	Mávahlíðarhraun	MÁH	Krýsuvík	1151–1188	1297	280	997	0.02	0.02	4.6E+10	0.09	0.12	5.8–61 (16)	4–40 (14)	2700–28,370 (7450)
14	Hrútafellsbraun ^a	HRF	Krýsuvík	8 th –9 th century	1546	252	1268	0.04 ^a	0.03	9.0E+10	0.23	0.28	11.4–120 (31.5)	4–40 (14)	6750–71,000 (18,660)
15	Hvammahraun	h128	Brennisteinsfjöll	8 th –9 th century	1900	90	1810	0.72	0.61	1.7E+12	5.86	6.27	48.3–510.6 (134.1)	16–173 (62)	39,970–422,560 (111,000)
16	Kistuhraun	h130	Brennisteinsfjöll	900–1100	1494	94	1372	0.08	0.07	1.8E+11	0.50	0.55	6.1–22 (7.8)	42–152 (119)	3900–14,000 (5000)
17	Selvogshraun	h138	Brennisteinsfjöll	10 th –11 th century	1504	126	1350	0.19	0.16	4.4E+11	1.18	1.31	14.2–149.9 (39.4)	15–155 (56)	8950–94,450 (24,810)
18	Tvíbollahraun	tv	Brennisteinsfjöll	950	1367	129	1213	0.37	0.31	8.5E+11	2.06	2.32	18.7–43 (24)	100–229 (178)	10,580–24,340 (13,580)
19	Svarthryggur ^a	h142	Brennisteinsfjöll	900–1200	1341	147	1170	0.0005 ^a	0.0004	1.3E+09	0.003	0.003	0.5–3.3 (2)	2–13 (3)	270–1800 (1100)
20	Hústellsbrun ^a	Hú1 & Hú2	Brennisteinsfjöll	9 th –13 th century	1739	55	1650	0.20 ^a	0.17	4.9E+11	1.62	1.70	50.6–116.4 (64.9)	21–49 (38)	38,960–89,620 (50,000)
21	Kristnitökuhraun ^a	KRT	Brennisteinsfjöll	1000	1640	118	1492	0.06 ^a	0.05	1.4E+11	0.41	0.45	14.1–50.9 (18)	14–50 (39)	9800–35,420 (12,570)

^a Lava volume estimated by assuming a thickness of 5 m, consistent with average thicknesses of lava flows of known volumes with a similar aerial extent.

^b MOR values (within brackets) and uncertainty ranges for the medieval eruptions are from Óskarsson *et al.* (2024).

^c V and MOR from Pedersen *et al.* (2022).

^d V and MOR from Pedersen *et al.* (2024).

* Lava volumes for the 2024 eruptions at Sundhnúksgrágar are not available at the current stage.






Figure 1 Variations of S contents in groundmass glasses (filled circles) and PEP-corrected MIs (filled triangles) as a function of Mg# [$\text{Mg\#} = 100 \cdot \text{Mg}/(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe}^{2+})$, $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{\text{tot}} = 0.9$] in samples from (a,b,d,e) the 800–1240 AD Fires, (c) the 2021–2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions and (b) the 2023–2024 eruptions at Sundhnúkgígur. Data from the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption are from Halldórsson *et al.* (2022). Red and blue solid lines indicate fractional crystallisation paths calculated for geochemically enriched and depleted initial melt compositions, respectively (see Supplementary Information). The black dotted curve indicates SCSS along an empirical fractional crystallisation path calculated after Smythe *et al.* (2017), implemented in PySulfSat (Wieser and Gleeson, 2022).

0.06–0.07 Mt for the 2022 and 2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions, respectively. However, for a given mass of melt, the 2021–2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions released a comparable mass of SO_2 (Table 1). Conversely, the 2023–2024 eruptions at Sundhnúkgígur slightly exceeded SO_2 emissions during the 2021–2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions (Table 1). Similarly, we have calculated

potential M_S , the maximum mass of SO_2 that could potentially have been released during each eruption. Potential M_S across the RP ranges between 0.003 and 6.3 Mt and is only slightly higher than vent M_S , as most of the S is released into the atmosphere during eruptions rather than staying dissolved in the lava (Table 1).






Figure 2 (a) Variation of vent M_S . (b) Magnitude of potential M_S as a function of eruption volume for the 800–1240 AD Fires, the 2021–2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions and the 2023 Sundhnúkar eruption. At a given volume, straight lines allow to calculate potential M_S corresponding to maximum and minimum pre-eruptive S concentrations measured across the RP. Inset plot shows most common potential M_S across the RP. (c) Daily SO₂ emissions are calculated using MOR values and associated uncertainties from Óskarsson *et al.* (2024). Blue histogram indicates measured SO₂ emissions during the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption (Esse *et al.*, 2023). Data are coloured according to the volcanic system and only lavas with known volumes or MORs are included in the plots.

Evaluating End Member Scenarios of SO₂ Emissions and Hazard Potential for Future Eruptions across the RP

Based on the MI record of the 2021–2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions (Halldórsson *et al.*, 2022; this work), the 2023–2024 eruptions at Sundhnúksgrígar and the 800–1240 AD Fires (this work), we constrain potential maximum (1900 ppm) and minimum (1170 ppm) pre-eruptive S concentrations and use these to estimate potential M_S of future eruptions in the RP. With these constraints, we developed an empirical approach to assess potential M_S for a given eruption of known lava volume, with important applications for forecasting the worst- and best-case scenarios of potential M_S of future eruptive events (Fig. 2b). For example, based on our approach, an eruption with an eruptive volume of 0.4 km³ could release between 2.9 Mt and 4.1 Mt SO₂. This method also has an application when it comes to evaluating the long-term SO₂ impact of ongoing eruptions in the RP. If the mean magma output rate (MOR) is known and fixed, one can roughly estimate the volume of the lava flow and calculate potential M_S at any given moment from the onset of the eruption. This provides a valuable tool to assess best- and worst-case scenarios for SO₂ pollution during ongoing events.

Eruptive M_S calculations are strongly dependent on lava flow volumes. Hence, when it comes to comparing the 800–1240 AD Fires with the 2021–2024 eruptions, a more relevant parameter is the mean daily SO₂ emissions, which also is an important parameter from a hazard perspective. We have estimated daily SO₂ emissions for the 800–1240 AD Fires using MOR values calculated by Óskarsson *et al.* (2024), in the range

of 3–119 m³/s (Table 1, Eq. S-3). Mean daily SO₂ emissions during the medieval eruptions likely ranged between 1000 t/day and 111,000 t/day (Fig. 2c). In comparison, during the 2021, 2022 and 2023 Fagradalsfjall eruptions, we calculate average daily SO₂ emissions of 5000, 3780 and 3360 t/day, respectively. The estimate for the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption is in agreement with the majority of measured daily SO₂ emissions throughout the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption, in the range of 1000–7600 t/day (Esse *et al.*, 2023), and with daily SO₂ emissions of 5240 ± 2700 t/day, calculated assuming 0.97 ± 0.5 Mt total mass of SO₂ (Barsotti *et al.*, 2023). In contrast, the December 2023 Sundhnúkar eruption released 32,000 t/day SO₂ (Table 1). Our calculations highlight that future eruptions in the RP may have the potential to release significantly more SO₂ on a daily basis than the 2021–2024 eruptions.

SO₂ emissions during the 800–1240 AD Fires and the 2021–2024 eruptions are small compared to those during the 2014–2015 Holuhraun basaltic eruption (9.2 Mt SO₂; Pfeffer *et al.*, 2018). However, volcanic eruptions in the RP are potentially considered to be more hazardous due to their proximity to inhabited areas, to the international airport and to the large number of visitors expected at eruption sites (Fig. 3) (Barsotti *et al.*, 2023). To assess the health hazard for potential future eruptions, we built seasonal wind roses, for the period 2012–2022, reflecting dominant wind speeds and directions in the RP (Hersbach *et al.*, 2023). We find that, most of the time, prevailing winds blow towards the NW–NE, suggesting different SO₂ health hazard potentials associated with eruptions within different volcanic systems (Fig. 3). The prevalent NW wind blowing direction suggests that volcanic SO₂ emissions could still be disruptive to the Keflavik Airport area if there were a long-duration eruption.




Figure 3 Simplified geological map of the RP and lava flows emplaced during the 800–1240 AD Fires. The map also illustrates the aerial extent of the 2021 (Pedersen et al., 2022), 2022 (Gunnarson et al., 2023) and 2023 (Belart et al., 2023) Fagradalsfjall lavas. Data from the 2023–2024 eruptions at Sundhnúksígur are from the Landmælingar Íslands geoserver (gis.lmi.is/geoserver). When possible, lava flows are coloured according to calculated syneruptive SO₂ emissions, ranging from 0.1 to 6 Mt. Orange shaded areas indicate urban areas. Numbers reflect the different erupted units as listed in Table 1. Seasonal wind roses reflect data at 900 mPa (~1000 m a.s.l), which was the most common SO₂ injection altitude during the 2021 Fagradalsfjall eruption (Esse et al., 2023). Spokes indicate the direction the wind is blowing from, and the length of each spoke shows the frequency. Wind data were extracted from ERA5 (Hersbach et al., 2023).

Even if eruptions in the RP produce little ash, sulfate aerosol in the atmosphere could reduce visibility and air quality (Pattantyus et al., 2018). Eruptions in Brennisteinsfjöll are the most hazardous for Reykjavík, especially in spring and autumn seasons, as SO₂ is likely to be blown towards the capital area. Eruptions in Reykjanes pose a minimal hazard as winds tend to blow away from inhabited areas. During assessment of possible eruptive scenarios in the RP, our estimates provide key input parameters to model the release and dispersion of volcanic SO₂ into the atmosphere. Our results can be used to inform SO₂ pollution hazard assessments for potential eruptive scenarios and prompt action and mitigation plans during ongoing volcanic crises in the RP.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by a NordVulk fellowship awarded to AC and by the Icelandic Research Fund (grant 228933-052). We acknowledge support from the Gosvá project, a research programme on the assessment of volcanic hazard risks in Iceland led by the Icelandic Meteorological Office (IMO). SAH acknowledges support from the Icelandic Research Fund (Grant #196139-051). We thank Christoph Kern, two anonymous reviewers, and editor Ambre Luguët for their constructive comments, which significantly improved the quality of the manuscript.

Editor: Ambre Luguët

Additional Information

Supplementary Information accompanies this letter at <https://www.geochemicalperspectivesletters.org/article2417>.



© 2024 The Authors. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Additional information is available at <http://www.geochemicalperspectivesletters.org/copyright-and-permissions>.

Cite this letter as: Caracciolo, A., Bali, E., Ranta, E., Halldórsson, S.A., Guðfinnsson, G.H., Óskarsson, B.V. (2024) Medieval and recent SO₂ budgets in the Reykjanes Peninsula: implication for future hazard. *Geochem. Persp. Let.* 30, 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.7185/geochemlet.2417>

References

- BALI, E., HARTLEY, M.E., HALLDÓRSSON, S.A., GUÐFINNSSON, G.H., JAKOBSSON, S. (2018) Melt inclusion constraints on volatile systematics and degassing history of the 2014–2015 Holuhraun eruption, Iceland. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 173, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-017-1434-1>
- BARSOITI, S., PARKS, M.M., PFEFFER, M.A., ÓLADÓTTIR, B.A., BARNIE, T., TITOS, M.M., JÓNSDÓTTIR, K., PEDERSEN, G.B.M., HJARTARDÓTTIR, Á.R., STEFANSDÓTTIR, G., JOHANNSSON, T., ARASON, P., GUÐMUNDSSON, M.T., ODDSSON, B.,



- PRASTARSON, R.H., ÓFEIGSSON, B.G., VOGFJÖRD, K., GEIRSSON, H., HJÖRVAR, T., VON LÖWIS, S., PETERSEN, G.N., SIGURÐSSON, E.M. (2023) The eruption in Fagradalsfjall (2021, Iceland): how the operational monitoring and the volcanic hazard assessment contributed to its safe access. *Natural Hazards* 116, 3063–3092. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-022-05798-7>
- BELART, J.M.C., PINEL, V., REYNOLDS, H.I., BERTHIER, E., GUNNARSSON, S.R. (2023) Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) and lava outlines from the 2023 Litla-Hrútur eruption, Iceland, from Pléiades satellite stereomages [Data set]. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10133203>
- CARACCILO, A., BALL, E., GUÐFINNSSON, G.H., KAHL, M., HALLDÓRSSON, S.A., HARTLEY, M.E., GUNNARSSON, H. (2020) Temporal evolution of magma and crystal mush storage conditions in the Bárðarbunga-Veiðivötn volcanic system, Iceland. *Lithos* 352–353, 105234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.105234>
- CARACCILO, A., BALL, E., HALLDÓRSSON, S.A., GUÐFINNSSON, G.H., KAHL, M., ÞÓRDARDÓTTIR, I., PÁLMAÐÓTTIR, G.L., SILVESTRI, V. (2023) Magma plumbing architectures and timescales of magmatic processes during historical magmatism on the Reykjanes Peninsula, Iceland. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 621, 118378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2023.118378>
- CARLSEN, H.K., VALDIMARSDÓTTIR, U., BRIEM, H., DOMINICI, F., FINNBJORNSDOTTIR, R.G., JOHANNSSON, T., ASPELUND, T., GISLASON, T., GUÐNASON, T. (2021) Severe volcanic SO₂ exposure and respiratory morbidity in the Icelandic population – a register study. *Environmental Health* 20, 23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-021-00698-y>
- DEVINE, J.D., SIGURÐSSON, H., DAVIS, A.N., SELF, S. (1984) Estimates of sulfur and chlorine yield to the atmosphere from volcanic eruptions and potential climatic effects. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 89, 6309–6325. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB089iB07p06309>
- DING, S., PLANK, T., WALLACE, P.J., RASMUSSEN, D.J. (2023) Sulfur_X: A Model of Sulfur Degassing During Magma Ascent. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 24, e2022GC010552. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GC010552>
- EINARSSON, S., JOHANNESSEN, H., SVEINBJÖRNSDÓTTIR, Á.E. (1991) Krísvíkureldar II. Kapelluhraun og gátan um aldur Hellnahrauns. *Jökull* 41, 61–80. <https://doi.org/10.33799/jokull1991.41.061>
- ESSE, B., BURTON, M., HAYER, C., PFEFFER, M.A., BARSOTTI, S., THEYS, N., BARNIE, T., TITOS, M. (2023) Satellite derived SO₂ emissions from the relatively low-intensity, effusive 2021 eruption of Fagradalsfjall, Iceland. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 619, 118325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2023.118325>
- GUNNARSSON, S.R., BELART, J.M.C., ÓSKARSSON, B.V., GUÐMUNDSSON, M.T., HÖGNADÓTTIR, T., PEDERSEN, G.B.M., DÜRIG, T., PINEL, V. (2023) Automated processing of aerial imagery for geohazards monitoring: Results from Fagradalsfjall eruption, SW Iceland, August 2022 [Dataset]. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7871187>
- HALLDÓRSSON, S.A., MARSHALL, E.W., CARACCILO, A., MATTHEWS, S., BALL, E., RASMUSSEN, M.B., RANTA, E., ROBIN, J.G., GUÐFINNSSON, G.H., SIGMARSSON, O., MACLENNAN, J., JACKSON, M.G., WHITEHOUSE, M.J., JEON, H., VAN DER MEER, Q.H.A., MIBEL, G.K., KALLIOKOSKI, M.H., REPZYŃSKA, M.M., RÚNARSDÓTTIR, R.H., SIGURÐSSON, G., PFEFFER, M.A., SCOTT, S.W., KJARTANSDÓTTIR, R., KLEINE, B.I., OPPENHEIMER, C., AIUPPA, A., ILYNSKAYA, E., BITETTO, M., GIUDICE, G., STEFÁNSSON, A. (2022) Rapid shifting of a deep magmatic source at Fagradalsfjall volcano, Iceland. *Nature* 609, 529–534. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04981-x>
- HARDARDÓTTIR, S., MATTHEWS, S., HALLDÓRSSON, S.A., JACKSON, M.G. (2022) Spatial distribution and geochemical characterization of Icelandic mantle end-members: Implications for plume geometry and melting processes. *Chemical Geology* 604, 120930. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2022.120930>
- HERSBACH, H., BELL, B., BERRISFORD, P., BIAVATI, G., HORÁNYI, A., MUÑOZ SABATER, J., NICOLAS, J., PEUBEY, C., RADU, R., ROZUM, I., SCHEPERS, D., SIMMONS, A., SOCI, C., DEE, D., THÉPAUT, J.-N. (2023) ERA5 hourly data on pressure levels from 1940 to present. *Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS)*. Accessed 26 October 2023. <https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.bd0915c6>
- HORWELL, C.J., BAXTER, P.J., DAMBY, D.E., ELIAS, T., ILYNSKAYA, E., SPARKS, R.S.J., STEWART, C., TOMAŠEK, I. (2023) The International Volcanic Health Hazard Network (IVHHN): reflections on 20 years of progress. *Frontiers in Earth Science* 11, 1213363. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2023.1213363>
- ILYNSKAYA, E., SCHMIDT, A., MATHER, T.A., POPE, F.D., WITHAM, C., BAXTER, P., JOHANNSSON, T., PFEFFER, M., BARSOTTI, S., SINGH, A., SANDERSON, P., BERGSSON, B., MCCORMICK KILBRIDE, B., DONOVAN, A., PETERS, N., OPPENHEIMER, C., EDMONDS, M. (2017) Understanding the environmental impacts of large fissure eruptions: Aerosol and gas emissions from the 2014–2015 Holuhraun eruption (Iceland). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 472, 309–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.05.025>
- JÓNSSON, J. (1978) *Jarðfræðikort af Reykjaneskaga : 1. Skýringar við jarðfræðikort ; 2. Jarðfræðikort*. Orkustofnun jarðhitadeild, Reykjavík. <http://hdl.handle.net/10802/4981>
- ÓSKARSSON, B.V., ASKEW, R.A., GUÐMUNDSSON, H. (2024) Assessing the mean output rate (MOR) of past effusive basaltic eruptions - a look at the postglacial volcanism of the Reykjanes Peninsula in Iceland. *EarthArXiv* Preprint v1. <https://doi.org/10.31223/XSCH68>
- PATTANTYUS, A.K., BUSINGER, S., HOWELL, S.G. (2018) Review of sulfur dioxide to sulfate aerosol chemistry at Kilauea Volcano, Hawai'i. *Atmospheric Environment* 185, 262–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.04.055>
- PEATE, D.W., BAKER, J.A., JAKOBSSON, S.P., WAIGHT, T.E., KENT, A.J.R., GRASSINEAU, N.V., SKOVGAARD, A.C. (2009) Historic magmatism on the Reykjanes Peninsula, Iceland: a snap-shot of melt generation at a ridge segment. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 157, 359–382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-008-0339-4>
- PEDERSEN, G.B.M., BELART, J.M.C., ÓSKARSSON, B.V., GUÐMUNDSSON, M.T., GIES, N., HÖGNADÓTTIR, T., HJARTARDÓTTIR, Á.R., PINEL, V., BERTHIER, E., DÜRIG, T., REYNOLDS, H.I., HAMILTON, C.W., VALSSON, G., EINARSSON, P., BEN-YEHOSUA, D., GUNNARSSON, A., ODDSSON, B. (2022) Volume, Effusion Rate, and Lava Transport During the 2021 Fagradalsfjall Eruption: Results From Near Real-Time Photogrammetric Monitoring. *Geophysical Research Letters* 49, e2021GL097125. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL097125>
- PEDERSEN, G.B.M., BELART, J.M.C., ÓSKARSSON, B.V., GUNNARSSON, S.R., GUÐMUNDSSON, M.T., REYNOLDS, H.I., VALSSON, G., HÖGNADÓTTIR, T., PINEL, V., PARKS, M.M., DROUIN, V., ASKEW, R.A., DÜRIG, T., PRASTARSON, R.H. (2024) Volume, effusion rates and lava hazards of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 Reykjanes fires: Lessons learned from near real-time photogrammetric monitoring. *EGU General Assembly 2024*, Vienna, Austria, 14–19 April 2024, Abstract EGU24-10724. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu24-10724>
- PFEFFER, M.A., BERGSSON, B., BARSOTTI, S., STEFÁNSDÓTTIR, G., GALLE, B., ARELLANO, S., CONDE, V., DONOVAN, A., ILYNSKAYA, E., BURTON, M., AIUPPA, A., WHITTY, R.C.W., SIMMONS, I.C., ARASON, P., JÓNASDÓTTIR, E.B., KELLER, N.S., YEO, R.F., ARNGRÍMSSON, H., JOHANNSSON, P., BUTWIN, M.K., ASKEW, R.A., DUMONT, S., VON LÖWIS, S., INGVARSSON, P., LA SPINA, A., THOMAS, H., PRATA, F., GRASSA, F., GIUDICE, G., STEFÁNSSON, A., MARZANO, F., MONTOPOLI, M., MEREU, L. (2018) Ground-Based Measurements of the 2014–2015 Holuhraun Volcanic Cloud (Iceland). *Geosciences* 8, 29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences8010029>
- RANTA, E., GUNNARSSON-ROBIN, J., HALLDÓRSSON, S.A., ONO, S., IZON, G., JACKSON, M.G., REEKIE, C.D.J., JENNER, F.E., GUÐFINNSSON, G.H., JÓNSSON, Ó.P., STEFÁNSSON, A. (2022) Ancient and recycled sulfur sampled by the Iceland mantle plume. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 584, 117452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2022.117452>
- RANTA, E., HALLDÓRSSON, S.A., ÓLADÓTTIR, B.A., PFEFFER, M.A., CARACCILO, A., BALL, E., GUÐFINNSSON, G.H., KAHL, M., BARSOTTI, S. (2024) Magmatic Controls on Volcanic Sulfur Emissions at the Iceland Hotspot. *EarthArXiv* Preprint v1. <https://doi.org/10.31223/X51102>
- SÆMUNDSSON, K., SIGURGEIRSSON, M.Á., FRIDLEIFSSON, G.Ó. (2020) Geology and structure of the Reykjanes volcanic system, Iceland. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 391, 106501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2018.11.022>
- SCHMIDT, A., LEADBETTER, S., THEYS, N., CARBONI, E., WITHAM, C.S., STEVENSON, J.A., BIRCH, C.E., THORDARSON, T., TURNOCK, S., BARSOTTI, S., DELANEY, L., FENG, W., GRAINGER, R.G., HORT, M.C., HÖSKULDSSON, Á., IALONGO, I., ILYNSKAYA, E., JOHANNSSON, T., KENNY, P., MATHER, T.A., RICHARDS, N.A.D., SHEPHERD, J. (2015) Satellite detection, long-range transport, and air quality impacts of volcanic sulfur dioxide from the 2014–2015 flood lava eruption at Bárðarbunga (Iceland). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 120, 9739–9757. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023638>
- SIGMUNDSSON, F., PARKS, M., GEIRSSON, H., HOOPER, A., DROUIN, V., VOGFJÖRD, K.S., ÓFEIGSSON, B.G., GREINER, S.H.M., YANG, Y., LANZI, C., DE PASCALE, G.P., JÓNSDÓTTIR, K., HREINSDÓTTIR, S., TOLPEKIN, V., FRÍÐRIKSDÓTTIR, H.M., EINARSSON, P., BARSOTTI, S. (2024) Fracturing and tectonic stress drives ultra-rapid magma flow into dikes. *Science* 383, 1228–1235. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adn2838>
- SIGURGEIRSSON, M.Á. (2004) Þáttur úr gossögu Reykjanes. *Náttúrufræðingurinn* 72, 21–28. <https://timarit.is/gegdir/991000816469706886>
- SMYTHE, D.J., WOOD, B.J., KISEEVA, E.S. (2017) The S content of silicate melts at sulfide saturation: New experiments and a model incorporating the effects of sulfide composition. *American Mineralogist* 102, 795–803. <https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2017-5800CCBY>
- STEWART, C., DAMBY, D.E., HORWELL, C.J., ELIAS, T., ILYNSKAYA, E., TOMAŠEK, I., LONGO, B.M., SCHMIDT, A., CARLSEN, H.K., MASON, E., BAXTER, P.J., CRONIN, S., WITHAM, C. (2022) Volcanic air pollution and human health:



recent advances and future directions. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 84, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-021-01513-9>

- WEISER, F., BAUMANN, E., JENTSCH, A., MEDINA, F.M., LU, M., NOGALES, M., BEIERKUHNEIN, C. (2022) Impact of Volcanic Sulfur Emissions on the Pine Forest of La Palma, Spain. *Forests* 13, 299. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f13020299>
- WIESER, P.E., GLEESON, M. (2022) PySulfSat: An open-source Python3 tool for modeling sulfide and sulfate saturation. *Volcanica* 6, 107–127. <https://doi.org/10.30909/vol.06.01.107127>

