This manuscript is a preprint and, despite having undergone peer-review, has yet to be formally accepted for publication. Subsequent versions of this manuscript may thus have different content. If accepted, the final version of this manuscript will be available via the 'Peer-reviewed Publication DOI' link on the right-hand side of this webpage. Please feel free to contact any of the authors directly or to comment on the manuscript using hypothes.is (https://web.hypothes.is/). We welcome feedback!

1	How do deep-water volcanoes grow?
2	
3	Qiliang Sun ^{1,2,3} , Craig Magee ⁴ , Christopher A-L. Jackson ⁵ , Samuel J. Mitchell ⁶ , Xinong Xie ^{1,3}
4	
5	¹ Key Laboratory of Tectonics and Petroleum Resources, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan), Ministry of
6	Education, Wuhan 430074, China;
7	² Laboratory for Marine Mineral Resources, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology,
8	Qingdao 266061, China;
9	³ College of Marine Science and Technology, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan), Wuhan, Hubei 430074,
10	PR China;
11	⁴ Institute of Geophysics and Tectonics, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT,
12	UK;
13	⁵ Basins Research Group (BRG), Department of Earth Science & Engineering, Imperial College, London, SW7
14	2BP, UK;
15	⁶ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1RJ, UK
16	
17	

18 Abstract

19 Deep-water volcanoes are emplaced in water depths >1.0 km and are widespread along 20 continental margins and in ocean basins. Whilst the external morphology of deep-water volcanoes can be mapped using bathymetric surveys, their internal structure and true volume remain 21 22 enigmatic. It is thus difficult to determine how deep-water volcanoes grow. We investigate 13 23 Late Miocene-to-Quaternary, deep-water volcanoes that are imaged in 3D by seismic reflection 24 data from the northern South China Sea, which allow us to quantify their external morphology 25 and examine their internal structure. These deep-water volcanoes were emplaced in water depths >1.5 km, are relatively small (<3.0 km diameter, <0.56 km tall, and <0.92 km³ in volume), 26 and have steep slopes (up to 42°). Most of the volcanoes have erosional, 'crater-like' bases, infilled 27 with sub-horizontal seismic reflections. These crater-like bases are overlain by downward-28 29 converging, conical seismic reflections delineating the classical volcano morphology. We suggest 30 the crater-like bases formed by excavation of cold, wet, and poorly consolidated near-seabed 31 sediment during expulsion of hydrothermal fluid, and not by explosive magmatic eruptions or 32 gravitational subsidence. Erupted igneous material infilled the precursor craters with the observed 33 sub-horizontal layers, likely comprising hyaloclastites. After this initial phase of volcanism, the 34 buildup of volcanic material produced layers that are now represented by the flank-parallel or 35 downward-converging, conical seismic reflections. We suggest high hydrostatic pressures of >15 MPa, which are typical of water depths >1.5 km, inhibited degassing and fragmentation of 36 37 ascending magma and thus erupted lava. This lack of degassing and fragmentation permitted 38 effusive eruptions during the latter stages of volcanism. Our models for volcano growth in the 39 deep submarine realm demonstrate the power of using 3D seismic data when investigating the 40 internal structure and total volume of deep-water volcanoes.

41

42 Keywords: deep-water volcanoes, volcanism, extrusion dynamics, growth mechanism, erosion,
43 South China Sea

44

45 **1. Introduction**

46 Volcanoes occur in a variety of plate boundary and intra-plate settings across Earth's surface. 47 Determining how volcanoes grow is not only critical to predicting and mitigating volcanic 48 hazards, but this understanding can also provide information on the underlying plumbing system structure (e.g., feeder and reservoir locations) and magma dynamics (e.g., composition and supply 49 rate) (e.g., Moore and Clague, 1992; Arnulf et al., 2014; Clague et al., 2018). Volcanoes emplaced 50 51 on land are typically well-studied and, by comparing their external morphology to similar 52 neighboring edifices, we can infer they are broadly built through fluctuations between so-called 53 summit- and diameter-prone growth (e.g., Moore and Clague, 1992; Rossi, 1996; Grosse et al., 2009; Karlstrom et al., 2018). Yet without direct access to volcano interiors, it is difficult to test 54 55 growth models predicted from their external morphology alone. Examining ancient, (partially) eroded volcanoes provides some insight into how volcanoes are constructed, but modification of 56 57 their original shape means we cannot assess relationship between internal structure and external 58 morphology (e.g., Goto and Tomiya, 2019). By using traditional remote-sensing and/or field-59 based techniques, we can therefore either quantify the external morphology of uneroded

volcanoes, but not know their internal structure, or study the interiors of eroded volcanoes where
information on their original edifice shape has been lost. Our ability to only constrain either the
external morphology *or* internal structure of onshore volcanoes, but not both, limits our
understanding of how volcanoes grow.

64 Remote sensing data and lithostratigraphic analysis of well cores allow us to constrain the 65 external geometry and internal structure of the evolution of shallow- and deep-water volcanoes (e.g., Smith, 1988; Magee et al., 2013; Cocchi et al., 2016; Buchs et al. 2018). In particular, 66 seismic reflection imaging of volcanoes provides a unique opportunity to resolve uncertainties 67 regarding volcano growth, given these data can image both the external morphology and internal 68 69 structure of volcanoes (e.g., Gatliff et al., 1984; Calves et al., 2011; Magee et al., 2013; Reynolds 70 et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2019). For example, by using 2D seismic reflection data offshore southern 71 Australia, Magee et al. (2013) showed trends in the external morphology of buried, shallow-water 72 shield volcanoes were consistent with growth via summit eruptions and a proportionate increase 73 in summit height and volcano diameters. Interpretation of reflections within the volcanoes reveal 74 the majority of volcanoes did indeed grow by a proportionate increase in summit height and basal 75 diameter (i.e. the layers were parallel to the volcano flanks) (Magee et al., 2013; see also Reynolds 76 et al., 2018). A similar seismic-based study of shallow-water volcanoes (water depth <200 m), 77 emplaced along the western Indian rifted margin, reveal they preferentially grew via increases in 78 diameter without a commensurate increase in summit height (Calves et al., 2011). Whilst seismic 79 reflection data have been used to unravel the growth of shallow-water volcanoes, few studies 80 have utilized these data to study the internal structure of deep-water (>1 km) volcanoes (e.g., 81 Gatliff et al., 1984; Sun et al., 2019).

Discerning how deep-water volcanoes erupt and grow is critical for: (1) accurate assessment of deep-water volcanic hazards (e.g. submarine landslides and associated tsunami; e.g. Staudigel and Clague, 2010); (2) calculation of accurate eruptive and total volume estimates, which contribute to understanding melting conditions in the underlying crust and/or mantle (e.g. Buchs et al., 2018; Sun et al. 2019); and (3) determining the role of volcanoes in gas venting and hydrothermal circulation (e.g. Planke et al., 2005). Importantly, high hydrostatic pressures in deep-water settings, which can inhibit degassing, ascent rate, and fragmentation of magma, mean the extrusion dynamics of deep-water volcanoes may fundamentally differ from their onshore and
shallow-water counterparts (e.g. Gregg and Fornari, 1998; Cas and Simmons, 2018; Carey et al.,
2018; Manga et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2019). These differences in eruption style and underlying
controls suggest we may not be able to simply apply our knowledge of volcanism in other,
subaerial or shallow water settings, to understand how deep-water volcanoes grow (e.g. Gregg
and Fornari, 1998; Manga et al., 2018). It is therefore necessary to image the internal structure of
deep-water volcanoes to reveal their growth history.

96 Here, we use 3D seismic reflection data to investigate 13 deep-water volcanoes located along 97 the continental margin of the northern South China Sea. These Late Miocene-Present volcanoes 98 were emplaced close to the Continent-Ocean Boundary (COB) in water depths >1.5 km. Our 3D 99 seismic reflection data allow us to map the external morphologies and internal structures of these 100 volcanoes in unprecedented detail. From our seismic reflection imaging, we propose the majority 101 of studied deep-water volcanoes grew through an initial phase of crater formation driven by 102 escape of hydrothermal fluids, which became infilled. Volcanic cones developed on top of these 103 infilled craters, or in two cases directly on undisturbed seabed sediment, primarily grew by 104 proportionate increases in summit height and basal diameter, thereby maintaining their slope 105 angle; some volcanoes appear to have grown by preferential addition of material to summit 106 regions. Although similar growth models have been proposed for volcanic cones in subaerial and 107 shallow marine settings, we demonstrate the deep-water volcanoes we study are relatively smaller 108 and have steeper slopes. We attribute the initial phase of crater formation and morphological 109 differences between deep-water volcanoes and those in other settings, to the unique physical conditions under which deep-water volcanoes evolve. Our work shows seismic reflection data is 110 111 a powerful tool for unravelling volcano growth.

112

113 **2.** Geological setting

The South China Sea is located in a complex tectonic region between the Eurasian, Pacific and India-Australia plates (e.g. Briais et al., 1993; Franke et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014) (Fig. 1a). The South China Sea evolved as a magma-poor rift, culminating in seafloor spreading, the onset of which varied across the region (e.g. Clift et al., 2001; Cullen et al., 2010; Larsen et al., 2018). Seafloor spreading began in the East Sub-basin in the early Oligocene (~33 Ma; Briais et al., 1993;
Li et al., 2014), before the spreading center jumped to the Southwest Sub-basin in the late
Oligocene (~25 Ma) (e.g. Franke et al., 2014). Spreading ceased sometime in the middle Miocene
(~15.0-15.5 Ma; Briais et al., 1993; Li et al., 2014). Since the late Miocene (~10.5 Ma), tectonic
activity in the northeastern part of South China Sea has been mainly driven by its collision with
the Philippine Sea Plate (i.e. the Dongsha Event; e.g. Lüdmann and Wong, 1999).

The study area is located to the south of the Dongsha Islands in the northern South China Sea 124 125 (Fig. 1a). Geological and geophysical studies (e.g. borehole, gravity, magnetic, and 2D and 3D seismic reflection data) indicate widespread Cenozoic volcanism across the northern South China 126 127 Sea (e.g. Li and Liang, 1994; Yan et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2016). From the early Paleocene to 128 earliest Oligocene, before the onset of seafloor spreading, intermediate-acidic volcanoes were emplaced in a subaerial setting (Yan et al., 2006). From the Oligocene to middle Miocene, there 129 130 was a compositional and environmental transition to the emplacement of mafic-to-intermediate volcanoes in shallow-water (<200 m) and subaerial settings (e.g. Yan et al., 2006; Lester et al., 131 132 2014) (Fig. 1a). Rapid post-emplacement subsidence led to these volcanoes being deeply buried 133 (up to depths of 1.5 km) beneath the current seafloor (e.g. Zhao et al., 2016). Late Miocene and 134 younger, intra-plate volcanoes (Figs. 1b-c) were emplaced close to the continent-ocean boundary (Clift et al., 2001; Sun et al., 2019). Recent IODP Expeditions 349/367/368 drilled several of 135 136 these deep-water volcanoes in the South China Sea, revealing they are primarily basaltic (e.g. Li 137 et al., 2014; Larsen et al., 2018), and that some were emplaced during continental breakup and 138 directly covered by deep-water (>1.3 km) nanofossil-bearing clay sediments (Larsen et al., 2018). 139 Many of the deep-water volcanoes, emplaced since the Late Miocene, feed long run-out lava flows that have irregular basal morphologies (Sun et al., 2019) (Figs. 1b-c). The volumes of these 140 long run-out lavas appear equivalent to, or substantially greater than, that of the erupted material 141 contained in the volcanoes themselves (Sun et al., 2019). 142

143

144 **3. Datasets and methods**

We use a time-migrated 3D seismic reflection dataset covering ~1150 km² to study the external
 morphology and internal structure of deep-water volcanoes in the South China Sea (Fig. 1a). The

147 data were acquired in 2012 using eight tuned air source guns, each with a volume of 8×20 in³, to produce a total shot volume of 8×160 in³. Six 3000 m-long, 240-channel streamers with a 148 spacing of 12.5 m were used to tow the hydrophones. The data are zero-phase processed with 149 150 ordinary processing procedures (e.g. digital filtering, deconvolution, dynamic/static correction, 151 offset stack, etc.), and displayed with Society of Exploration Geophysicists (SEG) normal polarity. 152 A downward increase in acoustic impedance therefore corresponds to a positive reflection event (red on displayed seismic profiles) and a downward decrease in acoustic impedance corresponds 153 154 to a negative reflection (black on displayed seismic profiles) (e.g. Brown, 2004).

The dominant frequency in the interval of interest (i.e. 0-400 m below the seabed) is ~40 Hz. 155 156 The estimated limit of separability within the deep-water strata (i.e. nanofossil-bearing clay) 157 encasing the volcanoes is ~ 14 m, based on a seismic velocity of 2.2 km/s for the sedimentary rocks; this velocity is derived from nearby seismic refraction profiles (Yan et al., 2001; Wei et al., 158 2011) (Fig. 1a). There are no seismic velocity data available for the studied deep-water volcanoes, 159 but we assume they have an interval velocity of the 4.0 ± 1.0 km/s based on: (i) measured seismic 160 161 velocities of ~3.0-5.0 km/s for basaltic rocks (lava flows, volcaniclastic breccias and pyroclastics) 162 intersected by nearby boreholes (e.g. BY7-1 and IODP 1431) (Li et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2016); 163 (ii) velocities of \sim 3.0-5.5 km/s obtained from seismic refraction profiles that cover other deepwater volcanoes within the basin (Yan et al., 2001; Wei et al., 2011); (iii) typical seismic velocities 164 165 calculated from boreholes penetrating basaltic submarine volcanoes (~3.3-5.5 km/s) elsewhere (Calvès et al., 2011); and (iv) the size of observed seismic velocity anomaly-induced 'pull-ups' 166 beneath the studied volcanoes (V7, V11, and V13, Fig. S1), caused by acoustic waves travelling 167 faster through hard, crystalline igneous rocks than the surrounding sedimentary strata (Jackson, 168 2012; Magee et al., 2013; Reynolds et al., 2018). With regard to the latter point, we calculate 169 interval velocities of 3.2-4.1 km/s for the three volcanoes (V7, V11, and V13), derived from the 170 magnitude of velocity pull-up artifacts (~82.7 ms - 161.3 ms TWT high) present in underlying 171 172 seismic reflections (Fig. S1):

173 Vpi =
$$\frac{Ts \times Vps}{Ti}$$

where Vps (Vps = 2.2 km/s) and Vpi are the seismic velocities of encasing rocks/sediments and
igneous rocks; Ts and Ti are the seismic wave travel time in the encasing rocks/sediments and

igneous rocks, respectively (Fig. 2a).

177 Given a dominant frequency of ~ 40 Hz and an interval velocity of the 4.0 \pm 1.0 km/s, the estimated limits of separability and visibility of layers within the volcanoes is 25 ± 6 m ($\lambda/4$) and 178 179 3.5 ± 0.5 m ($\lambda/30$), respectively (Sun et al., 2019). When the volcanic structures are thicker than 180 the estimated limit of separability, their top and base reflections can be distinguished. However, if their thickness lies between the limits of separability and visibility, they will appear as tuned 181 reflection packages; i.e. reflections from their top and base interfere on their return to the surface 182 183 and cannot be distinguished (e.g. Brown, 2004). Volcanic structures thinner than the limit of visibility will likely not be distinguishable from noise within the seismic data (Eide et al., 2017). 184 185 The volcanoes we study comprise two distinct components, involving a volcanic edifice and 186 an underlying infilled crater-like base (Fig. 2b). We mapped three key seismic horizons: TV (top of volcano), BV (base of volcano), and the seabed (Figs. 3a-c). From these mapped horizons, we 187 measured key geomorphologic parameters of the volcanoes, including diameter and height/depth 188 of the edifices and crater-like bases (Fig. 2b). We define volcano thickness, which we also use to 189 190 calculate volume, as the difference in height between TV and BV (Fig. 2b); volume estimates also 191 take into account the irregular morphologies of TV and BV. Because the observed volcano flanks 192 are rugged, we calculated average flank dips as height/(diameter/2) (Fig. 2b). In places, where 193 volcanoes appear to merge, we constrain the plan-view extent of each edifice by distinguishing 194 the location of minimum thickness between them (Fig. 3d). Errors in height, depth, volume, and 195 flank dip measurements largely arise from uncertainties in the seismic velocities (4.0±1.0 km/s) 196 used to undertake the depth conversion rather than measurement errors. The collected edifice and 197 crater dimensions data allow us to better understand how much volcanic material may be 198 underestimated by surficial remote-sensing techniques, and thus unaccounted for when 199 calculating volumes of magma production. We also compare the geomorphologic characteristics of the volcanic edifices to volcanoes emplaced in different environments with varying 200 201 composition, such as ocean basins (Basalt; Smith, 1988), subaerial volcanic arcs (Basalt - andesite; Grosse et al., 2009), submarine volcanic arcs (dacite, basalt-andesite; Wright et al., 2006) and 202 203 shallow water (Basalt; Magee et al., 2013).

4. Characteristics of the deep-water volcanoes

206 4.1. Seismic expression

207 We mapped the top and bases, and thus constrained the thickness and volume of 13 volcanoes 208 (Figs. 3b-c; Table 1). In our seismic data, several volcanoes appear to have merged to form a single, large edifice defined by multiple distinct summits (i.e. V4-V6 and V11-V12; Figs. 3b-c). 209 210 All the volcanoes are at least partly buried by a thin layer (<300 m) of Late Miocene- Quaternary strata (Figs. 4-5; Table 1), with the tips of edifices (i.e. V6, V7, V9, V11, V12 and V13) breaching 211 the seabed (Figs. 3a, 4a-b, 4d, 4f). Except for V1, all volcanoes are encircled by moats that are 212 213 up to 75 m deep, and which, depending on their stratigraphic occurrence, are unfilled (i.e. moats expressed at seabed), partly infilled, or fully filled (i.e. buried moats) (e.g. V6-V9; Figs. 3a, 4a-b, 214 4d-f, 5). The volcanoes are typically characterized by continuous-to-moderately continuous, high-215 216 amplitude top reflections (i.e. TV), and discontinuous, primarily low-amplitude base reflections (i.e. BV) (Figs. 4-5; Fig. S1). Occasionally BV is continuous and high-amplitude (e.g. V6; Fig. 217 218 4f). Projected boundaries dividing the crater-like bases and edifices of individual volcanoes occur 219 at different stratigraphic levels (Fig. 4). For example, the edifice-crater boundary for V12 is 220 coincident with the modern seabed, whilst for V9 the edifice-crater boundary is located \sim 50–100 221 ms TWT (~40-80 m) beneath the current seafloor (Figs 4a-b).

We identify two types of volcano bases: (i) crater-like bases that truncate underlying seismic 222 223 reflections (Figs. 4a-d); and (ii) relatively flat bases that are conformable with underlying strata (e.g. V6 and V8; Figs. 4e-f). Based on these differences in basal geometry, we sub-divide the 224 volcanoes into two groups: (i) GP1 (11 volcanoes), which have crater-like bases (Figs. 4a-d); and 225 226 (ii) GP2 (2 volcanoes), which have strata-concordant bases (Figs. 4e-f). The bases of both groups of volcanoes are located at various stratigraphic horizons, up to ~ 264 m beneath the seabed. 227 228 Seismic reflections directly beneath the volcanoes, as well as those below lavas emanating from 229 the volcanic edifices, have very low-amplitude compared to their typical seismic character away 230 from the overlying volcanoes (Fig. 5). These reflections beneath the volcanoes are also typically 231 disturbed and occasionally appear to be deflected upward relative to their regional dip (e.g. those beneath V9 in Fig. 5). 232

4.2. External volcano morphology and dimensions

4.2.1. Volcano edifices

236 The volcanic edifices have circular to elliptical basal sections, with diameters of $\sim 0.6-3.0$ km (average of ~ 1.3 km), covering areas of $\sim 0.25-7.15$ km² (Table 1). Edifice height ranges from 237 \sim 79±20 to 560±140 m (Figs. 3b, 6a; Table 1). There is a very weak (i.e. $R^2 = \sim 0.21$), positive 238 correlation between edifice diameter and height, with an average height: diameter ratio of 0.25239 (Fig. 6a). Flanks are linear, convex-upward, or convex-downward, and are moderate-to-steep, 240 241 with dips of up to 42° (average dip of $\sim 26^{\circ}$) (Figs. 4-5; Figs. S1-S2); most (nine) of the volcanoes have slopes $>20^{\circ}$ (Table 1). Flank dip is weakly, negatively correlated with edifice diameter (R² 242 = ~ 0.12 ; Fig. 6b) and weakly, positively correlated with height (R² = ~ 0.39 ; Fig. 6c). Overall, 243 edifice volumes range from $\sim 0.0160 \pm 0.0040$ to 0.9213 ± 0.2303 km³ and show a strong, positive 244 correlation to diameter ($R^2 = -0.94$; Fig. 6d), but a weak correlation to height ($R^2 = -0.25$; Fig. 245 6e) and no correlation with flank dip and volume ($R^2 = -0.06$) (Fig. 6f). 246

247

248 4.2.2. Crater-like bases

The depth and diameter ranges of the crater-like bases are $\sim 87\pm22$ to 517 ± 129 m and ~ 0.8 to 249 4.6 km, respectively, and only weakly, positively correlated ($R^2 = -0.20$) (Fig. 7a; Table 1); their 250 volumes range from 0.0082±0.0021 to 0.8144±0.2036 km³ (Table 1). The dips (5°-32°) of the 251 basal crater flanks are only weakly, negatively correlated to crater diameter ($R^2 = -0.29$; Fig. 7b) 252 and very weakly, negatively correlated with depth ($R^2 = -0.17$; Fig. 7c). Crater volume is 253 moderately-to-strongly, positively correlated ($R^2 = -0.65$) with crater diameter but only weakly 254 correlated to crater depth ($R^2 = -0.22$) and very weakly, negatively correlated with crater flank 255 dip ($R^2 = \sim 0.16$) (Figs. 7d-f). 256

257

258 4.2.3. Total volcano morphometrics

The heights of volcano edifices and depths of crater-like bases are weakly, positively correlated $(R^2 = ~0.36; Fig. 7g)$, whilst their diameters are moderately-to-strongly, positively correlated (R^2 = ~0.65; Fig. 7h). We note the diameters of the crater-like bases are typically greater than (e.g. V5 and V11) or equal to (e.g. V10 and V13) those of their overlying edifices (Fig. 7h). These differences in diameter mean that the *volumes* of crater-like bases are typically larger than those of the overlying edifices (Fig. 7i), e.g. by more than five times (e.g. V5; Table 1); the volumes of the crater-like bases and edifices are weakly, positively correlated ($R^2 = \sim 0.23$; Fig. 7i).

The average diameters, combining that of the edifices and crater-like bases, of individual volcanoes show a weak ($R^2 = ~0.22$), positive correlation to volcano thickness (Fig. 7j). The total volumes of volcanoes range from 0.0277 ± 0.0070 to 1.2669 ± 0.3167 km³. Volcano thickness is only weakly ($R^2 = ~0.28$) positively correlated with total volcano volume (Fig. 7k). However, there is a strong ($R^2 = ~0.88$), positive correlation between total volcano volume and average diameter (Fig. 7j).

272

273

4.3. Internal architecture and seismic facies

274 We define two principal intra-volcano seismic facies (Fig. 4). Seismic facies 1 (SF1) is bound 275 at its base by BV and predominantly comprises discontinuous, short, parallel to sub-parallel, 276 moderate- to high-amplitude seismic reflections within the crater-like bases of GP1 (Figs. 4a-d). 277 Reflections within SF1 appear broadly parallel with those of the surrounding sedimentary layers. 278 Some outwardly dipping seismic reflections, which define broadly conical structures, are locally 279 observed within the cores of SF1 (Figs. 4a-d). Overlying SF1, seismic facies 2 (SF2) constitutes 280 the upper parts of all GP1 and GP2 volcanoes, broadly comprising stacked, continuous-to-281 moderately continuous, moderate-amplitude reflections that downlap onto SF1 or BV (Fig. 4). In 282 most instances the internal SF2 reflections, where clearly observed, broadly parallel the outer margins of the volcanic edifices (e.g. Figs 4a, e-f); in some edifices the SF2 reflections converge 283 down-dip (e.g. Fig. 4b). 284

285

286 **5. Discussion**

287

288 5.1 Age and environment of volcanism

Biostratigraphic data from nearby boreholes constrain the age of the nanofossil-bearing
 sedimentary sequences encasing the 13 mapped volcanoes, which have edifice bases that mark

291 the syn-eruptive seabed located at different stratigraphic levels (Figs. 4-5), and indicate volcanism occurred periodically between the Late Miocene (e.g. ~6.3 Ma of V1; Sun et al., 2019) and 292 293 Quaternary. Analysis of ODP (Site 1146) and IODP (Site U1501) data reveal that, at least since 294 the Early Miocene (~23 Ma) and throughout this prolonged period of intermittent volcanism, the 295 study area was a deep-water (>1.0 km) environment (e.g. Clift et al., 2001; Li et al., 2014; Larsen 296 et al., 2018). Because the mapped volcanoes are within an area characterized by a present water 297 depth of >1.3 km, and the subsidence-corrected, syn-emplacement Miocene-Quaternary sea level 298 was ~200 m higher than it is today (Xu et al., 1995), we consider it likely that eruptions occurred in water depths >1.5 km; these water depths correspond to overlying hydrostatic pressures of >15299 300 MPa.

301

5.2. Volcano formation and growth

Most of the thirteen mapped volcanoes (11 of 13) can be sub-divided into an edifice and a crater-like base (Figs. 4a-d, 5). These crater-like bases truncate the underlying stratigraphic reflections and are infilled by sub-horizontal reflections, onto which a conical edifice is developed (Figs. 4a-d, 5). Here we discuss how each of these features relates to the initiation and growth of these deep-water volcanoes.

308

309 5.2.1. Formation of crater-like bases

310 Crater-like bases have been observed beneath volcanoes and hydrothermal vents in subaerial and shallow-water settings, and their formation has primarily been attributed to disaggregation 311 312 and material removal during explosive eruptions (e.g. Planke et al., 2005; Wright et al., 2006; 313 Geyer and Martí, 2008). Alternatively, crater-like bases could form by the collapse of subsurface 314 conduits following magma extraction and subsidence of overlying material (e.g. Walker, 1993; 315 Gever and Martí, 2008) and/or post-emplacement gravitational subsidence in response to volcano loading (e.g. Moore and Clague, 1992; de Silva and Lindsay, 2015); depressions generated by 316 317 these subsidence processes are expected to host inward-dipping layers (e.g. de Silva and Lindsay, 2015). We consider it unlikely that the crater-like bases documented here (e.g., Figs 4a-d) formed 318 319 by subsidence because: (i) gravitational subsidence driven by volcano loading could not produce craters volumetrically larger than, and which occasionally extend beyond the footprint of, the
overlying edifices (Moore and Clague, 1992; de Silva and Lindsay, 2015) (Fig. 7i; Table 1); (ii)
volcano loading will cause underlying reflections to sag and will *not* produce craters that truncate
and erode underlying strata (Figs. 4a-d); and (iii) the sub-horizontal reflections observed within
the crater-like bases are inconsistent with collapse of pre-existing strata into evacuated magma
conduits or deformation imposed by the volcano load (Figs. 4a-d).

By ruling out subsidence as a mechanism for driving crater formation, our observed truncation 326 327 and erosion of underlying strata by the craters may suggest they formed via an initial phase of explosive activity (e.g. Planke et al., 2005; Wright et al., 2006; Geyer and Martí, 2008). However, 328 329 whilst evidence for explosive volcanism (e.g. pyroclast occurrence) has been documented in silicic, volatile-rich deep-water settings, high hydrostatic pressures caused by large water 330 columns (e.g. >1.0 km) are expected to prevent substantial exsolution of volatiles from magma 331 and thereby inhibit explosive eruptions (e.g. Walker, 1993; de Silva and Lindsay, 2015; Carey et 332 333 al., 2018; Cas and Simmons, 2018). Although we lack the well data required to test whether the 334 crater-infilling-material was generated by explosive volcanic activity, we consider it plausible 335 that the deep-water emplacement (>1.5 km) and the basaltic, inferred volatile-poor nature of 336 magma extruded from these volcanoes may have restricted a namely "explosive" eruption style. 337 In particular, the interplay of the deep-water setting and magma composition may have led to 338 primarily effusive eruptions or rapid magma extrusion into the water column in a "non-explosive" 339 manner, e.g. such as suggested for high mass eruption rates by Manga et al. (2018). If explosive 340 activity was inhibited, a different mechanism for producing the observed erosive craters is 341 required.

Given the sub-horizontal seismic reflections (i.e. SF1) infilling the craters and truncation of underlying strata by the basal surface, we suggest the crater-like bases could have formed in response to the escape of magma-related hydrothermal fluids (e.g. fluids from magma and/or heated pore fluids from the surrounding sediments). We propose that fluid escape disaggregated and excavated the weak, near-seabed sediments via a similar process to that inferred for ancient, seismically-imaged hydrothermal vents (e.g. Planke et al., 2005; Buarque et al., 2016). Considering expelled, fine-grained sediments are likely to be removed by bottom currents (e.g.

349 Judd and Hovland, 2007), we suggest the crater may have been infilled by sub-horizontal 350 packages composed of either: (i) erupted dense material that settles out of the water column (i.e. 351 it is not affected by bottom currents), perhaps forming layers of hyaloclastites; and/or (ii) material 352 eroded from the depression flanks and deposited within the crater. The process we infer for the 353 formation of the crater-like bases is similar to the generation of deep-water pockmarks, which are usually of kilometer-scale and infilled by sub-horizontal sedimentary strata (e.g. Judd and 354 355 Hovland, 2007). Further exploration of the material filling these basin-like structures by drilling 356 and coring would help clarify the style and nature of the eruptive activity and crater formation.

Regardless of the process(es) driving crater formation, the absence of crater-like bases beneath GP2 edifices indicates volcanism did not always involve near-seabed excavation and was site specific (Figs. 4e-f). It is difficult to determine exactly what factors (e.g. seabed cohesivity and porosity, water depth, mass eruption rate, magma composition and volatile content) controlled the initial emplacement styles of the GP1 and GP2 volcanoes solely from the geophysical data we use here.

363

364 5.2.2. Model of volcano growth

365 Volcano geometry is influenced by the interplay of constructive (e.g. dyke intrusion and stacking of lava flows) and destructive processes (e.g. flank collapse and erosion) (e.g. Annen et 366 al., 2001; Kervyn et al., 2009; Magee et al., 2013). To evaluate edifice growth, field- and remote 367 sensing-based studies broadly rely on the assumption that, in any given volcanic field or setting, 368 small volcanoes develop into large volcanoes (e.g. Walker, 1993; de Silva and Lindsay, 2015). 369 370 Patterns in volcano morphometry have therefore been used to infer growth models (e.g. Rossi, 371 1996; Calvès et al., 2011; Magee et al., 2013; Karlstrom et al., 2018). From these morphometric 372 data, the following growth models for various volcanoes from subaerial and shallow marine 373 settings have been proposed (Fig. 8): (i) proportional increase in summit height and basal diameter, maintaining flank dip (Magee et al., 2013; Figs 8b, f); (ii) preferential addition of material to the 374 375 summit area and upper volcano flanks, whilst the diameter remains consistent and flank dip increases with time (Magee et al., 2013; Figs 8c, f); (iii) lateral progradation of the edifice flanks 376 377 while summit height is fixed, such that flank dip decreases with time (Calvès et al., 2011; Figs

378 8d, f); and (iv) maintenance of a proportional increase in summit height and basal diameter with 379 time, interrupted by a short-stage of lateral progradation of the edifice flanks (Rossi, 1996; Figs 380 8e, f). However, these growth models derived from volcano morphometry data are difficult to test 381 because we cannot easily access and evaluate the internal 3D structure of volcanoes. Seismic 382 reflection data uniquely allows us to image volcano interiors in 3D, meaning we can interrogate 383 how edifices build up through time by mapping internal layers (Magee et al. 2013; Sun et al., 2019). Here, we compare the internal architecture of our deep-water volcanoes to growth models 384 385 from those emplaced in subaerial and shallow marine conditions (Fig. 8), and discuss potential environmental controls on the differences we recognize in their geometry and evolution. 386

387 The internal seismic facies variations we recognize within our GP1 volcanoes differ from the 388 seismic facies observed within monogenetic volcanoes that are mainly characterized by homogeneous seismic reflections (e.g. Reynolds et al., 2018). These facies differences suggest 389 390 the GP1 volcanoes were instead formed through multiple eruptive events (i.e. they are polygenetic) 391 and we propose they likely developed in three stages (Fig. 9): (Stage 1) during the first stage, 392 crater-like bases formed through the explosive expulsion of hydrothermal fluids (see Section 393 5.2.1); (Stage 2) crater infilling through eruption of material and/or mass wasting of crater flanks, 394 forming the aggradational SF1 facies; (Stage 3) construction of a broadly conical edifice on a 395 relatively flat surface, following crater infilling, through summit eruptions that promoted vertical 396 and lateral growth as evidenced by the positive correlation between volcano height and basal 397 diameter, and the parallelism between their external morphology and internal SF2 reflections (cf. 398 Figs. 4, 6a, 8a-b, f). Down-dip convergence of internal SF2 reflections in some volcanoes (e.g. V12; Fig. 4b) suggests that, for some edifices, vertical aggradation through accumulation of 399 erupted material at the summit may have outpaced lateral expansion of the basal diameter (e.g. 400 401 Figs. 8a, c, f) (Vail et al. 1977; Magee et al. 2013); i.e. in this scenario, little erupted material 402 reached the base flanks of the volcano, perhaps because eruption rate was low and episodic. The 403 growth of some volcanoes by vertical aggradation may explain why flank dips of the GP1 404 population correlate moderately positively with volcano height, but not basal diameter (Figs. 6b-405 c). During Stage 2 or Stage 3, after full or partial infilling of the crater-like base, intrusions feeding 406 summit eruptions may have modified the core of SF1 to form the conical structures that are locally

407 observed (Figs. 4a-d, 9).

408 GP2 volcanoes lack crater-like bases but otherwise appear similar to GP1 (Figs 4 and 6); i.e. 409 the volcanic materials contained in the GP2 volcanoes were expelled directly onto the paleo-410 seabed, feeding a volcano that grew both vertically and laterally (Stage 3; Fig. 9). The narrow, 411 low-amplitude zone directly beneath the volcanoes and associated deformations (e.g. deflectedupward seismic reflections) suggest that dykes or faults may have served as magma ascent 412 pathways (MP) (Fig. 5). However, these upward-deflected seismic reflections may also be 413 414 possibly interpreted as seismic artefacts (i.e. velocity pull-ups) that are caused by the overlying thick, high-density volcanic rocks. 415

416

417 5.2.3 Controls on edifice morphology

418 Compared to subaerial and shallow-water basaltic volcanoes, as well as moderate- to deepwater (0.9-3 km) and esitic-basaltic volcanoes, the deep-water basaltic volcanoes we study: (i) are 419 ~41-427 times (in volumes) smaller than basaltic and basaltic-andesitic volcanoes from 420 421 elsewhere (Fig. 6a, d-e; Table S1); (ii) display similar positive correlations between height, diameter, and volume, implying volcano growth broadly involved a proportionate increase in 422 summit height and basal diameter (Fig. 8-9) (e.g., Magee et al., 2013); but (iii) have steeper flanks 423 (most of them >20°), with some volcanoes evidently growing via preferential vertical aggradation 424 (Fig. 6b-c). We tentatively suggest that the small size and steeper flanks we observe likely reflect 425 differences in the environment of emplacement (e.g., water depth) and seabed lithology. Below 426 we consider how mass eruption rate and magma volatile content may control the 427 428 geomorphological characteristics of volcanoes in this study.

The magnitude, duration, and steadiness of eruption rate influence the distribution of extruded material (e.g. de Silva and Lindsay, 2015; White et al., 2015). For example, low eruption rates drive lava to move slowly over short distances (e.g. Rossi, 1996) and, thus, erupted materials are more likely to accumulate around the vents/upper flanks and form high-angle slopes; i.e. growth is via preferential vertical aggradation. Low eruption rates could explain the steep slopes of the volcanoes we study, and may relate to the limited magma supply during post-rift volcanism (e.g. Yan et al., 2006; Li et al., 2014) and/or volatile undersaturation in the basaltic parental magma. 436 Episodic, shorter-duration emplacement of lava (as opposed to a single event) would also build 437 notably steeper flanked volcanoes, as demonstrated in experiments by Fink et al. (1993). However, we note that the presence of long run-out lava flows flanking the volcano edifices (>9.0 km long) 438 439 likely indicates eruption rates varied significantly through time, with intermittent periods of short-440 lived, high eruption rates of, possibly, volatile-enriched magma feeding the longest run-out flows 441 (Sun et al., 2019) (Fig. 5). In addition to the low mass eruption rates, volatile-undersaturated lavas (as primarily inferred here) have higher cooling rates, higher glass transition temperatures and 442 443 higher viscosities, and thus, lava may quench and build-up more proximal to the eruptive source (Del Gaudio et al., 2007). 444

Because of high hydrostatic pressure, wet, cold, and unconsolidated sediments, and the overall magma-deficient (low eruption rate and magma supply), post-rift setting during the Late Miocene, the deep-water volcanoes documented here geomorphologically and genetically differ to their subaerial and shallow-water counterparts in other tectonic environments (Fig. 6). In future, physical and geochemical studies of eruptive products, particularly within GP1 volcanoes, may help resolve the unusual morphologies and eruptive mechanisms within this tectonic setting.

451

452 **6.** Conclusion

We use 3D seismic reflection data to investigate the three-dimensional structure of thirteen 453 454 Late Miocene-to-Quaternary deep-water volcanoes. Two groups of volcanoes, one with (GP1) and one without (GP2) crater-like bases, are identified. Internally, these volcanoes comprise two 455 456 dominant seismic facies types that document volcano growth processes. We are able to investigate 457 the relationship between the external morphology and internal structure of deep-water volcanoes, 458 and thereby build growth models for these hitherto poorly understood volcanic structures. The 459 growth of most of the volcanoes is defined by two main stages: crater formation and infilling, likely initiated by the escape of hydrothermal fluids, and subsequent construction of an overlying 460 461 conical edifice. Importantly, recognition of crater-like bases beneath the volcanoes implies the 462 volume of modern deep-water volcanoes, which are typically quantified by bathymetric surveys, 463 may be grossly underestimated as the volcanoes may not have a flat, seabed-parallel base. In this 464 study, most of the deep-water volcanoes have edifice volumes less than the underlying craters.

465 Our growth models suggest the morphology of the studied deep-water volcanoes were primarily controlled by the high hydrostatic pressure occurring in the deep-water setting, the volatile-poor 466 467 nature of the parent magma, and variable magma supply due to the post-rift tectonic setting. In 468 particular, these factors led to erupted material primarily accumulating near the summit and on 469 the upper flanks of the volcanoes, meaning they have relatively smaller sizes (basal diameters, heights and volumes) and are characterized by slopes steeper than that typically seen in their 470 subaerial, shallow-water, and deep-water arc-related counterparts. This study adds a unique 471 472 dataset to the global database of submarine volcano morphologies. Moreover, this study also highlights that 3D seismic surveys could help revise previous estimates of submarine volcano or 473 474 seamount volumes and morphologies, and further our understanding of submarine volcanoes that 475 are already relatively-well studied.

476

477 Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Scientific Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 41676051 and 41372112), the Programme of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities (No. B14031) and the China Scholarship Council (201906415013). We thank the China National Offshore Oil Company for permission to release the data. The reflection seismic data may be requested from this Company (https://www.cnoocltd.com/). Editor Jean-Philippe Avouac, and journal reviewers Deniz Cukur, Tim Henstock and an anonymous reviewer are thanked for their invaluable comments and suggestions.

485

486 **References**

Annen, C., Lénat, J.F., Provost, A., 2001. The long-term growth of volcanic edifices: numerical modelling of the role of dyke intrusion and lava flow emplacement. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 105, 263-289, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273(00)00257-2.

- Arnulf, A.F., Harding, A.J., Kent, G.M., Carbotte, S.M., Canales, J.P., Nedimović, M.R., 2014. Anatomy of an
 active submarine volcano. Geology, 42, 655-658, https://doi.org/10.1016/10.1130/G35629.1.
- 492 Briais, A., Patriat, P., Tapponnier, P., 1993. Updated interpretation of magnetic anomalies and seafloor spreading
- 493 stages in the South China Sea: Implications for the Tertiary tectonics of Southeast Asia. J. Geophys. Res. 98,
- 494 6299-6328, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JB02280.

- 495 Brown, A. R., 2004. Interpretation of three-dimensional seismic data: AAPG Memoir 42, 6thed. SEG
 496 Investigations in Geophysics.
- 497 Buarque, B.V., Barbosa, J.A., Magalhães, J.R.G., Oliveira, J.T.C., Filho, O.J.C., 2016. Post-rift volcanic structures
 498 of the Pernambuco Plateau, northeastern Brazil. J. S. Am. Earth, Sci. 70, 251-267,
 499 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2016.05.014.
- Buchs, D.M., Williams, R., Sano, S., Wright, V.P., 2018. Non-Hawaiian lithostratigraphy of Louisville seamounts
 and the formation of high-latitude oceanic islands and guyots. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 356, 1-23,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2017.12.019.
- 503 Calvès, G., Schwab, A.M., Huuse, M., Clift, P.D., Gaina, C., Jolley, D., Tabrez, A.R., Inam, A., 2011. Seismic
 504 volcanostratigraphy of the western Indian rifted margin: The pre-Deccan igneous province. J. Geophys. Res.
 505 116, B01101, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB000862.
- 506 Carey, R., Soule, S.A., Manga, M., White, J.D.L., McPhie, J., Wysoczanski, R., Jutzeler, M., Tani, K., Yoerger,
- 507 D., Fornari, D., Caratori-Tontini, F., Houghton, B., Mitchell, S., Ikegami, F., Conway, C., Murch, A., Fauria,
- K., Jones, M., Cahalan, R., and McKenzie, W., 2018. The largest deep-ocean silicic volcanic eruption of the
 past century. Sci. Adv. 4, e1701121, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701121.
- Cas, R.A.F., Simmons, J., 2018. Why deep-water eruptions are so different from subaerial eruptions? Front. Earth
 Sci. 6, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00198.
- Clague, D.A., Paduan, J.B., Dreyer, M.B., Chadwick Jr, D.M., Rubin, K.R., Perfit, M.R., Fundis, A.T., 2018.
 Chemical Variations in the 1998, 2011, and 2015 Lava Flows From Axial Seamount, Juan de Fuca Ridge:
 Cooling During Ascent, Lateral Transport, and Flow. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 19, 2915-2933,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007708.
- Clift, P.D., Lin, J., and ODP Leg 184 Scientific Party, 2001. Patterns of extension and magmatism along the
 continent-ocean boundary, South China margin. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 187, 489518 510, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2001.187.01.24.
- Cullen, A., Reemst, P., Henstra, G., Gozzard, S., Ray, A., 2010. Rifting of the South China Sea: new perspectives.
 Petrol. Geosci. 16, 273-282, https://doi.org/10.1144/1354-079309-908.
- Del Gaudio, P., Behrens, H., Deubener, J., 2007. Viscosity and glass transition temperature of hydrous float glass.
 J. Non-cryst. Solids. 353, 223-236, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2006.11.009.
- de Silva, S., Lindsay, J.M., 2015, Primary volcanic landforms. In: Sigurdsson, H., Houghton, B.F., McNutt, S.R.,
 Rymer, H., Stix, J. (eds), Encyclopedia of volcanoes, 2nd ed. Academic, London, pp 273-297,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385938-9.00015-8.
- Eide, C.H., Schofield, N., Jerram, D.A., Howell, J., 2017. Basin-scale architecture of deeply emplaced sill
 complexes: Jameson Land, East Greenland. J. Geol. Soc. London. 174, 23-40, https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2016-
- **528** 018.

- Fink, J.H., Bridges, N.T., Grimm, R.E., 1993. Shapes of Venusian "pancake" domes imply episodic emplacement
 and silicic composition. Geophys. Res. Lett. 20,261-264, https://doi.org/10.1029/92GL03010.
- Franke, D., Savva, D., Pubellier, M., Steuer, S., Mouly, B., Auxietre, J., Meresse, F., Chamot-Rooke, N., 2014.
 The final rifting evolution in the South China Sea. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 58, 704-720, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.11.020.
- Geyer, A., Martí, J., 2008. The new worldwide collapse caldera database (CCDB): a tool for studying and
 understanding caldera processes. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 175, 334-354,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.03.017.
- 537 Goto, Y., Tomiya, A., 2019. Internal Structures and Growth Style of a Quaternary Subaerial Rhyodacite
- 538 Cryptodome at Ogariyama, Usu Volcano, Hokkaido, Japan. Front. Earth Sci. 7, 66,
 539 https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00066.
- 540 Gregg, T.K.P., Fornari, D.J., 1998. Long submraine lava flows: Observations and results from numerical modeling.
 541 J. Geophys. Res. 103, 27517-27531, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JB02465.
- Grosse, P., van Wyk de Vries, B., Petrinovic, I.A., Euillades, P.A., Alvarado, G.E., 2009. Morphometry and
 evolution of arc volcanoes. Geol. Soc. Am. 37, 651-654, https://doi.org/10.1130/G25734A.1.
- Jackson, C.A.-L., 2012. Seismic reflection imaging and controls on the preservation of ancient sill-fed magmatic
 vents. J. Geol. Soc. London 169, 503-506, https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-76492011-147.
- Judd, A.G., and Hovland, M., 2007, Seabed Fluid Flow: The Impact on Geology, Biology and the Marine
 Environment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 163-178.
- Karlstrom, L., Richardson, P.W., O'Hara, D., Ebmeier, S.K., 2018. Magmatic Landscape Construction. J. Geophys.
 Res.- EARTH, 123, 1710-1730, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JF004369.
- Kervyn, M., Ernst, G.G.J., van Wyk de Vries, B., Mathieu, L., Jacobs, P., 2009. Volcano load control on dyke
 propagation and vent distribution: Insights from analogue modelling. J. Geophys. Res. 114, B03401,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB005653.
- 553 Larsen, H.C., Mohn, G., Nirrengarten, M., Sun, Z., Stock, J., Jian, Z., Klaus, A., Alvarez-Zarikian, C.A., Boaga,
- J., Bowden, S.A., Briais, A., Chen, Y., Cukur, D., Dadd, K., Ding, W., Dorais, M., Ferré, E.C., Ferreira, F.,
- 555 Furusawa, A., Gewecke, A., Hinojosa, J., Höfig, T.W., Hsiung, K.H., Huang, B., Huang, E., Huang, X.L., Jiang,
- 556 S., Jin, H., Johnson, B.G., Kurzawski, R.M., Lei, C., Li, B., Li, L., Li, Y., Lin, J., Liu, C., Liu, C., Liu, Z., Luna,
- 557 A.J., Lupi, C., McCarthy, A., Ningthoujam, L., Osono, N., Peate, D.W., Persaud, P., Qiu, N., Robinson, C.,
- 558 Satolli, C., Sauermilch, I., Schindlbeck, J.C., Skinner, S., Straub, S., Su, X., Su, C., Tian, L., van der Zwan,
- 559 F.M., Wan, S., Wu, H., Xiang, R., Yadav, R., Yi, L., Yu, P.S., Zhang, C., Zhang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhao, N., Zhong,
- 560 G., Zhong, L., 2018. Rapid transition from continental breakup to igneous oceanic crust in the South China Sea.
- 561 Nat. Geosci. 11, 782-789, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0198-1.
- Lester, R., Van Avendonk, H.J.A., McIntosh, K., Lavier, L., Liu, C.S., Wang, T.K., Wu, F., 2014. Rifting and

- 563 magmatism in the northeastern South China Sea from wide-angle tomography and seismic reflection imaging.
- 564 J Geophys. Res. 119, 2305-2323, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010639.
- Li, P., and Liang, H., 1994. Cenozoic magmatism in the Pearl River Mouth Basin and its relationship to the basin
 evolution and petroleum accumulation. Guangdong Geology, 9, 23-34.
- Li, C.F., Xu, X., Lin, J., Sun, Z., et al., 2014. Ages and magnetic structures of the South China Sea constrained by
 the deep tow magnetic surveys and IODP Expedition 349. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 15, 4958-4983,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005567.
- 570 Lüdmann, T., Wong, H., 1999. Neotectonic regime on the passive continental margin of the northern South China
 571 Sea. Tectonophysics 311, 113-138, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(99)00155-9.
- 572 Magee, C., Hunt-Stewart, E., Jackson, C.A.-L., 2013. Volcano growth mechanisms and the role of sub-volcanic
 573 intrusions: Insights from 2D seismic reflection data. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 373, 41-53,
 574 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.04.041.
- 575 Manga, M., Fauria, K.E., Lin, C., Mitchell, S.J., Jones, M., Conway, C.E., Degruyter, W., Hosseini, B., Carey, R.,
- 576 Cahalan, R., Houghton, B.F., White, J.D.L., Jutzeler, M., Soule, S.A., Tani, K., 2018. The pumice raft-forming
 577 2012 Havre submarine eruption was effusive. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 489, 49-58,
 578 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.02.025.
- 579 Moore, J.G., Clague, D.A., 1992. Volcano growth and evolution of the island of Hawaii. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.
 580 104, 1471-1484, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1992)104<1471:VGAEOT>2.3.CO;2.
- 581 Planke, S., Rasmussen, T., Rey, S.S., Myklebust, R., 2005. Seismic characteristics and distribution of volcanic
- 582 intrusions and hydrothermal vent complexes in the Vøring and Møre Basins. In: Dore, A.G., Vining, B. (Eds.),
- 583 Proceedings of the 6th Petroleum Geology Conference on Petroleum Geology: N.W. Europe and Global
 584 Perspectives, pp. 833-844.
- 585 Reynolds, P., Schofield, N., Brown, R.J. Holford, S.P., 2018. The architecture of submarine monogenetic
 586 volcanoes-insights from 3D seismic data. Bas. Res. 30, 437-451, https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12230.
- 587 Rossi, M.J., 1996. Morphology and mechanism of eruption of post glacial shield volcanoes in Iceland. Bull.
 588 Volcanol. 57, 530-540, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00304437.
- 589 Smith, D.K., 1988. Shape analysis of Pacific seamounts. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 90, 457-466.
- 590 Staudigel, H., Clague, D.A., 2010. The geological history of deep-sea volcanoes: biosphere, hydrosphere, and
 591 lithosphere interactions. Oceanography, 23, 58-71, https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2010.62.
- Sun, Q.L., Jackson, C.A-L., Magee, C., Mitchell, S.J., Xie, X.N., 2019. Extrusion dynamics of deep-water
 volcanoes. Solid Earth Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2019-87.
- Vail, P.R., Todd, R.G., Sangree, J.B., 1977. Seismic stratigraphy and global changes in sea level, part 5. In: Payton,
- 595 C.E. (Ed.), Seismic Stratigraphy: Application to Hydrocarbon Exploration, 8th edition. American Association
- of Petroleum Geologists, pp. 99-116.

- 597 Walker, G.P.L., 1993. Basaltic-volcano systems. In: Magmatic Processes and Plate Tectonics, edited by Pritchard,
 598 H.M., Alabaster, T., Harris, N.B.W., Neary, C.R., Geological Society Special Publication, 76: 3-38.
- 599 Wright, I.C., Worthington, T.J., Gamble, J.A., 2006. New multibeam mapping and geochemistry of the 30°–35°
- 600 S sector, and overview, of southern Kermadec arc volcanism. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 149, 263-296,
 601 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2005.03.021.
- Wei, X.D., Ruan, A.G., Zhao, M.H., Qiu, X.L., Li, J.B., Zhu, J.J., Wu, Z.L., and Ding, W.W., 2011. A wide-angle
 OBS profile across the Dongsha uplift and Chaoshan depression in the mid-northern South China Sea. Chinese
- 604
 Journal of Geophysics, 54, 3325-3335, https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.0001-5733.2011.12.030.
- 605 White, J.D.L., McPhie, J., Soule, S.A., 2015. Submarine lavas and hyaloclastite. In: Sigurdsson, H., Houghton,
- B.F., McNutt, S.R., Rymer, H., Stix, J. (eds), Encyclopedia of volcanoes, 2nd edn. Academic, London, pp 363–
 375, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385938-9.00019-5.
- Ku, S.C., Yang, S.K., Huang, L.F., 1995. The application of sequence stratigraphy to stratigraphic correlation.
 Earth Science Frontiers, 2, 115-123.
- Yan, P., Deng, H., Liu, H.L., Zhang, Z., Jiang, Y., 2006. The temporal and spatial distribution of volcanism in the
 South China Sea region. J. Asian Earth Sci. 27, 647-659, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2005.06.005.
- 612 Yan, P., Zhou, D., and Liu, Z.S., 2001. A crustal structure profile across the northern continental margin of the
 613 South China Sea. Tectonophysics, 338, 1-21, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-1951(01)00062-2.
- 614 Yang, S., Qiu, Y., and Zhu, B., 2015, Atlas of Geology and Geophysics of the South China Sea: China Navigation
 615 Publications, Tianjin.
- 616Zhao, F., Alves, T.M., Wu, S.G., Li, W., Huuse, M., Mi, L.J., Sun, Q.L., Ma, B.J., 2016. Prolonged post-rift
- 617 magmatism on highly extended crust of divergent continental margins (Baiyun Sag, South China Sea). Earth
 618 Planet. Sci. Lett. 445, 79-91, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.04.001.
- 619 Zou, H.P., Li, P.L., Rao, C.T., 1995. Geochemistry of Cenozoic volcanic rocks in Zhujiangkou basin and its
 620 geodynamic significance. Geochemica, 24, 33-45.
- 621
- 622

623 **Figure Captions**

624

Figure 1: (a) Geological setting of the study area. Red polygonal line located to the south of Dongsha Islands is the 3D seismic survey. Top left: regional setting of the South China Sea. It is bounded by the Red River Strike-slip faults (RRFs) to the west and by the subduction trench (Manila Trench) to the east. Northern South China Sea is marked with black square. Igneous rocks with ages from exploration wells and seamount dredges are marked with blue circles/rings (Jin,
1989; Li and Liang, 1994; Zou et al., 1995). Crustal structure profiles (OBS1993 (Yan et al., 2001)
and OBS2006-3 (Wei et al., 2011)) are marked with pink solid lines. ODP sites 1145 and1146,
IODP site U1501 and location of Figure 3 are also labeled. The base map is modified from Yang
et al. (2015) and Sun et al. (2019); (b) and (c) Regional seismic strata of the study area. Volcanic
materials are mainly located in the shallow level (0-300 m) of post-rifting strata. See location in
(a).

636

Figure 2: (a) Schematic diagram of the calculation method for igneous velocity within the volcano
and surrounding sediments; (b) Schematic diagram of geomorphic parameters measured in this
study, using an example volcano with an identified crater-like base and overlying edifice. Note
that the travel-time distances between the volcano summit and its base (Ts), or the top of the
velocity pull-up (Ti), were measured *within* the volcano edifices.

642

Figure 3: (a) Present seabed morphologies of the study area, interpreted from the 3D seismic data. The landmark areal projections of buried or partly buried volcanoes are marked; (b) Thickness (and thus, height) of volcano edifices in the study area; (c) Thickness (and thus, depth) of volcano craters in the study area; (d) Total thickness of the volcanoes, calculated from the vertical addition of (b) and (c). The boundaries of merged volcanoes are marked. 100 ms (twt) = \sim 200 m.

648

Figure 4: (a) - (d): Seismic characteristics of volcanoes (GP1). (a) Volcano 9 (V9) and its line drawing; (b) Volcano 12 (V12) and its line drawing; (c) Volcano 1 (V1) and its line drawing; (d) Volcano 7 (V7) and its line drawing. (e) - (f): Seismic characteristics of volcanoes (GP2). (e) Volcano 8 (V8) and its line drawing; (f) Volcano 6 (V6) and its line drawing. 150 ms (twt) for volcano is equal to \sim 300 m. TV = top of volcano; PS = present seabed (solid pink line); PLS = paleo-seabed (solid green line); IS = inferred present seabed (dashed pink line); SF1 = seismic facies 1; SF2 = seismic facies 2; VE. = vertical exaggeration. See locations in Fig. 3a.

Figure 5: 3D seismic profile crosses through V8, V9 and V10. The igneous pathways underneath

the volcanoes are narrow, vertical structures (dashed arrows) and the surrounding strata are
slightly pushed upward, suggesting them probably as dykes. VE. = vertical exaggeration. See
location in Fig. 3a.

661

Figure 6: Geomorphologic parameters of deep-water volcanoes (gray solid circles; this study),
shallow-water volcanoes (pink squares; Magee et al., 2013), subaerial arc volcanoes (green
triangles; Grosse et al., 2009), submarine arc volcanoes (grey cross; Wright et al., 2006) and ocean
volcanoes (blue rhombus; Smith, 1988). (a) Height vs diameter; (b) Dip vs diameter; (c) Dip vs
height; (d) Diameter vs volume; (e) Height vs volume; (f) Dip vs volume; The deep-water
volcanoes in this study have different trends (slopes) to other types. The errors of geomorphologic
parameters of volcanoes in the study area are from the ranges of volcano velocities.

669

670 Figure 7: (a)-(f): Geomorphologic characteristics of the craters of deep-water volcanoes in this 671 study. (a) Depth vs diameter (crater); (b) Dip vs diameter (crater); (c) Dip (crater) vs depth; (d) 672 Depth vs volume (crater); (e) Diameter (crater) vs volume (crater); (f) Dip (crater) vs volume 673 (crater). (g)-(i): Geomorphologic characteristics between the volcano edifices and craters of deep-674 water volcanoes. (g) Height vs depth; (h) Diameter (crater) vs diameter (edifice); (i) Volume 675 (crater) vs volume (edifice); (j)-(l): Geomorphologic characteristics of the total volcanoes. (j) 676 (Height + depth) vs average diameter; (k) (Height + depth) vs total volume; (l) Average diameter 677 vs total volume.

678

Figure 8: Volcano growth models. (a) Model of deep-water volcano growth (GP1; purple dashed 679 lines) with preferentially vertical aggradation of the edifice flanks; (b) Model of shallow-water 680 681 volcano growth (red dashed lines) through a proportional increase in summit height and basal 682 diameter (offshore southern Australia; Magee et al., 2013); (c) Model of shallow-water volcano 683 growth (green dashed lines) where summit height increases, whilst basal diameter remains consistent (offshore southern Australia; Magee et al., 2013); (d) Model of shallow-water and 684 685 subaerial pioneer cones of hyaloclastite mounds (dark blue dashed lines) where the basal diameter 686 increases, whilst the summit height remains consistent (western Indian rifted margin; Calvès et

687	al., 2011); (e) Model of subaerial shield volcano growth (orange dashed lines) involving a
688	proportional increase in summit height and basal diameter, which is disrupted by a short stage of
689	preferentially lateral progradation of the edifice flanks (Iceland, Rossi, 1996). (f) The expected
690	trends in summit or basal diameter plotted against volcano volume and average flank dip for all
691	models (a-d).
692	
693	Figure 9: Cartoon showing proposed three-stage evolution of GP1 volcanoes (see text for details).
694	GP2 volcano growth may be akin to Stage 3. SF1 = Seismic facies 1; SF2 = Seismic facies 2; MP
695	= Possible magmatic intrusions.

Table Caption

700	Table 1: Geometrical parameters of the edifices and craters of volcanoes. (1) = water depth of the
701	seabed where the volcanoes emplace or emplace underneath it (W.D.); $(2) =$ sediment thickness
702	overlying the buried volcanoes (Th.).



















- 1 Table 1: Geometrical parameters of the edifices and craters of volcanoes. (1) = water depth of the seabed where the volcanoes emplace or emplace underneath it (W.D.);
- 2 (2) = sediment thickness overlying the buried volcanoes (Th.).
- 3

Volcanoes	General parameters		Geometrical parameters of edifices						Geometrical parameters of craters		
No.	W. D./	Th./m ⁽²⁾	Diameter/km	Area/km ²	Height/m	Min.	Max. dip/°	Volume/km ³	Diameter/km	Depth/m	Volume/km ³
	km ⁽¹⁾					dip/º					
V1	2.25	264.0	3.0	7.15	404±101	11	19	$0.92{\pm}0.23$	3.0	129±32	0.26±0.06
V2	2.14	110.0	0.8	0.44	134±34	15	24	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	0.8	142±35	0.01
V3	2.21	88.0	0.8	0.44	140±35	16	25	0.02	0.8	235±59	0.01
V4	1.83	82.5	0.6	0.25	207±52	29	43	0.02	1.3	208±52	$0.06{\pm}0.01$
V5	1.80	165	1.1	0.92	262±66	20	31	$0.08 {\pm} 0.02$	2.3	313±78	0.44±0.11
V6	1.65	55.0	1.2	1.21	560±140	34	48	$0.22{\pm}0.05$			
V7	1.58	104.5	0.8	0.50	235±59	24	36	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	1.1	217±54	0.13±0.03
V8	1.37	121.0	0.9	0.70	235±59	20	32	$0.05 {\pm} 0.01$			
V9	1.49	247.5	1.6	2.08	467±117	23	36	$0.31 {\pm} 0.08$	1.6	218±55	0.65±0.16
V10	1.46	115.5	1.0	0.82	79±20	7	11	0.02	1.0	87±22	0.03±0.01
V11	1.37	33.0	2.4	4.37	323±81	12	19	0.45 ± 0.11	4.6	410±103	0.81±0.20
V12	1.35	33.0	1.2	1.12	544±136	34	49	$0.19{\pm}0.05$	1.7	517±129	0.21±0.05
V13	1.36	22.0	1.1	0.89	274±69	21	33	$0.07{\pm}0.02$	1.1	177±44	0.07±0.02