# Notice

This manuscript is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv. It has been submitted for publication to GRL on 01/10/2019 with reference number #2019GL085649. Newer versions may be moderately different with slight variations in content.

## **Manuscript details**

Title: Does a damaged-fault zone mitigate the near-field impact of supershear earthquakes?—Application to the 2018 Mw 7.5 Palu earthquake

Authors: Elif Oral, Huihui Weng and Jean-Paul Ampuero

Contact: elif.oral@geoazur.unice.fr

## Does a damaged-fault zone mitigate the near-field impact of supershear earthquakes?—Application to the 2018 $M_w$ 7.5 Palu earthquake

#### Elif $Oral^1$ , Huihui Weng<sup>1</sup>, and Jean Paul Ampuero<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Côte d'Azur, IRD, CNRS, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, Géoazur, France <sup>2</sup>California Institute of Technology, Seismological Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA

#### Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

# The unexpectedly low rupture speed of the 2018 Palu supershear earthquake can be explained by a fault damage zone. The reduction of rupture speed by a fault damage zone mitigates the near-field ground motion and landslide hazard. Fault zone waves amplify ground motions, but not enough to compensate for the

<sup>13</sup> mitigation effect of rupture speed.

Corresponding author: Elif Oral, elif.oral@geoazur.unice.fr

#### 14 Abstract

The impact of earthquakes can be severely aggravated by cascading secondary hazards. 15 The 2018  $M_w$  7.5 Palu, Indonesia earthquake led to devastating tsunamis and landslides, 16 while triggered submarine landslides possibly contributed substantially to generate the 17 tsunami. The rupture was supershear over most of its length, but its speed was unex-18 pectedly low, between the S-wave velocity  $V_S$  and Eshelby's speed  $\sqrt{2}V_S$ , an unstable 19 speed range in conventional theory. Here, we investigate whether dynamic rupture mod-20 els including a low-velocity fault zone (LVFZ) can reproduce such steady, slow super-21 shear rupture. We then examine numerically how this peculiar feature of the Palu earth-22 quake could have affected the near-field ground motion and thus the secondary hazards. 23 Our findings suggest that the presence of a LVFZ can explain the slowness of the rup-24 ture and may have mitigated the near-field ground motion and induced landslides in Palu. 25

26

#### Plain Language Summary

Earthquakes are produced by slippage quickly unzipping along faults, causing Earth's 27 vibrations that we feel as ground shaking. The shaking can become more catastrophic 28 by triggering other phenomena, like landslides and tsunamis, as did the 2018 Palu (In-29 donesia) earthquake of magnitude 7.5. Generally, the faster the earthquake rupture, the 30 stronger the shaking. The Palu earthquake is among a class of very fast but rare earth-31 quakes whose speed exceeds that of shearing waves in rocks. Theoretically these so-called 32 "supershear earthquakes" can propagate steadily only if faster than a speed known as 33 Eshelby's speed; Surprisingly, the Palu earthquake is slower than this limit. How can we 34 explain this slow, steady supershear rupture in Palu? How does it affect the potential 35 of triggering landslides, including submarine landslides that likely contributed to the tsunami. 36 We address these questions through computer simulations, particularly focusing on the 37 possible effect of a "fault damage zone"—softened rocks surrounding faults because of 38 accumulated rock fracturing throughout the past fault activity. We found that, if a dam-39 age zone exists around the Palu fault, it can explain the slow supershear and may have 40 had the beneficial effect of reducing the shaking, and thus its induced landslide and tsunami 41 hazards in Palu. 42

-2-

#### 43 1 Introduction

The 2018  $M_w$  7.5 earthquake in Palu, Sulawesi, Indonesia, ruptured at a supershear speed. The rupture initiated on an unmapped fault located within the inland Sulawesi neck and propagated 150 km southward on the strike-slip Palu-Koro fault. Studies using teleseismic back-projection revealed that the rupture reached rapidly a steady velocity of about  $V_{rup}$ =4.1 km/s, exceeding the local S-wave velocity  $V_S$ =3.4-3.8 km/s (Bao et al., 2019).

The rupture speed of the Palu earthquake was unexpectedly low as a supershear 50 earthquake, and here we aim at understanding whether the presence of a damaged-fault 51 zone can be the reason behind it. On the basis of theoretical and experimental studies, 52 a stable rupture propagation at supershear speed is only expected at velocities higher 53 than Eshelby's speed  $V_E = \sqrt{2}V_S$  (e.g., Andrews, 1976; Dunham, 2007). Yet, the in-54 ferred rupture speed of the Palu event lies in the unstable supershear regime  $V_S < V_{rup} <$ 55  $V_E$ . One proposed explanation of such a rupture speed is the presence of a low-velocity 56 fault zone (LVFZ). Supershear ruptures in a LVFZ approach the P-wave speed of the 57 LVFZ (Huang et al., 2016). Indeed, Bao et al. (2019) interpreted the observed rupture 58 speed by the possible presence of a LVFZ with 30% velocity reduction. However, pre-59 vious studies modeling supershear rupture in a LVFZ were based on 2D models that ig-60 nored the finiteness of the seismogenic depth, while the Palu earthquake rupture has a 61 high length-to-width ratio (150 km length vs. a typical seismogenic depth of 15-20 km 62 for strike-slip earthquakes). Recent theory and simulations show that the seismogenic 63 width controls the evolution of rupture speed in elongated faults (Weng & Ampuero, 2019). 64 Thus, the first question we address, in section 2, is: can the presence of a LVFZ lead to 65 a slow steady-state supershear rupture (running at the damaged-P-wave speed) in a long 66 rupture with finite seismogenic width? 67

The earthquake also triggered devastating landslides; the rupture properties must have been determinant on the distribution and density of co-seismic landslides. The impact of the earthquake was aggravated by landslides triggered in the proximity of the fault, including submarine landslides in the Palu Bay that likely contributed to the generation of a devastating tsunami (Carvajal et al., 2019). Major co-seismic landslides were reported in four different areas, within 10 km of distance from the fault, on gently-sloping alluvial valley floor (Bradley et al., 2019). Past studies have relied on the empirical eval-

-3-

uation of earthquake-induced landslide hazard by using seismic factors such as earthquake 75 magnitude and epicentral distance (e.g., Keefer, 1984; Papadopoulos & Plessa, 2000; Me-76 unier et al., 2007). Yet, recent research points to the necessity of considering the com-77 bined effect of geo-environmental factors and rupture complexities to improve the haz-78 ard prediction. For example, landslides triggered by the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake were 79 found to be unexpectedly high for a  $M_w$  7.9 event (Xu et al., 2016). Conversely, 1999 80  $M_w$  7.2 Düzce and the 2002  $M_w$  7.9 Denali earthquakes have induced landslides lower 81 than expected for M7+ earthquakes (Görüm et al., 2011). Indeed, despite the similar-82 ities of magnitude, topology, climate and rock type, the difference between the 2015  $M_w$ 83 7.8 Gorkha and the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake-triggered landslide densities is mainly 84 associated with the rupture complexities (Xu et al., 2016; Roback et al., 2018). For that 85 reason, we also investigate the effect of rupture properties of the Palu earthquake on ground 86 motion and consequent landslide triggering in the near field. 87

We scope to clarify whether the ground motion and the consequent landslide-triggering 88 impact during the Palu earthquake were mitigated by the lower rupture speed or aggra-89 vated by wave amplification due to a damage zone. Among the source properties, rup-90 ture speed significantly affects ground motion: a supershear rupture can generate stronger 91 ground motion than a subshear rupture, except if the rupture propagates at sub-Eshelby 92 speed (Aagaard & Heaton, 2004; Dunham & Archuleta, 2005; Bizzarri & Spudich, 2008). 93 On the other hand, waves trapped by a LVFZ can amplify ground motion (Spudich & 94 Olsen, 2001; Ben-Zion et al., 2003; Peng et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2014; Kurzon et al., 95 2014). The Palu earthquake is a sub-Eshelby supershear rupture (relative to the host-96 rock wave speed) and may have occurred within a LVFZ: rupture speed and fault zone 97 structure may have had competing effects on ground motion. Therefore, the second ques-98 tion we address, in section 3, is: in the presence of a LVFZ, can a supershear rupture run-99 ning at the damaged-P-wave speed aggravate near-field ground motion? 100

101

#### 2 Early and sustained supershear at damaged-P-wave speed

102

#### 2.1 2.5D dynamic rupture modeling

We model a dynamic rupture on a vertical strike-slip fault with finite seismogenic width W. For the sake of computational efficiency, we adopt a reduced-dimensionality (2.5D) model, which has been shown to be a successful approximation of 3D rupture mod-

-4-



Figure 1. (a) Conceptual model of a low-velocity fault zone. (b) Supershear transition distance as a function of stress drop (normalized by strength drop) in dynamic rupture models with and without finite seismogenic zone. (c) Spatio-temporal distribution of slip rate and (d) rupture speed vs distance along the fault strike for the simulation where  $\Delta \tau_r = 0.37$ .

els on elongated faults (Weng & Ampuero, 2019). The fault bisects a LVFZ with uniform properties, embedded in an unbounded, homogeneous host rock medium (Figure 1a). The LVFZ is defined by its width H and its reduction of P- and S-wave velocities relative to the host rock,  $\Delta V/V$ . We set  $\Delta V/V = 30\%$ , as hypothesized by Bao et al. (2019). Such a value of velocity reduction is not unusual in mature fault zones (Huang & Ampuero, 2011). We set a Poisson's ratio of 0.25 everywhere.

We artificially initiate the rupture by prescribing a smooth time-weakening front that expands at a prescribed speed,  $0.25V_s$ , as in Andrews (1985). The rupture starts to propagate spontaneously when the time-weakening front exceeds a critical nucleation length. Outside the time-weakening zone, the fault is controlled by the linear slip-weakening friction law (Ida, 1972; Palmer & Rice, 1973), with static and dynamic friction coefficients  $\mu_s = 0.6$  and  $\mu_d = 0.1$ , respectively, and critical slip distance  $D_c$ .

<sup>118</sup> We normalize all spatial parameters by the characteristic frictional length  $L_c = GD_c/\sigma(\mu_s - \mu_d)$ , where G is the shear modulus and  $\sigma$  is the fault normal stress. This <sup>120</sup> length is proportional to the process-zone size that must be well resolved by the numer-<sup>121</sup> ical grid (Day et al., 2005). Due to computational constraints, we assume  $L_c = 400$  m.

Huang et al. (2016) found a correlation between supershear-transition distance, nor-122 malized LVFZ width  $H/L_c$  and initial background stress. We quantify the initial back-123 ground stress by the ratio  $\Delta \tau_r$  of stress drop (difference between initial shear stress and 124 dynamic shear strength  $\sigma \mu_d$ ) to strength drop  $\sigma(\mu_s - \mu_d)$ . For a given  $\Delta \tau_r$ , the supershear-125 transition distance increases as a function of  $H/L_c$ . Here, we set  $H = 2L_c$  to mimic 126 the early superhear transition of the Palu earthquake for a considerably wide range of 127 initial stress conditions. LVFZ widths most often range between 100 and 400 m, with 128 some exceptions exceeding 1 km (Huang & Ampuero, 2011). In our model, the LVFZ 129 width equals 800 m. We set the seismogenic width as  $W = 30L_c$ , which corresponds 130 to 12 km. 131

The simulations are done with the spectral element code SEM2DPACK (Ampuero, 132 2002, 2012). We set the element size sufficiently small to resolve the process-zone size: 133  $0.1L_c$  and  $0.5L_c$  with 9 Gauss-Lobatto-Legendre (GLL) nodes per element edge in the 134 LVFZ and host-rock media, respectively. Numerical oscillations are mitigated by arti-135 ficial damping around the fault. The model domain and the duration of the simulation 136 are chosen such that the rupture does not reach the fault end, and spurious numerical 137 reflections at the model boundaries do not reach the rupture. Perfectly Matched Lay-138 ers are imposed at all model boundaries. 139

#### 140

#### 2.2 Results

Our analyses show that, even when accounting for the finite seismogenic width, the 141 supershear transition can occur early if the background stress is sufficiently high. We per-142 formed simulations at different background stress ratios ranging from 0.2 to 0.48. Fig-143 ure 1 (b) shows the supershear-transition distance for each case, compared to the results 144 without W-effect (2D simulations equivalent to  $W = \infty$ ). The transition occurs at shorter 145 distances for a higher initial stress. This trend is qualitatively similar to that in the infinite-146 W case: the effect of the seismogenic depth slightly delays the supershear transition, by 147 less than 20%. The calculated transition distance ranges roughly from 4 to 15 km if  $\Delta \tau_r$ 148 ranges from 0.3 to 0.5. Considering the uncertainties of relative location in the back-projection 149 imaging of the Palu earthquake by Bao et al. (2019), these models are consistent with 150 the observed early supershear-transition distance. 151

-6-

The observed rupture speed of the Palu event is not surprisingly low for a dam-152 aged fault; the presence of a LVFZ can induce a steady-state supershear rupture at the 153 damaged-P-wave speed, even on an elongated fault. We further present the rupture prop-154 erties in one of the cases where the supershear transition distance is consistent with the 155 observation, namely the case  $\Delta \tau_r = 0.37$ . The distribution of slip rate as a function of 156 distance along strike and time is shown in Figure 1 (c). The rupture is initially sub-shear 157 and transitions to supershear at a distance of 20  $L_c$  (corresponding to 8 km). The rup-158 ture speed stabilizes at  $\sim 1.2V_s$  (Figure 1d), which is the P-wave speed of the LVFZ medium. 159 Given the observed rupture speed, 4.1 km/s, for an approximate S-wave speed of host 160 rock of 3.5 km/s for the Palu event, the results of our dynamic rupture modeling sup-161 port the possibility that the LVFZ presence promotes a persistent slow supershear rup-162 ture at damaged-P-wave speed. 163

Slow supershear events as the Palu earthquake should not be surprising for major 164 faults with a pronounced damage zone. Although we focused above on a single set of pa-165 rameters that represents well the short supershear-transition distance and slow rupture 166 features of the Palu event, given that we found the W-effect is not dramatic, the effect 167 of different values of fault zone width and velocity reduction can be anticipated based 168 on the findings of comprehensive sensitivity analyses in 2D by Huang and Ampuero (2011); 169 Huang et al. (2014, 2016). According to these studies, the presence of a LVFZ leads to 170 a lower critical stress value for supershear transition than in homogeneous media, ow-171 ing to dynamic stress perturbations induced by fault zone waves. Moreover, the rupture 172 speed depends on initial stress and LVFZ properties. If the LVFZ is too narrow (e.g., 173  $H \ll L_c$  if  $\Delta V/V = 30\%$ ) the wavelength of head waves inside the LVFZ is too short 174 to induce a permanent supershear transition at any initial stress level. If the LVFZ is 175 too wide  $(H > 6L_c \text{ if } \Delta V/V = 30\%)$  very long distances  $(> 100L_c)$  or high initial 176 stress values ( $\Delta \tau_r > 0.45$ ) are required to promote supershear speed. Thus, for the case 177 with 30% velocity reduction, the range of LVFZ widths that likely promotes slow super-178 shear rupture is  $1 < H/L_c < 6$ . This condition implies LVFZ widths ranging from 400 179 m to 2.4 km for our particular choice of  $L_c$  value. Such range involves values near and 180 above the upper end of real LVFZ widths. A smaller  $L_c$  value allows for slow supershear 181 rupture well within the usual range of natural LVFZ widths. Therefore, rupture prop-182 agation at the speed of the Palu earthquake can be expected under a considerably wide 183 range of conditions, supporting the slow supershear hypothesis of Huang et al. (2016) 184

-7-

for past earthquakes such as the 1906 San Francisco and the 1999  $M_w$  7.1 Düzce earthquakes (Ben-Zion et al., 2003; Song et al., 2008). Better constraining LVFZ properties and  $L_c$  could help to test further this hypothesis.

#### 3 Changes in near-field ground motion during a slow supershear rupture

190

#### 3.1 3D wave propagation modeling

To investigate the near-field ground motions during a persistent supershear rupture, we simulated steady-state ruptures in 3D, with prescribed constant stress drop and constant rupture speed. Following the procedure of Andrews (1985) and Dunham and Bhat (2008), we force the friction coefficient to weaken linearly as a function of time inside a process zone, which propagates at prescribed speed. At the tail of the process zone, the friction coefficient equals  $\mu_d$ . To avoid stress singularities at the rupture tip, the peak fault strength and process zone size are not prescribed but vary spontaneously.

We created three different models: Model A is a fast supershear model without LVFZ; 198 Model B is a slow supershear model with a LVFZ; and Model C is a slow supershear model 199 without LVFZ. We set  $V_S = 3.5$  km/s for all models, such that  $V_P = 6.06$  km/s,  $V_E = 4.95$ 200 km/s, and Poisson's ratio is 0.25. We set the rupture speed in Model A as 5.95 km/s, 201 close to the P-wave speed; and in Models B and C as 4.17 km/s, a sub-Eshelby speed. 202 The element sizes are 0.4 and 1.2 km in the LVFZ and host-rock media, respectively. 5 203 GLL points are used per spectral element edge. The grid allows for a resolution up to 204 2 Hz; we apply a Butterworth low-pass filter with 2 Hz corner frequency to all simulated 205 signals before analysis. The model length, width and depth are 360 km, 180 km and 36 206 km, respectively. We set the seismogenic width to 12 km. Spurious numerical reflections 207 from model boundaries are mitigated by absorbing boundary layers. We let the rupture 208 propagate a distance of 72 km (that is, 6 W). The simulations are conducted with the 209 SPECFEM3D software (Kaneko et al., 2008; Tromp et al., 2008; Galvez et al., 2014). We 210 verified that the final slip is similar in the three models (differences are of about 10 %). 211

We evaluated the induced-landslide potential, in relative terms, by comparing seismic intensity parameters. Many studies of co-seismic landslide susceptibility have used seismic intensity parameters such as peak ground velocity (PGV), peak ground acceleration (PGA) and Arias intensity  $(I_a)$ . Several quantitative analyses on past co-seismic

-8-



Figure 2. Maximum peak-to-peak amplitude of ground velocities for (a) fast supershear and (b) slow supershear models. All values are normalized by the maximum value of Model A.

landslides also point to the correlation between these parameters and observed distri-216 bution patterns of landslides (e.g., Refice & Capolongo, 2002; Meunier et al., 2007). Al-217 though the combined use of these parameters has been proposed to improve the predic-218 tion of landslide displacement (Saygılı & Rathje, 2008), a recent comparative study sug-219 gests that all parameters produce similar results (Dreyfus et al., 2013). Therefore, in this 220 study, we discuss the landslide-triggering impact of ground motion by using PGV. Given 221 the limitations of our simulations to low frequency (< 2 Hz), we provide PGA and  $I_a$ 222 results only for reference in supplementary material. 223

224

#### 3.2 Mitigation of near-field landslide hazard by sub-Eshelby rupture speed

Peak ground motion is notably attenuated due to the reduction of rupture speed. As expected, P waves attenuate with distance in both models, and large S-wave amplitudes persist to long distances within Mach cones (Figure 2). The overall spatial extension of the highest PGV values is wider in Model A than in Model B given the wide expansion of the Mach cone in Model A due to its high rupture speed.

The reduction in ground-motion amplitude is related to the significant attenuation of waves in the whole frequency band due to the slow supershear speed. We compare the acceleration spectra between Models A and B at a strike distance of 40 km (Figure S1.1). When the rupture front reaches a distance of 72 km, the Mach cone of Model A has propagated to 22 km off the fault at 40 km along strike. Within this distance, the ground motion in Model B is weaker than in Model A at all frequencies (except for the partial amplification of low-frequency motion of Model B close to the fault in the fault-normal direction). This damping effect affects all components of ground motion at the same distance (Figure S1.2).

To mimic the landslide-triggering impact of our models on reported landslide lo-239 cations of the Palu earthquake, we evaluate the ground motion at a fixed along-strike 240 distance. Both submarine and inland landslides of the Palu earthquake are reported at 241 locations that are considerably far from the fault end, and where the rupture presum-242 ably propagated at a steady state. In addition, these sites are located at comparable dis-243 tances in units of W, such that we interpret the landslide hazard of these sites by an-244 alyzing the ground motion at different off-fault distances but at a fixed along-strike dis-245 tance. 246

The analyses on peak-ground velocities point to the reduction of landslide-triggering 247 potential in the slow supershear model. We compare the dependence of PGV on off-fault 248 distance at a strike distance of 40 km—we verified that the rupture reached steady state 249 there—between Models A and B (Figure 3a). The largest values occur in the vicinity 250 of the fault in both models, and the difference of PGV between the models vanishes with 251 increasing distance to the fault. Within the distance of Mach-front propagation (< 22) 252 km), the PGV values of the fast supershear model are higher than those of the slow su-253 pershear model. 254

Our results support the findings of past studies: a smaller rupture speed (here caused by the presence of a LVFZ) results in a significant reduction of the amplitudes of nearfield ground motion and consequent landslide-triggering impact, and this influence of rupture speed on ground motion is valid at various distances from the fault (within 30 km here).

260

### 3.3 Enhanced high-frequency ground motion and landslide hazard caused by damage

High-frequency waves are amplified due to the damaged-fault zone over a wide range of off-fault distances. To isolate the effect of the presence of a LVFZ, we compare two

-10-



Figure 3. Comparison of peak ground velocities vs distance from the fault between fast (Model A) and slow (Model B) supershear models (a), and between slow supershear models with damage (Model B) and without damage (Model C) (b). All values are normalized by the maximum value of the Model A.

- slow supershear models with and without LVFZ (Models B and C, respectively). Our
  analysis of acceleration spectra suggests that the presence of a LVFZ results in slight amplification of the ground motion, in particular at frequencies above 0.5 Hz (Figure S1.4).
  This is expected, since the resonance frequency of waves normally reflected at the LVFZhost rock interface is 0.39 Hz.
- The high-frequency amplification due to the damaged-fault zone leads to the increase of landslide-triggering impact based on our analyses. We compare the PGV values of the two models in Figure 3 (b). In both, PGV decays with off-fault distance; but in the presence of a LVFZ (model B), the PGV values are amplified because of enhanced high-frequency radiation. To well constrain the effect of the LVFZ, we made the comparison in the frequency band of 0.5-2 Hz; PGV amplification due to the LVFZ at a strike distance of 40 km is pronounced particularly between approximately 6 and 16 km.
- The reflections due to the velocity contrast between the LVFZ and host rock can result in an amplified high-frequency motion that could also increase the landslide-triggering impact at farther distances to the fault. Considering the extent of the sites where landslides are reported, our results indicate that the landslide triggering potential of the Palu earthquake may have been aggravated by the presence of a damaged-fault zone (comparison of Model B and C). Yet, in the previous subsection, we found a dampening effect of the LVFZ on landslide triggering potential, via its effect on rupture velocity (com-

-11-

parison of Model A and B). Out of these two competing effects of the LVFZ, the former 283

one (amplification) is relatively slight. 284

285

#### 4 Conclusions and Discussion

Our 2.5D dynamic rupture models of the Palu earthquake suggest that, also for elongated-286 fault ruptures that saturate the seismogenic thickness, the presence of a damaged-fault 287 zone can promote an early and persistent supershear rupture at a speed that is unex-288 pectedly slow for intact rock, namely the P-wave speed of the damaged rock. 289

The near-field ground motion produced by a supershear rupture is much weaker 290 if it runs at the damaged-P-wave speed and if this speed is lower than the Eshelby's speed 291 of the host rock. The presence of a damaged-fault zone also amplifies high-frequency ground 292 motion (> 0.5 Hz) up to long distances from the fault (30 km). Yet, the latter effect is 293 weaker, thus overall the presence of a LVFZ mitigates the near-field ground motion and 294 its landslide triggering potential. 295

Our findings support the strong influence of the rupture dynamics and fault zone 296 structure on near-field ground motion and earthquake-induced landslides. The results 297 of our simplified modeling can serve as a reference for more realistic studies where to-298 pography, heterogeneous material properties, and liquefaction potential are accounted 299 for on a broader frequency band. 300

Our results can be helpful for further understanding the role of low-velocity fault 301 zones on past and future earthquakes (e.g., Perrin et al., 2016). For example, the pres-302 ence of a damaged-fault zone was speculated as an explanation of the difference of rup-303 ture speed between the northern and southern sides of the fault during the 1999  $M_w$  7.4 304 Izmit earthquake (Bouchon et al., 2001). Mai (2019) draws attention to the striking sim-305 ilarities between the Izmit and Palu cases for further earthquake mitigation programs— 306 the rupture of Izmit earthquake also propagated for 150 km on a strike-slip fault; and 307 co-seismic tsunamis were triggered and locally amplified presumably because of tectonic 308 subsidence and submarine landslides within the narrow Izmit Bay (Yalçmer et al., 2000). 309

#### Acknowledgments 310

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through project 311 FAULTS\_R\_GEMS (grant ANR-17-CE31-0008) and Investments-in-the-Future project 312

-12-

313	UCAJEDI (grant ANR-15-IDEX-01). We acknowledge Luis A. Dalguer for helpful com-
314	ments that improved the content of this manuscript. All data to reproduce this work is
315	available online: 2D and 3D wave propagation modeling tools can be found at $\verb+https://$
316	github.com/jpampuero/sem2dpack and https://github.com/geodynamics/specfem3d
317	addresses.

#### 318 References

- Aagaard, B. T., & Heaton, T. H. (2004). Near-source ground motions from simula tions of sustained intersonic and supersonic fault ruptures. Bulletin of the Seis mological Society of America, 94 (6), 2064–2078.
- Ampuero, J.-P. (2002). Etude physique et numérique de la nucléation des séismes.
   PhD Thesis, University of Paris VII, France.
- Ampuero, J.-P. (2012). A spectral element method tool for 2d wave propagation and earthquake source dynamics users guide.
- Andrews, D. (1976). Rupture velocity of plane strain shear cracks. Journal of Geophysical Research, 81(32), 5679–5687.
- Andrews, D. (1985). Dynamic plane-strain shear rupture with a slip-weakening friction law calculated by a boundary integral method. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 75(1), 1–21.
- Bao, H., Ampuero, J.-P., Meng, L., Fielding, E. J., Liang, C., Milliner, C. W., ...
- Huang, H. (2019). Early and persistent supershear rupture of the 2018 magnitude 7.5 palu earthquake. *Nature Geoscience*, 12(3), 200–205.
- Ben-Zion, Y., Peng, Z., Okaya, D., Seeber, L., Armbruster, J. G., Ozer, N., ... Aktar, M. (2003). A shallow fault-zone structure illuminated by trapped waves
  in the karadere–duzce branch of the north anatolian fault, western turkey. *Geophysical Journal International*, 152(3), 699–717.
- Bizzarri, A., & Spudich, P. (2008). Effects of supershear rupture speed on the highfrequency content of s waves investigated using spontaneous dynamic rupture
  models and isochrone theory. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
  113 (B5).
- Bouchon, M., Bouin, M.-P., Karabulut, H., Toksöz, M. N., Dietrich, M., & Rosakis,
  A. J. (2001). How fast is rupture during an earthquake? new insights from the
  1999 turkey earthquakes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(14), 2723–2726.

345	Bradley, K., Mallick, R., Alfian, D., Andikagumi, H., Benazir, B., Brocard, G.,
346	others (2019). Wet rice cultivation was the primary cause of the earthquake-
347	triggered palu landslides.
348	Carvajal, M., Araya-Cornejo, C., Sepúlveda, I., Melnick, D., & Haase, J. S. (2019).
349	Nearly instantaneous tsunamis following the mw 7.5 2018 palu earthquake.
350	Geophysical Research Letters, 46(10), 5117–5126.
351	Day, S. M., Dalguer, L. A., Lapusta, N., & Liu, Y. (2005). Comparison of finite
352	difference and boundary integral solutions to three-dimensional spontaneous
353	rupture. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 110(B12).
354	Dreyfus, D., Rathje, E. M., & Jibson, R. W. (2013). The influence of different sim-
355	plified sliding-block models and input parameters on regional predictions of
356	seismic landslides triggered by the northridge earthquake. Engineering $geology$ ,
357	163, 41-54.
358	Dunham, E. M. (2007). Conditions governing the occurrence of supershear ruptures
359	under slip-weakening friction. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
360	<i>112</i> (B7).
361	Dunham, E. M., & Archuleta, R. J. (2005). Near-source ground motion from steady
362	state dynamic rupture pulses. Geophysical Research Letters, $32(3)$ .
363	Dunham, E. M., & Bhat, H. S. (2008). Attenuation of radiated ground motion
364	and stresses from three-dimensional supershear ruptures. Journal of $Geophysi$ -
365	cal Research: Solid Earth, 113(B8).
366	Galvez, P., Ampuero, JP., Dalguer, L. A., Somala, S. N., & Nissen-Meyer, T.
367	(2014). Dynamic earthquake rupture modelled with an unstructured 3-d spec-
368	tral element method applied to the 2011 m 9 to hoku earthquake. $Geophysical$
369	$Journal \ International, \ 198(2), \ 1222-1240.$
370	Görüm, T., Fan, X., van Westen, C. J., Huang, R. Q., Xu, Q., Tang, C., & Wang, G.
371	(2011). Distribution pattern of earthquake-induced landslides triggered by the
372	12 may 2008 wenchuan earthquake. Geomorphology, $133(3-4)$ , 152–167.
373	Huang, Y., & Ampuero, JP. (2011). Pulse-like ruptures induced by low-velocity
374	fault zones. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 116(B12).
375	Huang, Y., Ampuero, JP., & Helmberger, D. V. (2014). Earthquake ruptures
376	modulated by waves in damaged fault zones. Journal of Geophysical Research:
377	Solid Earth, 119(4), 3133–3154.

- Huang, Y., Ampuero, J.-P., & Helmberger, D. V. (2016). The potential for supershear earthquakes in damaged fault zones-theory and observations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 433, 109–115.
- Ida, Y. (1972). Cohesive force across the tip of a longitudinal-shear crack and griffith's specific surface energy. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 77(20), 3796– 3805.
- Kaneko, Y., Lapusta, N., & Ampuero, J.-P. (2008). Spectral element modeling of
   spontaneous earthquake rupture on rate and state faults: Effect of velocity strengthening friction at shallow depths. Journal of Geophysical Research:
   Solid Earth, 113 (B9).
- Keefer, D. K. (1984). Landslides caused by earthquakes. Geological Society of Amer *ica Bulletin*, 95(4), 406–421.
- Kurzon, I., Vernon, F. L., Ben-Zion, Y., & Atkinson, G. (2014). Ground motion
   prediction equations in the san jacinto fault zone: Significant effects of rup ture directivity and fault zone amplification. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*,
   171(11), 3045–3081.
- Mai, P. M. (2019). Supershear tsunami disaster. *Nature Geoscience*, 12(3), 150.
- Meunier, P., Hovius, N., & Haines, A. J. (2007). Regional patterns of earthquake triggered landslides and their relation to ground motion. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34 (20).
- Palmer, A. C., & Rice, J. R. (1973). The growth of slip surfaces in the progres sive failure of over-consolidated clay. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Lon-* don. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 332(1591), 527–548.
- Papadopoulos, G. A., & Plessa, A. (2000). Magnitude–distance relations for
  earthquake-induced landslides in greece. *Engineering Geology*, 58(3-4), 377–
  386.
- Peng, Z., Ben-Zion, Y., Michael, A. J., & Zhu, L. (2003). Quantitative analysis of
  seismic fault zone waves in the rupture zone of the 1992 landers, california,
  earthquake: evidence for a shallow trapping structure. *Geophysical Journal International*, 155(3), 1021–1041.
- Perrin, C., Manighetti, I., Ampuero, J.-P., Cappa, F., & Gaudemer, Y. (2016). Location of largest earthquake slip and fast rupture controlled by along-strike
  change in fault structural maturity due to fault growth. *Journal of Geophysical*

411	Research: Solid Earth, 121(5), 3666–3685.
412	Refice, A., & Capolongo, D. (2002). Probabilistic modeling of uncertainties in
413	earthquake-induced landslide hazard assessment. Computers & Geosciences,
414	28(6), 735-749.
415	Roback, K., Clark, M. K., West, A. J., Zekkos, D., Li, G., Gallen, S. F., Godt,
416	J. W. (2018). The size, distribution, and mobility of landslides caused by the
417	2015 mw<7. 8 gorkha earthquake, nepal. Geomorphology, 301, 121–138.
418	Saygılı, G., & Rathje, E. M. (2008). Empirical predictive models for earthquake-
419	induced sliding displacements of slopes. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvi-
420	ronmental Engineering, 134(6), 790-803.
421	Song, S. G., Beroza, G. C., & Segall, P. (2008). A unified source model for the
422	1906 san francisco earthquake. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of Amer-
423	ica, 98(2), 823-831.
424	Spudich, P., & Olsen, K. (2001). Fault zone amplified waves as a possible seismic
425	hazard along the calaveras fault in central california. Geophysical Research Let-
426	ters, 28(13), 2533-2536.
427	Tromp, J., Komatitsch, D., & Liu, Q. (2008). Spectral-element and adjoint methods
428	in seismology. Communications in Computational Physics, $\beta(1)$ , 1–32.
429	Weng, H., & Ampuero, JP. (2019). The dynamics of elongated earthquake rup-
430	tures. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.
431	Xu, C., Xu, X., Tian, Y., Shen, L., Yao, Q., Huang, X., Ma, S. (2016). Two
432	comparable earthquakes produced greatly different coseismic landslides: The
433	2015 gorkha, nepal and 2008 wenchuan, china events. Journal of Earth Sci-
434	ence, 27(6), 1008-1015.
435	Yalçıner, A. C., Altinok, Y., Synolakis, C. E., Borrero, J., Imamura, F., Ersoy, S.,
436	others (2000). Tsunami waves in izmit bay. <i>Earthquake Spectra</i> , 16(SUPPL.

437 A), 55–62.