# 1 The Rhyolite Factory: Insights from rhyolite-MELTS geobarometry of plutonic rocks and

# 2 associated volcanics

- 3 Guilherme A. R. Gualda<sup>1</sup>, Calvin F. Miller<sup>1</sup>, Blake M. Wallrich<sup>1</sup>
- 4 <sup>1</sup>Earth & Environmental Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235

#### 6 **ABSTRACT**

Magmatic systems feed eruptions to the surface; lead to the formation of ore deposits; provide energy
for geothermal systems; and are key to Earth's differentiation. While it is commonly accepted that silicic
magmatic systems span much of the crust, little direct evidence is available for their vertical continuity (or
lack thereof), or for the distribution of melt within them.

We focus on Miocene plutonic and volcanic units exposed in the Colorado Extensional Corridor, SW USA.
Plutonic units (Searchlight Pluton–SLP, Aztec Wash Pluton–AWP, and Spirit Mountain Batholith–SMB)
consist primarily of coarse-grained granitoids rich in feldspar that can be credibly considered cumulates.
Marginal facies and fine-grained dikes and sills are interpreted to record melt compositions that fed the
plutons. Leucogranite dikes and roof units were extracted from the crystallizing plutons. The nearby
Upper Highland Range Volcanics record compositions extracted from the SLP system.

We use whole-rock compositions of granitoids and rhyolites to calculate extraction pressures, and glass compositions from volcanic rocks to calculate pre-eruptive storage pressures using rhyolite-MELTS. We seek pressures consistent with assemblages containing quartz+2 feldspars±magnetite±ilmenite (Q2F or Q2FMI assemblages). We use the calculated pressures to assess the distribution of magma in silicic magmatic crustal columns.

The dataset reveals three main clusters of compositions and pressures: 72-74.5 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 450-370 MPa (Q2F extraction); 75.5-77 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 300-185 MPa (Q2FMI extraction and pre-eruptive storage); 77.5-78 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 180-120 MPa (Q2FMI extraction and pre-eruptive storage). Compositions attributed to cumulates (based on texture, major and trace-element compositions) do not typically yield extraction pressures, suggesting that rhyolite-MELTS can generally distinguish magmatic from cumulatic compositions.

Our data show that magma distribution spanned from the middle crust to the surface, with well-defined
 gaps in pressure between the three groups. Magma mushes were located in the middle crust (~400 MPa,

~15 km depth), from which magmas that fed the shallow plutonic units were derived – there is no
 exposed record of these magma mushes, and they are inferred from extraction pressures for the less
 evolved fine-grained rocks. We infer two sets of shallower mush bodies that fed eruptions to the surface.
 The leucogranite roof zones represent bodies of melt-dominated magma that failed to erupt and instead
 solidified in the subsurface. Magma distribution is vertically discretized, rather than continuous as shown
 in most models – there are specific horizons within the crust where magma accumulation is favored,
 while much of the crust remains melt-free.

37

#### 39 INTRODUCTION

40 Magmatic systems are an integral part of the Earth engine. They feed eruptions to the surface; they lead

41 to the formation of a wide range of ore deposits; they provide thermal energy for geothermal systems;

42 and they are key to the differentiation and evolution of the Earth.

43 It is increasingly recognized that magmatic systems are vertically extensive, and they encompass much or 44 the entirety of the crust, creating columns that are thermally anomalous and in which magma 45 differentiation takes place (Bonin 2007; Sawyer et al. 2011; Annen et al. 2015; Bachmann and Huber 46 2016; Cashman et al. 2017). However, it is still poorly constrained how much magma exists at any given 47 time, how it is distributed laterally and vertically, and how much of the crustal column is magma-free. 48 Direct observation of current magmatic systems is limited by the relatively low resolution of geophysical 49 methods (e.g., Magee et al. 2018; Cordell et al. 2022), and temporal variations over geological timescales 50 can be significant (e.g., Giordano and Caricchi 2022) and are nonetheless inaccessible using direct 51 observation.

52 Silicic volcanic eruptions are typically fed from shallow (100-300 MPa) magma bodies (Bégué et al. 53 2014b; Tramontano et al. 2017; Huber et al. 2019; among many others). In this sense, even though the 54 pre-eruptive pressures of storage of silicic volcanics are increasingly better constrained, they give 55 relatively little insight on the vertical extent of magmatic systems on the crustal scale.

Plutons – whose thicknesses span several kilometers – may seem to suggest vertically extensive magmatic systems; however, there is strong evidence that many or most plutons are built over relatively long timespans (hundreds of thousands to a few millions of years; e.g., Coleman et al. 2004; Samperton et al. 2015; Schaltegger et al. 2019; Eddy et al. 2022), and that the final products are amalgamations of diachronous magmatic events (see, for instance, Eddy et al. 2022; Wallrich et al. 2023), making it hard to identify the vertical distribution of magma within the crust at any given point in time. Further, understanding the depths at which magmas solidify to form plutons has proven challenging given the

63 limited set of geobarometers available that have sufficient precision to identify the true vertical extent of64 magmatic systems.

65 Rhyolite-MELTS (Gualda et al. 2012) geobarometry offers new possibilities in this regard. Pre-eruptive storage pressures (Gualda and Ghiorso 2014) are calculated using a glass composition to estimate the 66 67 pressure at which melt of that composition last equilibrated with the phenocryst assemblage observed in 68 the studied rock; they are particularly useful to determine the pressures of crystallization – or pre-69 eruptive storage – of typically crystal-poor, melt-dominated magmas. In contrast, when whole-rock 70 compositions can be reliably assumed to closely reflect melt compositions, we can calculate extraction 71 pressures (Gualda et al. 2019), with which we estimate the depths at which these melts were segregated 72 from crystal-rich magma mush (see also Blundy 2022). To date, applications of this method of 73 determining extraction pressures have been restricted to volcanic rocks, revealing a complex record of 74 relatively shallow magma mushes in the Taupo Volcanic Zone, North Island, New Zealand (Gualda et al. 75 2019; Smithies et al. 2023) and in Hokkaido, Japan (Pitcher et al. 2021). In this work, we use whole-rock 76 compositions of selected plutonic rocks – which we infer to represent true melt compositions, as 77 discussed below – to reconstruct the magmatic system that gave rise to the studied plutons.

78 We use rocks from the northern Colorado River Extensional Corridor (CREC), Nevada, USA (Faulds et al. 79 2001; Miller and Miller 2002), particularly from the Aztec Wash Pluton (Falkner et al. 1995; Harper et al. 80 2004), from the Searchlight Pluton (Bachl et al. 2001) and its associated eruptives in the Highland Range 81 Volcanic Sequence (Faulds et al. 2002; Colombini et al. 2011; Wallrich et al. 2023), and from the Spirit 82 Mountain Batholith (Walker et al. 2007) to explore the distribution of melt-dominated magma and magma 83 mush as a function of depth in these magmatic systems. The CREC systems are ideally suited for a study 84 like this given that large (>10 km) crustal sections are exposed due to tectonic tilting. Further, these 85 systems have been the target of many detailed studies (see above), providing the necessary geological 86 and petrological background for our study.

The main granitic facies that make up these plutons show textural and compositional features that suggest crystal accumulation and melt loss (Bachl et al. 2001; Harper et al. 2004; Walker et al. 2007), making them unsuitable for estimation of rhyolite-MELTS extraction pressures. Instead, we take advantage of fine-grained rocks that appear as dikes, sills, and marginal facies, which lack textural and compositional features suggestive of crystal accumulation and melt loss. We also investigate mediumgrained leucocratic granites, at least some of which may record compositions representative of extracted melts.

We use these data to infer magma distribution as a function of depth in these systems, and to refine ourideas about the vertical extent of magmatic systems.

#### 96 GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

#### 97 Colorado River Extensional Corridor

98 The CREC (Figure 1) extends south from Las Vegas along the Colorado River, encompassing what is now 99 southernmost Nevada and parts of southeastern California and northwestern Arizona. It formed during a 100 major extensional episode in the Early to Middle Miocene that was accompanied by voluminous 101 magmatism spanning several million years as extension progressed from south to north (Faulds et al. 102 2001). Continued extension eventually led to tilting of crustal blocks that exposed steeply dipping cross 103 sections of plutons and volcanic sequences formed in the earlier phases of extension. We focus in this 104 paper on southern Nevada, where plutons are best exposed, specifically on the Spirit Mountain Batholith; 105 on Aztec Wash and Searchlight Plutons; and on silicic volcanic rocks in the Highland Range that are 106 associated with granites of the Searchlight Pluton.

#### 107 Aztec Wash Pluton

108 The Aztec Wash Pluton (Figure 2) is a relatively small intrusion – with an exposed area of  $\sim$ 50 km<sup>2</sup> – that 109 intrudes diverse country rocks (Paleoproterozoic gneiss; Cretaceous granite; Early Miocene plutonic and 110 volcanic rocks of intermediate composition). Much of the pluton was tilted very steeply eastward during 111 extension, exposing a range of paleodepths of  $\sim$ 5 km (Harper et al. 2004), suggesting an original volume 112 on the order of  $\sim$ 300 km<sup>3</sup>. Amphibole geobarometry indicates that the roof of the pluton was shallower 113 than ~6 km (Falkner et al. 1995; Patrick and Miller 1998). Based on zircon U-Pb and biotite <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar 114 dating, the pluton appears to have had a brief emplacement history, between 15.6 and 15.8 Ma (Falkner 115 et al. 1995; Cates et al. 2003; Leigh et al. 2018). The pluton comprises two main zones of roughly equal 116 areal extent: an interior Heterogeneous Zone and a relatively homogeneous Granite Zone that 117 interfingers with and overlies the Heterogeneous Zone (Falkner et al. 1995; Harper et al. 2004).

118 The Heterogeneous Zone is characterized by a succession of metric to decametric, fine-grained, mafic 119 sheets, intercalated with coarse-grained granite. The mafic sheets have common chilled margins, and the 120 underlying granite forms flame structures against the lower boundaries of the mafic sheets and granitic 121 pipes cut through the mafic sheets. This has been interpreted (Harper et al. 2004) as intrusion of mafic 122 magma within an evolving silicic magma body, primarily causing lateral flow of the mafic magmas at the 123 boundary between crystal-poor magma (i.e., melt-dominated magma) and crystal-rich magma (i.e., 124 magma mush). Intermediate rocks with highly variable textures, interpreted to be hybrid mechanical and 125 chemical mixtures of the granitic and mafic magmas, are also abundant.

Very large (metric to decametric thickness, km-scale length), fine-grained, steeply-dipping granite sheets intrude the Heterogeneous Zone. These are interpreted to be initially subhorizontal sills that intruded the pluton after it was almost completely solidified, but prior to tilting (Miller et al. 2011).

In the Granite Zone, mafic sheets are absent. The dominant rocks are medium- to coarse-grained granite. The coarser granite has a tight framework of centimetric feldspars with relatively little interstitial material; quartz is almost entirely interstitial. The feldspars exhibit variable textures and zoning, suggestive of different crystallization histories. Whole-rock compositions show enrichment in elements that are compatible in feldspars and accessory minerals. For these reasons, these rocks have been interpreted to have lost melt and concentrated crystals (i.e., they are cumulates) (Harper et al. 2004).

135 Medium-grained granite, in contrast, tends to have "looser" feldspar fabrics, with more prominent quartz.

136 Silica concentrations are higher and feldspar-compatible elements lower, suggesting that they

137 experienced less or no crystal concentration and melt loss.

138 The Granite Zone includes very fine-grained marginal rocks at the contact with country rocks. Rocks from 139 these marginal facies, which are interpreted to have been quenched, include both highly evolved 140 leucogranites (~77 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>, very low compatible elements) and lower-SiO<sub>2</sub> granites. The compositions 141 of the lower-SiO<sub>2</sub>, fine-grained marginal granites are very uniform and similar to the average composition 142 of the medium-grained Granite Zone granites and to the fine-grained sills that intrude the Heterogeneous 143 Zone. The lower-SiO<sub>2</sub> marginal microgranites are interpreted to be guenched manifestations of the felsic 144 magma parental to the rocks of the Granite Zone (Harper et al. 2004). Likewise, the almost identical late 145 sills are inferred to represent continuing input of the same magma (Miller et al. 2011). The high-silica 146 leucogranites, which are primarily concentrated at the roof (eastern margin), are interpreted to have 147 been melts extracted from Granite Zone cumulates (Harper et al. 2004).

#### 148 Spirit Mountain Batholith

149 The Spirit Mountain Batholith (Figure 3), the largest intrusive body in the CREC, is a 40–50° west-tilted, 150 composite complex exposed over an area of ~250 km<sup>2</sup> (Volborth 1973; Faulds et al. 1992; Hopson et al. 151 1994; Howard et al. 1994; Haapala et al. 2005; Lowery Claiborne et al. 2006; Walker et al. 2007; Lang et 152 al. 2008). The host rocks include Paleo- and Mesoproterozoic gneiss and granite and a small Late 153 Cretaceous granite pluton. A section roughly 10 km in paleothickness is exposed from its roof downward, 154 with no exposed floor, leading to an estimated initial volume of well over 3000 km<sup>3</sup> for the batholith. 155 SHRIMP zircon U-Pb ages demonstrate that the batholith was constructed over a two million year period, 156 from ~17.4 to 15.3 Ma (Walker et al. 2007). This protracted period of granite emplacement contrasts 157 with the much shorter interval for Aztec Wash and Searchlight granite ( $\sim \leq 0.2$  Ma). Depth of 158 emplacement has not been well constrained before.

159 Walker et al. (2007) and Miller et al. (2011) suggest that more than 80% of the batholith, referred to as 160 Spirit Mountain granite, reflects a "patchwork" construction history during which granitic/rhyolitic magma 161 was repeatedly injected into crystal-rich mush. They infer that blurring of contacts between individual 162 intrusions was a consequence of destabilization of host mush. Melt extraction and accompanying mush 163 compaction resulted in an overall gradation from a ~2 km thick, highly fractionated leucogranite roof 164 zone, down through coarse, less silicic granite, and into coarse guartz monzonite, interpreted to be 165 cumulate, at deeper levels. Reversals of the downward trend toward coarser textures, better-defined 166 magmatic foliation, and lower silica, and rare well-defined internal contacts attest to repeated 167 replenishments. This complex construction history is supported by the zircon record: estimated ages of 168 final emplacement for samples within the Spirit Mountain granite unit span at least 1.5 Ma, from ~17.4 to 169 15.7 Ma. These ages are not uniformly distributed, and the leucogranite cap yield similar spreads in 170 estimated ages. All samples except a single 17.4 Ma granite have multiple age populations, in most cases 171 with a range similar to or in excess of 1 Ma. Walker et al. (2007) interpret the multiple populations to 172 reflect entrainment of antecrysts from within the system (elsewhere in Spirit Mountain mush, or from 173 deeper crustal levels).

Walker et al. (2007) divided the remaining rocks of the batholith into three principal units, all coeval with to slightly younger than the younger portions of Spirit Mountain granite. All except for mafic sheets have individual sample arrays of zircon ages similar to Sprit Mountain granite (spanning >1 Ma).

177 (1) Mirage Pluton (fine- to medium-grained, low-SiO<sub>2</sub> granite, ~16.0 Ma): discrete pluton, intrudes
 178 relatively deep part of Spirit Mountain granite; it is locally separated from Spirit Mountain granite
 179 by a septum of Proterozoic granite.

(2) Fine-grained granite (low-SiO<sub>2</sub> granite, ~15.9 Ma): compositionally very similar to Mirage Pluton,
 texturally similar to fine-grained portions of Mirage Pluton rocks; it forms prominent west-dipping
 (paleohorizontal) sheets (sills), pods, and more massive zones intruding Spirit Mountain granite

at a similar structural level to Mirage Pluton; blocks of Spirit Mountain granite are exposed withmassive fine-grained granite.

(3) Mafic sheets and pods (typically diorite, relatively low-volume but visually striking within the
granite batholith, ~16.0 Ma): sheets (initially subhorizontal) and pods in Spirit Mountain granite;
large blocks of the granite are engulfed within larger mafic bodies; they appear as both mingled
pillows and angular blocks within fine-grained granite.

#### 189 Searchlight Pluton

190 The Searchlight Pluton (Figure 4) is also steeply dipping, with an exposed top-to-bottom section  $\sim 10$  km 191 thick (~3-13 km, based on amphibole barometry; Bachl et al. 2001). Combined with its lateral extent of 192 13 km, this suggests an original volume of  $\sim$ 1000 km<sup>3</sup>, making it considerably more voluminous than the 193 Aztec Wash Pluton, but smaller than the Sprit Mountain Batholith. It intruded Paleoproterozoic gneiss and 194 granite, Cretaceous granite, and, at its roof, a thick Miocene volcanic sequence. The pluton was emplaced 195 over a total interval that exceeded 1 Ma, from ~17.5 Ma (including small precursors) to 16.0 Ma; the 196 granitic (rhyolitic) portion of the pluton, however, was restricted to the final ~0.2 Ma portion of this 197 history (Cates et al. 2003; Eddy et al. 2022; Wallrich et al. 2023).

The pluton is subdivided into three units (Bachl et al. 2001): Lower, Middle, and Upper Searchlight Units.
The Lower Searchlight Unit is the thickest (~ 5 km thick), and zircon SHRIMP and CA-ID-TIMS ages
suggest a crystallization interval of ~16.2-16.8 Ma (Cates et al. 2003; Eddy et al. 2022). This unit
dominantly comprises medium- to coarse-grained quartz monzonite (59-70 wt.% SiO2; Bachl et al.
2001), and most rocks have very steep foliation that restores to subhorizontal prior to tilting. This fabric
is primary magmatic in the upper portion of the unit, but it is overprinted by tectonic fabric at deeper
levels.

The ~2 km thick Upper Searchlight Unit is composed of quartz monzonite that is similar to the Lower
Searchlight Unit in composition (63-71 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>) and age (~16.2-16.6 Ma), but very distinct in texture.

It lacks foliation and is much finer grained, mostly equigranular, but with porphyry exposed near the roof(Bachl et al. 2001).

209 Our work focuses on the ~2-3 km thick Middle Searchlight Unit, along with the rhyolitic portion of the 210 Upper Highland Range Volcanic Sequence (see below). The Middle Searchlight Unit intruded between the 211 Lower Searchlight and Upper Searchlight units between 16.0 and 16.2 Ma (Eddy et al. 2022). Except for 212 relatively sparse mafic magmatic enclaves and a single synplutonic, km-scale mafic lens, it is entirely 213 composed of granite. The dominant rocks, which comprise the lower ~90% of the unit, are coarse-214 grained, low- to moderate-silica granites (69-75 wt.% SiO2; Bachl et al. 2001). Rocks lower in this 215 coarse-grained sequence typically show a tight arrangement of feldspars with little interstitial material, 216 relatively low SiO<sub>2</sub>, and compositions that suggest enrichment in elements compatible in feldspars and 217 accessory minerals. As in the case of the Aztec Wash Pluton, these rocks are interpreted to be cumulates. 218 The uppermost ~10% of the Middle Searchlight Unit is fine- to medium-grained leucogranite (76-78) 219 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>). The leucogranite is rich in incompatible elements and very poor in elements compatible in 220 feldspar and accessory minerals, which leads to the interpretation that it formed by extraction from 221 underlying cumulate mush (Bachl et al. 2001; Eddy et al. 2022; Wallrich et al. 2023). 222 Synplutonic,  $\sim 16.0$  Ma microgranitic and rhyolitic dikes are common within the Middle and Upper 223 Searchlight units and the volcanic roof of the pluton (Hodge et al. 2006; Hinz et al. 2012; Wallrich et al.

224 2023). These dikes fall into two distinct groups: (1) phenocryst-rich rhyolite porphyry dikes with textures

and compositions very similar to those of the coarse-grained, low- to moderate-silica granites of the

226 Middle Searchlight Unit, from which they appear to emanate; and (2) slightly older, phenocryst-poor,

highly silicic dikes with compositions very similar to those of the leucogranite, which appears to be theirsource (Wallrich et al. 2023).

#### 229 Highland Range Volcanic Sequence

The Highland Range Volcanic Sequence (Figure 4) comprises >3 km of volcanic deposits, most of which
are interpreted to be correlative with the Searchlight Pluton (Faulds et al. 2002; Colombini et al. 2011;

232 Wallrich et al. 2023). The lower  $\sim$ 2 km portion of the sequence is composed of trachyandesites and 233 trachydacites thought to have been fed by the Lower and Upper units of the Searchlight Pluton. These 234 intermediate lavas are overlain by ~1 km of ~16.0 Ma rhyolite, dominantly phenocryst-poor, high-silica 235 tuffs and lavas that are inferred to have erupted from the Middle Searchlight Unit (Faulds et al. 2002; 236 Colombini et al. 2011; Wallrich et al. 2023). The stack of high-silica rhyolites is intruded by two small 237 plugs of rhyolite porphyry and capped by a lava flow that comprises both rhyolite porphyry and hybrid 238 lava interpreted to be mechanically mixed basaltic andesite and rhyolite (see Wallrich et al. 2023). This 239 composite lava is in turn capped by a series of basaltic andesite lavas that lack evidence for any silicic 240 input (Faulds et al. 2002).

241 The high-silica rhyolites are compositionally and texturally extremely similar to the phenocryst-poor highly 242 silicic dikes that emanate from the leucogranite of the Middle Searchlight Unit, and they are interpreted 243 to correlate with them (Wallrich et al. 2023). Likewise, the rhyolite porphyry plug and lava that are the 244 youngest silicic material in the Highland Range Volcanic Sequence are identical to the distinctive rhyolite 245 porphyry dikes that are the youngest intrusions in the Searchlight Pluton, and are therefore presumed to 246 be correlative (Wallrich et al. 2023). The high-silica rhyolites, like the phenocryst-poor dikes, have been 247 interpreted as magma extracted from the leucogranite sheet at the top of the Middle Searchlight Unit. 248 The rhyolite porphyries (dikes, plugs, and lava) are inferred to represent mush remobilized from the 249 lower part of the Middle Searchlight Unit and extracted and erupted along with injections of basaltic 250 andesite magma as the final episode related to termination of activity within the Searchlight Pluton 251 (Wallrich et al. 2023).

#### 252 Late silicic dike swarms

The final intrusive manifestation of the silicic magma systems was intrusion of the Eldorado and
Newberry dike swarms shortly after final solidification of the plutons (Falkner et al. 1995; Bachl et al.
2001; George et al. 2005; Walker et al. 2007; Hinz et al. 2012). The former swarm intruded both
Searchlight and Aztec Wash plutons, and the latter the Spirit Mountain batholith. The two swarms are

very similar: both are north-striking and emplaced ~15.3-15.6 Ma and dominated by large (3-20 m thick),

porphyritic microgranite/rhyolite dikes. Thinner andesite dikes are subordinate. The silicic dikes are

259 almost indistinguishable in elemental composition and have similar phenocryst assemblages (sanidine +

260 plagioclase + biotite + amphibole + titanite + apatite + FeTi oxides + zircon + quartz). The only

- significant difference between the two swarms is that Newberry dikes have prominent quartz
- 262 phenocrysts, whereas quartz phenocrysts have not been observed in Eldorado dikes.

#### 263 **METHODS**

#### 264 Data used

We use elemental data for Aztec Wash Pluton (whole rock, Harper et al. 2004), Searchlight Pluton (whole-rock, Bachl et al. 2001), Spirit Mountain batholith (whole-rock, Walker et al. 2007), and the Highland Range Volcanic Sequence (whole-rock and glass, Colombini et al. 2011; Wallrich et al. 2023). A few additional glass compositions were obtained for high-silica rhyolites from the Highland Range Volcanic Sequence, using identical procedures as in Gualda et al. (2022). All compositions used are listed in Table 1.

#### 271 Geobarometry approach

This study focuses on obtaining pressure estimates based primarily on whole-rock compositions from the Aztec Wash and Searchlight plutons, the Spirit Mountain Batholith, and from the Highland Range Volcanic Sequence, with additional geobarometric estimates using glass compositions from a subset of rocks from the latter. While the procedures used to obtain pressures (i.e., projection onto the haplogranitic ternary and rhyolite-MELTS geobarometry) are nearly identical for all compositions used, the assumptions and interpretations involved differ significantly.

278 Geobarometry using glass compositions yields what we refer to as "pre-eruptive storage pressures" (see 279 also Gualda and Ghiorso 2014) (Figure 5). The critical assumption is that a melt of composition given by 280 the analyzed glass equilibrated with an assemblage consisting of the phenocrysts present in the rock. For 281 rocks erupted explosively, syneruptive crystallization is limited or absent, such that pressures are 282 interpreted to represent the crustal levels at which magma was last stored prior to eruption. Glass-283 bearing rocks studied here are crystal-poor, lacking evidence of widespread disequilibrium features (e.g., 284 dissolution features, mottled textures, etc.), suggesting equilibration of observed phenocrysts with the 285 melt. As demonstrated previously (Gualda and Ghiorso 2014; Béqué et al. 2014b; Pamukcu et al. 2015), 286 rhyolite-MELTS is very sensitive to the quality of the glass composition used, and it can often be used to 287 filter out altered compositions or compositions that did not equilibrate with the observed mineralogy. 288 Glass-bearing rocks investigated here all contain phenocrysts of guartz, sanidine, and plagioclase – thus, 289 we focus on an assemblage involving quartz and two feldspars (aka Q2F). In some cases, we also 290 consider the presence of magnetite and ilmenite (aka Q2FMI; see below), which are ubiquitously 291 observed in the studied rocks.

292 Geobarometry using whole-rock compositions yields what we refer to as "extraction pressures" (see 293 Gualda et al. 2019) (Figure 5). In this case, the critical assumption is that the whole-rock composition 294 very closely approximates a true melt composition - this melt equilibrated with and was extracted from 295 an inferred crystal assemblage. Further, we assume that nearly pure melt is extracted (i.e., negligible 296 amounts of crystals entrained during extraction), such that the whole-rock composition represents the 297 composition of the melt. While this latter assumption is probably never strictly true, the assumption is 298 relaxed by the fact that the concentration of crystals entrained during extraction would have to be large 299 enough to modify the major-element composition of the whole-rock. In this sense, sparse crystals can be 300 included during extraction without significantly modifying the whole-rock composition. Further, refractory 301 accessory minerals, such as zircon, can be effectively neglected, given that the calculations are not 302 sensitive to the Zr (and other trace-element) concentration of the melt. Importantly, given that extraction 303 pressures record the pressure at which a melt last equilibrated with a crystal-rich residue (the launching 304 point of Blundy 2022), they do not record the level of emplacement of such magmas – instead, they 305 record the pressures at which magma mushes exist in the crust. We use geochemical and petrographic

306 evidence (i.e., Harper et al. 2004; Walker et al. 2007; Wallrich et al. 2023) to distinguish rocks that may 307 correspond to melts from those that likely experience melt loss and crystal accumulation. Further, we 308 leverage the sensitivity of rhyolite-MELTS to the quality of the input composition to filter out compositions 309 that cannot be considered to correspond to a melt that equilibrated with an assemblage consisting of 310 quartz and two feldspars (±magnetite, ±ilmenite). In the case of extraction pressures, the assemblage 311 with which the melt last equilibrated cannot be directly observed and it has to be inferred (see Gualda et 312 al. 2019); rocks in the CREC ubiquitously have plagioclase and alkali feldspar present, and it is hard to 313 envision that extraction would have taken place from either plagioclase-free or alkali feldspar-free rocks. 314 The presence of quartz in the extraction assemblage is less certain. Interestingly, rhyolite-MELTS 315 calculations allow us to test whether quartz is likely to be present in the extraction assemblage, in 316 addition to plagioclase and alkali feldspar (see below).

#### 317 Haplogranitic projection geobarometry

318 We use the haplogranitic projection of Blundy & Cashman (2001) to yield rough estimates of pressures 319 using the compositions employed in this study. While work to date has focused on glass compositions and 320 storage pressures (Blundy and Cashman 2001; Gualda and Ghiorso 2013a, b; Bégué et al. 2014a; Gualda 321 et al. 2022), it is possible to obtain extraction pressures using the same approach. Rocks studied here are 322 part of a magmatic sequence from typical metaluminous mafic magmas to slightly peraluminous high-323 silica magmas (Cawthorn and Brown 1976), for which the projection scheme of Blundy & Cashman 324 (2001) is very well suited. We use a Microsoft Excel template created by us to perform the projection 325 from the initial compositions, following the procedures described in Blundy & Cashman (2001). We 326 compare the position of the projected data to the position of the experimentally determined 327 quartz+feldspar cotectic curves to roughly assess pre-eruptive storage and extraction pressures.

#### 328 Rhyolite-MELTS geobarometry

329 All rhyolite-MELTS calculations are performed using MELTS\_Excel (Gualda and Ghiorso 2015). Extraction 330 pressure calculations – using whole-rock compositions – are performed with pressures between 500 and 331 100 MPa in 25 MPa steps. Pre-eruptive storage pressure calculations – using glass compositions – are run 332 between 400 and 25 MPa in 25 MPa steps. The larger pressure interval used for extraction pressures 333 (500-25 MPa) was chosen simply because extraction pressures tend to generally extend to deeper 334 pressures than pre-eruptive storage pressures. For all pressure calculations, temperatures used are 335 between 1100 and 700 °C in 1 °C steps. We buffer oxygen fugacity at the Ni-NiO (NNO) buffer, which is 336 particularly suitable given the presence of the TMOAI (titanite, magnetite, guartz, amphibole, ilmenite) 337 buffering assemblage (Wones 1989) in these rocks. We thus search for quartz-2 feldspar (Q2F) pressures 338 (Gualda and Ghiorso 2014), but also for pressures that satisfy the condition of simultaneous equilibration 339 between quartz, two feldspars, magnetite and ilmenite (Q2FMI pressures). The procedure used here to 340 search for a 5-phase solution (see Figure 6) is an extension of the methods developed by Gualda & 341 Ghiorso (2014), similar to the one used in Foley et al. (2020). When Q2FMI pressures are found (see 342 Figure 6a), those are reported; if no Q2FMI pressure is obtained, but a Q2F pressure is found, the Q2F 343 pressure is reported (see Figure 6b); if neither is found (see Figure 6c-d), we conclude that no suitable 344 pressures can be estimated. As demonstrated by Gualda & Ghiorso (2014) and Ghiorso & Gualda (2015), 345 water abundance does not play a critical role in pressure determinations for Q2F pressures; we thus use 346 enough water (>12 wt.%) to ensure water saturation at all pressures.

#### 347 Magma evolution calculations using Rhyolite-MELTS

Extraction pressures reveal the crustal levels from which melts separate from crystal-rich magma mush; we emphasize that multiple extraction levels are possible in a putative transcrustal magmatic system. Magmas extracted from mush can be transported vertically through the crust and stored at shallower levels, where they can lose heat and solidify. We simulate this solidification process by considering various plausible crustal levels to which extracted magmas could have been transported. To do so, we

353 perform isobaric cooling sequence calculations with rhyolite-MELTS (Gualda et al. 2012) with all whole-354 rock compositions that return extraction pressures deeper than 175 MPa. For the cases in which the 355 extraction pressure is deeper than 300 MPa, calculations are performed at 240, 200, 160, and 120 MPa; 356 when the extraction pressure is between 175 and 300 MPa, calculations are only performed at 160 and 357 120 MPa. All calculations are performed from the liquidus to 10 wt.% liquid in 1 °C steps. We assume 358 water saturation during extraction and pre-eruptive storage, and thus include enough water for 359 saturation at the liquidus. The water-saturated liquidus is determined using MELTS\_Excel for each 360 combination of composition and pressure, and the calculation is interrupted when the liquid content goes 361 below 10 wt.%, at which point the rhyolitic near-invariant has typically been reached for the compositions 362 used in this study. A total of 96 such simulations is presented here.

#### 363 RESULTS & DISCUSSION

#### 364 Whole-rock and glass compositions

Whole-rock compositions for the systems studied here (Aztec Wash, Middle Searchlight-Upper Highland Range, Spirit Mountain systems) show relatively simple relationships in Harker diagrams (Figure 7), most evidently displayed by decreasing FeO, MgO, CaO, and TiO<sub>2</sub> with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub>. Values for Na<sub>2</sub>O decrease slightly while K<sub>2</sub>O increases sightly with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub>. Compositions from all three systems fall into three categories (see Figure 7):

Group 1: Rocks with SiO<sub>2</sub> < 72.0 wt.%; these rocks are coarse-grained, and they have textural</li>
 features consistent with crystal accumulation and melt loss; they make up a significant
 fraction of the granitic rocks found in all three plutons.

Group 2: Rocks with SiO<sub>2</sub> between 72.0 and 74.5 wt.%; this group includes granitic rocks in which
 cumulatic textures and compositional traits are not as pronounced; importantly, rocks in this
 group include fine-grained marginal facies in the Aztec Wash Pluton and dikes and sills
 intruding all three plutons that lack textural or chemical evidence for crystal accumulation.

Group 3: Rocks with SiO<sub>2</sub> > 75.5; these rocks include facies along the upper margin (i.e., the roof) of
 the Aztec Wash Pluton, leucogranite that forms the upper part of the Middle Searchlight Unit
 and the Spirit Mountain Batholith, phenocryst-poor dikes that cross-cut the leucogranite and
 Upper Searchlight Unit, and the high-silica rhyolites in the Highland Range Volcanic
 Sequence. We note that there is a subgroup within this group characterized by more evolved
 compositions with relatively high silica (>76.5 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>) and low FeO (<1.0 wt.%) and MgO</li>
 (<0.25 wt.%).</li>

Glass compositions for rocks from the Highland Range Volcanic Sequence (orange crosses in Figure 7) fallwithin group 3.

The compositional relationships described above are used by Harper et al. (2004), in the case of the Aztec Wash Pluton, to suggest that group 1 are cumulates (Granite Zone Cumulate Unit). Granites of what they refer to as the Granite Zone Transitional Unit have group 2 compositions and textures, suggesting limited or no crystal accumulation. We emphasize that the number of whole-rock compositions per group presented in Harper et al. (2004) and discussed here does not in any way represent the volumetric abundances of these units – specifically, marginal facies and dikes (groups 2 and 3) have been analyzed to a disproportionately high degree due to their potential petrological significance.

393 The relationship between  $TiO_2$  and  $SiO_2$  for rocks from the Aztec Wash Pluton (Figure 8a) displays well 394 the concept advanced by Harper et al. (2004): the presumed input magma has a composition that is 395 intermediate between that of the most obvious cumulates (high TiO<sub>2</sub>, low SiO<sub>2</sub>) and fractionates (low 396 TiO<sub>2</sub>, high SiO<sub>2</sub>). The same relationship is observed with  $P_2O_5$ , Zr, Hf, Eu, and LREE, while the reverse 397 relationship (i.e., positive correlation with SiO<sub>2</sub>) is seen for Rb. While we do not have as large a number 398 of trace elements available for rocks from the Middle Searchlight Unit, similar relationships are seen 399 between SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and Zr (Figure 8b). We thus extend the concept advanced by Harper et al. (2004) to 400 the Middle Searchlight-Upper Highland Range Magmatic System. The same also applies to the Spirit 401 Mountain Batholith (Figure 8c). In essence, magmas with compositions that fall within group 2 fed all

three systems; separation of crystal-poor, melt-dominated magma from crystal-rich magma mush led to
the formation of high-silica magmas (group 3). It is possible that magmas from group 3 formed their own
magma mushes at shallower levels – this possibility will be discussed in greater detail below.

Importantly, the similarity in composition between glass in rocks from the Upper Highland Range Volcanic
Sequence and leucogranites from the Middle Searchlight Unit shows that whole-rock compositions from
qroup 3 are viable melt compositions.

#### 408 Extraction and pre-eruptive storage pressures

409 For magmas saturated in quartz and two feldspars, there is a strong correlation between SiO<sub>2</sub> and

410 pressure (Blundy and Cashman 2001; Gualda and Ghiorso 2013b, 2014; and references therein). As

411 expected, we see a strong correlation between pressure of extraction or pre-eruptive storage and the

412 groups identified above (Figure 9, Figure 10), except that group 3 can be subdivided into two subgroups:

413 <u>Group 1:</u> None of these compositions yields a viable extraction pressure.

414 <u>Group 2:</u> Extraction pressures are all Q2F (15 compositions), in the range of 370-450 MPa.

415 <u>Group 3a:</u> Extraction pressures are mostly Q2FMI (11 compositions), with five Q2F pressures,

416 covering the pressure range of 185-300 MPa; two glass compositions yield Q2FMI storage
417 pressures.

418Group 3b:Extraction pressures are mostly Q2FMI (12 compositions), with one Q2F pressure, covering419the range of 120-180 MPa; glass compositions (orange crosses) yield five Q2FMI and four420Q2F storage pressures, mostly in the 120-150 MPa range (4 compositions), with one421additional value of ~90 MPa.

The extraction and pre-eruptive storage pressures we present here broadly define three main horizons within the crust where magma was present (Figure 11). The first one spanned a depth range of ~13.5-16.5 km (assuming a crustal density of  $2.7*10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>), suggesting a ~3 km thick mush zone, which

425 cannot be directly observed in the field given the current level of exposure of the crust (i.e., at none of426 the three complexes is the crust exposed to such deep levels).

427 A gap in extraction pressures is very distinct between this and the next group, suggesting that there was 428 a region with thickness greater than ~4 km where little or no magma was present. We note that the 429 pressure gap (110 MPa) is much larger than the uncertainty associated with the rhyolite-MELTS 430 geobarometer (<25 MPa, 1-sigma; see Gualda and Ghiorso 2014; Pitcher et al. 2021; Pamukcu et al. 431 2021), lending confidence to the idea of a significant region in the crust with little to no melt at the time. 432 In fact, these depths correspond to the location of the Lower Searchlight Unit, which is inferred -433 particularly from geochronology data (Eddy et al. 2022; Wallrich et al. 2023) – to have been completely 434 solid at the time of emplacement and crystallization of the Middle Searchlight Unit.

435 The distribution of magma at shallower levels differs slightly for the three magmatic systems studied (see 436 Figure 9, Figure 11). For the Aztec Wash Pluton (see Figure 9, Figure 11b), there was very good 437 separation between two magma-bearing regions. One of these regions occupied pressures between 190 438 and 240 MPa ( $\sim$ 7.0 and 9.0 km depth; one value at 280 MPa and  $\sim$ 10.5 km), suggesting a  $\sim$ 2.0 km thick 439 magmatically active region. Most of the melt-dominated magma that formed the high-silica marginal 440 facies in the Aztec Wash pluton derived from this horizon. A smaller gap (~40 MPa, ~1.5 km) separated 441 this magma-bearing horizon from the next one. The topmost magma-bearing region we can infer from 442 these data spanned a depth range from 4.5 to 6.0 km, revealing a  $\sim$ 1.5 km thick magma-bearing region.

Extraction pressures for the Middle Searchlight-Upper Highland Range Magmatic System (see Figure 9, Figure 11c) reveal a similar but more patchy distribution of magma, spanning from 180 to 310 MPa (~6.5-11.5 km depth); three clusters are seen, but a larger number of samples would be needed to confirm the distribution in detail. This corresponds to the most voluminous portion of the Middle Searchlight-Upper Highland Range Magmatic System. Extraction and storage pressures form a contiguous cluster at pressures from 160 and 120 MPa (~4.5-6.0 depth; one storage pressure of ~90 MPa, ~3.5 km depth), revealing a ~1.5 km thick magma-bearing region very similar to that observed in the Aztec Wash

450 Magmatic System. Interestingly, most pre-eruptive storage pressures appear in this cluster, showing that 451 magmas that erupted to form the high-silica rhyolite pyroclastic unit(s) in the Highland Range Volcanic 452 Sequence were stored at this level. It is interesting, however, that extraction pressures are also found 453 within this horizon, demonstrating that magma mush must have existed at this depth range as well.

For the Spirit Mountain Batholith (see Figure 9, Figure 11d), extraction pressures suggest a single
magma-bearing region at pressures of 130-220 MPa (~5.0-8.0 km depth), without a noticeable gap as
seen at the Aztec Wash, and without the clustering seen in the Middle Searchlight-Upper Highland Range
Systems.

Despite these small differences, the distribution of pressures we see (Figure 11) strongly suggests a nonuniform distribution of magma as a function of depth for all systems studied. In combination, our pressure results suggest two to three discrete magma-bearing regions, separated from each other by regions that seem magma-poor or magma-free. It is remarkable that the results for the three magmatic systems are very similar, lending confidence to the interpretations made here. The reasons why magmas stall at these depths deserve further investigation.

#### 464 Magma differentiation

The identification of three distinct magma-bearing horizons with different compositions raises important questions about how magma differentiation is coupled with pre-eruptive storage, extraction, and ascent.

One possibility is that magmas of groups 3a and 3b were formed by fractional crystallization of magmas of group 2 that stalled at different levels. We test this hypothesis by performing isobaric cooling sequences for magmas with compositions given by rocks of group 2 at pressures of 240, 200, 160, and 120 MPa (Figure 12a, Figure 13). The assumption is that magmas were potentially transported to these levels and solidified until extraction took place. Because extraction and storage assemblages invariably include quartz and two feldspars (i.e., they are all either Q2F or Q2FMI pressures), extraction should take place at the rhyolitic near-invariant (see Gualda et al. 2012) for each combination of composition and

474 pressure. In Figure 12a, the red triangles represent the silica content of the near-invariant for each 475 composition at each pressure. We observe that melts with compositions from group 2 can generate silica 476 contents consistent with what is observed in group 3a, through differentiation at pressures between 477  $\sim$ 200-240 MPa. However, it is also clear that solidification of magmas from group 2 at pressures of 120 and 160 MPa does not generate compositions that are enriched enough in silica to give rise to magmas of 478 479 group 3b. While we show that it is likely that magmas from group 3a were derived from differentiation of 480 magmas with compositions equivalent to those of group 2, we also demonstrate that it is unlikely that 481 magmas from group 3b were derived directly from these same magmas.

482 We turn our attention to the possibility that magmas from group 3b were derived, instead, from magmas 483 with compositions equivalent to those from group 3a. We test this hypothesis by performing isobaric 484 cooling sequences for magmas with compositions given by rocks of group 3a at pressures of 160 and 120 485 MPa. In Figure 12b, the red triangles again represent the position of the rhyolitic near-invariant. Our 486 simulations show that solidification of magmas with compositions equivalent to group 3a leads to silica 487 contents that are higher by up to 0.5 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub> relative to the cases in which we used initial 488 compositions equivalent to group 2. The more evolved compositions resulting from solidification of 489 magmas with compositions equivalent to group 3a much more closely match the compositions of rocks 490 from group 3b. We conclude that group 3b magmas were not derived directly from group 2 magmas; 491 rather, they are the products of differentiation of magmas from group 3a.

492 One important point that is not apparent in Figure 12 relates to the amount of time that a given parcel of 493 magma resides at the near-invariant. Magmas with compositions equivalent to group 2 need to attain 494 crystal contents of 12 to 27 wt.% crystals, depending on pressure (e.g., lower values for deeper 495 conditions), to reach the near-invariant (see Figure 13). From that point onward, solidification proceeds 496 essentially isothermally (see Gualda et al. 2012), which means that magmas are stuck at those conditions 497 for extended periods of time, enhancing the opportunity of extraction of crystal-poor, melt-dominated 498 magma from mush (see Dufek and Bachmann 2010). The same is observed for starting compositions 499 from group 3a (Figure 13), except that the amount of solidification necessary to reach the near-invariant

500 is smaller (2-7 wt.% crystals), which is explained by the smaller difference in pressure between groups 501 3a and 3b, causing a smaller difference in the position of the near-invariant in this case (see Figure 13). 502 We conclude that differentiation took place in a stepwise manner (Figure 14). Relatively low-silica (72-503 74.5 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>) magmas were extracted at deeper levels (13.5-16.5 km, 370-440 MPa) and they stalled 504 at intermediate levels (7.0-9.5 km, 185-255 MPa). At those levels, differentiation led to melts with silica 505 content in the 76-77 wt.% range. Magmas extracted from these intermediate levels fed eruptions at the surface, but they also stalled at shallower levels (4.5-6.0 km, 120-160 MPa) - differentiation of these 506 507 magmas gave rise to rhyolites with even higher silica (>77 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>). These rhyolites fed eruptions 508 themselves, but they also solidified to form high-silica granites in the subsurface. In the CREC, we do not 509 find evidence for shallower magma pre-eruptive storage – if any further fractionation took place, 510 extracted melt remained in close proximity to mush (contiguous extraction in the sense of Gualda et al. 511 2019), and the difference in composition between fractionated melts and their host cumulates must have

512 been small to undetectable.

#### 513 **IMPLICATIONS**

For nearly 20 years, the so-called "Mush Model" (Bachmann and Bergantz 2004; see also Hildreth 2004) has dominated our understanding of the origin and evolution of high-silica rhyolites. The concept advanced by Bachmann & Bergantz (2004) presumes the existence of a dacitic magma body, from which melt-dominated magma of rhyolitic composition is extracted, in situ, from a crystal-rich mush – high-silica rhyolites can erupt to the surface from such reservoirs. While the "Mush Model" is simple and elegant, it has the limitation that a single magma body – consisting of magma mush in contact with melt-dominated magma – exists, and its connections with other crustal levels is not entirely clear.

521 In contrast, the last 10-15 years have seen the emergence of the idea of transcrustal magmatic systems

522 (Bonin 2007; Sawyer et al. 2011; Annen et al. 2015; Bachmann and Huber 2016; Cashman et al. 2017).

523 This view of magmatic systems emphasizes that the thermal anomalies associated with the presence of

524 magmas are not limited to specific crustal depths, but that they must encompass much or all of the crust.

The challenge, in this case, is to understand the distribution of magmas as a function of depth – it seems unlikely that magma will be present over the whole thickness of the crust at all times through the life of a magmatic system; however, obtaining data that can provide direct evidence for the distribution of magma as a function of depth has proven challenging.

529 In our view, our work provides an important bridge between these two perspectives. Our extraction and 530 pre-eruptive storage pressures reveal multi-tiered systems consisting of two or three crustal levels in 531 which magma is present. As it turns out, the transcrustal magmatic systems of the CREC were made of 532 discrete horizons in which magma was present, with others that were magma-poor or magma-free. 533 Importantly, in each of the magma-rich horizons, differentiation took place along the lines of what is 534 proposed by Bachmann & Bergantz (2004). However, the extent of differentiation in each tier or horizon 535 was much smaller than what Bachmann & Bergantz (2004) originally envisioned (i.e., from dacite directly 536 to high-silica rhyolite). Instead, we infer that rhyolites with relatively low silica contents (i.e., 72.0-74.5 537 wt.%) were extracted at mid-crustal levels (~13.5-16.5 km); rhyolite magmas with intermediate to high 538 silica contents (i.e., 75.5-77.5 wt.%) were extracted at shallower crustal levels of the crust (~7.0-9.5 539 km); and, finally, rhyolites with the highest silica contents (i.e., 77.5-78.0 wt.%) were extracted at the 540 shallowest levels (~4.5-6.0 km). This reveals that differentiation took place in a stepwise manner. This 541 subtle difference is important in at least two ways.

542 First, a longstanding problem in petrology has been the apparent paucity of rocks that can be 543 unambiguously interpreted as cumulates (Wiebe 1994; Deering and Bachmann 2010; Glazner et al. 2015; 544 Lee and Morton 2015; Keller et al. 2015; Barnes et al. 2019). In the multi-tiered differentiation model we 545 propose, the extent of differentiation in each step is limited. This means that the cumulatic signatures 546 that would be expected are rather muted, particularly because the most evident signatures are expected 547 in the extracted liquids, rather than in the residual cumulates (see Deering and Bachmann 2010). In this 548 sense, ordinary granites become good candidates for the cumulates left behind after melt extraction. The 549 fact that they do not show extreme cumulatic signatures only means that the extent of differentiation

that leads to the formation of such cumulatic granitoids is appropriately small (consistent with mass-balance models of Wallrich et al. 2023).

552 Further, our data show that melt-dominated magma can be repeatedly mobilized from crystal mush. It is 553 somewhat troubling that we still do not understand well the mechanisms whereby melt separates 554 efficiently from mush at rapid (probably centennial to millennial) timescales (see Bachmann and Huber 555 2019). Nonetheless, the very existence of voluminous crystal-poor volcanic rocks demonstrates 556 unambiguously that separation of melt from mush can be a very efficient process. It is also important to 557 emphasize that while extracted magmas can erupt to the surface, just as commonly, extracted magmas 558 find shallower crustal levels where they stall and differentiate. The reasons why rising magma would stall 559 rather than continue to the surface are also not well understood and deserve further study. Yet, the idea 560 of a crustal-scale magmatic system effectively makes it necessary for such multi-staging history to take 561 place.

562 In summary, what we propose is a multi-stage magma differentiation column, which also represents a 563 thermal anomaly within the crust. This column is made of several discrete horizons in which magma is 564 present, and several magma-free regions. The silica content of extracted melts is effectively buffered at a 565 given depth (pressure) by the dependency of the position of the rhyolitic near-invariant as a function of 566 pressure (Blundy and Cashman 2001; Gualda and Ghiorso 2013b). What results is a system in which 567 variation in silica content of melts is incremental, and the major-oxide signatures showing accumulation 568 of crystals are intrinsically limited. With the benefit of hindsight, this model may seem almost too 569 obvious. However, direct evidence in the level of detail presented here has been lacking. We need to 570 better understand the processes of separation of melt from mush, as well as the controls on the vertical vs lateral motion of magmas in the shallow crust. 571

## 572 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

- 573 Tha authors would like to thank participants of field trips in 2019 and 2021 (including Elizabeth Teeter,
- 574 Genna Chiaro, Lily Claiborne, Kristen Fauria, Lydia Harmon, Darien Florez, Ayla Pamukcu, and Lorenzo
- 575 Tavazzani) for the lively and inspiring discussions that helped shape this contribution.

## 576 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

577 The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

### 578 **REFERENCES**

- Annen C, Blundy JD, Leuthold J, Sparks RSJ (2015) Construction and evolution of igneous bodies:
   Towards an integrated perspective of crustal magmatism. Lithos 230:206–221.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2015.05.008
- Bachl CA, Miller CF, Miller JS, Faulds JE (2001) Construction of a pluton: Evidence from an exposed cross
   section of the Searchlight pluton, Eldorado Mountains, Nevada. Geological Society of America
   Bulletin 113:1213–1228
- 585 Bachmann O, Bergantz GW (2004) On the origin of crystal-poor rhyolites: Extracted from batholithic 586 crystal mushes. Journal of Petrology 45:1565–1582. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egh019
- 587 Bachmann O, Huber C (2016) Silicic magma reservoirs in the Earth's crust. American Mineralogist 588 101:2377–2404. https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2016-5675
- Bachmann O, Huber C (2019) The Inner Workings of Crustal Distillation Columns; the Physical
   Mechanisms and Rates Controlling Phase Separation in Silicic Magma Reservoirs. Journal of
   Petrology 60:3–18. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egy103
- Barnes CG, Werts K, Memeti V, Ardill K (2019) Most Granitoid Rocks are Cumulates: Deductions from
   Hornblende Compositions and Zircon Saturation. Journal of Petrology 60:2227–2240.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egaa008
- Bégué F, Deering CD, Gravley DM, et al (2014a) Extraction, Storage and Eruption of Multiple Isolated
   Magma Batches in the Paired Mamaku and Ohakuri Eruption, Taupo Volcanic Zone, New Zealand.
   Journal of Petrology 55:1653–1684. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egu038
- Bégué F, Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS, et al (2014b) Phase-equilibrium geobarometers for silicic rocks based
   on rhyolite-MELTS. Part 2: application to Taupo Volcanic Zone rhyolites. Contributions to
   Mineralogy and Petrology 168:. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-014-1082-7
- 601 Blundy J (2022) Chemical Differentiation by Mineralogical Buffering in Crustal Hot Zones. Journal of 602 Petrology 63:egac054. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egac054
- 603 Blundy J, Cashman K (2001) Ascent-driven crystallisation of dacite magmas at Mount St Helens, 1980-604 1986. Contrib Mineral Petrol 140:631–650
- 605Bonin B (2007) A-type granites and related rocks: Evolution of a concept, problems and prospects. Lithos60697:1–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2006.12.007
- Cashman KV, Sparks RSJ, Blundy JD (2017) Vertically extensive and unstable magmatic systems: A
   unified view of igneous processes. Science 355:1280-+. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag3055
- Cates NL, Miller JS, Miller CF, et al (2003) Longevity of plutonic systems: SHRIMP evidence from Aztec
   Wash and Searchlight plutons, Nevada. In: Geological Society of America, abstracts with
   programs. pp 1346–53
- Cawthorn RG, Brown PA (1976) A Model for the Formation and Crystallization of Corundum-Normative
   Calc-Alkaline Magmas through Amphibole Fractionation. The Journal of Geology 84:467–476

- Coleman D, Gray W, Glazner A (2004) Rethinking the emplacement and evolution of zoned plutons:
   Geochronologic evidence for incremental assembly of the Tuolumne Intrusive Suite, California.
   Geology 32:433–436. https://doi.org/10.1130/G20220.1
- Colombini LL, Miller CF, Gualda GAR, et al (2011) Sphene and zircon in the Highland Range volcanic
  sequence (Miocene, southern Nevada, USA): elemental partitioning, phase relations, and
  influence on evolution of silicic magma. Mineralogy and Petrology 102:29–50.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00710-011-0177-3
- Cordell D, Hill G, Bachmann O, et al (2022) Estimating melt fraction in silicic systems using Bayesian
   inversion of magnetotelluric data. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 423:107470.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2022.107470
- Deering CD, Bachmann O (2010) Trace element indicators of crystal accumulation in silicic igneous rocks.
   Earth and Planetary Science Letters 297:324–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.06.034
- 626Dufek J, Bachmann O (2010) Quantum magmatism: Magmatic compositional gaps generated by melt-<br/>crystal dynamics. Geology 38:687–690. https://doi.org/10.1130/g30831.1
- Eddy MP, Pamukçu A, Schoene B, et al (2022) Constraints on the timescales and processes that led to
   high-SiO2 rhyolite production in the Searchlight pluton, Nevada, USA. Geosphere 18:1000–1019.
   https://doi.org/10.1130/GES02439.1
- Falkner CM, Miller CF, Wooden JL, Heizler MT (1995) Petrogenesis and tectonic significance of the calc alkaline, bimodal Aztec Wash pluton, Eldorado Mountains, Colorado River extensional corridor. J
   Geophys Res 100:10453–10476. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB03357
- Faulds JE, Feuerbach DL, Miller CF, Smith EI (2001) Cenozoic evolution of the northern Colorado River
   extensional corridor, southern Nevada and northwest Arizona. Pacific Section of the American
   Association of Petroleum Geologists Publication GB 78:
- Faulds JE, Geissman JW, Shafiqullah M (1992) Implications of paleomagnetic data on Miocene extension
   near a major accommodation zone in the Basin and Range Province, northwestern Arizona and
   southern Nevada. Tectonics 11:204–227. https://doi.org/10.1029/91TC00869
- Faulds JE, Olson EL, Harlan SS, McIntosh WC (2002) Miocene extension and fault related folding in the
   Highland Range, southern Nevada: a three-dimensional perspective. Journal of Structural
   Geology 24:861–886
- Foley ML, Miller CF, Gualda GAR (2020) Architecture of a super-sized magma chamber and remobilization
   of its basal cumulate (Peach Spring Tuff, USA). Journal of Petrology in press
- George BE, Miller CF, Walker BA, Wooden JL (2005) Newberry Mountains Dike Swarm, Southern Nevada:
   Final, Extension-Related Pulse of the Spirit Mountain Batholith. 2005:V13A-01
- 647Ghiorso MS, Gualda GAR (2015) An H2O-CO2 mixed fluid saturation model compatible with rhyolite-648MELTS. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 169:. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-015-6491141-8
- 650Giordano G, Caricchi L (2022) Determining the State of Activity of Transcrustal Magmatic Systems and651Their Volcanoes. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 50:231–259. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-652032320-084733

- Glazner AF, Coleman DS, Mills RD (2015) The Volcanic-Plutonic Connection. In: Breitkreuz C, Rocchi S
   (eds) Physical Geology of Shallow Magmatic Systems. Springer International Publishing, Cham,
   pp 61–82
- Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS (2014) Phase-equilibrium geobarometers for silicic rocks based on rhyolite MELTS. Part 1: Principles, procedures, and evaluation of the method. Contributions to Mineralogy
   and Petrology 168:. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-014-1033-3
- 659Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS (2013a) The Bishop Tuff giant magma body: an alternative to the Standard660Model. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 166:755–775. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-661013-0901-6
- 662 Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS (2013b) Low-Pressure Origin of High-Silica Rhyolites and Granites. Journal of 663 Geology 121:537–545. https://doi.org/10.1086/671395
- Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS (2015) MELTS\_Excel: A Microsoft Excel-based MELTS interface for research and
   teaching of magma properties and evolution. Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems 16:315–324.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005545
- Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS, Hurst AA, et al (2022) A complex patchwork of magma bodies that fed the
  Bishop Tuff supereruption (Long Valley Caldera, CA, United States): Evidence from matrix glass
  major and trace-element compositions. Front Earth Sci 10:798387.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.798387
- Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS, Lemons RV, Carley TL (2012) Rhyolite-MELTS: A modified calibration of MELTS
   optimized for silica-rich, fluid-bearing magmatic systems. Journal of Petrology 53:875–890.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egr080
- Gualda GAR, Gravley DM, Deering CD, Ghiorso MS (2019) Magma extraction pressures and the
   architecture of volcanic plumbing systems. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 522:118–124.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.06.020
- Haapala I, Rämö OT, Frindt S (2005) Comparison of Proterozoic and Phanerozoic rift-related basaltic granitic magmatism. Lithos 80:1–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2004.04.057
- Harper BE, Miller CF, Koteas GC, et al (2004) Granites, dynamic magma chamber processes and pluton
   construction: the Aztec Wash pluton, Eldorado Mountains, Nevada, USA. Transactions of the
   Royal Society of Edinburgh-Earth Sciences 95:277–295
- Hildreth W (2004) Volcanological perspectives on Long Valley, Mammoth Mountain, and Mono Craters:
   Several contiguous but discrete systems. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research
   136:169–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.volgrores.2004.05.019
- Hinz N, Faulds J, Ramelli A, Green H (2012) Preliminary geologic map of the Ireteba Peaks quadrangle,
   Clark County, Nevada. Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 2012-09
- Hodge K, Miller C, Miller J, Faulds J (2006) Dike emplacement at the Searchlight, NV, Volcano-Plutonic
   Complex. In: 102nd Annual Meeting of the Cordilleran Section, GSA, 81st Annual Meeting of the
   Pacific Section, AAPG, and the Western Regional Meeting of the Alaska Section, SPE

# Hopson C, Gans P, Baer E, et al (1994) Spirit Mountain pluton, southern Nevada: A progress report. In: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs. p 60

- Howard KA, John BE, Davis GA, et al (1994) A guide to Miocene extension and magmatism in the lower
   Colorado River region, Nevada, Arizona, and California. U.S. Geological Survey
- Huber C, Townsend M, Degruyter W, Bachmann O (2019) Optimal depth of subvolcanic magma chamber
  growth controlled by volatiles and crust rheology. Nat Geosci 12:762–768.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0415-6
- 697Keller CB, Schoene B, Barboni M, et al (2015) Volcanic–plutonic parity and the differentiation of the<br/>continental crust. Nature 523:301–307. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14584
- Koteas GC (2005) Documenting the architecture of a stratified, multiply recharged intrusion / by George
   Christopher Koteas. Thesis M.S. in Geology--Vanderbilt University, May 2005.
- Lang NP, Walker BJ, Claiborne LL, et al (2008) The Spirit Mountain batholith and Secret Pass Canyon
   volcanic center: A cross-sectional view of the magmatic architecture of the uppermost crust of an
   extensional terrain, Colorado River, Nevada-Arizona. In: GSA Field Guide 11: Field Guide to
   Plutons, Volcanoes, Faults, Reefs, Dinosaurs, and Possible Glaciation in Selected Areas of Arizona,
   California, and Nevada. Geological Society of America, pp 187–214
- Lee C-TA, Morton DM (2015) High silica granites: Terminal porosity and crystal settling in shallow magma chambers. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 409:23–31.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.10.040
- Leigh MJ, Miller JS, Blackburn T, et al (2018) Timescale for the construction of Aztec Wash Pluton,
   Southern Nevada. In: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs. p 321662
- Lowery Claiborne L, Miller CF, Walker BA, et al (2006) Tracking magmatic processes through Zr/Hf ratios
   in rocks and Hf and Ti zoning in zircons: An example from the Spirit Mountain batholith, Nevada.
   Mineral mag 70:517–543. https://doi.org/10.1180/0026461067050348
- Magee C, Stevenson CTE, Ebmeier SK, et al (2018) Magma Plumbing Systems: A Geophysical
   Perspective. Journal of Petrology 59:1217–1251. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egy064
- Miller CF, Furbish DJ, Walker BA, et al (2011) Growth of plutons by incremental emplacement of sheets in crystal-rich host: Evidence from Miocene intrusions of the Colorado River region, Nevada, USA.
   Tectonophysics 500:65–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.07.011
- Miller CF, Miller JS (2002) Contrasting stratified plutons exposed in tilt blocks, Eldorado Mountains,
   Colorado River Rift, NV, USA. Lithos 61:209–224
- Pamukcu AS, Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS, et al (2015) Phase-equilibrium geobarometers for silicic rocks
   based on rhyolite-MELTS-Part 3: Application to the Peach Spring Tuff (Arizona-California-Nevada,
   USA). Contributions To Mineralogy and Petrology 169:. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-015 1122-y
- Pamukcu AS, Gualda GAR, Gravley DM (2021) Rhyolite-MELTS and the storage and extraction of large-volume crystal-poor rhyolitic melts at the Taupō Volcanic Center: a reply to Wilson et al. (2021).
   Contrib Mineral Petrol 176:82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-021-01840-2
- Patrick DW, Miller CF (1998) Processes in a Composite, Recharging Magma Chamber: Evidence from
   Magmatic Structures in the Aztec Wash Pluton, Nevada. In: Igneous Petrology. CRC Press

- Pitcher BW, Gualda GAR, Hasegawa T (2021) Repetitive Duality of Rhyolite Compositions, Timescales,
   and Storage and Extraction Conditions for Pleistocene Caldera-forming Eruptions, Hokkaido,
   Japan. Journal of Petrology 62:egaa106. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egaa106
- Samperton KM, Schoene B, Cottle JM, et al (2015) Magma emplacement, differentiation and cooling in
   the middle crust: Integrated zircon geochronological–geochemical constraints from the Bergell
   Intrusion, Central Alps. Chemical Geology 417:322–340.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.10.024
- Sawyer EW, Cesare B, Brown M (2011) When the Continental Crust Melts. Elements 7:229–234.
   https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.7.4.229
- Schaltegger U, Nowak A, Ulianov A, et al (2019) Zircon Petrochronology and 40Ar/39Ar
   Thermochronology of the Adamello Intrusive Suite, N. Italy: Monitoring the Growth and Decay of
   an Incrementally Assembled Magmatic System. Journal of Petrology 60:701–722.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egz010
- Smithies SL, Harmon LJ, Allen SM, et al (2023) Following magma: The pathway of silicic magmas from
   extraction to storage during an ignimbrite flare-up, Taupō Volcanic Zone, New Zealand. Earth and
   Planetary Science Letters 607:118053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2023.118053
- Tramontano S, Gualda GAR, Ghiorso MS (2017) Internal triggering of volcanic eruptions: tracking
   overpressure regimes for giant magma bodies. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 472:142–151.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.05.014
- Volborth A (1973) Geology of the granite complex of the Eldorado, Newberry, and northern Dead
   Mountains, Clark County, Nevada. Mackay School of Mines, University of Nevada, Reno
- Walker BA, Miller CF, Claiborne LL, et al (2007) Geology and geochronology of the Spirit Mountain
   batholith, southern Nevada: Implications for timescales and physical processes of batholith
   construction. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 167:239–262.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2006.12.008
- Wallrich BM, Miller CF, Gualda GAR, et al (2023) Volcano-pluton connection: Perspectives on material and process linkages, Searchlight pluton and Highland Range volcanic sequence, Nevada, USA. Earth-Science Reviews 238:104361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104361
- Wiebe RA (1994) Silicic Magma Chambers as Traps for Basaltic Magmas: The Cadillac Mountain Intrusive
   Complex, Mount Desert Island, Maine. The Journal of Geology 102:423–437.
   https://doi.org/10.1086/629684
- Wones DR (1989) Significance of the assemblage titanite+magnetite+quartz in granitic rocks\*. American
   Mineralogist 74:744–749
- 763
- 764

#### 765 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

- Figure 1. Schematic map showing the distribution of Miocene plutons and associated volcanic sequences
  in the Colorado River Extensional Corridor (CREC) in southern Nevada. The location of the Aztec Wash
  Pluton, the Spirit Mountain Batholith, the Searchlight Pluton, and the Highland Range Volcanic Sequence
  are highlighted.
- Figure 2. Schematic maps of the Aztec Wash Pluton. (Top) Google Earth image showing the distribution
  of key units in the pluton perspective chosen is looking East, toward the pluton roof. (Bottom)
  Schematic geological map, modified from Koteas (2005); East (roof) is at the top, matching orientation of
  Google Earth image. Dashed rectangle in bottom panel shows approximate location of Google Earth
  image shown at top.
- Figure 3. Schematic maps of the Spirit Mountain Batholith. (Top) Google Earth image showing the
- distribution of key units in the pluton perspective chosen is looking West, toward the pluton roof.
- (Bottom) Schematic geological map, modified from Walker et al. (2007); West (roof) is at the top,
- 778 matching orientation of Google Earth image.
- Figure 4. Schematic maps of the Searchlight Pluton and the Highland Range Volcanic Sequence. (Top)
  Google Earth image showing the distribution of key units in the pluton and the location of the Highland
  Range Volcanic Sequence. (Bottom) Schematic cross section of the Searchlight Pluton and the Highland
  Range Volcanic Sequence, modified from Wallrich *et al.* (2023).
- Figure 5. Schematic diagram highlighting the difference between extraction and pre-eruptive storage pressures. Extraction pressures record the pressures at which magma mushes are present, from which magmas are extracted and mobilized to shallower levels. Pre-eruptive storage pressures record pressures at which melt-dominated magmas are stored and crystallize prior to eruption to the surface. See text for more details.

Figure 6. Example pressure calculations using the rhyolite-MELTS geobarometer (Gualda and Ghiorso 2014). (a) Example of a calculation that yields a quartz, 2 feldspars, magnetite, and ilmenite (Q2FMI) pressure. (b) Example of a calculation that yields a quartz and 2 feldspars (Q2F) pressure. (c-d) Examples of calculations that do not yield either a Q2FMI or Q2F pressure – in this work, we do not use the resulting two-phase pressures that could be inferred from these calculations. In (a) and (b), the best pressure estimate is indicated by the arrow and the calculated pressure is shown above the arrow.

794 Figure 7. Harker diagrams showing compositional patterns in the units studied here. Blue symbols 795 correspond to rocks from the Aztec Wash Pluton (labelled "AW"); all compositions are whole-rock 796 compositions. Gray symbols correspond to rocks from the Spirit Mountain Batholith ("SM"); all 797 compositions are whole-rock compositions. Green symbols correspond to rocks from the Middle 798 Searchlight Unit ("MSL"); all compositions are whole-rock compositions. Orange symbols correspond to 799 rocks from the Upper Highland Range Volcanic Sequence ("HR"); whole-rock compositions are labelled as 800 "WR", while glass compositions are labelled as "GL". We identify three compositional groups, as indicated 801 at the top of the figure.

Figure 8. TiO<sub>2</sub> versus SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram showing the potential genetic relationship between the various facies in the Aztec Wash Pluton (top left), Searchlight Pluton (top right), and Spirit Mountain Batholith (bottom). Compositions with intermediate SiO<sub>2</sub> in the diagram may represent input compositions that continuously fed these systems (indicated as "Input magma?"). Low-SiO<sub>2</sub> compositions may correspond to magma mush (i.e., cumulates; "Cumulates?"). High-SiO<sub>2</sub> compositions may represent melt-dominated magmas extracted from magma mush ("Extracted melts?").

Figure 9. Pressure versus SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram showing pressures derived using the rhyolite-MELTS geobarometer. Left diagram shows compositions using symbols as in Figure 7 while right diagram shows compositions using symbols that highlight groups 2, 3a, and 3b for each magmatic system. Note the multi-tiered distribution of pressures from these magmatic systems.

Figure 10. Projection of compositions onto the haplogranitic ternary using the projection scheme of Blundy & Cashman (2001). Similar to Figure 9, two diagrams are shown with different sets of symbols. The distribution of compositions relative to the quartz-feldspar cotectic is consistent with the rhyolite-MELTS pressures, lending support to the conclusions drawn in this study.

Figure 11. Rank-order diagram (a) and histograms (b-d) showing the vertical distribution of rhyoliteMELTS pressures. Blue symbols correspond to rocks from the Aztec Wash Pluton; gray symbols
correspond to rocks from Spirit Mountain Batholith; green symbols correspond to rocks from the Middle
Searchlight Unit; orange symbols correspond to rocks from the Upper Highland Range Volcanic Sequence.
Extraction pressures are shown as solid symbols, while pre-eruptive storage pressures are shown as
stippled symbols. Note the discontinuous distribution of pressures for all three systems (see text for
details).

823 Figure 12. Pressure versus SiO<sub>2</sub> diagrams showing the results of isobaric rhyolite-MELTS calculations 824 exploring the differentiation of silica-rich magmas within the middle to shallow crust. Symbols as in Figure 825 9. Vertical lines suggest ascent of magmas to shallower levels, followed by progressive solidification 826 (black horizontal lines) until the guartz-2 feldspars-fluid near-invariant (red triangles) is reached. 827 Fractional crystallization of group 2 compositions explains well the compositions of group 3a magmas, but 828 not the compositions of group 3b magmas. Fractional crystallization of group 3a magmas much better 829 explains the generation of group 3b magmas. This suggests magma differentiation in a step-wise manner 830 through the middle to upper crustal magmatic column.

Figure 13. Evolution of crystal contents (% solids) as a function of temperature for isobaric rhyolite-MELTS calculations using group 3a (top) and group 2 (bottom) compositions. Each curve corresponds to a combination of composition and pressure used. Different pressures are illustrated with different shades of cyan (group 3a) and magenta (group 2) and by different symbols. Symbols correspond to – in this order – the conditions of saturation of the first feldspar (labeled feldspar1), the second feldspar (feldspar2), and quartz. All curves show that upon saturation in quartz the system attains a near-invariant

behavior, with nearly isothermal solidification, suggesting that the system is thermally buffered after
saturation in quartz. This suggests that such magma mushes would allow extraction of melt-dominated
melt with nearly constant silica contents.

840 Figure 14. Schematic cross-section representative of the architecture of the Aztec Wash and Middle 841 Searchlight-Upper Highland Range Magmatic Systems. Dotted patterns represent magma mush (i.e., 842 cumulate); solid colors represent melt (whole-rock) compositions preserved as plutonic rocks; stippled 843 patterns represent melt (glass) compositions preserved in volcanic rocks. Location of magma mush is 844 inferred from extraction pressures, while the location of melt-dominated magma is inferred from pre-845 eruptive storage pressures. Magenta colors show a mid-crustal mush system, while cyan and yellow 846 colors show shallow-crustal mush systems. The mid-crustal mush system is inferred from extraction 847 pressures, while the shallow-crustal mush systems represent the crustal level exposed by the plutons.

# **TABLES**

**Table 1.** Whole-rock compositions.























Spirit Mountain Batholith











# Figure 12





# Table 1. Whole-rock and glass compositions.

Sample	Unit	Туре	Group	SiO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	<b>K</b> <sub>2</sub> <b>O</b>	P (MPa)	Assemblage
HAW-18-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 1	71.0	0.37	15.2	1.73	0.03	0.59	1.63	3.96	5.49	-	-
HAW-5-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 1	72.0	0.35	14.6	1.75	0.04	0.63	1.53	3.99	5.13	-	-
AW29	AW	WR	AW-Group 1	70.6	0.41	15.5	1.62	0.00	0.48	1.41	4.35	5.66	-	-
HAW-2	AW	WR	AW-Group 1	71.4	0.38	15.2	1.91	0.00	0.69	1.45	3.89	5.08	-	-
HAW-84-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	72.9	0.25	14.5	1.62	0.00	0.55	1.61	3.52	5.07	427	Q2F
NAWZ53-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	73.4	0.26	14.2	1.57	0.00	0.54	1.43	3.38	5.20	400	Q2F
AWD10-CM	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	73.5	0.25	14.1	1.45	0.00	0.51	1.30	3.72	5.16	-	-
HAW-1-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	73.7	0.23	14.1	1.56	0.00	0.46	1.44	3.48	5.06	397	Q2F
AW566-CM	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	73.8	0.23	14.1	1.38	0.00	0.46	1.44	3.61	4.96	412	Q2F
NAWZ13-CM	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	74.1	0.19	14.3	1.18	0.00	0.47	1.55	3.84	4.38	-	-
AW550-CM	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	74.1	0.21	14.0	1.38	0.00	0.42	1.48	3.41	4.99	401	Q2F
AW557-CM	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	74.1	0.20	14.0	1.27	0.00	0.33	1.14	3.61	5.30	-	-
HAW-80-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	74.3	0.25	13.8	1.47	0.00	0.57	0.51	3.36	5.81	-	-
AW542-CM	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	74.3	0.18	14.0	1.14	0.00	0.42	1.17	3.40	5.33	369	Q2F
HAW-10-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	73.0	0.30	14.0	1.58	0.04	0.59	1.56	3.54	5.38	-	-
HAW-21-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	72.5	0.32	14.3	1.61	0.03	0.55	1.43	3.86	5.35	-	-
HAW-35-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	72.6	0.32	14.1	1.59	0.04	0.54	1.48	3.69	5.59	-	-
HAW-56-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	73.5	0.32	14.0	1.59	0.03	0.51	1.17	3.61	5.33	-	-
HAW-60-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	73.6	0.29	14.0	1.45	0.04	0.49	1.19	3.82	5.12	-	-
HAW-64-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	74.5	0.28	13.4	1.40	0.04	0.46	0.92	3.59	5.34	-	-
NAW-Z50-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	74.1	0.27	13.7	1.42	0.03	0.45	1.05	3.40	5.58	-	-
NAW-Z52-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 2	73.8	0.32	13.6	1.61	0.03	0.54	1.32	3.47	5.35	-	-
HAW-47-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	76.0	0.21	12.8	1.11	0.00	0.27	0.56	3.90	5.16	280	Q2F
AWZ1B-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	76.4	0.17	12.7	0.97	0.00	0.29	0.72	3.30	5.46	208	Q2FMI
AW75-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	76.6	0.17	12.8	0.72	0.00	0.19	0.57	3.66	5.26	244	Q2FMI
NAW-Z-26-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	76.8	0.14	12.8	0.70	0.00	0.18	0.56	3.69	5.15	216	Q2FMI
HAW-26-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	76.8	0.17	12.6	0.84	0.00	0.19	0.67	3.56	5.15	187	Q2FMI
HAW-83-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	76.8	0.13	12.6	0.77	0.00	0.21	0.58	3.00	5.88	-	-
HAW-15-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	76.9	0.13	12.7	0.73	0.00	0.16	0.53	3.65	5.18	207	Q2FMI

HAW-36-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	76.9	0.14	12.7	0.68	0.00	0.16	0.67	3.72	5.00		204	Q2FMI
EW20B-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	77.1	0.10	12.8	0.43	0.00	0.12	0.48	4.22	4.71		226	Q2FMI
HAW-16-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3a	77.1	0.13	12.4	0.78	0.00	0.12	0.52	3.44	5.45		188	Q2FMI
HAW-3-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3b	77.6	0.07	12.5	0.53	0.00	0.06	0.50	3.68	5.04		148	Q2FMI
HAW-59-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3b	77.7	0.10	12.5	0.51	0.00	0.09	0.51	3.69	4.98		148	Q2FMI
HAW-38-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3b	77.7	0.11	12.3	0.61	0.00	0.10	0.54	3.81	4.84		151	Q2FMI
HAW-20-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3b	77.9	0.09	12.3	0.38	0.00	0.07	0.47	3.40	5.40		120	Q2FMI
HAW-55-BH	AW	WR	AW-Group 3b	78.5	0.10	11.7	0.48	0.00	0.20	0.38	3.20	5.43	-		-
HRE8-EK	HR	WR	HR-Group 3a (WR)	76.5	0.21	12.1	1.29	0.00	0.62	1.17	3.21	4.92		199	Q2F
HRL41A-CM	HR	WR	HR-Group 3a (WR)	76.7	0.11	12.2	0.80	0.00	0.22	1.42	3.25	5.32		250	Q2FMI
HRW13-BW	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.9	0.08	12.5	0.24	0.00	0.04	0.46	4.01	4.58		148	Q2F
HRE6-EK	HR	WR	HR-Group 3b (WR)	77.5	0.14	11.8	0.84	0.00	0.19	1.25	3.11	5.12		135	Q2F
HRL16_TS-Pum1	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.5	0.08	12.5	0.56	0.00	0.05	0.48	3.57	5.23		150	Q2FMI
HRL16_TS-Pum2	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.5	0.11	12.5	0.55	0.00	0.04	0.45	3.58	5.21		151	Q2FMI
HRL16_TS-Pum5-A	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.5	0.13	12.4	0.59	0.00	0.06	0.46	3.55	5.11		126	Q2FMI
HRL16_TS-Pum6-A	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.5	0.09	12.5	0.56	0.00	0.05	0.49	3.49	5.22		130	Q2FMI
HRL16-LC	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.6	0.11	12.5	0.48	0.00	0.05	0.46	3.03	5.74		120	Q2F
HRL21-LC	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.9	0.12	12.5	0.45	0.00	0.05	0.48	3.27	5.27		93	Q2F
HRL16	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.5	0.08	12.4	0.57	0.00	0.03	0.54	3.53	5.23		148	Q2F
HRL21	HR	GL	HR-Group 3b (GL)	77.6	0.11	12.5	0.57	0.00	0.06	0.52	3.53	5.13		137	Q2FMI
SL1-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 1	68.9	0.45	16.0	2.06	0.00	0.56	1.13	4.27	6.61	-		-
GG7-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 1	70.6	0.43	15.3	2.18	0.00	0.82	1.94	3.77	4.98	-		-
SL23-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 1	70.9	0.35	15.1	1.65	0.00	0.72	1.37	3.78	6.06	-		-
92140-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 2	72.7	0.36	14.2	1.82	0.00	0.65	1.45	4.04	4.85		442	Q2F
SL77-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 2	73.3	0.38	14.0	1.75	0.00	1.07	1.31	3.87	4.33	-		-
SL200Z-CM	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 2	73.3	0.35	14.0	1.82	0.00	0.62	1.29	3.63	5.01		396	Q2F
SL33-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 2	74.0	0.31	13.9	1.56	0.00	0.46	1.11	3.87	4.71		371	Q2F
SL48-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 3a	75.9	0.23	13.0	1.22	0.00	0.31	0.87	3.58	4.89		253	Q2F
SL76-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 3a	76.0	0.24	12.8	1.19	0.00	0.29	1.03	3.34	5.02		244	Q2F
SL43-CM	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 3b	77.4	0.12	12.4	0.69	0.00	0.12	0.31	3.12	5.82		160	Q2FMI
SL55-CB	MSL	WR	MSL-Group 3b	77.9	0.11	12.5	0.53	0.00	0.04	0.30	3.80	4.75	-		-
BD16	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	71.8	0.36	14.4	2.25	0.00	0.87	2.13	3.63	4.55		429	Q2F

BW62	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	71.6	0.35	14.9	2.17	0.00	0.69	2.10	3.66	4.49	-		-
BW33	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	71.2	0.38	15.1	2.24	0.00	0.63	2.01	3.61	4.84	-		-
BC101Z	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	71.1	0.43	14.8	2.14	0.06	0.69	1.72	4.08	4.96	-		-
101Z	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	70.2	0.52	15.2	2.39	0.06	0.77	1.42	4.44	4.93	-		-
BW49/DSCG	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	69.2	0.53	15.6	2.66	0.06	0.85	2.19	4.02	4.86	-		-
BW41	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	67.9	0.54	16.3	3.37	0.06	1.14	3.26	4.02	3.38	-		-
BW32	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	64.6	0.50	18.8	2.22	0.05	0.44	1.53	4.76	7.16	-		-
SWZ	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	63.6	0.87	17.8	3.71	0.09	1.36	2.75	4.74	5.10	-		-
SML213	SM	WR	SM-Group 1	63.9	1.00	16.3	4.84	0.09	1.87	3.82	3.88	4.27	-		-
BGZ	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	74.0	0.23	14.3	1.41	0.00	0.34	1.48	3.64	4.63	-		-
BW11	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	72.4	0.34	14.4	2.16	0.00	0.80	2.11	3.79	3.98	-		-
BW63	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	73.2	0.23	14.3	1.72	0.00	0.51	1.65	3.49	4.89		449	Q2F
BD3	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	72.9	0.27	14.6	1.82	0.00	0.63	1.79	3.50	4.53	-		-
BD9	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	72.7	0.33	14.4	1.87	0.00	0.53	1.69	3.66	4.81	-		-
BD18	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	73.4	0.27	14.3	1.72	0.00	0.52	1.56	3.49	4.69		433	Q2F
BD35	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	73.3	0.27	14.2	1.74	0.00	0.48	1.60	3.58	4.74		442	Q2F
BD39	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	72.7	0.30	14.5	1.90	0.00	0.59	2.07	3.58	4.40		423	Q2F
MI-1	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	74.0	0.32	13.7	1.98	0.00	0.62	1.86	3.29	4.27	-		-
SML120Z	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	74.1	0.25	13.8	1.59	0.00	0.42	1.19	3.35	5.30	-		-
MI-2	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	74.2	0.27	13.8	1.79	0.00	0.56	1.59	3.32	4.39	-		-
BW40	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	74.4	0.23	13.8	1.44	0.05	0.36	1.22	3.83	4.67		377	Q2F
BW36	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	73.7	0.23	14.3	1.21	0.04	0.32	1.11	3.91	5.15	-		-
BW43	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	75.0	0.25	13.4	1.55	0.05	0.51	1.40	3.83	3.98	-		-
BCOZ	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	72.5	0.29	14.7	1.86	0.04	0.50	1.63	3.67	4.80	-		-
MPL53Z	SM	WR	SM-Group 2	74.0	0.24	13.9	1.68	0.00	0.54	1.56	3.40	4.73		399	Q2F
BW47	SM	WR	SM-Group 3a	77.1	0.06	13.3	0.47	0.00	0.04	0.72	4.32	4.03	-		-
LGZ	SM	WR	SM-Group 3a	76.9	0.13	12.7	0.83	0.00	0.10	0.67	3.92	4.76		220	Q2FMI
BW48	SM	WR	SM-Group 3a	75.7	0.23	13.1	1.22	0.05	0.29	0.93	3.98	4.47		294	Q2F
SML52	SM	WR	SM-Group 3a	77.1	0.16	12.5	0.89	0.00	0.13	0.48	4.05	4.63		187	Q2FMI
SML49Z	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	77.5	0.12	12.5	0.61	0.00	0.09	0.43	4.08	4.70		177	Q2FMI
SML47	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	77.4	0.14	12.3	0.76	0.00	0.13	0.56	3.44	5.23		132	Q2FMI
SML63C	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	77.4	0.21	12.1	1.31	0.00	0.11	0.24	3.63	5.06	-		-

SML67	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	78.6	0.10	12.1	0.60	0.00	0.04	0.38	3.95	4.23	-		-
SML69	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	78.0	0.09	12.2	0.52	0.00	0.07	0.43	3.95	4.68		130	Q2FMI
SML71	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	78.2	0.13	11.9	0.73	0.00	0.07	0.40	3.91	4.58	-		-
SML73	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	78.0	0.12	12.1	0.69	0.00	0.07	0.33	3.87	4.80	-		-
SML74	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	77.7	0.12	12.3	0.66	0.00	0.07	0.40	3.95	4.81		151	Q2FMI
SML76	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	77.6	0.07	12.4	0.66	0.00	0.05	0.35	4.31	4.50		171	Q2FMI
SML78	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	78.7	0.08	12.0	0.54	0.00	0.03	0.20	4.19	4.26	-		-
SML129Z	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	78.0	0.12	12.1	0.69	0.00	0.07	0.33	3.87	4.80	-		-
SML130	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	77.7	0.12	12.3	0.66	0.00	0.07	0.40	3.95	4.81		151	Q2FMI
SML132	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	77.6	0.07	12.4	0.66	0.00	0.05	0.35	4.31	4.50		171	Q2FMI
SML133	SM	WR	SM-Group 3b	78.7	0.08	12.0	0.54	0.00	0.03	0.20	4.19	4.26	-		-