Journal of Glaciology

JOURNAL OF GLACIOLOGY



An imbalancing act: the dynamic response of the Kaskawulsh Glacier to a changing mass budget

Journal:	Journal of Glaciology			
Manuscript ID	Draft			
Manuscript Type:	Article			
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a			
Complete List of Authors:	Young, Erik; Simon Fraser University, Department of Earth Sciences Flowers, Gwenn; Simon Fraser University, Earth Sciences Berthier, Etienne; CNRS - University of Toulouse, LEGOS Latto, Rebecca; Simon Fraser University, Department of Earth Sciences			
Keywords:	Glacier mass balance, Ground-penetrating radar, Ice and climate, Melt - surface, Mountain glaciers			
Abstract:	The Kaskawulsh Glacier is an iconic outlet draining the icefields of the St. Elias Mountains in Yukon, Canada. We determine and attempt to interpret its catchment-wide mass budget since 2007. Using SPOT5/6/7 data we estimate a 20072018 geodetic balance of -0.46 ± 0.17 m w. e.a ⁻¹ . By comparing computed balance fluxes with observed ice fluxes at nine flux gates we examine the discrepancy between the climatic mass balance and internal mass redistribution by glacier flow. Balance fluxes are computed using a fully distributed mass-balance model driven by downscaled and bias-corrected climate-reanalysis data. Observed fluxes are calculated using NASA ITS_LIVE surface velocities and glacier cross-sectional areas derived from ice-penetrating radar data. We find the glacier is still in the early stages of dynamic adjustment to its mass imbalance. We estimate and a lower bound of 46 km ³ of committed ice loss, equivalent to ~15% of the total glacier volume. By combining our observations and model output using the continuity equation, we highlight challenges and opportunities in exploring the mass budget of			

Cambridge University Press

large land-terminating glaciers.



5

6

Journal of Glaciology

1

An imbalancing act: the dynamic response of the Kaskawulsh Glacier to a changing mass budget

³ Erik M. YOUNG¹, Gwenn E. FLOWERS¹, Etienne BERTHIER², Rebecca LATTO^{1*}

¹Department of Earth Sciences, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, British Columbia, V5A 1S6, Canada

²CNRS, Université de Toulouse, LEGOS, 14 avenue Ed. Belin, Toulouse 31400, France

ABSTRACT. The Kaskawulsh Glacier is an iconic outlet draining the icefields 7 of the St. Elias Mountains in Yukon, Canada. We determine and attempt 8 to interpret its catchment-wide mass budget since 2007. Using SPOT5/6/79 data we estimate a 2007–2018 geodetic balance of $-0.46 \pm 0.17 \,\mathrm{m\,w.e.\,a^{-1}}$. 10 By comparing computed balance fluxes with observed ice fluxes at nine flux 11 gates we examine the discrepancy between the climatic mass balance and 12 internal mass redistribution by glacier flow. Balance fluxes are computed using 13 a fully distributed mass-balance model driven by downscaled and bias-corrected 14 climate-reanalysis data. Observed fluxes are calculated using NASA ITS LIVE 15 surface velocities and glacier cross-sectional areas derived from ice-penetrating 16 radar data. We find the glacier is still in the early stages of dynamic adjustment 17 to its mass imbalance. We estimate a committed terminus retreat of ${\sim}23\,{
m km}$ 18 under the 2007–2018 climate and a lower bound of 46 km 3 of committed ice loss, 19 equivalent to ${\sim}15\%$ of the total glacier volume. By combining our observations 20 and model output using the continuity equation, we highlight challenges and 21 opportunities in exploring the mass budget of large land-terminating glaciers. 22

*Present address: Mathematics and Physics, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 37, Hobart Tasmania 7001, Australia

23 INTRODUCTION

The global population of glaciers has been identified as a key contributor to recent (Gardner and others, 2013; 24 Vaughan and others, 2013; Zemp and others, 2019) and near-future projected sea-level rise (s.l.r.) (Meier 25 and others, 2007; Radić and others, 2014; Hock and others, 2019), with minimum projected contributions of 26 94±25 mm of s.l.r. from 2010–2100 under the IPCC-AR5 RCP 2.6 scenario (Hock and others, 2019). Outside 27 of the glaciers peripheral to the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, mass loss from glaciers in Arctic Canada 28 and the Alaska–Yukon region dominates recent and projected sea-level rise (Radić and others, 2014; Wouters 29 and others, 2019; Zemp and others, 2019). The $25.267 \,\mathrm{km}^2$ ice cover of the St. Elias Mountains (Kienholz 30 and others, 2015) accounts for $\sim 38\%$ of ice-covered area in the Alaska-Yukon region (Pfeffer and others, 31 2014), and comprises the largest non-polar icefield in the world. Estimates of mass balance rates in this area 32 range from -0.47 ± 0.09 m w.e. a^{-1} (1962–2006) for the St. Elias and Wrangell Mountains together (Berthier 33 and others, 2010), to -0.63 ± 0.09 m w.e. a^{-1} (2003-2007) for the St. Elias Mountains alone (Arendt and 34 others, 2008), to -0.78 ± 0.34 m w.e. a^{-1} (1958–2008) for glaciers confined to Yukon (Barrand and Sharp, 35 2010). In addition to their longstanding cultural and historical significance (Cruikshank, 2001), glaciers of 36 Yukon's St. Elias Mountains have motivated scientific research dating back to 1935 (Clarke, 2014). 37

This study focuses on the Kaskawulsh Glacier, a large land-terminating glacier on the continental side 38 of the St. Elias Mountains. Recent retreat of the Kaskawulsh Glacier has had a cascade of unanticipated 39 consequences, beginning with the 2016 rerouting of runoff destined for the Bering Sea to the Gulf of Alaska 40 (Shugar and others, 2017). This hydrological reorganization has directly impacted local communities through 41 metres of lowering of downstream Lhú'áán Män (Kluane Lake) (e.g. McKnight, 2017) and degradation of 42 local air quality arising from dust mobilized from the abandoned Å'äy Chú (Slims River) valley (Bachelder 43 and others, 2020). In addition to its profound effects on local hydrology, the Kaskawulsh Glacier is also an 44 excellent indicator of regional glacier change: it represents $\sim 9\%$ of glacier-ice volume in Yukon (Farinotti 45 and others, 2019), and experienced rates of mass loss from 1977–2007 nearly identical to those calculated 46 for the St. Elias Mountains as a whole (Berthier and others, 2010). It is also an ideal target for geodetic 47 mass-balance measurements, being one of few large glaciers in the region not known to surge (Post, 1969) 48 and therefore free of the complications associated with rapid, large-scale mass redistribution (e.g. Arendt 49 and others, 2008). 50

New geodetic and geophysical data present a unique opportunity to investigate a decade of change over
the Kaskawulsh Glacier. The first objective of this study is therefore to compute the geodetic mass balance

using recently acquired SPOT6/7 data to assess glacier health. The second objective is to assess the state 53 of dynamic adjustment to the mass (im)balance and to estimate committed mass loss from the Kaskawulsh 54 Glacier. We do this by comparing measured ice fluxes—estimated using data from the first spatially extensive 55 ice-penetrating radar survey of the glacier and NASA MEaSUREs ITS LIVE surface velocities (Gardner 56 and others, 2019)—to balance fluxes determined using a fully distributed mass-balance model. Hence, we 57 58 explore discrepancies between internal mass redistribution and climate-driven surface mass balance change to evaluate the current extent of this dynamic adjustment. The final objective of this study is to use the 59 continuity equation to critically evaluate modelled, observed and derived quantities used to compute the 60 mass budget. 61

62 STUDY AREA

The St. Elias Mountains (Figure 1) are characterized by steep elevation gradients, with terrain extending 63 from sea level in the Gulf of Alaska to some of the highest peaks in North America over less than 64 100 kilometers. This topographic setting results in steep environmental gradients (e.g. Clarke and 65 Holdsworth, 2002) due to orographic interruption of atmospheric moisture transport and elevation-66 dependent temperature lapse rates (e.g. Marcus and Ragle, 1970; Williamson and others, 2020). These 67 variable environmental conditions are associated with a full spectrum of glacier thermal and dynamic 68 regimes, including a significant population of surge-type glaciers (e.g. Post, 1969; Clarke and others, 1986). 69 The Kaskawulsh Glacier is $\sim 70 \text{ km}$ long, has an area of 1096 km^2 and comprises three major branches 70 (referred to as the North, Central and South Arms). One large tributary (Stairway Glacier) merges between 71 the confluences of the South and Central Arms, while one smaller unnamed tributary joins the Central 72 Arm above Stairway Glacier and has been known to surge (Foy and others, 2011). The glacier flows 73 generally eastward from its divides in the Icefield Ranges (at elevations of 2578 m a.s.l., 2091 m a.s.l. and 74 2393 m a.s.l., respectively, for the North, Central and South Arms). The glacier terminus sits at an elevation 75 of ~ 759 m a.s.l. at the head of two major river valleys: the Ä'äy Chú (Slims River), which flows north 76 to Lhú'áán Män (Kluane Lake), and the Kaskawulsh River, which flows southeast to its confluence with 77 the Alsek River. The 3027 km² Kaskawulsh Glacier catchment also includes numerous smaller glaciers at 78 elevations ranging from ~ 800 m a.s.l. to ~ 3500 m a.s.l. The Kaskawulsh Glacier is currently retreating, with 79 its Holocene maximum located approximately 25 km to the north and occurring in the early- to mid-80 17th century (Johnson, 1972; Reyes and others, 2006). Foy and others (2011) estimate 1-2 km of retreat 81 since 1955 using satellite imagery and historical air photos. The most recent estimate of glacier-wide mass 82

Δ



Fig. 1. Study area (red box, inset) and overview of Kaskawulsh Glacier. Kaskawulsh Glacier highlighted in blue, with major tributaries labelled: North Arm (NA), Central Arm (CA), Stairway Glacier (SW), South Arm (SA). Also shown are locations of automatic weather stations (magenta triangles) and Eclipse Icefield site with multi-annual accumulation data (blue triangle) (Kelsey and others, 2012). Red dashed lines indicate position of balance terminus position, referred to in the Analysis and Interpretation section. Black contours are metres a.s.l. and coordinates are UTM Zone 7 North. Background image: Copernicus Sentinel data 2017. Retrieved from Copernicus Open Access Hub 01/11/17.

balance is $-0.35 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}$ (-0.37 Gt a^{-1}) for 1995–2013 made using airborne laser altimetry (Larsen and 83 others, 2015). Though it has never been thoroughly studied, the thermal regime of Kaskawulsh Glacier 84 has been described as temperate (e.g. Foy and others, 2011; Darling, 2012; Herdes, 2014) likely based on 85 measurements of ice temperature at depths of 15–24 m (Holdsworth, 1965; Anderton, 1967, 1973), though 86 there is evidence of both temperate and polythermal ice in the accumulation area (Holdsworth, 1965). 87

GEODETIC MASS BALANCE, 2007–2018 88

Elevation changes and mass balance from 2007 to 2018 are derived from optical satellite stereo-imagery 89 acquired by the SPOT5-HRS, SPOT6 and SPOT7 sensors. The 2007 topography is derived by mosaicking 90 two SPOT5 DEMs acquired during the SPIRIT project (Korona and others, 2009) on 3 and 13 September 91



Fig. 2. Elevation change of Kaskawulsh Glacier, 2007–2018, derived from SPOT5-HRS, SPOT6/7 optical stereo imagery. Hatched areas indicate interpolated values for gaps $>1 \text{ km}^2$. The bold black line corresponds to zero elevation change. Coordinates are UTM Zone 7 North. Inset shows colour scale overlain by elevation change vs elevation (dark grey line = mean, light grey shading = standard deviation) calculated with 100 m elevation bins (left) and histogram of elevation-change (right). Background image: Copernicus Sentinel data 2017. Retrieved from Copernicus Open Access Hub 01/11/17.

2007. The 2018 topography is derived from SPOT6 and SPOT7 DEMs acquired on 17 and 31 August, 18
September and 1 October 2018. We generate SPOT6/7 DEMs using the Ames Stereo Pipeline (Lacroix, 2016; Shean and others, 2016; Berthier and Brun, 2019).

The processing of the DEMs follows the workflow presented in Berthier and Brun (2019). A horizontal pixel size of 20 m is chosen here for the analysis. All DEMs are coregistered to TanDEM-X (Rizzoli and others, 2017) on stable terrain following Berthier and others (2007), masking out glacierized areas using the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI) v6.0 (Pfeffer and others, 2014; Kienholz and others, 2015). In 2007, the September DEM is preferred because it covers most of Kaskawulsh Glacier; its gaps are filled using the 13 September DEM. In 2018, the 1 October DEM is the primary source of elevation data with successive gaps filled by the 17 August, 31 August and 19 September DEMs.

To extract elevation change with altitude and compute the mass balances of individual glaciers, we exclude data outside ± 3 standard deviations from the mean elevation difference in each 50 m altitude interval for each glacier (Berthier and others, 2004). We also exclude pixels where the surface slope, calculated from the TanDEM-X DEM, is larger than 45°. The total volume change is calculated as the integral of the mean elevation difference in each 50 m band over the total area-altitude distribution. The mass balances are then derived using a volume-to-mass conversion factor of 850 kg m^{-3} (Huss, 2013) and dividing by the time interval (11 years in this case).

Errors in elevation difference are estimated based on the residuals in the overlapping area of the 109 coregistered 2007 and 2018 DEMs, a method referred to as triangulation (Nuth and Kääb, 2011; Paul and 110 others, 2015). We find mean absolute residuals of $\sim 1.2 \,\mathrm{m}$, which, given the 11-year time interval, translate 111 into $0.11 \,\mathrm{m\,a^{-1}}$. Given the size of Kaskawulsh Glacier, we assume that random errors are negligible. The 112 spatial coverage with valid elevation-change measurements reached $\sim 70\%$. To account for uncertainties due 113 to gap filling, we conservatively multiply these errors by a factor of five for the remaining 30% of the area 114 (Berthier and others, 2014). An uncertainty of $\pm 60 \,\mathrm{kg}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ is assumed for the volume-to-mass conversion 115 factor (Huss, 2013). 116

Figure 2 illustrates nearly pervasive thinning of the Kaskawulsh Glacier from 2007–2018 that generally 117 decreases with elevation. The maximum thinning rates exceed 7.5 m w.e. a^{-1} roughly 5–10 km upglacier 118 of the terminus. The influence of medial moraines is evident in the map of elevation change, but there 119 does not appear to be a simple relationship between debris cover and glacier thinning. While it may be 120 tempting to ascribe some of the reduced thinning near in the lowermost 5 km of the glacier to debris cover, 121 this relationship is not easily corroborated elsewhere. The most notable exception to the observation of 122 pervasive thinning is an area of pronounced thickening in the upper reaches of the tributary to the Central 123 Arm that is known to surge (Foy and others, 2011), and is likely building up mass during its quiescent 124 phase. Heterogeneous patches of thinning and thickening occur at elevations above 1900 m a.s.l. in the 125 four tributaries. The data in Figure 2 yield a 2007–2018 average glacier-wide geodetic mass balance of 126 -0.46 ± 0.17 m w.e. 127

128 MODELLED SURFACE MASS BALANCE

We model the three-hourly distributed surface mass balance $\dot{b}_{\rm sfc}(x,y)$ of the Kaskawulsh Glacier as

$$\dot{b}_{\rm sfc} = \dot{c}_{\rm sfc} - \dot{a}_{\rm sfc},\tag{1}$$

where $\dot{c}_{\rm sfc}(x, y)$ is the distributed surface accumulation rate and $\dot{a}_{\rm sfc}(x, y)$ is the distributed surface ablation rate. Modelling the surface mass balance requires four steps (Figure 2): (1) assembling the geometric, meteorological and field data used as model inputs, (2) calculating radiation, and downscaling/biascorrecting precipitation and temperature, (3) tuning the melt model using observational targets and (4) calculating the surface mass balance and its uncertainty for the study time period (Figure 3).

134 Mass-balance model

We assume that surface ablation is equivalent to melt, which is determined using an enhanced temperatureindex model originally developed by Hock (1999) that incorporates calculated potential direct clearsky radiation. We drive the melt model with downscaled and bias-corrected regional reanalysis airtemperature data. Accumulation is determined by downscaling and bias correcting regional reanalysis surface precipitation data, which are then partitioned into rain and snow using a prescribed rain-to-snow threshold temperature.

141 Ablation

Melt (M) is calculated as (Hock, 1999)

$$M = \begin{cases} (MF + a_{\text{snow/ice}}I) T & T > 0^{\circ}C \\ 0 & T \le 0^{\circ}C \end{cases},$$
(2)

where T is the three-hourly temperature obtained from downscaled temperature and geopotential data (described below) across the Kaskawulsh Glacier catchment, I is the potential direct clear-sky radiation, MF is the melt factor and $a_{\text{snow/ice}}$ are the radiation factors for snow and ice, respectively. MF and $a_{\text{snow/ice}}$ must be empirically determined.

146 Accumulation

A statistical downscaling approach adapted from Guan and others (2009) is applied to the regional reanalysis surface precipitation input, with a prescribed rain-to-snow temperature threshold (e.g. Sælthun, 1996; Kienzle, 2008; Clarke and others, 2015) of 1°C (Johannesson and others, 1995). This threshold value is selected to reduce the difference between modelled and measured accumulation at multiple snow depth and density measurement locations throughout the study time period (considering threshold values of $0-2^{\circ}$ C). Refreezing of melt water within the seasonal snow pack is accounted for by implementing a distributed thermodynamic parameterization adapted from Janssens and Huybrechts (2000): for every hydrologic year

Cambridge University Press



Fig. 3. Mass-balance model workflow, including (from top to bottom) assembly of model inputs, pre-processing of meteorological variables, model tuning and using the tuned model to calculate mass balance.

in the study time period, total energy consumed by refreezing is approximated as a proportion (P_r) of the seasonal snow pack:

$$P_{\rm r} = \frac{c}{L} \max(T_{\rm mean}, 0) \frac{d}{C_{\rm mean}},\tag{3}$$

with c the specific heat capacity of ice, L the latent heat of fusion, T_{mean} the local mean annual air temperature (°C), C_{mean} the local mean annual accumulation for the study time period and d the thickness of the thermal active layer raised to the melting point by refreezing. The value of d is set to 2 m (Oerlemans, 150 1991; Janssens and Huybrechts, 2000), which has been used for the parameterization of refreezing in modelling studies of glaciers in Western Canada (Clarke and others, 2015).

152 Model inputs

153 Digital elevation model and glacier geometry

We use the TanDEM-X radar satellite Digital Elevation Model (DEM) product (Krieger and others, 2007) resampled to 200 m to define the grid on which mass-balance calculations are performed. The Kaskawulsh Glacier outline from the Global Land Ice Measurements from Space inventory (GLIMS) (Raup and others, 2007; RGI Consortium, 2017) is modified to match catchment boundaries derived from applying the Arc GIS 10.7 Hydrology toolbox basin delineation tools to the TanDEM-X DEM (resulting in a 4% increase in area from 1054 to 1096 km²).

160 Debris cover mask

To account for the effects of debris cover on modelled mass balance, we first generate a debris-cover mask 161 using imagery from Sentinel-2 band 12 (central wavelength 2202.2 nm, 20 m spatial resolution) on 1 August 162 2017. Infrared bands of the Sentinel-2 product produce a clear contrast between debris-covered and debris-163 free ice on cloudless summer days when debris temperature is elevated due to unobstructed radiative heating 164 (e.g. Nakao, 1982). Cold (darker) and warm (lighter) pixels are automatically classified based on greyscale 165 value (derived from the original RGB values) and converted to a binary debris mask raster. A debris-cover 166 boolean is assigned to each grid cell by resampling the debris mask raster to the 200 m model grid. The mask 167 fails to capture some debris-covered cells in direct contact with a pro-glacial lake encircling the terminus. 168 Here the presumptive effects of ice-water interactions are expected to compensate for the lack of modelled 169 debris shielding. 170

171 Potential direct clear-sky radiation

Potential direct clear-sky radiation I (Equation 2) is calculated at 0.5 h intervals using a combination of the ArcGIS Solar Analyst toolbox and a custom adaptation of the python PyEPHEM astronomical calculations module to assign local time to the calculated radiation values (see Supplementary Materials). Radiation is calculated across the 200 m grid for clear-sky conditions by incorporating a fixed atmospheric

Journal of Glaciology

transmissivity of 0.75 (Hock, 1998, 1999). The time of solar noon for each grid cell in the domain is computed 176 using PyEPHEM. The median time of insolation in modelled solar radiation values are assigned to the 177 computed solar noon times in order to correctly assign timestamps to the modelled values. The modelled 178 solar geometry does not incorporate changes in atmospheric transmissivity, making the calculated radiation 179 values insufficient for modelling mass balance several decades into the past or future (Wild and others, 2005; 180 181 Huss and others, 2009), but adequate for modelling mass balance over multiple successive years. This use of calculated radiation values conforms with the observation of minimal sensitivity of ablation to temporal 182 changes in the potential solar radiation on multi-annual timescales (Vincent and Six, 2013). 183

184 Meteorological variables

Temperature and precipitation inputs to the downscaling routine (described below) are obtained from the National Centre for Environmental Prediction's (NCEP) North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) product (Mesinger and others, 2006). The NARR product comprises multiple atmospheric and surface climate variables at high temporal (3 hourly) and moderate spatial (32 km at 60° N) resolution for the North American continent between 1979 and present. Three-hourly temperature and geopotential data at 29 discrete pressure levels in the atmosphere are used as inputs for the temperature downscaling. Daily total surface precipitation data are used as inputs for the precipitation downscaling.

¹⁹² Downscaling and bias correction of meteorological variables

193 Temperature

Temperature downscaling follows an approach that reconstructs the temperature profile in the lower 194 atmosphere using a linear interpolation scheme (Jarosch and others, 2012). At each NARR grid point local 195 lapse rates and sea-level air temperature values are determined by using a linear regression to correlate 196 temperature and geopotential heights, for heights associated with pressures greater than 300 hPa. The 197 resulting lapse rates (slopes) and sea-level air temperatures (intercepts) are bilinearly interpolated across 198 the model domain at 200 m spacing. Two-metre air temperature is then calculated on the 200 m model grid 199 using the local lapse rate and sea-level temperature. Changes in the sign of the NARR-derived lapse rates 200 are monitored to identify inversions, which are treated by calculating independent lapse rates above and 201 202 below the inversion height (Jarosch and others, 2012).

Automatic Weather Station (AWS) temperature records are available from four stations belonging to the SFU Glaciology Group, two belonging to the University of Ottawa Laboratory for Cryospheric Research and two operated by Environment Canada. AWS temperature records are used to obtain monthly bias Young and others:

11

corrections for the downscaled temperatures (Figure 4). Monthly mean temperatures for each AWS location are determined for the time intervals over which data are available within the study period. The minimum AWS record length is seven years. A Δ change method is used to calculate a bias correction (Hay and others, 2000; Clarke and others, 2015):

$$T_{\rm c}(x, y, t) = T_{\rm ds}(x, y, t) + \Delta T(t), \tag{4}$$

where $T_c(x, y, t)$ is the bias-corrected temperature at position x, y and time $t, T_{ds}(x, y, t)$ is the temperature at the same position and time downscaled from the NARR data and $\Delta T(t)$ is the difference between the mean monthly downscaled temperature and mean monthly AWS temperatures, linearly interpolated to daily values. Note that the startling mismatch in downscaled and AWS-measured temperatures occurs for the two distal low-elevation stations and occurs only from September to April (largely outside of the melt season).

The monthly values of $\Delta T(t)$ used in Equation 4 are determined by averaging $\Delta T(t)$ values obtained from individual AWS records, weighted according to the AWS record lengths:

$$\Delta T(t) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{8} \alpha_i} \sum_{i=1}^{8} \alpha_i \,\Delta T_i(t),\tag{5}$$

where $\Delta T_i(t)$ is the mean monthly value computed using one of the eight AWS records, and the weights α_i are proportional to the AWS record lengths. We did not consider using spatially variable values of $\Delta T(t)$ due to the sparse and skewed distribution of AWS stations (Figure 4a) and the corresponding need for extrapolation.

The NARR-derived downscaled and bias-corrected temperatures are compared to AWS records to evaluate 213 the temperature input to the model. Prior to this comparison, the AWS records (with five-minute sampling 214 interval) are smoothed to three-hourly values and sampled at the times corresponding to the NARR data. 215 Both Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) are computed for monthly mean 216 and three-hourly temperatures; the monthly means of the three-hourly MAE/RMSE are also computed. 217 For both monthly and three-hourly values, the lowest RMSEs/MAEs are observed in the summer months, 218 while highest RMSEs/MAEs occur between September and February (see Supplementary Material). These 219 errors show little inter-annual variability when accounting for inter-annual differences in temporal coverage 220 between stations: inter-annual standard deviations are 0.33° C (RMSE) and 0.48° C (MAE). 221



Fig. 4. Temperature downscaling and bias correction. (a) Mean 2 m air temperature field for 2007–2018 following downscaling and bias correction of NARR data. Locations of four NARR grid nodes (black crosses) and six AWS (purple triangles) are shown. Environment Canada AWS at Burwash Landing (UTM: 604700 E, 6805731 N) and Haines junction (UTM: 698045 E, 6704555 N) are not shown due to scale. (b) Monthly values of ΔT for each AWS (fine pink lines) along with mean monthly ΔT used for bias correction of downscaled temperatures (bold purple line). Anomalously low values of ΔT are from Burwash Landing and Haines Junction, both a minimum of ~60 km from the Kaskawulsh Glacier.

222 Precipitation

Precipitation downscaling is achieved using a regression-based method that incorporates daily total surface 223 precipitation at NARR grid points and geographic predictors of precipitation on the 200 m grid (Easting, 224 Northing, elevation) (Guan and others, 2005, 2009), but does not include other reanalysis-derived climatic 225 variables (c.f Hofer and others, 2017). A rain-to-snow threshold of 1°C is used to calculate accumulation. 226 227 Daily timesteps are used to minimize the influence of local sub-diurnal meteorological effects on precipitation variability that significantly weaken the performance of the downscaling method (Guan and others, 228 2009). Dynamic downscaling, which uses wind speed and direction to track saturated air masses where 229 precipitation occurs (Smith and Barstad, 2004), is not implemented due to increased data requirements and 230

our comparatively small model domain relative to those of studies using a similar strategy for obtaining
distributed mass-balance model inputs (e.g. Jarosch and others, 2012; Clarke and others, 2015).

Snow depth and density measurements made 43 times over 13 years at 13 locations on or proximal to 233 the Kaskawulsh Glacier are used to determine an elevation-dependent bias correction for accumulation 234 235 (Figure 5a). We also include published values of winter accumulation from the Eclipse Icefield (Kelsey and others, 2012). At each location, we calculate the difference between measured (C_{obs}) and downscaled (C_{ds}) 236 seasonal accumulation on the date of measurement. When accumulation measurements are available for 237 multiple years, the median of the net differences is selected. A linear interpolation of these differences with 238 site elevation (Figure 5b) is then used to compute the relative (fractional) difference between downscaled 239 and measured seasonal accumulation to determine the bias-corrected accumulation for each grid cell: 240

$$C_{\rm c}(x,y,t) = C_{\rm ds}(x,y,t)\,\Delta C(z),\tag{6}$$

where $C_{\rm c}(x, y, t)$ is the bias-corrected accumulation at position x, y and time t, $C_{\rm ds}(x, y, t)$ is the accumulation at the same position and time downscaled from the NARR precipitation data and $\Delta C(z)$ is the elevation-dependent bias correction factor (see Supplementary Material). A mean difference of 0.08 ± 0.24 m w.e. is calculated using all available accumulation measurements and modelled winter balance at the corresponding grid cells on the dates of the measurement (with corresponding difference of 0.65 \pm 0.36 m w.e. if bias correction is omitted).



Fig. 5. Precipitation downscaling and accumulation bias correction. (a) Mean annual accumulation field for 2007–2018 following downscaling of NARR daily surface precipitation and bias correction of accumulation. Locations of four NARR grid nodes (black crosses) and snow depth/density measurements (blue circles) are shown. Eight additional snow-measurement locations are not shown due to scale. (b) Interpolated (solid blue line) and extrapolated (dashed black line) elevation-dependent values of difference between measured and downscaled accumulation ($C_{obs} - C_{ds}$), along with values of $C_{obs} - C_{ds}$ at measurement locations (blue dots). (c) Hypsometry of Kaskawulsh Glacier, with frequency of 200 m×200 m gridcells in each bin.

247 Model tuning

Before the mass-balance model can be applied to the Kaskawulsh Glacier, the melt model must be tuned to empirical targets to determine the values of model parameters MF and $a_{\text{snow/ice}}$ (Equation 2) for both debris-free and debris-present cases. The shielding effect of debris cover (e.g. Reznichenko and others, 2010) is crudely represented (in the debris-present case) by setting radiation parameters $a_{\text{snow/ice}}$ to zero in all debris-covered cells.

253 Observational targets

We use an estimated geodetic glacier-wide mass balance rate of -0.46 ± 0.17 m w.e. a⁻¹ (see above), 144 in-situ ablation measurements and empirically derived snowline elevations for the Kaskawulsh Glacier to tune the melt model. In-situ ablation measurements were made at 44 point locations over 144 time intervals

Young and others:

(ranging in length from 12 to 136 days) at multiple field sites, including two small alpine glaciers and the Kaskawulsh Glacier itself (see Figure 6b for locations). Net ablation is derived from measurements of stake height and surface density. Snow depth was measured at each stake, while depth-integrated snow density was usually obtained from snowpit density profiles. We assume an ice density of 900 kg m^{-3} to convert ice-surface lowering to ablation.

262 Equilibrium Line Altitudes (ELAs) are approximated as late-summer snowlines on the four major tributaries (North Arm, Central Arm, South Arm, Stairway Glacier) of the Kaskawulsh Glacier identified 263 in Sentinel-2 (2015–2019) or Landsat-8 (2013–2014) imagery (e.g. Pelto and others, 2008). The calendar 264 dates of the images range from 1 August (2018) to 8 September (2014). The images selected were almost 265 cloud-free and displayed no evidence of recent snowfall, which is usually readily identifiable on the medial 266 moraines. For each of the tributaries, three snowlines are picked for each year corresponding to an upper 267 bound, a lower bound and a reference estimate. The mean snowline elevation for each year is determined 268 from all three values at all locations free of cloud cover, yielding a 2013-2019 mean of 2261 ± 151 ma.s.l. 269 (one standard deviation). The maximum and minimum annual snowline-elevation estimates at any of the 270 four locations are 2477 ma.s.l. (Central Arm, 4 August 2019) and 1927 ma.s.l. (South Arm, 1 August 2018). 271

272 Tuning approach and results

Model tuning is performed in two stages to determine parameter combinations that produce modelled values 273 of (1) glacier-wide mass balance and average ELA, and (2) point-scale ablation that match observations 274 within the assessed uncertainty. Model tuning is performed independently for the debris-free and debris-275 present cases. The motivation for the two-stage tuning process arises from the grossly inadequate number 276 and spatial coverage of available point-scale mass-balance data (see Figure 6b). Tuning a model only to 277 these data would be misguided at best, and likely yield estimates of glacier-wide mass balance that are 278 wildly at odds with the observed geodetic balance. We designed the two-stage tuning process to first 279 eliminate simulations that are incompatible with the geodetic mass balance and observed ELA, and then 280 take advantage of the point-scale geographically specific data to determine a final set of acceptable model 281 282 parameters. Using multiple data sources and error metrics in the tuning process also goes some way toward addressing the persistent problem of equifinality in these types of models. We include both debris-free and 283 debris-present cases as a means of evaluating the influence of debris on the spatial distribution of modelled 284 melt. 285



Fig. 6. Two-stage model tuning shown for debris-present simulations. The same procedure is carried out for debris-free simulations (see Supplementary Material). (a) Stage 1. Modelled ELA versus glacier-wide mass balance for 2007–2018 for 1000 simulations (black dots) with values of MF (m w.e. $3h^{-1} \circ C^{-1}$), a_{ice} and a_{snow} (m w.e. $3h^{-1} \circ C^{-1} m^2 W^{-1}$) randomly selected from normal distributions truncated at zero (inset). Observational targets (red dashed lines) are shown for ELA and glacier-wide mass balance. Simulations falling within the observational uncertainty (black lines) proceed to Stage 2. (b) Stage 2. RMSE versus MAE (top) and median of the absolute value of the relative error (MeAVRE) versus the median of the relative error (MeRE) between modelled and measured net ablation (bottom) at 44 locations (map at left). 12 simulations falling within both red dashed rectangles pass Stage 2. Cambridge University Press

Journal of Glaciology

In Stage 1, 1000 random combinations of parameters MF, a_{ice} and a_{snow} are selected from independent 286 normal distributions (Figure 6a, inset). These distributions are defined using the mean and standard 287 deviation of published values of MF, a_{ice} and a_{snow} from studies employing the same temperature-index melt 288 $model (Hock, 1999): 2.707 \pm 1.632 \times 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{m\,w.e.\,3\,h^{-1}\,^{\circ}C^{-1}} \text{ for } MF, 3.396 \pm 2.65 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m\,w.e.\,3\,h^{-1}\,^{\circ}C^{-1}\,m^{2}}$ 289 W^{-1} for a_{ice} and $1.546 \pm 0.85 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m\,w.e.\,3\,h^{-1}\,\circ C^{-1}\,m^2}$ W^{-1} for a_{snow} . The normal distributions are 290 truncated at zero to ensure positive values of MF, a_{ice} and a_{snow} . Using each of the 1000 model-parameter 291 combinations, we calculate the glacier mass balance from 2007–2018 and retain all simulations that meet 292 two criteria (Figure 6a): (1) modelled mean annual glacier-wide mass balance rate $\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}$ within the assessed 293 uncertainty of the 2007–2018 geodetic balance: $-0.46 \pm 0.17 \,\mathrm{m\,w.e.\,a^{-1}}$, and (2) modelled ELA that falls 294 within the range of snowline elevations determined for the main tributaries of the Kaskawulsh Glacier: 1927– 295 2477 m a.s.l. For the debris-free and debris-present cases, respectively, 92 and 117 parameter combinations 296 of the 1000 meet both criteria. 297

In Stage 2, we use the parameter combinations retained after Stage 1 to model mass balance corresponding 298 to in-situ ablation-stake measurements (Figure 6b). These measurements, by their nature, represent the net 299 rather than the total ablation. We compute the RMSE and MAE between the modelled and measured 300 ablation (in m w.e. day⁻¹) and retain all simulations with RMSE and MAE < 0.01 m w.e. day⁻¹ (Figure 6b, 301 top right). Differences between modelled and measured ablation are normalized based on the length of the 302 measurement interval. We then calculate the relative error between modelled and measured net ablation for 303 each of the 144 melt intervals, and retain simulations with a median relative error (MeRE) $< \pm 20\%$ and 304 a median of the absolute value of the relative error (MeAVRE) < 50% (Figure 6b). A total of 12 and 25 305 simulations meet all the above criteria for the debris-present and debris-free cases, respectively. 306

307 Mass-balance model results

We model 12 and 25 net mass-balance fields for 2007–2018 corresponding to the parameter combinations that satisfy all the model-tuning conditions above for the debris-free and debris-present cases, respectively (Figure 7). From these 12 or 25 fields, we compute a mean (reference) field and a field of the associated standard deviation, which we use as a metric of modelled mass-balance variability. We compute a glacier wide modelled mean (reference) mass balance of -0.49 ± 0.08 m w.e. a⁻¹ and average ELA of 2254 ± 80 m a.s.l. for the debris-free case, and a modelled mean (reference) mass balance of -0.42 ± 0.10 m w.e. a⁻¹ and average ELA of 2309 ± 41 m a.s.l. for the debris-present case.



Fig. 7. Mass-balance model results. (a) Reference mass-balance field for debris-free case. (b) Same as in (a) but for debris-present case.

Uncertainty on the modelled glacier-wide mass balance arises from uncertainty on the modelled melt and uncertainty on the downscaled and bias-corrected accumulation. For the melt term we use the standard deviation of the modelled melt rates across all 12 or 25 simulations that pass the two-stage tuning as the uncertainty $\delta_{\dot{A}_{sfc}}$. For the accumulation term, we use the mean absolute differences between modelled and measured values (see accumulation bias correction), normalized by the measured values, to establish a relative uncertainty that is applied to the downscaled and bias-corrected accumulation rates to obtain a dimensional uncertainty $\delta_{\dot{C}_{sfc}}$. We then compute uncertainty on the mass balance as $\delta_{\dot{B}_{sfc}} = \sqrt{\delta_{\dot{A}_{sfc}}^2 + \delta_{\dot{C}_{sfc}}^2}$.

322 Balance fluxes

Volumetric balance fluxes at each of the nine flux gates (Figure 8, Table 1) are determined from the modelled mass-balance fields $\dot{b}_{\rm sfc}$ as:

$$Q_{\rm bal} = \int\limits_{A} \dot{b}_{\rm sfc} \, dA,\tag{7}$$

Young and others:

D1.....

Table 1. Balance fluxes Q_{bal} , standard deviations σ_Q and uncertainties δ_Q at each flux gate (refer to Figure 8) for debris-present and debris-free cases. Tributary flux gates are: North Arm (NA), Central Arm (CA), Stairway Glacier (SW), South Arm (SA). Flux gates along the main trunk are: KW5 (highest) to KW1 (lowest). All values in km³ a⁻¹.

D-1-:- f---

Flux gate	Deb	Debris-present			Debris-iree			
	$Q_{\rm bal}$	σ_Q	δ_Q	Q_{bal}	σ_Q	δ_Q		
NA	0.108	0.009	0.038	0.143	0.018	0.041		
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}$	0.080	0.016	0.054	0.136	0.031	0.058		
SW	0.111	0.006	0.025	0.121	0.009	0.025		
\mathbf{SA}	0.074	0.016	0.046	0.102	0.024	0.048		
KW5	0.101	0.030	0.099	0.206	0.059	0.108		
KW4	0.018	0.035	0.105	0.135	0.066	0.115		
KW3	0.035	0.046	0.137	0.171	0.079	0.147		
KW2	-0.081	0.055	0.147	0.051	0.084	0.210		
KW1	-0.193	0.087	0.210	-0.070	0.108	0.249		

Dala's second

where A is the glacier area upstream of the flux gate of interest. This approach produces 12 and 25 sets of balance fluxes at each gate for debris-present and debris-free cases, respectively. The reference balance fluxes at each flux gate are the averages of these 12 or 25 values. Uncertainty on the balance fluxes is determined directly from uncertainty on the mass-balance field as described above. We also report the standard deviation of the balance fluxes from all 12 or 25 simulations to give a sense of the variability.

For both debris-free and debris-present cases, balance fluxes are greatest somewhere downstream of the North and Central Arm tributaries and decrease thereafter toward the terminus (Table 1). The primary differences between balance fluxes derived from the debris-free versus debris-present cases are: (1) the debris-free balance fluxes are consistently higher and (2) negative balance fluxes extend further upstream (to KW2) for the debris-present case.

335 Sensitivity analysis

Here we quantify the sensitivity of the modelled mass balance to (1) the temperature and accumulation bias corrections, (2) the rain-to-snow temperature threshold and (3) refreezing. We determine the sensitivity of the model to each of these components by comparing the glacier-wide mass balance rate $\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}$ computed from the mean $\dot{b}_{\rm sfc}$ fields (using the 25 and 12 parameter combinations for debris-free and debris-present cases, respectively) and resulting balance fluxes when each model component is disabled (bias corrections, refreezing) or changed (rain-to-snow threshold) (Table 2 and Supplementary Material). Model components

Table 2. Sensitivity of glacier-wide mass balance (m w.e. a^{-1}) for debris-free and debris-present cases to: disabling temperature bias correction (No ΔT), disabling accumulation bias correction (No ΔC), disabling refreezing parameterization (No RF) and changing rain-to-snow threshold temperature (T_{R2S}). For each test and the reference runs, glacier-wide mass balance \dot{B}_{sfc} and standard deviation $\sigma_{\dot{B}_{sfc}}$ are given in m w.e. a^{-1}

	Debris	s-free	Debris-present		
Test	$\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}$	$\sigma_{\dot{B}_{ m sfc}}$	$\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}$	$\sigma_{\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}}$	
Reference	-0.49	0.08	-0.42	0.10	
No ΔT	-0.48	0.08	-0.36	0.12	
No ΔC	-1.43	0.09	-1.24	0.11	
No RF	-0.99	0.13	-0.81	0.13	
$T_{\rm R2S}=0^{\circ}{\rm C}$	-0.53	0.09	-0.46	0.10	
$T_{\rm R2S} = 2^{\circ} \rm C$	-0.44	0.09	-0.38	0.10	

are disabled/changed independently, thus we do not evaluate their interdependence. Changes in $B_{\rm sfc}$ are similar for both debris-free and debris-present simulations, except in the case of the temperature bias correction.

345 Accumulation bias correction

Disabling the accumulation bias correction triples the mass loss (decreasing $B_{\rm sfc}$), the largest response of all 346 sensitivity tests. The resulting balance fluxes are negative at all gates due to the strong elevation dependence 347 of the accumulation bias correction, including the marked increase in ΔC at elevations > 2300 m a.s.l. 348 (Figure 5b). With 52% of the glacier area above $2300 \,\mathrm{m\,a.s.l.}$ (Figure 5c), the bias correction produces 349 accumulation increases of 2–5 times over a significant area. The gap between measured and downscaled 350 NARR accumulation speaks to the necessity of applying a bias correction. However, it is important to note 351 that the high-elevation data used for this bias correction come from the western margin of the catchment 352 (North/Central Arms), rather than the southern margin (Stairway Glacier/South Arm) where much of the 353 high-elevation terrain is found. The bias correction is thus unconstrained in the area where it has the largest 354 impact, and its effects must therefore be interpreted with caution. 355

356 Temperature bias correction

Disabling the temperature bias correction increases $\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}$ by $< 0.01 \,{\rm m\,w.e.\,a^{-1}}$ and $0.06 \,{\rm m\,w.e.\,a^{-1}}$ for the debris-free and debris-present cases, respectively (with correspondingly small changes to balance fluxes). This small change in $\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}$ is the result of averaging positive and negative anomalies arising from the 25

debris-free cases, and mostly positive but small anomalies for the 12 debris-present cases. The temperature 360 bias correction results in modest increases in mid-April to mid-August temperatures, but marked to drastic 361 decreases in temperatures during the rest of the year (Figure 4b). Therefore, with the bias correction applied, 362 PDDs increase during much of the melt season but decline in the shoulder seasons. Accumulation is also 363 364 affected via the rain-to-snow threshold temperature, with less accumulation from mid-April to mid-August 365 but more otherwise. Overall, the model sensitivity to temperature bias correction is minimal, producing an order of magnitude lower impact on $\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}$ compared to disabling the accumulation bias correction or 366 refreezing. 367

368 Refreezing model and rain-to-snow threshold

Disabling the refreezing parameterization causes an earlier seasonal transition from snow to ice, and thus an 369 increase in melt owing in part to the higher radiation factors for ice compared to snow $(a_{ice/snow})$, resulting 370 in an approximate doubling of mass loss. Disabling refreezing also increases the frequency and intensity of 371 mid-winter melt events caused by positive temperatures, in some cases depleting the snowpack entirely and 372 exposing the underlying ice. The widespread nature of these modelled mid-winter ablation events that occur 373 when refreezing is disabled are considered unrealistic. We also test the model sensitivity to rain-to-snow 374 thresholds of 0 and 2°C, bracketing the reference value of 1°C. These values produce variations in modelled 375 $\dot{B}_{\rm sfc} < \pm 0.05 \,\mathrm{m\,w.e.\,a^{-1}}$ for both debris-free and debris-present cases. 376

377 ICE FLUXES

We use new ice-penetrating radar data, along with the NASA MEaSURES ITS LIVE surface velocities 378 (Gardner and others, 2019), to estimate the observed 2007–2018 ice fluxes at nine gates in the ablation 379 area of Kaskawulsh Glacier. The flux gates (Figure 8a) are roughly perpendicular to the direction of ice 380 flow, with five spanning the main trunk of the glacier and four spanning the major tributaries (North Arm, 381 Central Arm, Stairway Glacier, South Arm). Ice-flux estimates are confined to the ablation area by the 382 radar-data coverage. We compare the observed fluxes to balance fluxes at the same locations obtained using 383 the modelled surface mass balance described above. Below we describe the determination of glacier cross-384 sectional area based on collection, processing and interpretation of ice-penetrating radar data, followed 385 by the estimation of depth-averaged velocities using the NASA MEaSURES ITS LIVE surface-velocity 386 dataset. 387

Young and others:

388 Flux-gate geometry

389 Ice-penetrating radar data collection

Ground-based ice-penetrating radar (IPR) data were collected in 2018 and 2019 with a ruggedized BSI 390 IceRadar system (Mingo and Flowers, 2010; Mingo and others, 2020), comprising a Narod and Clarke (1994) 391 impulse transmitter (from Bennest Enterprises Ltd) with a ± 600 V pulse and a pulse repetition frequency 392 (PRF) of 512 MHz. The receiving unit employs a 12-bit digitizer (Pico 4227), an integrated single-frequency 393 global positioning system (GPS) unit (Garmin NMEA GPS18x) and BSI IceRadar Acquisition Software. 394 The GPS unit is used only to obtain horizontal coordinates. Receiver and transmitter are connected to 395 identical sets of resistively loaded dipole antennas of 5 MHz centre frequency which were towed in-line at 396 ~ 30 m separation during the common-offset surveys. During data acquisition, we collected 1024 stacks every 397 2-3 s at walking speed. The IPR surveys traversed debris-free and debris-covered ice, including some of the 398 prominent medial moraines. Minor detours were required to navigate supraglacial streams, while data gaps 399 within and at the ends of some transects arose from unnavigable terrain. In total, ~ 30 line-km of data were 400 collected. 401

402 Ice-penetrating radar data processing and interpretation

Gain control and band-pass filtering were applied to all radar data, following the processing workflow 403 that we have established for ice-depth determination using this radar system in the same environmental 404 setting (Wilson, 2012; Wilson and others, 2013; Bigelow, 2019; Bigelow and others, 2020). We tested 405 two-dimensional frequency-wavenumber migration on all transects and considered results where migration 406 did not introduce clearly implausible features. Two-way traveltimes were converted to depth considering 407 receiver-transmitter separation and assuming a radar wave velocity of $1.68 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ (Bogorodsky and 408 others, 1985). The bed reflector was evident and unambiguous across most or all of the transect length 409 for five of nine transects, while four of nine had larger areas of ambiguity. These areas were sometimes 410 associated with the deepest ice (approaching ~ 1000 m), and other times with clutter and/or scattering that 411 would have obscured reflections. 412

In this study, uncertainty in ice depth arises from: (a) inherent uncertainty associated with signal wavelength, (b) the assumed radar velocity, (c) visibility and/or ambiguity of the bed reflector, (d) choices in data processing steps and (e) data gaps. Sources (c)-(e) are expected to dominate (a) and (b) in this study. To acknowledge these uncertainties, we identify minimum and maximum bounds on ice depth by producing a range of ice-depth profiles; we also produce a reference profile, which we subjectively deem

Journal of Glaciology

most plausible. The range of depth profiles arises from picking different reflectors, where they exist, to address (c), considering migrated and unmigrated data to address (d) and employing linear versus nonlinear interpolation schemes to fill gaps between transect segments and between transect endpoints and glacier margins to address (e). At least six and up to 12 different ice-depth profiles were generated for each transect. The minimum, maximum and reference ice-depth profiles are shown in Figure 8. In order of importance, the depth uncertainty imparted by (c) > (d) > (e), yet the sum of these uncertainties is a minor contributor to ice-flux uncertainty.

425 Depth-averaged velocities

At each transect, cross-glacier depth-averaged velocity profiles (i.e. $\bar{u}(y)$) are generated using surfacevelocity data and assumptions about flow partitioning between sliding and deformation. Surface velocities are obtained from the NASA MEaSUREs Inter-Mission Time Series of Land Ice Velocity and Elevation (ITS_LIVE) project (Gardner and others, 2019). These data are generated using Landsat 4, 5, 7, and 8 imagery and auto-RIFT feature tracking (Gardner and others, 2018) to produce annual velocity mosaics. At each of our flux gates, we extract annual surface velocity profiles from the 240 m×240 m gridded ITS_LIVE dataset for the 2007–2018 study period.

From the 2007–2018 profiles we compute a 12-year mean velocity profile at each transect (Figure 8). We consider three velocity models, which respectively give rise to lower, higher and intermediate estimates of depth-averaged velocity \overline{u} : (a) all deformation (u_d) , no basal sliding (u_b) : $\overline{u} = \overline{u}_d$, $u_b = 0$; (b) all basal sliding, no deformation (plug flow): $\overline{u} = u_b$, $u_d = 0$; and (c) some combination of deformation and basal sliding: $\overline{u} = \overline{u}_d + u_b$. In (a) we take $\overline{u}_d = 0.8 u_s$, where u_s is the surface velocity (Nye, 1965), thus $\overline{u} = 0.8 u_s$. In (b) $\overline{u} = u_s$. In (c), we estimate the contribution of deformation to surface velocity using the shallow ice approximation, up to a maximum of the observed surface velocity:

$$u_{\rm d}(z=s) = \max\left(u_{\rm s}, \frac{2A}{n+1}(\rho_{\rm i}g\,\sin\theta)^n h^{n+1}\right),\tag{8}$$

with $A = 2.4 \times 10^{-24} \text{ Pa}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ the assumed value of the flow-law coefficient for temperate ice (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010), n = 3 the flow-law exponent, $\rho_i = 910 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ the density of ice, $g = 9.81 \text{ m s}^{-2}$ the acceleration due to gravity, h the ice depth and θ the glacier surface slope. For each transect, we estimate θ as the width-averaged surface slope in the downflow direction based on the TanDEM-X DEM.

Any underestimation of the observed surface velocity by the value calculated in Equation 8 is attributed to basal sliding: $u_{\rm b} = u_{\rm s} - u_{\rm d}(z = s)$. The depth-averaged velocity is then $\overline{u} = 0.8 u_{\rm d}(z = s) + u_{\rm b}$ or



Fig. 8. Observed profiles of ice thickness and depth-averaged velocity. (a) Kaskawulsh Glacier ablation zone with locations of radar transects across the main trunk (KW1–KW5) and across confluences with major tributaries: North Arm (NA), Central Arm (CA), South Arm (SA), Stairway Glacier (SW). Mean 2007–2018 surface velocity is shown in colour. Velocity data generated using auto-RIFT (Gardner and others, 2018) and provided by the NASA MEaSURES ITS_LIVE project (Gardner and others, 2019). UTM (Zone 7 North) coordinates of southwest corner: 594500 E, 6727000 N. Copernicus Sentinel data 2017. Retrieved from Copernicus Open Access Hub 01/11/17. (b) Depth-averaged velocity profiles with uncertainty (orange) and ice-thickness profiles with uncertainty (blue) at each transect.

Table 3. Measured cross-sectional area $A_{\rm xc}$ and ice discharge Q at flux gates. Q (km³ a⁻¹) is derived from crosssectional area and ITS_LIVE surface velocities for three different velocity-depth profiles: (1) all deformation, no sliding: $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_{\rm d}$, $u_{\rm b} = 0$ ($Q_{\rm low}$); (2) all sliding, no deformation (plug flow): $\bar{u} = u_{\rm b}$, $u_{\rm d} = 0$ ($Q_{\rm high}$); (3) deformation and sliding combined: $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_{\rm d} + u_{\rm b}$ ($Q_{\rm ref}$). Tributary flux gates are: North Arm (NA), Central Arm (CA), Stairway Glacier (SW), South Arm (SA). Flux gates along the main trunk are: KW5 (highest) to KW1 (lowest). \pm indicates one standard deviation arising from bed interpretation for $A_{\rm xc}$ and variations in bed interpretation only for the fluxes. Bold values are explained in text.

Flux gate	$A_{\rm xc}$	+	$Q_{\rm low}$	+	Q_{high}	+	Q_{ref}	+
i iun gate	(km^2)	-	$(\mathrm{km^3a^{-1}})$	<u> </u>	$(\mathrm{km}^3\mathrm{a}^{-1})$	-	$(\rm km^3a^{-1})$	-
NA	1.60	0.06	0.182	0.005	0.227	0.007	0.221	0.006
CA	1.80	0.07	0.180	0.007	0.225	0.009	0.209	0.006
SW	0.66	0.09	0.036	0.004	0.045	0.006	0.042	0.004
\mathbf{SA}	1.14	0.07	0.069	0.003	0.086	0.004	0.075	0.002
KW5	2.57	0.15	0.275	0.015	0.344	0.018	0.341	0.017
KW4	2.96	0.14	0.265	0.008	0.331	0.011	0.326	0.010
KW3	3.12	0.08	0.266	0.005	0.333	0.006	0.326	0.006
KW2	1.97	0.11	0.144	0.008	0.181	0.010	0.174	0.008
KW1	1.72	0.09	0.164	0.005	0.205	0.007	0.195	0.005

439 $\overline{u} = u_s - 0.2 u_d(z = s)$. The choice of velocity model is the leading source of uncertainty in the ice-flux 440 calculations.

441 Observed ice fluxes

Ice-flux (in units of $km^3 a^{-1}$) is calculated at each flux gate (i.e. transect) by numerically integrating the 442 product of ice depth (derived from radar data) and depth-averaged velocity (derived from ITS LIVE data) 443 across the transect (i.e. glacier width). This calculation is done for each of the 6-12 ice-depth profiles 444 per transect and each of the three depth-averaged velocity models above, yielding 18–36 values of ice 445 flux per transect. The reference flux at each transect employs the reference ice-depth profile, and the 446 intermediate velocity model (c), where the shallow-ice approximation is used to estimate the contribution 447 of deformation to the surface velocity (Equation 8) and the remainder is attributed to sliding. We assign 448 an uncertainty on each ice flux in Table 3 $(Q_{
m low}, Q_{
m high}, Q_{
m ref})$ equal to the standard deviation of the 6–12 449 values. This uncertainty represents only that arising from bed interpretation, whereas the range of Q_{low} to 450 Q_{high} encompasses the uncertainty arising from different velocity models. 451

452 ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

453 Comparison of modelled and inferred mass-balance distribution

Using the surface elevation change of the Kaskawulsh Glacier (Figure 2), the ice fluxes at each of nine flux gates (Figure 8) and the modelled surface mass balance (Figure 7), we are able to independently estimate each term in the continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot q = \dot{b}_{\rm sfc},\tag{9}$$

where $\partial h/\partial t$ is the local rate of change of ice thickness, $\nabla \cdot q$ is the divergence of the flux and \dot{b}_{sfc} is the surface mass balance (with density adjustments for m w.e. a^{-1} of 850 kg m⁻³ and 900 kg m⁻³ applied to $\partial h/\partial t$ and $\nabla \cdot q$, respectively). In order to assess the consistency of our remotely sensed elevation changes, measured ice fluxes and modelled mass balance, we compare each independently estimated term in the continuity equation to its counterpart calculated using the other terms, for each section of the glacier bounded by flux gates and the ice margin.

We then compute the RMSE between the two estimates of each term for each section of the glacier for 460 both debris-free and debris-present cases of the mass-balance model. Inspection of the RMSEs reveals that 461 the debris-present case outperforms the debris-free case for each term in the continuity equation: 1.43 vs 462 1.61 m w.e. a^{-1} for $\partial h/\partial t$, 0.064 vs 0.077 km³ w.e. a^{-1} for $\nabla \cdot q$ and 1.31 vs 1.47 m w.e. a^{-1} for $\dot{B}_{\rm sfc}$. The 463 debris-present case also outperforms the debris-free case using mean error rather than RMSE as a metric. 464 We therefore consider \dot{b}_{sfc} obtained with the debris-present model to be the reference mass-balance field 465 in the following analysis. Although the spatial pattern associated with debris-covered medial moraines in 466 the mass-balance model (Figure 7b) is not clearly reflected in the observed surface lowering (Figure 2), 467 the superior performance of the model with debris is nevertheless unsurprising: muted thinning rates over 468 the lowermost $\sim 5 \,\mathrm{km}$ of the glacier (Figure 2) do coincide with extensive debris cover. Furthermore, the 469 ablation suppressed by debris in the model is compensated by enhanced ablation over debris-free ice owing 470 to the requirement (in Stage 1 tuning) that modelled glacier-wide mass balance match the geodetic balance 471 within uncertainty; the resulting model parameters $(MF, a_{\text{snow/ice}})$ for the debris-present case yield a lower 472 modelled mass-balance gradient, which is in better agreement with the observations. A similar dependence 473 of the mass-balance gradient on debris cover has been observed on glaciers in High Mountain Asia (Bisset 474 and others, 2020). 475

By using ice-thickness data collected in 2018–2019, we systematically underestimate 2007–2018 mean ice fluxes due to thinning during the study period. In order to assess the maximum impact of this



Fig. 9. Comparison of calculated (\dot{B}_{cal} , light purple) and modelled (\dot{B}_{mod} , light blue) mass balance, with associated uncertainties, for each section of the glacier. Sections are labelled according to their downstream flux gates. Also shown are four combined sections: KW4+KW5, KW1+KW2, KW0-KW5 ("Main trunk") and NA+CA+SW+SA ("All Ts" (all tributaries)).

underestimation on $\nabla \cdot q_{obs}$, we use the observed elevation change (data in Figure 2) to calculate total thinning at each flux gate between 2007 and 2018. Note that gap-filled areas comprise up to 53% (KW2) of the length of individual flux gates. This calculation yields an average change in $\nabla \cdot q_{obs}$ of ~1.5±1.2%, with the greatest change between KW4 and KW5 (~4%) and least between KW1-KW2 (<1%). These values reflect flux changes over the entire study period, and are thus twice what might be considered representative of the 2007-2018 mean.

Below we focus on the comparison between modelled (\dot{B}_{mod}) and calculated (\dot{B}_{cal}) mass balance for each section of the glacier bounded by flux gates and the glacier margin, where \dot{B}_{mod} is the integral of \dot{b}_{sfc} between the flux gates of interest and \dot{b}_{sfc} is obtained directly from the mass-balance model with debris. \dot{B}_{cal} is obtained by summing the elevation change $(\partial h/\partial t)$ over the section of interest and the difference in measured downstream and upstream fluxes $(\nabla \cdot q)$ (Equation 9). This comparison is one means of evaluating the mass-balance model, but also reveals potential shortcomings in the other derived quantities.

Journal of Glaciology

28

Table 4. Independently estimated (subscript "obs" or "mod") versus calculated (subscript "cal") terms in the continuity equation (Equation 9) for each section of the glacier (labelled with downstream flux gate as in Figure 9): $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t_{\rm cal}} = -\nabla \cdot q_{\rm obs} + \dot{B}_{\rm mod}$, $\dot{B}_{\rm cal} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial t_{\rm obs}} + \nabla \cdot q_{\rm obs}$, $\nabla \cdot q_{\rm cal} = \dot{B}_{\rm mod} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial t_{\rm obs}}$. Values of $\nabla \cdot q$ are converted to m w.e. a^{-1} using an ice density of 900 kg m⁻³.

Flux Gate(s)	Surface Area	Gap fill	$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}_{ m obs}$	$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}_{\mathrm{cal}}$	$\nabla \cdot q_{\rm obs}$	$\nabla \cdot q_{\rm cal}$	$\dot{B}_{ m mod}$	\dot{B}_{cal}
	km^2	%	${\rm mw.e.a^{-1}}$	${ m m}{ m w.e.}{ m a}^{-1}$	${\rm mw.e.a^{-1}}$	${ m mw.e.a^{-1}}$	${ m mw.e.a^{-1}}$	${ m mw.e.a^{-1}}$
NA	218	38	-0.18	-0.41	0.91	0.67	0.50	0.74
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}$	319	17	-0.28	-0.34	0.59	0.53	0.25	0.31
SW	107	16	-0.32	0.68	0.35	1.36	1.04	0.03
\mathbf{SA}	262	37	-0.40	0.02	0.26	0.68	0.28	-0.14
KW5	32	8	-0.80	-0.23	-2.47	-1.90	-2.70	-3.28
KW4	22	15	-1.07	-3.22	-0.62	-2.77	-3.84	-1.69
KW3	22	45	-1.21	-2.53	-1.73	-3.05	-4.26	-2.93
KW2	29	49	-1.17	0.72	-4.64	-2.75	-3.92	-5.81
KW1	36	12	-1.53	-3.87	-1.37	-3.71	-5.23	-2.89
KW0	48	21	-1.55	-2.35	-3.27	-4.07	-5.62	-4.82
KW1+KW2	65	28	-1.37	-1.79	-2.85	-3.27	-4.64	-4.22
KW4+KW5	54	11	-0.91	-1.43	-1.73	-2.25	-3.16	-2.64
All tributaries	907	28	-0.29	-0.13	0.54	0.71	0.41	0.25
Main trunk	189	24	-1.17	-1.76	-2.25	-2.85	-4.01	-3.41
Glacier-wide	1096	28	-0.46	-0.42	0.00	0.04	-0.42	-0.46

490 Sections upstream of tributary flux gates

491 Values of $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ are positive for all four tributaries (NA, CA, SW, SA in Figure 9) but underestimate $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ for 492 North and Central Arms, while overestimating $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ for Stairway Glacier and South Arm. $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ for Stairway 493 Glacier (the smallest of the four catchments) is near-zero and for South Arm is negative. $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ and $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ 494 agree within uncertainty only for the North and Central Arms. Averaged across all four tributaries, $B_{\rm mod}$ 495 exceeds $B_{\rm cal}$ by 0.16 m w.e.

The differences between \dot{B}_{mod} and \dot{B}_{cal} hint that spatial variability in the accumulation field not captured by the model might play an important role in explaining this mismatch, and in Kaskawulsh Glacier mass balance. The better agreement between \dot{B}_{mod} and \dot{B}_{cal} in the North and Central Arms is unsurprising given the provenance of the high-elevation measurements used in the accumulation bias correction (Figure 5). A strong roughly east-west moisture gradient exists in the region due to the orographic divide of the St. Elias

Mountains: applying a bias correction exclusively based on elevation and without data from the southern 501 half of the catchment (the accumulation areas of Stairway Glacier and South Arm) would not account for 502 geographic differences in accumulation. Given that Stairway Glacier and South Arm are further from the 503 orographic divide, we suspect the accumulation bias correction—based on high-elevation data restricted to 504 505 the western margin of the catchment—leads to overestimation of modelled mass balance in these southern 506 tributary catchments. The North and Central Arms also differ from Stairway Glacier and South Arm in aspect, with the former being eastern to north-eastern and the latter being northern. Aspect plays a direct 507 role in modelled ablation through parameters $a_{\text{snow/ice}}$, while the orientation of mountain ridges relative to 508 the prevailing wind would also play a role in snow redistribution, a process unaccounted for in the model. 509

510 Sections downstream of the tributary flux gates

Within the main trunk of the glacier, we compare $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ and $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ for six sections bounded by the flux 511 gates and the glacier margin/terminus. The differences between $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ and $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ are large and their signs 512 inconsistent (Figure 9): \dot{B}_{mod} exceeds \dot{B}_{cal} by 2.15, 1.33, 2.34 and 0.80 m w.e. (127%, 45%, 79% and 17%) 513 for sections upstream of KW4, KW3, KW1 and the terminus, respectively, while \dot{B}_{cal} exceeds \dot{B}_{mod} by 514 0.58 m w.e. and 1.89 m w.e. (21% and 49%) upstream of KW5 and KW2, respectively. \dot{B}_{mod} and \dot{B}_{cal} only 515 agree within uncertainty for three of six sections. Notably, in the lowermost section between KW1 and the 516 terminus (labelled KW0) where the debris coverage is highest, the debris-present model far outperforms the 517 debris-free model, yielding $\dot{B}_{\rm mod} = -5.62 \pm 0.46$ m w.e. versus -9.64 ± 0.99 m w.e. for the debris-free model, 518 compared to $\dot{B}_{cal} = -4.82 \pm 0.16$ m w.e. The magnitude of this difference is in line with the reduction of 519 ablation by terminus debris cover observed in High Mountain Asia (e.g. Vincent and others, 2016; Bisset 520 and others, 2020). 521

Visual inspection of Figure 9 reveals changes in the sign of the mismatch between $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ and $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ in some adjacent sections of the glacier, suggesting that mismatch could be reduced by combining these sections. For example, if we combine sections KW4 and KW5, $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ and $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ differ by only 22% (Figure 9, Table 4). Similarly, KW1 and KW2 together reduce the mismatch between $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ and $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ to 11%. Considering the entire region below the tributary fluxgates, $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ is more negative than $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ (-4.01 versus -3.41 m w.e.), whereas above the tributary flux gates $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ is more positive (0.41 versus 0.25 m w.e.) (Figure 9, Table 4). The modelled mass-balance gradient is therefore steeper than that inferred from $\partial h/\partial t + \nabla \cdot q$.

Missing physical processes can also explain some of the mismatch between B_{mod} and B_{cal} . For example, the section above KW5 is influenced by the presence of an ice-marginal lake with a calving front (Bigelow

Journal of Glaciology

and others, 2020), which results in additional mass loss. This loss is not accounted for in the mass-balance model, but nevertheless influences changes in surface elevation and ice flux. Though unquantified, the anticipated mass loss into the lake basin is consistent with the sign of the mismatch between \dot{B}_{mod} and \dot{B}_{cal} above the KW5 flux gate.

Discrepancies between \dot{B}_{mod} and \dot{B}_{cal} can also be due to observational errors or uncertainty that influence 535 $B_{\rm cal}$, in addition to shortcomings of the mass-balance model. Occurrence of cloud cover or the presence of 536 regions where stereo-image texture is too homogeneous creates the need to gap-fill the observed elevation-537 change field $(\partial h/\partial t \text{ in Equation 9})$. These gaps could contribute to mismatch between $\partial h/\partial t_{cal}$ and $\partial h/\partial t_{obs}$: 538 27.7% of the total glacier area is gap-filled (Figure 2), with a local maximum of 48.7% for the section 539 upstream of KW2 (Table 4). Because the gap-filling scheme is a function of elevation only, it does not 540 capture small-scale spatial variability associated with debris cover, aspect or geographical location within 541 the catchment (McNabb and others, 2019). This is problematic at higher elevations, which cover a wide 542 geographical range with variable aspects. Compounded with larger relative errors at high elevation (owing 543 to smaller values of elevation change), we expect the gap-filled values at high elevations may be less 544 representative of local conditions. 545

Errors also arise in the calculation of ice fluxes. There are three major sources of uncertainty in our calculation: that associated with (1) ice depth, due to processing and interpretation of the radar data, (2) surface velocity, arising from inter-annual variability evident in the ITS_LIVE data and (3) the velocitydepth profile, owing to the unknown partitioning of surface velocity between deformation and sliding. The latter is the largest. Inconsistency also arises from using radar data collected in 2018–2019 to compute 2007–2018 fluxes, given the nearly pervasive thinning observed from 2007–2018 (Figure 2).

Entertaining the possibility that Q_{ref} (Table 3) may not be the correct representation of flux at each gate, 552 we explore the impact of substituting $Q_{\text{low}} \pm \sigma$ (no sliding) and $Q_{\text{high}} \pm \sigma$ (plug flow) for Q_{ref} . By increasing 553 the sliding contribution at gates SW, SA and KW2, and decreasing it at gates NA, KW4, KW3 and KW1 554 (see bold values in Table 3), we reduce metrics of overall mismatch between $\nabla \cdot q_{obs}$ and $\nabla \cdot q_{cal}$ (Table 555 4) by $\sim 20-40\%$ and section-wise mismatch of $\nabla \cdot q_{obs}$ and $\nabla \cdot q_{cal}$ downstream of the tributary flux gates 556 from >75% to <25% (not shown). The resulting mismatch between \dot{B}_{cal} and \dot{B}_{mod} is more systematic and 557 spatially coherent than that using Q_{ref} in Figure 9, particularly below the tributary flux gates (not shown). 558 With one minor exception, \dot{B}_{cal} underestimates the magnitude of \dot{B}_{mod} by 11-24% for KW0–KW5 (\dot{B}_{cal} 559 overestimates the magnitude of $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ by 9% for KW4). Although it would be circular to tune $\dot{B}_{\rm cal}$ to $\dot{B}_{\rm mod}$ 560



Fig. 10. Comparison of observed and balance fluxes arranged according to position of flux gate (tributaries shaded in grey). See Figure 8 for flux-gate locations. Observed fluxes (Q_{obs} , yellow) are shown with standard deviations arising only from glacier-bed interpretation (see Q_{ref} in Table 3). Balance fluxes are shown for $\dot{B}_{sfc} = 0$ (Q_{bal_0} , blue) and $\dot{B}_{sfc} = -0.42 \text{ m w.e.}$ ($Q_{bal_{-0.42}}$, red). Dark red/blue shading is standard deviation of balance fluxes for the 12 simulations that satisfy both stages of model tuning for debris-present case. Light red/blue shading is the uncertainty for each balance flux determined from the uncertainties on model accumulation and melt rates. Shading is continuous between flux gates only to assist in visual interpretation; not all flux gates are connected as suggested by shading.

by changing the fluxes, this exercise demonstrates that it is possible to satisfy the local continuity equation simply by exploring plausible variations in glacier dynamics via the partitioning of sliding and deformation. It also corroborates our finding that the modelled mass-balance gradient is steeper than that inferred from $\partial h/\partial t + \nabla \cdot q$.

565 Comparison of balance fluxes and observed fluxes

We compare our model-derived balance fluxes (Q_{bal}) and observed fluxes (Q_{obs}) at each flux gate to investigate the inconsistency between internal mass redistribution and surface mass balance of the Kaskawulsh Glacier (Figure 10). First, we determine two sets of balance fluxes: (1) those derived from the 2007–2018 modelled mass balance where $\dot{B}_{\text{sfc}} = -0.42 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}$ (denoted $Q_{\text{bal}_{-0.42}}$), and (2) those adjusted to balance conditions ($\dot{B}_{\text{sfc}} = 0$) (Azam and others, 2012) by adding $-0.42 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}$ to the b_{sfc} field (denoted Q_{bal_0}). The contrast between these balance fluxes is used to situate the current state of response of the observed ice fluxes to a changing surface mass balance.

573 With the exception of the SW and SA flux gates, where the balance fluxes are impacted by the suspected 574 overestimation of modelled accumulation, the spatial structure of $Q_{\rm obs}$ resembles that of $Q_{\rm bal_0}$ (Figure 10).

The magnitudes of Q_{obs} are lower than those of Q_{bal_0} , but significantly higher than those of $Q_{bal_{-0.42}}$. The similarity in distribution and magnitude of Q_{obs} and Q_{bal_0} indicates that the glacier flow regime more closely reflects zero balance conditions than the negative balance conditions of 2007–2018, and thus the dynamic adjustment of the glacier is far from complete. This state of adjustment demonstrates that the response time of the Kaskawulsh Glacier exceeds the ~40 years over which mass-balance conditions similar to those of 2007–2018 have persisted (Berthier and others, 2010), as expected for a glacier of this size and in this climate (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010).

The balance flux $Q_{\text{bal}_{-0.42}}$ becomes negative between gates KW3 and KW2 (Figure 10). Owing to the 582 suspected overestimation of accumulation in the SW and SA tributaries, which feed downstream gates KW3 583 and KW1, respectively, the spatial extent of negative balance fluxes is likely a conservative estimate. In order 584 to more precisely determine the position of zero balance flux (KW_{null}), we discretize the region between 585 KW3 and KW2 into numerous flux gates and integrate the modelled surface mass balance upstream of 586 each one. We estimate KW_{null} to be $23.2 \pm 3.2 \text{ km}$ upstream of the current terminus position, and upstream 587 of the South Arm confluence, at an elevation of 1447 m a.s.l (Figure 1). This position suggests the main 588 trunk of the Kaskawulsh Glacier would detach from the South Arm under sustained conditions of 2007–2018 589 mass balance. Using a slightly adjusted (Langhammer and others, 2019) version of the Farinotti and others 590 (2019) ensemble estimate of glacier bed topography, we estimate that 46 km³ of ice, or $\sim 15\%$ of the total 591 Kaskawulsh Glacier volume, reside in the main trunk of the glacier below the position of zero balance flux. 592 Given that our calculation ignores flow across the line of zero balance as well as upstream thinning, this 593 volume is a minimum bound on the committed ice loss (Mernild and others, 2013) if the 2007–2018 climate 594 persists. Considering the projected increase of global and regional air temperatures (Allen and others, 2014) 595 compared to our model inputs, the negative mass-balance conditions that characterized 2007–2018 will likely 596 be exacerbated in the future and drive the position of zero balance flux even further up-glacier. 597

598 **DISCUSSION**

The rate of mass loss we estimate for 2007–2018 $(-0.46 \pm 0.17 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1})$ is higher than that estimated by Larsen and others (2015) for 1995–2013 $(-0.35 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1})$, the only other glacier-wide study. Our 2007–2018 estimate is, however, indistinguishable from that of Berthier and others (2010), both for the Kaskawulsh Glacier individually $(-0.46 \pm 0.20 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}, 1977–2007)$ and the entire glacier population of the St. Elias

Mountains $(-0.47 \pm 0.09 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}, 1968 - 2006)$. While mass loss may have accelerated from 1995 - 2018, we 603 cannot conclude that it accelerated in the last decade (2007-2018) relative to the four before (1968-2006). 604 Mass loss occurs in two modes for land-terminating glaciers (e.g. Thomson and others, 2017): (1) ice 605 fluxes in excess of balance fluxes move mass to lower ablation-prone areas causing upstream thinning (and 606 607 an attendant reduction in driving stress) without significant terminus retreat, and (2) reduced ice fluxes lead 608 to accelerated thinning in the mass-starved ablation-prone areas (e.g. Span and Kuhn, 2003) and eventually to glacier retreat. Previous work on small alpine glaciers ($\leq 30 \, \mathrm{km}^2$) has documented significantly reduced 609 ice fluxes and accelerated thinning within a decade of decreasing surface mass balance (e.g. Azam and 610 others, 2012; Berthier and Vincent, 2012; Dehecq and others, 2019). This ice-flux reduction can overshoot 611 the mass balance forcing even for very small glaciers, resulting in balance fluxes greater than observed 612 fluxes (Meier and Tangborn, 1965). The dynamic response can be further complicated by frontal ablation 613 for marine terminating glaciers (Deschamps-Berger and others, 2019), variation in surface debris (e.g. Benn 614 and others, 2012; Bhattacharya and others, 2016) and glacier geometry (encompassing area/volume, and 615 hypsometry) (e.g. Chinn, 1999). The fact that observed fluxes for the Kaskawulsh Glacier (Q_{obs}) are more in 616 line with balance fluxes adjusted to zero-balance conditions $(Q_{\text{bal}_0} \text{ vs } Q_{\text{bal}_{-0.42}})$ suggests that driving stresses 617 have not diminished appreciably (this is further corroborated by a lack of systematic surface velocity drop 618 between 2007–2018 (See Supplementary Material). This situation is consistent with mode 1 (see above) 619 mass loss for the Kaskawulsh Glacier. 620

Based on the position of the zero balance flux $(Q_{\text{bal}_{-0.42}} = 0)$, we calculate a minimum of $\sim 23 \text{ km}$ of 621 committed glacier retreat if the 2007–2018 climate were to hold steady. Although we cannot assign a 622 timescale to this retreat, Foy and others (2011) have determined a rate of terminus retreat of $\sim 13 \text{ m a}^{-1}$ 623 (derived from terminus tracking between 1956-2007 using aerial and satellite imagery) that increased 624 between 2000 and 2007. Reyes and others (2006) estimate a late Holocene retreat rate of $\sim 80-100 \text{ m a}^{-1}$ 625 based on dendroglaciological studies of the Little Ice Age (LIA) maximum. Due to the onset of Kaskawulsh 626 retreat occurring later than the regional LIA maximum (early- to mid-18th century), the estimated retreat 627 rate is likely conservative (Borns and Goldthwait, 1966; Reyes and others, 2006). At these historically 628 estimated rates, the committed retreat of $\sim 23 \,\mathrm{km}$ would occur on timescales of a century or longer. 629

Our observations of glacier mass loss coincide with an observed multi-decadal increase in regional air temperature (Streicker, 2016) that is projected to continue for decades to come. Yukon has experienced a greater warming rate than most regions in Canada: a 2.4°C increase in mean annual air temperature

Journal of Glaciology

for 1948-2016 (ECCC, 2019b) compared to $\sim 1.7^{\circ}$ C for the entire country (ECCC, 2019b). Relative to the 633 1980–2000 mean, an additional 2.1–3.3°C warming is expected for Yukon by mid-century (2040–2060) and 634 $2.2-6.4^{\circ}$ C by late-century (2080–2100) (Data from Environment and Climate Change Canada) based on 635 Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) 2.6 and 8.5, respectively, from the Fifth Assessment Report 636 of Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change (IPCC). Winter temperature increase is typically double the 637 annual mean (Streicker, 2016; ECCC, 2019a). Total annual precipitation in Yukon has increased by 6% 638 between 1964–2014 (Streicker, 2016), with a 12–15% increase projected for mid-century (2040–2060) and 639 12–35% for late-century (2080–2100) relative to the 1980–2000 mean (Data from Environment and Climate 640 Change Canada), less than has been estimated elsewhere to offset the effects of warming (e.g. De Woul and 641 Hock, 2005). With the anticipated warming yet to come by 2100, the glacier mass-loss rate and committed 642 retreat we have estimated based on 2007–2018 data are lower than should be expected for mid- to late-21st 643 century climate conditions. 644

645 CONCLUSION

This work is the first attempt, to our knowledge, to investigate the mass budget of a large land-terminating 646 glacier extending $\sim 70 \,\mathrm{km}$ over $\sim 2500 \,\mathrm{m}$ of elevation, using direct measurements of ice geometry and fully 647 distributed mass-balance modelling. We have combined new measurements of surface-elevation change, 648 observed ice fluxes and modelled surface mass balance to calculate the mass budget of the Kaskawulsh 649 Glacier. We estimate a 2007–2018 geodetic balance of -0.46 ± 0.17 m w.e. a^{-1} , comparable to the 1977-650 2007 estimate for the Kaskawulsh Glacier and the 1968–2006 estimate for the wider region. The rate of 651 mass loss and associated glacier thinning is expected to accelerate with continued warming. In comparing 652 observed ice fluxes to model-derived balance fluxes we estimate a committed terminus retreat of 23.2 ± 3.2 km 653 and a lower bound of 46 km³ of ice loss, corresponding to $\sim 15\%$ of the total glacier volume. This retreat 654 will result in fragmentation of the Kaskawulsh Glacier, with the main trunk retreating past the confluence 655 with the South Arm. We find that measured ice fluxes are closer to balance fluxes adjusted to zero-balance 656 conditions than to 2007–2018 balance fluxes, indicating that the glacier is still in the early stages of dynamic 657 adjustment to mass imbalance. 658

By analyzing discrepancies between modelled, observed and derived quantities in the context of the continuity equation, we have identified several key considerations in determining the mass budget of large land-terminating glaciers. (1) The best assumption for determining depth-averaged velocity profiles for the purposes of calculating ice flux may vary spatially. (2) Bias corrections to modelled accumulation may be large and spatially variable, due, for example, to orographic effects. Well-distributed accumulation measurements would be extremely valuable to characterize the accumulation field. (3) Incorporating processes such as refreezing, and properties such as debris cover, into mass-balance models can impart significant influence on the timing and magnitude of modelled melt. (4) Accounting for the effects of debris cover, especially at lower elevations, can significantly alter the modelled mass-balance gradient.

The mass balance of large and regionally significant glaciers like the Kaskawulsh Glacier remains impractical to measure with in-situ methods. We therefore need models like the one employed in this study, forced by spatially distributed glacio-meteorological data (e.g. reanalysis products, AWS timeseries, in-situ accumulation and ablation measurements), combined with creative ways to approach model tuning to characterize changing glacier mass budgets.

673 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

674 The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/xxxxx

675 DATA AVAILABILITY

The supplementary material for this article can be found at Data presented in this article are archived online on https://xxxxx

678 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Permission to conduct field work was granted by the Kluane First Nation (KFN), Parks Canada and 679 Yukon Government. We are grateful to S. Williams, L. Goodwin and S. Shaw for logistical support, and D. 680 Bigelow, C. Wong (Parks Canada) and U.H. Fischer for assistance in the field. L. Copland kindly provided 681 temperature data used in the NARR bias corrections. We thank L. Mingo for assistance with interpretation 682 of radar data, A. Adhikari for carrying out snowline picks, A. Morin for performing calculations involving the 683 Farinotti and others (2019) dataset and H. Jiskoot for helpful discussions related to model tuning. SPOT6/7684 data were obtained thanks to public funds received in the framework of GEOSUD, a project (ANR-10-685 EQPX-20) of the program "Investissements d'Avenir" managed by the French National Research Agency. 686 687 EB acknowledges support from the French Space Agency through the TOSCA and DINAMIS projects. We are grateful for financial support provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of 688 Canada, Simon Fraser University, the Northern Scientific Training Program and the Polar Continental Shelf 689 Program. The data presented in this manuscript will be made available upon acceptance. 690

691 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

GF conceived of the original study and EY/GF co-developed the details. EY developed, tuned and ran the mass-balance model, including all aspects of downloading/pre-processing model inputs, analyzing model output and integrating output with observations. EY also supervised Adhikari's work on snowlines. GF and EY carried out the field work. RL processed and interpreted the radar data with guidance from GF and calculated the ice fluxes with EY. EB acquired and processed the elevation-change data. EY led the manuscript preparation, with contributions from GF and EB. All authors contributed to various aspects of the interpretation and edited the manuscript.

Young and others:

699 **REFERENCES**

- 700 Allen MR and 10 others (2014) IPCC fifth assessment synthesis report-climate change 2014 synthesis report
- Anderton PW (1967) Structural glaciology of a glacier confluence, Kaskawulsh Glacier, Yukon Territory, Canada.
 Ph.D. thesis, The Ohio State University
- 703 Anderton PW (1973) Structural glaciology of a glacier confluence, kaskawulsh glacier, yukon territory, canada.
- 704 Technical report, Research Foundation and the Institute of Polar Studies, The Ohio State University.
- 705 Arendt AA, Luthcke SB, Larsen CF, Abdalati W, Krabill WB and Beedle MJ (2008) Validation of high-resolution
- GRACE mascon estimates of glacier mass changes in the St Elias Mountains, Alaska, USA, using aircraft laser
 altimetry. Journal of Glaciology, 54(188), 778-787 (doi: 10.3189/002214308787780067)
- Azam MF and 10 others (2012) From balance to imbalance: a shift in the dynamic behaviour of Chhota Shigri glacier,
 western Himalaya, India. Journal of Glaciology, 58(208), 315–324 (doi: 10.3189/2012JoG11J123)
- 710 Bachelder J and 10 others (2020) Chemical and microphysical properties of wind-blown dust near an actively retreat-
- 711 ing glacier in Yukon, Canada. Aerosol Science and Technology, 54(1), 2–20 (doi: 10.1080/02786826.2019.1676394)
- Barrand N and Sharp M (2010) Sustained rapid shrinkage of Yukon glaciers since the 1957–1958 International
 Geophysical Year. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(7) (doi: 10.1029/2009GL042030)
- Benn D and 9 others (2012) Response of debris-covered glaciers in the Mount Everest region to recent
 warming, and implications for outburst flood hazards. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 114(1-2), 156–174 (doi:
 10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.03.008)
- Berthier É and Brun F (2019) Karakoram geodetic glacier mass balances between 2008 and 2016: persis-tence of the
 anomaly and influence of a large rock avalanche on Siachen Glacier. Journal of Glaciology, 65(251), 494–507 (doi:
 10.1017/jog.2019.32)
- Berthier E and Vincent C (2012) Relative contribution of surface mass-balance and ice-flux changes to the
 accelerated thinning of Mer de Glace, French Alps, over 1979-2008. Journal of Glaciology, 58(209), 501-512
 (doi: 10.3189/2012JoG11J083)
- Berthier E, Arnaud Y, Baratoux D, Vincent C and Rémy F (2004) Recent rapid thinning of the "Mer de Glace"
 glacier derived from satellite optical images. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **31**(17) (doi: 10.1029/2004GL020706)
- 725 Berthier E, Arnaud Y, Kumar R, Ahmad S, Wagnon P and Chevallier P (2007) Remote sensing estimates of glacier
- mass balances in the Himachal Pradesh (Western Himalaya, India). Remote Sensing of Environment, 108(3),
 327-338 (doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2006.11.017)
- 728 Berthier E, Schiefer E, Clarke GKC, Menounos B and Rémy F (2010) Contribution of Alaskan glaciers to sea-level
- rise derived from satellite imagery. Nature Geoscience, **3**(2), 92 (doi: 10.1038/ngeo737)

- 730 Berthier E and 10 others (2014) Glacier topography and elevation changes derived from Pléiades sub-meter stereo
- 731 images. The Cryosphere, 8(6), 2275–2291 (doi: 10.5194/tc-8-2275-2014)
- 732 Bhattacharya A, Bolch T, Mukherjee K, Pieczonka T, Kropáček J and Buchroithner MF (2016) Overall recession
- and mass budget of Gangotri Glacier, Garhwal Himalayas, from 1965 to 2015 using remote sensing data. Journal
- 734 of Glaciology, **62**(236), 1115–1133 (doi: 10.1017/jog.2016.96)
- 735 Bigelow DG (2019) The role of englacial hydrology in the filling and drainage of an ice-dammed lake, Kaskawulsh
- 736 Glacier, Yukon, Canada. Master's thesis, Simon Fraser University
- 737 Bigelow DG, Flowers GE, Schoof CG, Mingo LDB, Young EM and Connal BC (2020) The role of englacial hydrology
- in the filling and drainage of an ice-dammed lake, Kaskawulsh Glacier, Yukon, Canada. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Earth Surface, 125(2) (doi: 10.1029/2019JF005110)
- 740 Bisset RR, Dehecq A, Goldberg DN, Huss M, Bingham RG and Gourmelen N (2020) Reversed surface-mass-balance
- gradients on Himalayan debris-covered glaciers inferred from remote sensing. *Remote Sensing*, **12**(10), 1563 (doi:
- 742 10.3390/rs12101563)
- 743 Bogorodsky VV, Bentley CR and Gudmandsen PE (1985) Radioglaciology. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht,
 744 Holland
- 745 Borns HW and Goldthwait RP (1966) Late-Pleistocene fluctuations of Kaskawulsh Glacier, southwestern Yukon
- 746 Territory, Canada. American Journal of Science, **264**(8), 600–619 (doi: 10.2475/ajs.264.8.600)
- 747 Chinn T (1999) New Zealand glacier response to climate change of the past 2 decades. Global and Planetary Change,
- 748 22(1-4), 155-168 (doi: 10.1016/S0921-8181(99)00033-8)
- 749 Clarke GKC (2014) A short and somewhat personal history of Yukon glacier studies in the Twentieth Century. Arctic,
- 750 **67**, 1–21 (doi: 10.14430/arctic)
- Clarke GKC and Holdsworth G (2002) Glaciers of the St. Elias mountains. US Geological Survey professional paper,
 (1386J)
- 753 Clarke GKC, Schmok JP, Ommanney CSL and Collins SG (1986) Characteristics of surge-type glaciers. Journal of
- 754 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, **91**(B7), 7165–7180 (doi: 10.1029/JB091iB07p07165)
- Clarke GKC, Jarosch AH, Anslow FS, Radić V and Menounos B (2015) Projected deglaciation of western Canada
 in the twenty-first century. Nature Geoscience, 8(5), 372 (doi: 10.1038/ngeo2407)
- 757 Cruikshank J (2001) Glaciers and climate change: perspectives from oral tradition. Arctic, 377-393
- 758 Cuffey KM and Paterson WSB (2010) The physics of glaciers. Elsevier
- 759 Darling S (2012) Velocity Variations of the Kaskawulsh Glacier, Yukon Territory, 2009-2011. University of Ottawa
- 760 De Woul M and Hock R (2005) Static mass-balance sensitivity of Arctic glaciers and ice caps using a degree-day
- 761 approach. Annals of Glaciology, 42, 217–224 (doi: 10.3189/172756405781813096)

- 762 Dehecq A and 9 others (2019) Twenty-first century glacier slowdown driven by mass loss in High Mountain Asia.
- 763 Nature Geoscience, 12(1), 22–27 (doi: 10.1038/s41561-018-0271-9)
- Deschamps-Berger C, Nuth C, Van Pelt W, Berthier E, Kohler J and Altena B (2019) Closing the mass budget of a
 tidewater glacier: the example of Kronebreen, Svalbard. Journal of Glaciology, 1–13 (doi: 10.1017/jog.2018.98)
- 766 ECCC (2019a) Canada's changing climate report. Technical report, Environment and Climate Change Canada,
- 767 https://changingclimate.ca/CCCR2019/, date accessed: 29-05-2020
- 768 ECCC (2019b) Climate Trends and Variations Bulletin Annual 2019. Technical report, Environment and Cli-
- 769 mate Change Canada, https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/climate-change/science-
- research-data/climate-trends-variability/trends-variations/annual-2019-bulletin.html, date accessed: 29-05-2020
- 771 Farinotti D and 6 others (2019) A consensus estimate for the ice thickness distribution of all glaciers on Earth. Nature
- 772 *Geoscience*, **12**(3), 168–173 (doi: 10.1038/s41561-019-0300-3)
- 773 Foy N, Copland L, Zdanowicz C, Demuth M and Hopkinson C (2011) Recent volume and area changes of Kaskawulsh
- 774 Glacier, Yukon, Canada. Journal of Glaciology, 57(203), 515–525 (doi: 10.3189/002214311796905596)
- 775 Gardner AS and 10 others (2013) A reconciled estimate of glacier contributions to sea level rise: 2003 to 2009. science,
- 776 **340**(6134), 852–857 (doi: 10.1126/science.1234532)
- Gardner AS and 6 others (2018) Increased West Antarctic and unchanged East Antarctic ice discharge over the last
 778 7 years. Cryosphere, 12(2), 521-547 (doi: 10.5194/tc-12-521-2018)
- 779 Gardner AS, Fahnestock MA and Scambos TA (2019) ITS_LIVE Regional Glacier and Ice Sheet Surface Velocities.
- Data archived at National Snow and Ice Data Center (doi: 10.5067/6II6VW8LLWJ7), data archived at National
 Snow and Ice Data Center
- 781 Snow and Ice Data Center
- Guan H, Wilson JL and Makhnin O (2005) Geostatistical mapping of mountain precipitation incorporating
 autosearched effects of terrain and climatic characteristics. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 6(6), 1018–1031 (doi:
 10.1175/JHM448.1)
- 785 Guan H, Wilson JL and Xie H (2009) A cluster-optimizing regression-based approach for precipitation spatial
- downscaling in mountainous terrain. Journal of Hydrology, **375**(3-4), 578–588 (doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.07.007)
- 787 Hay LE, Wilby RL and Leavesley GH (2000) A comparison of delta change and downscaled GCM scenarios for three
- mountainous basins in the United States 1. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 36(2),
- 789 387–397 (doi: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2000.tb04276.x)
- 790 Herdes E (2014) Evolution of seasonal variations in motion of the Kaskawulsh Glacier, Yukon Territory. Ph.D. thesis,
- 791 University of Ottawa
- 792 Hock R (1998) Modelling of glacier melt and discharge. Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zurich
- 793 Hock R (1999) A distributed temperature-index ice-and snowmelt model including potential direct solar radiation.
- 794 Journal of Glaciology, 45(149), 101–111 (doi: 10.3189/S0022143000003087)

- Hock R and 7 others (2019) GlacierMIP-A model intercomparison of global-scale glacier mass-balance models and
 projections. Journal of Glaciology, 65(251), 453-467 (doi: OI: https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2019.22)
- 797 Hofer M, Nemec J, Cullen NJ and Weber M (2017) Evaluating predictor strategies for regression-based downscaling
- with a focus on glacierized mountain environments. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 56(6), 1707-
- 799 1729 (doi: 10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0215.1)
- 800 Holdsworth G (1965) An examination and analysis of the formation of transverse crevasses, Kaskawulsh Glacier,
- 801 Yukon Territory, Canada. Technical report, Institute of Polar Studies, The Ohio State University.
- Huss M (2013) Density assumptions for converting geodetic glacier volume change to mass change. The Cryosphere,
 7(3), 877–887 (doi: 10.5194/tc-7-877-2013)
- Huss M, Funk M and Ohmura A (2009) Strong alpine glacier melt in the 1940s due to enhanced solar radiation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36(23) (doi: 10.1029/2009GL040789)
- Janssens I and Huybrechts P (2000) The treatment of meltwater retention in mass-balance parameterizations of the Greenland ice sheet. Annals of Glaciology, **31**, 133-140 (doi: 10.3189/172756400781819941)
- Jarosch AH, Anslow FS and Clarke GKC (2012) High-resolution precipitation and temperature downscaling for glacier models. *Climate Dynamics*, **38**(1-2), 391–409 (doi: 10.1007/s00382-010-0949-1)
- 810 Johannesson T, Sigurdsson O, Laumann T and Kennett M (1995) Degree-day glacier mass-balance modelling
- with applications to glaciers in Iceland, Norway and Greenland. Journal of Glaciology, 41(138), 345–358 (doi:
 10.3189/S0022143000016221)
- 313 Johnson P (1972) A possible advanced hypsithermal position of the Donjek Glacier. Arctic, 25(4), 302–305
- 814 Kelsey EP, Wake CP, Yalcin K and Kreutz K (2012) Eclipse ice core accumulation and stable isotope variability as
- an indicator of North Pacific climate. Journal of Climate, 25(18), 6426–6440 (doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00389.1)
- 816 Kienholz C, Herreid S, Rich JL, Arendt AA, Hock R and Burgess EW (2015) Derivation and analysis of a complete
- modern-date glacier inventory for Alaska and northwest Canada. Journal of Glaciology, 61 (227), 403–420 (doi:
 10.3189/2015 JoG14J230)
- Kienzle SW (2008) A new temperature based method to separate rain and snow. Hydrological Processes: An
 International Journal, 22(26), 5067-5085 (doi: 10.1002/hyp.7131)
- 821 Korona J, Berthier E, Bernard M, Rémy F and Thouvenot E (2009) Spirit. SPOT 5 stereoscopic survey of polar
- ice: reference images and topographies during the fourth International Polar Year (2007–2009). ISPRS Journal of
- 823 Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 64(2), 204–212 (doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2008.10.005)
- 824 Krieger G and 6 others (2007) TanDEM-X: A satellite formation for high-resolution SAR interferometry. IEEE
- 825 Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 45(11), 3317–3341 (doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2007.900693)
- 826 Lacroix P (2016) Landslides triggered by the Gorkha earthquake in the Langtang valley, volumes and initiation
- processes. Earth, Planets and Space, **68**(1), 46 (doi: 10.1186/s40623-016-0423-3)

- Langhammer L, Grab M, Bauder A and Maurer H (2019) Glacier thickness estimations of alpine glaciers using data
- and modeling constraints. The Cryosphere, 13, 2189–2202 (doi: 10.3929/ethz-b-000361623)
- Larsen C, Burgess E, Arendt A, O'neel S, Johnson A and Kienholz C (2015) Surface melt dominates Alaska glacier
 mass balance. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(14), 5902–5908 (doi: 10.1002/2015GL064349)
- Marcus MG and Ragle RH (1970) Snow accumulation in the Icefield Ranges, St. Elias Mountains, Yukon. Arctic and
 Alpine Research, 2(4), 277–292 (doi: 10.1080/00040851.1970.12003587)
- McKnight E (2017) Characterizing and monitoring the water properties and dynamics of Lhù'ààn Män (Kluane Lake), Yukon, in the face of climate change. *Arctic*, **70**(4), 435–440
- McNabb R, Nuth C, Kääb A and Girod L (2019) Sensitivity of glacier volume change estimation to DEM void
 interpolation. The Cryosphere, 13(3), 895–910 (doi: 10.5194/tc-13-895-2019)
- Meier MF and Tangborn WV (1965) Net budget and flow of South Cascade glacier, Washington. Journal of
 Glaciology, 5(41), 547-566 (doi: 10.3189/S0022143000018608)
- Meier MF and 7 others (2007) Glaciers dominate eustatic sea-level rise in the 21st century. Science, 317(5841),
 1064–1067 (doi: 10.1126/science.1143906)
- 842 Mernild SH, Lipscomb WH, Bahr DB, Radić V and Zemp M (2013) Global glacier changes: a revised assessment of
- committed mass losses and sampling uncertainties. The Cryosphere, 7(5), 1565–1577 (doi: 10.5194/tc-7-1565-2013)
- 844 Mesinger F and 10 others (2006) North American regional reanalysis. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society,
- 845 87(3), 343–360 (doi: 10.1175/BAMS-87-3-343)
- Mingo L and Flowers GE (2010) An integrated lightweight ice-penetrating radar system. Journal of Glaciology,
 56(198), 709-714 (doi: 10.3189/002214310793146179)
- 848 Mingo L, Flowers GE, Crawford AJ, Mueller D and Bigelow D (2020) A stationary impulse-radar system for au-
- tonomous deployment in cold and temperature environments. Annals of Glaciology, 1–9 (doi: 10.1017/aog.2020.2)
- Nakao M (1982) A simplified model for estimating glacier ablation under a debris layer. International Association of
 Hydrological Sciences
- Narod BB and Clarke GKC (1994) Miniature high-power impulse transmitter for radio-echo sounding. Journal of
 Glaciology, 40(134), 190–194 (doi: 10.3189/S002214300000397X)
- Nuth C and Kääb A (2011) Co-registration and bias corrections of satellite elevation data sets for quantifying glacier
 thickness change. The Cryosphere, 5(1), 271–290 (doi: 10.5194/tc-5-271-2011)
- Nye JF (1965) The flow of a glacier in a channel of rectangular, elliptic or parabolic cross-section. Journal of
 Glaciology, 5(41), 661-690 (doi: 10.3189/S0022143000018670)
- 858 Oerlemans J (1991) The mass balance of the greenland ice sheet: sensitivity to climate change as revealed by energy-
- balance modelling. The Holocene, 1(1), 40–48 (doi: 10.1177/095968369100100106)

Cambridge University Press

- 860 Paul F and 10 others (2015) The glaciers climate change initiative: Methods for creating glacier ar-ea, elevation
- change and velocity products. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **162**, 408–426 (doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2013.07.043)
- Pelto MS and 6 others (2008) The equilibrium flow and mass balance of the Taku Glacier, Alaska 1950–2006. The
- 863 Cryosphere, 2(2), 147–157 (doi: 10.5194/tc-2-147-2008)
- Pfeffer WT and 10 others (2014) The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of glaciers. Journal
 of Glaciology, 60(221), 537-552 (doi: 10.3189/2014JoG13J176)
- Post A (1969) Distribution of surging glaciers in western North America. Journal of Glaciology, 8(53), 229–240 (doi:
 10.3189/S0022143000031221)
- Radić V, Bliss A, Beedlow AC, Hock R, Miles E and Cogley JG (2014) Regional and global projections of twenty-
- first century glacier mass changes in response to climate scenarios from global climate models. *Climate Dynamics*,

870 42(1-2), 37–58 (doi: 10.1007/s00382-013-1719-7)

- 871 Raup B, Racoviteanu A, Khalsa SJS, Helm C, Armstrong R and Arnaud Y (2007) The GLIMS geospatial
- glacier database: a new tool for studying glacier change. Global and Planetary Change, 56(1-2), 101-110 (doi:
- 873 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.018)
- Reyes AV, Luckman BH, Smith DJ, Clague JJ and Van Dorp RD (2006) Tree-ring dates for the maximum Little Ice
 Age advance of Kaskawulsh Glacier, St. Elias Mountains, Canada. Arctic, 59(1), 14–20
- Reznichenko N, Davies T, Shulmeister J and McSaveney M (2010) Effects of debris on ice-surface melting rates: an
 experimental study. Journal of Glaciology, 56(197), 384–394 (doi: 10.3189/002214310792447725)
- 878 RGI Consortium (2017) Randolph Glacier Inventory-a dataset of global glacier outlines: Version 6.0: technical report,
- 879 global land ice measurements from space, Colorado, USA. Digital Media
- 880 Rizzoli P and 10 others (2017) Generation and performance assessment of the global TanDEM-X digital elevation
- model. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, **132**, 119–139 (doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2017.08.008)
- 882 Sælthun N (1996) The 'Nordic'HBV model. Description and documentation of the model version developed for the
- project climate change and energy production. *NVE publication*, **7**
- Shean DE and 6 others (2016) An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation models
- (DEMs) from very-high-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and
- 886 Remote Sensing, **116**, 101–117 (doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.03.012)
- Shugar DH and 6 others (2017) River piracy and drainage basin reorganization led by climate-driven glacier retreat.
- 888 Nature Geoscience, **10**(5), 370 (doi: 10.1038/ngeo2932)
- 889 Smith RB and Barstad I (2004) A linear theory of orographic precipitation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
- **61**(12), 1377–1391 (doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061<1377:ALTOOP>2.0.CO;2)
- 891 Span N and Kuhn M (2003) Simulating annual glacier flow with a linear reservoir model. Journal of Geophysical
- 892 Research: Atmospheres, **108**(D10) (doi: 10.1029/2002JD002828)

- 893 Streicker J (2016) Yukon climate change indicators and key findings 2015. Whitehorse: Northern Climate ExChange,
- 894 Yukon Research Centre, Yukon College
- Thomson LI, Zemp M, Copland L, Cogley JG and Ecclestone MA (2017) Comparison of geodetic and glaciological
 mass budgets for White Glacier, Axel Heiberg Island, Canada. Journal of Glaciology, 63(237), 55–66
- Vaughan DG and 10 others (2013) Observations: cryosphere. Climate change, 2103, 317–382
- 898 Vincent C and Six D (2013) Relative contribution of solar radiation and temperature in enhanced temperature-
- index melt models from a case study at Glacier de Saint-Sorlin, France. Annals of glaciology, 54(63), 11–17 (doi:
 10.3189/2013AoG63A301)
- 901 Vincent C and 10 others (2016) Reduced melt on debris-covered glaciers: investigations from Changri Nup Glacier,
 902 Nepal. The Cryosphere, 10, 1845–1858 (doi: 10.5194/tc-10-1845-2016)
- Wild M and 9 others (2005) From dimming to brightening: Decadal changes in solar radiation at Earth's surface.
 Science, 308(5723), 847-850 (doi: 10.1126/science.1103215)
- Williamson SN and 9 others (2020) Evidence for elevation-dependent warming in the St. Elias Mountains, Yukon,
 Canada. Journal of Climate, 33(8), 3253-3269 (doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0405.1)
- Wilson NJ (2012) Characterization and interpretation of polythermal structure in two subarctic glaciers. Master's
 thesis, Simon Fraser University
- Wilson NJ, Flowers GE and Mingo L (2013) Comparison of thermal structure and evolution between neighboring
 subarctic glaciers. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 118(3), 1443–1459, ISSN 21699011 (doi:
 10.1002/jgrf.20096)
- 912 Wouters B, Gardner AS and Moholdt G (2019) Global glacier mass loss during the GRACE satellite mission (2002-
- 913 2016). Frontiers in earth science, 7 (doi: 10.3389/feart.2019.00096)
- 915 Nature, 568(7752), 382 (doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1071-0)