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Abstract Owing to its deployment and sensing characteristics, Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS) has been touted as a promising technology for low-cost and low-latency Earthquake Early Warning (EEW). While preliminary experiments conducted by several research groups have yielded encouraging results, it must be acknowledged that these EEW feasibility studies were performed only on low-magnitude events. When exposed to the wavefield of a large magnitude earthquake (being the prime subject of EEW), the DAS strain rate recordings are likely to become highly distorted (“saturated”) due to cycle skipping of the optical phase measurements, to an extent that the recorded data start to degrade to uniform random noise. This clearly poses a major challenge to EEW, as neither amplitude nor phase information can be readily extracted from saturated DAS data. In this study, we perform a detailed analysis of the dynamic range of DAS, both from theoretical and practical perspectives. We offer a set of criteria that need to be met for matching the DAS dynamic range with EEW targets, and we propose a computationally convenient method to quantify the information content of saturated recordings. We apply these methods to DAS data recorded offshore Chile, and identify several avenues for future research to improve the feasibility of DAS for EEW.

Non-technical summary Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS) is a relatively new technology that uses telecommunication (internet) cables to record vibrations in the ground. Because telecommunication cables are robust and available in many places, DAS could potentially be used for rapid detection of large earthquakes. Seismological institutes could use these data to send an alert for an imminent earthquake in near-real time, a concept that is known as Earthquake Early Warning (EEW). Unfortunately, there are some limitations of DAS that make it potentially unsuitable for EEW. The main limitation is that under strong ground shaking, the DAS measurements become unusable for the analysis of the earthquake. In this study we look into this limitation in detail, and we propose several mitigation strategies that could potentially make DAS more suitable for the analysis and EEW of large earthquakes.

Resumen La detección acústica distribuida (DAS) es una tecnología relativamente nueva que utiliza cables de telecomunicaciones (Internet) para registrar las vibraciones del suelo. Dado que los cables de telecomunicaciones son robustos y están disponibles en muchos lugares, los DAS podrían ser utilizados para detectar rápidamente grandes terremotos. Los institutos sismológicos podrían utilizar estos datos para enviar una alerta de terremoto inminente en tiempo casi real, un concepto que se conoce como Alerta Temprana de Terremoto (EEW). Desgraciadamente, el DAS tiene algunas limitaciones que lo hacen potencialmente inadecuado para la EEW. La principal es que, en caso de fuertes movimientos de suelo, las mediciones del DAS resultan inutilizables para el análisis del seísmo. En este estudio examinamos en detalle esta limitación y proponemos varias estrategias de mitigación que podrían hacer que el DAS fuera más adecuado para el análisis y la EEW de grandes terremotos.

1 Introduction

Earthquakes are among the most destructive of natural hazards, having claimed an estimated 2.5 million fatalities and over 900B$ in economic damages since 1900 (National Geophysical Data Center, 2023). Since short-term earthquake forecasting remains infeasible to this date, Earthquake Early Warning (EEW; Allen and Melgar, 2019) can be considered society’s first line of defence against seismic hazard. The concept of EEW is based on the notion that telecommunication can transmit information faster than the speed of seismic wave propagation. Seismic stations nearby the epicentre that experience strong ground shaking can trigger an alert that is transmitted to more distant localities, providing up to several seconds of lead time before the arrival of the seismic waves at those localities. During those precious few seconds, individuals can try to seek cover while various other mitigation measures can be (automatically) taken, such as the slowing down of trains and the initiation of emergency shutdown protocols of critical infrastructure. In order for EEW to be successful, a dense network of seismic sensors close to the epicentre is required. Unfortunately, achieving sufficient proxim-
ity can be challenging, especially for subduction zone settings that necessitate offshore sensor deployments to maximise the lead time.

In recent years, Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS; Hartog, 2017) has been considered as a potential solution for improving EEW coverage, particularly in subduction settings (Zhan, 2020; Farghal et al., 2022; Lior et al., 2023; Yin et al., 2023a). DAS is a fibre-optic sensing technique that converts a fibre-optic (e.g., telecom) cable into an array of equidistant vibration sensors by means of optical interferometry. One major advantage of DAS is that it can utilise existing telecom infrastructures (Lindsey et al., 2019; Sladen et al., 2019; Li et al., 2023a), drastically reducing the cost of deployment and maintenance of DAS-based seismic arrays, and granting access to environments that are inhospitable to conventional instrumentation (like offshore settings). However, even though some studies have already reported on the feasibility of DAS for earthquake seismology (Lior et al., 2023; Yin et al., 2023a), it is still a nascent technology of which the applicability and limitations need to be evaluated.

One limitation that is particularly relevant for EEW, is the response of the DAS instrument when subjected to strong ground motions. Conventional broadband seismometers exhibit a dynamic range and sensitivity that allow for the detection of low-amplitude ground motions down to the ambient noise level, but they saturate their measurements when the ground motions exceed a given range. Hence, EEW often relies on strong-motion accelerometric sensors that have lower sensitivity, but that do not saturate when subjected to strong motions. In principle, DAS exhibits a sensitivity that can be similar to that of conventional broadband seismometers (Lior et al., 2021), and it does not saturate its measurements in the same manner as seismometers. However, since DAS is an interferometric technique, it does suffer from data corruption due to failure to track the optical phase between consecutive laser pulses (also known as cycle slipping). Hence, while DAS does not saturate in the classical sense, it does exhibit data corruption when the optical fibre is exposed to sufficiently large strain rates (see Fig. 1).

While it is clear that the limited dynamic range of DAS poses a challenge for EEW applications, the issue itself has received relatively little attention. Diaz-Meza et al. (2023) reported on the observation of DAS “saturation” during tap tests, and proposed a detection/reconstruction algorithm that was able to recover from mild saturation in a specially engineered fibre (see Fig. 1d for an example that matches our definition of “mild”). Kong et al. (2022) proposed a Deep-Learning based solution for reliable phase unwrapping of single-channel Φ-OTDR DAS data, likewise applied to a (synthetic) scenario of mild saturation. Abukr et al. (2023) remarked that saturation of near-source channels prevents accurate picking of seismic phases, and recommended the use of short fibres (i.e., short sensing distances) that permit the use of shorter gauge lengths (and correspondingly a larger dynamic range) while maintaining a reasonable data volume. A similar recommendation was made by Viens et al. (2022), who were forced to exclude the strongly-saturated measurements of a nearby M, 5.6 event from their analysis. However, reducing the sensing range of DAS would limit its usefulness in EEW, and should thus be avoided if possible.

The objective of the present study is to bring more attention to the limited dynamic range of DAS and its challenges for DAS-based EEW. We first conduct a theoretical analysis of the origin of DAS data saturation for a monochromatic oscillator, from which a criterion for the dynamic range emerges. We then extend this result to the case of a broadband earthquake spectrum, and test our model against the earthquake recordings generated by three 150-km long DAS cables that are located offshore Chile. Finally, we derive a metric for the degree of DAS data saturation that quantifies an upper saturation limit beyond which the data have become statistically indistinguishable from white noise. This metric is subsequently applied to the data of the 2023 M\text{ww} 6.6 Huasco earthquake. We conclude with recommendations for EEW-specific deployments and for future technological developments.

2 Analysis of DAS dynamic range

2.1 Simulating a DAS measurement

For a complete discussion of the dynamic range of DAS, we begin with a simple theoretical analysis. In what follows, it is helpful to recall the main operations by which a DAS interrogator converts a phase measurement into a measure of (local) strain rate:

1. First, the interrogator sends a pulse into the sensing fibre and records the phase of the back-scattered light as a function of time. This so-called fast time axis can be converted into distance along the fibre using the speed of light in glass.

2. The pulsation is then repeated to obtain a subsequent phase measurement. The difference in phase at a given cable position is proportional to the length change of the fibre up to that position. In other words, by taking the time derivative along the “slow” time axis, a measure is obtained for the stretching rate of the fibre.

3. This stretching rate is a cumulative measurement up to a given point, and so obtain a local measurement, the stretching rate is converted into strain rate by taking the spatial derivative (corresponding with the time derivative along the fast time axis).

For a quantitative analysis, the above procedure needs to be made more precise, which will be the objective for the remainder of this subsection.

Assuming an ideal instrument and perfectly linear response, a phase measurement $\Phi(t, x)$ corresponding to space-time lengthening $d(t, x)$ of a fibre-optic cable is:

$$\Phi(t, x) = \frac{4\pi\nu\xi}{\lambda} d(t, x) \tag{1}$$

where $\nu$ denotes the refractive index of glass, $\xi$ the photo-elastic coefficient, and $\lambda$ the wavelength of light.
To facilitate arithmetic operations, from here on, the measurement is expressed in complex form: $m = e^{i\Phi}$ (with $j^2 = -1$). In this formulation, it is guaranteed that $\Phi(t, x) = \arg\{m(t, x)\} \in [-\pi, +\pi]$ (with $\arg\{\cdot \}$ denoting the complex argument), eliminating the burden of explicitly considering the angle quadrant in the calculations. We will rely on this when we demonstrate a simple unwrapping algorithm (Appendix I).

The first processing step is to take the temporal derivative of the discrete phase measurement $\Phi(t_n, x_k)$:

$$\dot{\Phi}(t_n, x_k) \approx \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ \Phi(t_n, x_k) - \Phi(t_{n-1}, x_k) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \arg\{m(t_n, x_k)m^*(t_{n-1}, x_k)\}$$

with $t = [0, \Delta t, \ldots, N\Delta t]^T$ and $x = [0, \Delta x, \ldots, K\Delta x]^T$, and $m^*$ denoting the complex conjugate of $m$. As before, we define $\dot{m} = e^{i\Phi}$. To reduce the influence of measurement noise, $\dot{\Phi}(t_n, x_k)$ is typically averaged along the spatial dimension with a sliding window of fixed size, but this is not an essential component of the analysis. Finally, the spatial gradient is taken to make the measurement local:

$$\nabla \Phi(t_n, x_k) \approx \frac{1}{L\Delta x} \left[ \dot{\Phi}(t_n, x_k) - \dot{\Phi}(t_n, x_{k-L}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{L\Delta x} \arg\{\dot{m}(t_n, x_k)m^*(t_{n-1}, x_{k-L})\} \quad (3)$$

The integer $L > 0$ indicates the number of spatial samples over which the spatial gradient is computed, and effectively acts as an artificial gauge length. For the remainder of this work, we set $L = 1$. Correspondingly, the measurement of strain rate is obtained as:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}(t_n, x_k) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\nu\xi} \nabla \Phi(t_n, x_k)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\nu\xi} \arg\left\{ m_{n,k}m^*_{n-1,k}m_{n,k-1}m^*_{n-1,k-1} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\nu\xi} \frac{\Delta t \Delta x}{\Delta t \Delta x}$$

with $m_{n,k} = m(t_n, x_k)$ for compactness of the notation.

### 2.2 The dynamic range of a monochromatic oscillator

Next, we derive expressions for the dynamic range of the measurement using a simple monochromatic oscil-
lator as an example. Consider the following space-time dependence of the cable length \(d(t, x)\):

\[
d(t, x) = A \cos \left(2\pi f \left[t + \frac{x}{c}\right]\right)
\]  

with amplitude \(A\), wave frequency \(f\), and apparent phase velocity \(c\). The exact expressions of the phase rate and its spatial gradient are:

\[
\dot{\phi}(t, x) = -2\pi A \frac{4\pi \nu \xi}{\lambda} \sin \left(2\pi f \left[t + \frac{x}{c}\right]\right)
\]  

\[
\nabla \dot{\phi}(t, x) = -\frac{4\pi^2}{c} A \frac{4\pi \nu \xi}{\lambda} \cos \left(2\pi f \left[t + \frac{x}{c}\right]\right)
\]

The optical phase that defines the primary measurement of DAS is limited between \(-\pi\) to \(+\pi\), and consequently the difference between two consecutive phase measurements cannot exceed \(\pi\). Hence, saturation of the DAS data can occur when \(|\Phi(t_n, x_k) - \Phi(t_{n-1}, x_k)| > \pi\). In the case of a monochromatic oscillator, this occurs when:

\[
|\Phi(t_n, x_k) - \Phi(t_{n-1}, x_k)| \approx |\dot{\phi}(t_n, x_k)| \Delta t
\]

\[
= 2\pi A \frac{4\pi \nu \xi}{\lambda} \sin \left(2\pi f \left[t + \frac{x}{c}\right]\right) |\Delta t > \pi
\]

\[
\Rightarrow A > \frac{1}{2\pi f} \frac{4\pi \nu \xi}{\lambda} \left|\sin \left(2\pi f \left[t + \frac{x}{c}\right]\right)\right|
\]

Hence, the lower bound on the particle displacement amplitude that causes saturation of the time derivative is:

\[
A_{\text{crit}, t} = \frac{1}{2\pi f} \frac{4\pi \nu \xi}{\lambda} \Delta t
\]

However, as can be seen in Fig. 2e, saturation of the temporal derivative does not necessarily lead to a saturation of the final measurement (strain rate). This is due to the spatial derivative, which does not yet experience similar saturation, and so even when the time derivative is saturated, its spatial derivative is not. Continuing the same strategy adopted above, the saturation criterion for the monochromatic oscillator is given by:

\[
\left|\Phi(t_n, x_k) - \Phi(t_{n-1}, x_k, -1)\right| \approx |\nabla \dot{\phi}(t_n, x_k)| \Delta x \Delta t
\]

\[
= \frac{4\pi^2}{c} A \frac{4\pi \nu \xi}{\lambda} \left|\cos \left(2\pi f \left[t + \frac{x}{c}\right]\right)\right| |\Delta x \Delta t > \pi
\]

\[
\Rightarrow A > \frac{1}{4\pi^2 f^2} \frac{4\pi \nu \xi}{\lambda} \left|\Delta x \Delta t \cos \left(2\pi f \left[t + \frac{x}{c}\right]\right)\right|
\]

and:

\[
A_{\text{crit}, s} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 f^2} \frac{4\pi \nu \xi}{\lambda} \Delta x \Delta t = \frac{c}{2\pi f} \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta \xi} \Delta \xi
\]

In the above equations, the contributions of the wavefield (conversion from particle motion to strain), the fibre (optical characteristics), and the instrument (interrogation settings) have been made explicit. Note that the first term labelled “wavefield” is specific to our choice of a monochromatic oscillator, but it can likewise be obtained through the well-known relationship between particle acceleration \(a\) and strain rate \(\dot{\varepsilon}\), \(a = c\dot{\varepsilon}\) (Daley et al., 2016). In the spectral domain, the double integration that converts acceleration into displacement would correspond with multiplication of the strain rate spectrum with \((4\pi^2 f^2)^{-1}\), and so one would again obtain \(c/4\pi^2 f^2\) as the conversion factor between peak ground displacement \((A)\) and strain rate, where \(f\) would correspond with the characteristic frequency of a narrowband seismic source. However, the narrowband assumption is too restrictive for most seismic sources, and so the above criterion cannot directly be applied to earthquake data. Hence, we need to consider finite-source effects to obtain a criterion that is relevant in practice.

### 2.3 The dynamic range of a broadband signal

A conventional representation of an earthquake amplitude spectrum is given by the Brune spectrum, which, when accounting for frequency-dependent attenuation, reads (Brune, 1970; Anderson and Hough, 1984):

\[
\Omega(f) = (2\pi f)^3 \frac{\Omega_0}{1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_c}\right)^2} \exp (-\pi\alpha f)
\]

where \(\Omega\) denotes the acceleration spectrum (which is proportional to the strain rate spectrum) with reference spectrum \(\Omega_0\), \(f_c\) is the corner frequency, and \(\alpha\) is an attenuation parameter. The reference spectrum and corner frequency are related to the seismic moment \(M_0\) as (Madariaga, 1976; Shearer, 2011):

\[
\Omega_0 = \frac{M_0 \Theta}{4\pi \rho c^3 R}
\]

\[
f_c = k_{cs} \left(\frac{16 \Delta\pi}{7 M_0}\right)^{1/3}
\]

In these expressions, \(\rho\) denotes the mass density, \(c_s\) the shear wave speed, \(R\) the hypocentral distance, \(k\) a geometric constant, and \(\Delta\pi\) the mean stress drop across a circular crack. The parameter \(\Theta\) comprises various contributions from the radiation pattern and free surface effects, including the broadside sensitivity (Martin et al., 2021) and cable-ground coupling in the case of DAS.

While the Brune acceleration spectrum gives an indication of which frequencies may exceed the saturation threshold, one must keep in mind that it is not the saturation of individual frequencies that is observed. In the time domain, it is the superposition of the contributions of each frequency that may ultimately exceed the dynamic range, and hence \(\Omega(f)\) cannot be compared with \(A_{\text{crit}, s}\) directly. A statistically robust alternative that translates the Brune spectrum into an equivalent time-domain signal amplitude is the root-mean squared acceleration \(a_{\text{RMS}}\). Through the application of Parseval’s theorem and subsequent simplification, Lior and Ziv (2018) obtained the following approximation of \(a_{\text{RMS}}\) for the attenuated Brune spectrum:

\[
a_{\text{RMS}} = \frac{(2\pi f_c)^2 \Omega_0}{\sqrt{\pi\alpha T} \left(1 + \left(\frac{f_c}{\sqrt{\pi\alpha T}}\right)^2\right)}
\]
Figure 2  Comparison between the optical phase induced by a monochromatic oscillator (orange line), and as measured by a theoretical DAS instrument (black line). The left column includes the phase ($\Phi$), phase rate ($\dot{\Phi}$), and the gradient of the phase rate ($\nabla \Phi$) for an oscillation amplitude of $A = 1.5A_{\text{crit},x} < A_{\text{crit},t}$. The right column includes the same quantities for $A = 1.5A_{\text{crit},x}$. Note that saturation of the final measurement (proportional to strain rate) only becomes saturated when $A$ exceeds $A_{\text{crit},x}$, but not when it exceeds $A_{\text{crit},t}$.

Here, $T$ denotes the duration of the time-domain signal for which $a_{\text{RMS}}$ is obtained. Using this expression, the (attenuated) spectral characteristic of the seismic source are translated into an equivalent signal amplitude $a_{\text{RMS}}$ that can be substituted into Eq. (10).

The next step is to compare $a_{\text{RMS}}$ with the phase saturation criterion. Note that Eq. (10) is defined in terms of particle displacement, whereas $a_{\text{RMS}}$ is a measure of acceleration. Hence, the “wavefield” term can be replaced with the apparent phase velocity $c$ (since $\alpha = c\varepsilon$). This gives $a_{\text{crit}} = c\lambda(4\pi\zeta\Delta x\Delta t)^{-1}$. We then set the signal saturation threshold at $a_{\text{crit}} = 2a_{\text{RMS}}$, which is equivalent to having 95% of the signal contained within the dynamic range, and express $\Theta_0$ and $f_c$ in terms of $M_0$ (Eq. (12)). The expression that follows is cubic in $M_0^{1/3}$, and so it permits an analytical solution of $M_0$ in terms of $a_{\text{RMS}}$, $R$, etc., but the solution is too cumbersome to be of practical use. Instead, we recognise that Eq. (13) has two asymptotes around $f_c = \left[\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\pi} (\pi\alpha)^{-1}\right]$, each of which permits a simple analytical solution of $M_0$:

$$M_0 = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\epsilon_0R^3}{\pi\sigma}\right]^{1/3} & \text{for } f_c \ll \left[\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\pi} (\pi\alpha)^{-1}\right] \\ \alpha^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \pi\alpha T R} & \text{for } f_c \gg \left[\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\pi} (\pi\alpha)^{-1}\right] \end{cases}$$

(14)

with:

$$\mu = \frac{\Theta}{4\pi\rho c^2}$$

$$\sigma = kc_a \left[\frac{16}{7}\Delta^3 \right]^{1/4}$$

$$a_{\text{crit}} = \frac{c\lambda}{4\pi\zeta\Delta x\Delta t}$$

(15)

The first asymptote represents the scaling of $M_0$ for large magnitude earthquakes ($f_c$), whereas the second represents that of small magnitude earthquakes. The harmonic mean of these is a good approximation for $M_0$ near $f_c = \left[\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\pi} (\pi\alpha)^{-1}\right]$, as the exact solution approaches either one of the asymptotes at a quadratic rate.

Finally, following the conventional scaling between seismic moment $M_0$ and moment magnitude $M_w$ (Hanks and Kanamori, 1979), (14) can be used directly to compute the moment magnitude above which the DAS recordings become saturated:

$$M_w = \frac{2}{3} \left[\log_{10} (M_0) - 9.05\right]$$

(16)

Up to this point, the attenuation parameter $\alpha$ has been considered to be constant. However, conventionally this parameter comprises the distance that a seismic ray has travelled through the attenuating medium in the form $\alpha = 2R(cQ)^{-1}$, where $c$ and $Q$ are the average phase speed and “quality factor” of the medium, respectively, and where the ray path is approximated by the hypocentral distance $R$. 
To verify this relationship for the onset of DAS data saturation, we analyse the DAS data recorded for 73 events taken from the public catalogue of the Centro Sismológico Nacional, ranging in magnitude from 2.5 up to 6.6. For each DAS channel we obtain the peak amplitude achieved within 30 s after the arrival of the first detectable phase arrival. For proximal events this phase is the P-wave, whereas for distant event it is the S-wave. If the peak amplitude exceeds 90% of the dynamic range, the given DAS channel is assumed to have been affected by saturation. Hence, this approach yields a binary classification of the onset of saturation as a function of catalogue magnitude and hypocentral distance. Other metrics, like those based on the total energy or the 90th percentile, give very similar results as taking the maximum amplitude.

Aside from the parameters pertaining to the acquisition and fibre, we take the remaining parameters in Eq. (14) from Strumia et al. (2024) – see Table 1. A reasonable fit between the model and the observations (Fig. 3) is obtained when we assume an average coseismic stress drop of $\Delta \tau = 5 \text{ MPa}$, which is a bit higher than what was inferred by Strumia et al. (2024). Considering that not all events conform to representative average parameters that are assumed for the model (notably the radiation pattern $\Theta$ and the apparent phase velocity $c$), deviations from the predicted saturation threshold are expected for individual events. What is particularly clear from Fig. 3 is that the scaling of this threshold is sensitive to the attenuation: by setting a fixed $\alpha = 0.1 \text{ s}$, a distance scaling is obtained that does not match the observations, underestimating the saturation potential of proximal events and overestimating that of distant events. By accounting for the length of the ray path, a much more reasonable scaling is obtained. For the attenuation parameters that describe the data well with $\alpha = f(R)$, it is found that $f_c \ll \frac{1}{2} (\pi \alpha)^{-1}$ for all events, and so only the second asymptote of Eq. (14) is practically relevant. The analysis of this section can thus be summarised with the following expression:

$$M_w = 2 \log_{10} \left( a_{\text{crit}} \sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi}} - 4 \log_{10} \left( k \left[ \frac{16}{7} \Delta \tau \right]^\frac{1}{2} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \log_{10} \left( \frac{\rho^2 c_s}{Q} \right) + 3 \log_{10}(R) - \frac{2}{3} \cdot 9.05$$

$$(17)$$

The scaling of this final expression is consistent with the data in Fig. 3b, displaying a constant magnitude-distance scaling consistent with this result ($M_0 \propto R^3$).

One final observation is that for channels that are in the proximity (< 50 km) of the hypocentre, saturation is observed (and predicted) to occur for magnitudes as low as 3. This clearly presents a challenge to EEW efforts, which are most effective when deployed in the vicinity of the seismic source. However, Fig. 3 only considers the onset of saturation, at which point the recordings retain much of their original information content (i.e., the case of “mild” saturation as shown in Fig. 1d).

The next section, we will consider how rapidly this information content degrades with increasing amplitude. Moreover, we define a metric for the degree of saturation, and we use this metric to estimate an upper bound beyond which no useful information can be (theoretically) recovered.

### 3 Spectral distortion and saturation metric

As discussed in the previous section, signals that exceed the DAS dynamic range cause distortions in the recordings, which negatively affects their useful information content. However, this transformation is not instantaneous: while strongly saturated data (Fig. 1c) bear little resemblance with the true underlying signal, weakly saturated data (Fig. 1b) could potentially be unwrapped or have their spectra analysed to extract the corner frequency (Strumia et al., 2024). To see the effect of phase saturation on a broadband earthquake spectrum, we use Eq. (11) to generate a synthetic source spectrum with uniform random phase, and convert it into a time series with an inverse Fourier transformation. We then scale the signal peak amplitude by a factor in the range of 1.5 to 5.0 times the dynamic range, and wrap the signal. The spectra that are observed after this synthetic saturation are shown in Fig. 4. For mild saturation (Fig. 4a), the spectral information around the corner frequency remains mostly unaffected, but a prominent low-frequency plateau emerges. As the synthetic time series becomes increasingly more saturated (panels b and c), this plateau increases in amplitude while the amplitude of the spectral peak diminishes, until the entire spectrum starts to approach a white noise spectrum (panels d and e).

To get a better grasp on this spectral behaviour, we first note that any saturated time series $\overline{m}(t)$ with a dynamic range of $\pm A$ can be decomposed into a superposition of the original signal $m(t)$, and a set of rectangle functions of amplitude $\pm 2A$ and width $w$, i.e.:

$$\overline{m}(t) = m(t) + 2A \sum_{i=0}^{j} \text{rect}(t - t_i) - 2A \sum_{j=0}^{l} \text{rect}(t - t_j)$$

$$(18)$$

### Table 1  Selected parameters for Eq. (17) and Fig. 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>App. wave speed</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>m s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-wave speed</td>
<td>$c_s$</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>m s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric factor</td>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress drop</td>
<td>$\Delta \tau$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation pattern</td>
<td>$\Theta$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality factor</td>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass density</td>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>km$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical wavelength</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$1550 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive index</td>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo-elastic coeff.</td>
<td>$\xi$</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gauge length</td>
<td>$\Delta x$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time sampling rate</td>
<td>$\Delta t$</td>
<td>$16 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time window</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Dynamic Range of Distributed Acoustic Sensing

Figure 3  Observed and predicted DAS data saturation induced by 73 seismic events. Each DAS channel is classified as “saturated” (orange) or “not saturated” (green) based on the recorded peak amplitude relative to its dynamic range. Eq. (14) is plotted taking a fixed $\alpha = 0.1$ s (dotted line) or as a function of hypocentral distance $\alpha = 2R(cQ)^{-1}$ (dashed line). The transition to white noise as described in Section 3 is given by the solid black line. Panel (a) displays the same information as panel (a), but on a logarithmic distance scale to highlight the more proximal, smaller magnitude events.

with
\[
\text{rect}_w(t - t_0) \equiv H \left\{ t - \left( t_0 - \frac{w}{2} \right) \right\} - H \left\{ t - \left( t_0 + \frac{w}{2} \right) \right\}
\]
and $H \{ \cdot \}$ denoting the Heaviside step-function. As a consequence, the spectrum of $\overline{m}(t)$ can be expressed as the weighted summation of $\Omega(f)$ (i.e., the spectrum of the true signal) and the spectrum corresponding to the superposition of rectangle functions $\Lambda(f)$:
\[
|F(\overline{m})|^2 = (\Omega + 2\Lambda) \cdot (\Omega + 2\Lambda)^* = |\Omega|^2 + 4A^2|\Lambda|^2 + 4A\text{Re}(\Omega\Lambda^*)
\]
Here, $F(\cdot)$ is the Fourier transform. The last term on the right-hand side denotes the real component of the cross-correlation between the true signal and the summation of rectangle functions, which in the spectral domain can be expressed as the multiplication of $\Omega$ with the complex conjugate of $\Lambda$. For mild saturation, cycle skipping is rare and only few rectangle functions are needed to satisfy Eq. (18). The spectrum of a single rectangle function is given by the cardinal sine (or sinc) function, i.e., $|F(\text{rect}_w)| = |\sin(\pi fw^{-1})| / \pi f$, and so $\Lambda(f)$ is well represented by the envelope of a sinc function. We show this in Fig. 4a, where the sinc spectrum is overlain on the spectrum of rectangle functions. As given by Eq. (18), the low-frequency plateau, as well as the high-frequency distortion of the observed amplitude spectrum, originates from the addition of the sinc spectrum.

As the degree of saturation increases, the superposition of rectangle functions is no longer quasi-random, as it needs to cancel out the true earthquake spectrum to produce the ultimately observed, saturated spectrum. In other words, the contribution of $\text{Re}(\Omega\Lambda^*)$ becomes significant and $\Lambda$ is no longer described by a sinc function (Fig. 4b-e), resulting in a non-trivial superposition of spectra. However, even though the observed signal spectrum is no longer recognisable as a Brune spectrum, it has not yet become completely uniform (Fig. 4d-e). To quantify this, we consider how the amplitude distribution of $\overline{m}(t)$ approaches that of a uniform distribution (see Fig. 4f-j). The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of a uniform distribution $U[0,1]$ is simply given by $C_U(x) = x$ ($0 \leq x < 1$), and so when $\overline{m}$ is suitably scaled between [0,1], the distance between the observed CDF $C(x)$ and the uniform CDF can be conveniently defined as:
\[
D = -\log_{10} \left( 3 \int_0^1 |C(x) - x|^2 \, dx \right)
\]
When $C(x)$ is perfectly non-uniform, the integral evaluates to $1/3$, so that $D = 0$. As $\overline{m}$ approaches a uniform distribution, the integral evaluates to zero, and $D \to \infty$.

In practice, the finite precision of the empirical CDF limits how close $C(x)$ can approach $C_U$, which in itself is a function of the number of samples $N_T$ contained within a given time window (in other words, the integration spacing $dx$). We find that the expectation of the upper limit of $D$ scales as $\log_{10}(3N_T)$, and so we define $D_T = D \log_{10}(3N_T)$, such that $0 < \mathbb{E}[D_T] \leq 1$. This renders the saturation metric independent of the arbitrary choice of $N_T$. The procedure of quantifying the degree of saturation of a given DAS channel is then to:

1. select a fixed time window (e.g., 30 s after the P-arrival),
2. compute the empirical CDF of the time series,
3. scale the data by the dynamic range such that all values fall between 0 and 1,
4. evaluate Eq. (21) and scale by $\log_{10}(3N_T)$.

Now that a suitable metric for the degree of saturation has been defined, we evaluate to what extent this metric can be applied in practice. We select an earthquake recorded by the SER.N cable with good signal-to-noise ratio, but without causing the data to saturate. For a selected number of DAS channels with good coupling and low ambient noise levels, we normalise the data by the 90th-percentile value of each DAS channel. We then artificially saturate the data by scaling with a factor $A$ and wrapping around the dynamic range, and measure $D_T$ as a function of $A$. Due to variations in the signal characteristics of each channel, the measured $D_T$-values vary from one channel to the next. This allows us to estimate the expected variation of $D_T$ for a given earthquake, and consequently the precision of estimating $A$ from $D_T$. As seen in Fig. 5, the transition towards white noise is completed at around an amplitude factor of 20, beyond which $D_T$ plateaus. The exact point at which this occurs depends on the data window selection, as the S-wave train saturates more quickly than the (lower amplitude) P-wave train. Taking a factor 20 as a reasonable estimate, it is expected that the DAS data become “fully saturated” within 0.9 magnitude units ($\approx \frac{7}{3} \log_{10} 20$) above the initial saturation threshold. For reference, this upper bound on the saturation is included in Fig. 3.

To verify the existence of the upper bound in our data, we evaluate $D_T$ for the $M_{ww}$ 6.6 Huasco event, which is the largest magnitude event in the data set. The SER.N and SER.S cables were located within a hypocentral distance of less than 200 km, while the CCN.N cable was located around a distance of 400 km. By comparison with the fully-saturated bound in Fig. 3, one can see that the distal CCN.N cable is close to the predicted transition to becoming fully saturated, whereas the SER.N and SER.S cables were expected to have become fully saturated. We estimate $D_T$ for each channel individually, and observe how $D_T$ changes as a function of hypocentral distance and time (Fig. 6). When estimating $D_T$ over a time window spanning 10 s after the P-wave arrival at each channel, we observe that the CCN.N cable at around 400 km hypocentral distance is tightly clustered around $D_T \approx 0.25$, indicating that no (or very little) data saturation occurs. By contrast, the SER.N and SER.S cables (up to 200 km distance) exhibit $D_T$-values that exceed 0.6, indicating that the data are saturated, though potentially retain some useful information. However, when extending the time window to 30 s and 60 s after the P-arrival, the SER.N and SER.S data approach the white noise limit at $D_T \approx 1$. Taking the same time window, the CCN.N data start to exhibit a $D_T$-value greater than 0.25, a trend that continues when extending the
time window up to 60 s, reaching up to $D_T = 0.6$.

These observations confirm that the plateau of $D_T$ observed in the quasi-synthetic analysis (Fig. 5b) is a phenomenon that manifests itself when the cable is subjected to strong ground motions, resulting in DAS recordings that have been fully reduced to white noise. The magnitude-distance criterion that marks the fully-saturated transition (Fig. 3) seems somewhat too stringent since the CCN.N cable, which was predicted to become fully saturated, exhibits intermediate $D_T$-values up to 0.6. Based on Fig. 5b, in order to reach $D_T \geq 0.9$ the ground acceleration at CCN.N would have needed to be stronger by about a factor 3 (equivalent to a magnitude increase of 0.3). However, given the numerous simplifications made up to this point, and the uncertainties in the parameters that enter Eq. (14), we believe that the predictive power of the proposed saturation criteria is acceptable.

With regard to Fig. 6, we make one final observation, being that the lowest measured $D_T$-values remain around 0.25 even for the SER.N and SER.S cables that reach the white noise transition. These are sections of the DAS cable that are poorly coupled, and that record little to no strain induced by the body waves. Some of these channels record only a fraction of the seismic energy, such that they remain unsaturated or become only mildly saturated, if at all (see Fig. 1b). These channels could be used to recover information that was lost by fully-saturated channels, and possibly play a critical role in EEW and near-field analysis of large seismic events. However, whether the data recorded by poorly coupled sections provides a faithful representation of the seismic wavefield (up to a scaling factor) still needs to be investigated.

4 Implications for EEW

From the theoretical analysis laid out in Section 2, as well as from the empirical observations made in the previous section, it becomes clear that the limited dynamic range of DAS data presents a major obstacle for the use of DAS for EEW. Even though DAS has the potential to provide near-source instrumentation, which would maximise the warning time given by EEW (Lior et al., 2023), rapid saturation of the recordings prevents the accurate extraction of amplitude information that underlies local magnitude scaling relationships (Yin et al., 2023b). We found that for hypocentral distances of around 20 km, earthquakes of a magnitude as low as 2.5 could cause data saturation, which offers a bleak outlook for DAS-based EEW.

Fortunately, there are several perspectives that could redeem DAS as an effective method for EEW:

• Firstly, while the amplitude information of the DAS recordings may not be informative, the P-wave onset times can be used to obtain a rapid first estimation of the hypocentre (Yin et al., 2023a). Given a known seismic source location, it is possible to estimate the source magnitude from the recordings of a single strong-motion sensor. This alleviates the need for several strong-motion sensors to be triggered, which is a requirement to obtain a source location (and corresponding magnitude) in conventional EEW systems. As a result, an alert could be issued as soon as the nearest strong-motion sensor exceeds a trigger limit, reducing the system latency by several seconds (Minson et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2021).

• Owing to imperfect coupling between the cable and the ground, DAS data commonly exhibit large variations in the spatial distribution of seismic wave amplitudes. While these poorly-coupled sections are typically considered a nuisance for weak-motion analyses, they may be vital to estimating ground motion amplitudes that may otherwise have caused the data to become fully saturated. However, it needs to be investigated whether the response of these sections is linear (i.e., there exists a constant scaling between the imposed and recorded amplitudes), and whether they interact with soil nonlinearities (Viens et al., 2022).

• Particularly for submarine DAS, the shallow, unconsolidated sediment cover can cause major dis-
Beside these future avenues for exploration, we offer the following recommendations for DAS-based EEW in its current form:

- As is apparent from Eq. (10), and as was recommended by previous studies (Viens et al., 2022; Abukrat et al., 2023), increasing the spatiotemporal sampling rate of the acquisition increases the saturation amplitude proportionally. As it may not be feasible to record and store the acquired data without downsampling (owing to limited storage capacity or bandwidth), operating in trigger-mode could be a viable solution. However, one must keep in mind that the logarithmic magnitude scaling implies severely diminishing returns: by simultaneously decreasing the gauge length and temporal sampling period by a factor 10 (i.e., increasing the data density by a factor 100), the magnitude threshold is raised by only 1.3 magnitude units. Moreover, there are practical limitations to the data resolution which stem from the laser pulse duration and the total length of the sensed fibre. These prevent one to set an arbitrary acquisition sampling rate in an attempt to extend the saturation threshold to the desired earthquake magnitude range. Another point of consideration is that for a fixed bit-resolution of the data (typically stored as 16 or 32-bit integers), the sensitivity scales proportionally with the dynamic range. For DAS arrays that serve both EEW and general seismological purposes (microseismicity monitoring, ambient noise correlation, etc.), a fixed-bit-resolution may render the data unsuitable for either application. As an example, consider a dynamic range that permits unsaturated recordings of an $M_w$ 7 event at 50 km distance. A 16-bit discretisation (values in the range $\pm 2^{15}$) then implies that events of $M_w$ 4 or lower will generate strains that fall within the bit-precision, and are therefore rendered undetectable.

- As we have shown in Section 3, it is possible to make an estimation of the peak ground motion amplitude even when it exceeds the dynamic range. As long as the data distribution is significantly different from that of a uniform distribution, one could map the observed saturation metric ($D_T$) back into a peak amplitude following the relationship depicted in Fig. 5b. By doing so, the dynamic range can be artificially extended by an amount that corresponds with almost one unit of $M_w$. If the objective is to use a magnitude-based magnitude-distance relationship, extending the dynamic range also implies that reliable estimates of magnitude can be made much closer to the seismic source, which translates into faster alert times.

- By implementing (real-time) unwrapping algorithms, recordings that suffer from saturation could be fully restored. For mildly saturated data, one could attempt to detect sudden jumps in the
data and add/subtract the dynamic range value to unwrap the saturated recordings (e.g. Diaz-Meza et al., 2023). However, this algorithm is severely limited and prone to errors once the true ground motion amplitudes far exceed the dynamic range. Instead, one should opt for a gradient-based unwrapping method, which tracks and integrates the phase-gradient of the recordings. We present a simple example of such an algorithm in Appendix I. More sophisticated gradient-tracking algorithms could greatly improve upon the unwrapping performance, potentially increasing the magnitude detection threshold by more than one magnitude unit. The unwrapping should be performed prior to downsampling, as to retain the maximum sampling density for the gradient estimation, and not be affected by the step-response of anti-aliasing filters.

• One of the appealing features of DAS, is that it can leverage existing telecom fibre networks. However, for the specific purpose of EEW, it may be worthwhile (or necessary) to design and deploy customised cables that exhibit a lower sensitivity. This can be achieved either through changes in the optical characteristics (optical wavelength or refractive index), or through geometry (e.g., helically-wound cables with an optimised pitch).

5 Conclusions

In this study, we presented an in-depth analysis of the dynamic range of Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS), with a primary focus on Earthquake Early Warning (EEW). While DAS offers many advantages over conventional instrumentation used by EEW systems, it suffers from data degradation (“saturation”) when the ground motions exceed its dynamic range. We derived several criteria that describe the tendency of DAS data saturation as a function of seismic moment magnitude and hypocentral distance, verified with empirical observations. From this we conclude that for typical DAS acquisition settings (gauge length and sampling rate), saturation occurs for a range of moment magnitudes and distances that is overly restrictive, indicating that present-day DAS technologies may not be suitable for EEW purposes. Furthermore, we proposed a metric for the degree of saturation, that may help to artificially extend the dynamic range of DAS by a factor equivalent to 0.9 units of $M_w$. Even though this is a significant improvement, it remains insufficient for the near-field analysis of earthquake magnitudes that are of interest of EEW (typically $M_w$ 6 or higher).

These observations indicate that technological advances still need to be made before DAS could replace conventional strong-motion instrumentation in EEW systems. Nonetheless, DAS can provide complementary information that helps to rapidly establish the seismic source location and focal mechanism, and dedicated signal processing techniques and custom cable designs could remedy the limited dynamic range, allowing DAS to contribute faithful amplitude and phase information to benefit EEW.

Appendix I: gradient-based unwrapping

A somewhat naive approach to phase unwrapping, is to detect when the recorded signal exhibits a large discontinuity, which is then interpreted as a phase jump and corrected by adding or subtracting twice the value of the dynamic range. In the context of what was previously discussed at the start of Section 3, this approach amounts to finding the rectangles in Eq. (18). Such an algorithm is currently implemented in the commonly-used NumPy library, and may be a starting point for analysts who wish to restore their saturated DAS recordings. Unfortunately, correctly identifying each phase jump is highly improbable even for modest degrees of saturation, hence this approach is prone to unwrapping errors.

An alternative method circumvents the need for phase jump detection by relying on the continuity of the gradient of the data in the complex plane. While the DAS recordings may exhibit discontinuities in the time domain, these are only the result of lifting the phase measurement out of the complex plane (the $\arg$ operation in Eq. (4)). Within the complex plane, the signal is continuous, and so it can be reconstructed by estimating the gradient of the signal in the complex plane, converting this into increments of $\dot{\varepsilon}$, and integrating (summing) these increments to obtain a signal that is not restricted by the dynamic range.

A first-order algorithm that implements this notion is as follows:

\begin{algorithm}
\begin{align}
1: & \quad z \leftarrow \exp \left( j \pi R^{-1} \right) \quad \triangleright \text{Lift } x \text{ into the complex plane} \\
2: & \quad g \leftarrow \text{diff}(z) \quad \triangleright \text{Phase difference; see Eq. (2)} \\
3: & \quad \dot{x} \leftarrow \frac{\pi}{R} \int \arg \{g\} \, dt \quad \triangleright \text{Reconstruction by integration} \\
4: & \quad \text{return } \text{unwrapped time-series } \dot{x}
\end{align}
\end{algorithm}

The critical step in this algorithm is the estimation of the gradient of $z$. As in Section 2.1, one can conveniently estimate the gradient in the complex plane through a first-order finite difference operation, but more advanced gradient estimators (such as Kalman filters) can be employed to achieve higher accuracy. Likewise, the integration of $\arg \{g\}$ could take the form of a cumulative sum (if $g$ is expressed as a phase difference) or a higher-order integration scheme (if $g$ is expressed as a phase gradient).

Assuming perfect (infinite-order) differentiation and integration, we can derive a criterion for the onset of unwrapping errors of Algorithm 1. Taking again the example of a monochromatic oscillator, $x(t) = A \cos(2\pi ft)$, and realising that $\arg \{g\} < \pi$, we obtain:

$$|\arg \{g\}| \approx 2\pi^2 AR^{-1} |\sin(2\pi ft)| \Delta t < \pi$$

$$\quad \Leftrightarrow \quad A_{\text{crit}} = \frac{R}{2\pi f \Delta t}$$

The factor $\pi R^{-1}$ is introduced in the first step to acknowledge the conversion from $x$ to $z$. In practice, the
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Figure 7 Performance of the gradient-based unwrapping algorithm. The reference signal is scaled by its maximum value, and subsequently multiplied by a constant factor ranging from 1 up to 50. Synthetic recordings are rendered by wrapping the scaled reference signal, which is fed to Algorithm 1. Unwrapping errors start to appear when the maximum amplitude exceeds the dynamic range by a factor 20.

differentiation and integration will be imperfect, and so these finite-precision schemes will introduce a proportionality constant, i.e. $A_{\text{crit}} = \beta R (2\pi f \Delta t)^{-1}$, with $0 < \beta < 1$.

As an example, we test Algorithm 1 on a reference signal (recordings of ocean gravity waves) with a characteristic frequency of $f = 0.1$ Hz and a time sampling rate of $(\Delta t)^{-1} = 62.5$ Hz - see Fig. 7. Hence, the theoretical maximum $A_{\text{crit}} R^{-1} \approx 100$. The signal was scaled by its maximum amplitude and multiplied by a factor ranging from 1 to 50 times the dynamic range (i.e., $AR^{-1} \in \{1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50\}$), followed by wrapping around $R$. After applying Algorithm 1 on the wrapped data, we find that unwrapping errors start to become prominent after $AR^{-1} > 20$, suggesting $\beta \approx 0.2$. As aforementioned, higher-order differentiation and integration will likely increase $\beta$, possibly approaching $\beta \approx 1$.
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