# Shifts in water supply and demand drive land cover change across Chile<sup>1</sup>

Francisco Zambrano<sup>a,b</sup>, Anton Vrieling<sup>c</sup>, Francisco Meza<sup>d,e,f</sup>, Iongel Duran-Llacer<sup>g</sup>, Francisco Fernández<sup>h,i</sup>, Alejandro Venegas-González<sup>j</sup>, Nicolas Raab<sup>d</sup>, and Dylan Craven<sup>b,k,l</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Hémera Centro de Observación de la Tierra, Facultad de Ciencias, Escuela de Ingeniería en Medio Ambiente y Sustentabilidad, Universidad Mayor, Santiago, 7500994, Chile.

<sup>b</sup> Observatorio de Sequía para la Agricultura y la Biodiversidad de Chile (ODES), Universidad Mayor, Santiago, 7500994, Chile.

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands.

<sup>d</sup> Facultad de Agronomía y Sistemas Naturales, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile., Santiago, Chile.

<sup>e</sup> Instituto para el Desarrollo Sustentable. Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile.

<sup>f</sup> Centro Interdisciplinario de Cambio Global, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile.

<sup>g</sup> Hémera Centro de Observación de la Tierra, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Mayor,, Santiago, 7500994, Chile.

<sup>h</sup> Center of Economics for Sustainable Development (CEDES), Faculty of Economics and Government, Universidad San Sebastián, Santiago, Chile.

<sup>i</sup> Center of Applied Ecology and Sustainability (CAPES), Santiago, Chile.

<sup>j</sup> Instituto de Ciencias Agroalimentarias, Animales y Ambientales (ICA3), Universidad de O'Higgins, San Fernando, Chile. <sup>k</sup> GEMA Center for Genomics, Ecology & Environment, Universidad Mayor, Camino La Pirámide Huechuraba 5750, Santiago, Chile.

<sup>1</sup> Data Observatory Foundation, Santiago, Chile.

Corresponding author. Email: francisco.zambrano@umayor.cl

# Abstract

Globally, droughts are becoming longer, more frequent and more severe, and their impacts are multidimensional. The impacts of droughts typically extend beyond the water balance as they accumulate over time, and can lead to regime shifts in land use. Here, we assess the effects of temporal changes in water supply and demand on vegetation productivity and land cover change over multiple time scales in continental Chile, which has experienced an extreme drought over the last 20 years. Across most of continental Chile, we found a persistent decreasing trend in water supply and an increasing trend in water demand since 1981, trends that intensify over longer time scales. This long-term decrease in water availability has led to a decrease in vegetation productivity, especially in central and southern Chile. Our models suggest that increasing drought severity has led to shifts in land use towards more drought-tolerant land cover types, such as shrublands. We also found evidence that shifts in land cover types may reveal how human perceptions of prolonged drought can indirectly lead to large-scale changes in land use. Our results suggest that long-term climate change may lead to regime shifts in land cover, which may be mitigated by context-specific adaptation strategies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv.

#### Introduction

Across many regions of the world, droughts are becoming longer and more frequent and severe<sup>1,2</sup>, impacting ecosystems *via* tree mortality and productivity<sup>1,3</sup> and inducing shifts in land cover and use<sup>4</sup>. However, identifying drought events is surprisingly idiosyncratic due to the varying criteria used for classification. Droughts can be classified as either 1) meteorological, i.e., when precipitation in a specific period is below mean precipitation over multiple years (usually more than 30 years); 2) hydrological, i.e., when precipitation anomalies last for long periods (months to years) and affect water systems; 3) agricultural, i.e. when precipitation deficits negatively impact plant health, leading to decreases in the productivity of crops or pastures<sup>5</sup>; or 4) ecological, i.e., when precipitation deficits negatively affect the provisioning of ecosystem services and trigger feedbacks in natural or human systems<sup>4</sup>. Yet, these classifications overlook broader impacts of droughts, particularly human decision making and activities, e.g., land-use change<sup>6,7</sup>, which may have cascading effects on biodiversity and ecosystem services (e.g., ref. 8, 9).

Despite the high degree of confidence in the impacts of rising temperatures on the extent, frequency, and severity of agricultural and ecological droughts<sup>2</sup>, which are likely to increase even if global warming stabilizes at  $1.5^{\circ}-2^{\circ}$ C, the severity of meteorological droughts has been remarkably stable globally over the past century<sup>10,11</sup>. In the few regions where drought severity has increased over this period (1900-2000), rising temperatures have increased atmospheric evaporative demand (AED), which has been associated with increases in agricultural land area<sup>10</sup>. Thus, rising water demand may reflect parallel changes in land use - primarily agriculture - that can exacerbate the effects of meteorological droughts on ecosystems.

From 1960 to 2019, land use change has impacted approximately one-third of the Earth's surface, which is four times more than previously thought<sup>12</sup>. Despite the considerable interest in land-use change dynamics (e.g. ref. 12, 13), the direction and magnitude of drought impacts on land cover change and vegetation productivity remain uncertain<sup>14-16</sup>. While meteorological droughts are responsible for approximately 37% of variability in land cover change and vegetation productivity globally<sup>16</sup>, there is little support for the idea that meteorological droughts affect soil moisture<sup>14</sup>. However, the evidence supporting these derived from only one drought index, Standardized Precipitation results is Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI; ref. 17), which combines a proxy for water supply, precipitation, with a proxy for water demand, AED, at one time scale (12 months). The use of only one time scale may bias results of drought impacts towards ecosystems dominated by plant growth forms such as grasses and herbs that respond more rapidly to drought stress (< 12 months), as physiological differences among and within dominant growth forms may increase (or decrease) tolerance of drought stress<sup>18,19</sup>. For example, trees growing in more arid ecosystems typically respond over longer time scales than in more humid ecosystems<sup>20</sup>.

Expanding analyses to include multiple dimensions of droughts can provide complementary insights into the Earth's water balance - and its impacts - over multiple time scales. However, the World Meteorological Organization recommends the use of a single drought index for monitoring droughts<sup>21</sup>, the multi-scale Standardized Precipitation

Index (SPI; ref. 22), which can only identify meteorological and hydrological droughts because it is uniquely based on water supply in the form of precipitation. SPEI builds upon SPI by incorporating the effects of temperature on droughts, and is now used widely for drought monitoring (e.g., ref. 23, 24). To better disentangle the effects of precipitation from those of temperature<sup>25</sup>, as well as to capture droughts in terms of water demand, AED has been integrated into the Evaporative Demand Drought Index (EDDI; ref. 26), which is particularly effective at detecting the rapid onset or intensification of droughts. Indices derived from soil moisture, such as the Soil Moisture Deficit Index (SDMI; ref. 27), the Soil Moisture Agricultural Drought Index (SMADI; ref. 28), and the Standardized Soil Moisture Index (SSI; ref. 29, 30) also monitor water supply and are used to identify agricultural droughts because they are thought to better capture water availability for crops. In turn, ecological droughts, which capture the joint impacts of precipitation and temperature on natural and productive ecosystems *via* variation in net primary productivity<sup>31-33</sup>, are usually monitored with the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and derived indices, e.g., zcNDVI<sup>34</sup>. However, none of the aforementioned drought indices directly or indirectly consider the broader impacts of droughts on human decisions and activities - particularly land-use change, which is critical to developing a more holistic overview of climate change impacts.

Here, we analyze the multi-dimensional impacts of drought on water supply and demand, net primary productivity, and land-use change across terrestrial ecosystems in continental Chile. Chile's diverse climate and ecosystems<sup>35,36</sup> make it an ideal natural laboratory for assessing the dynamic interactions between climate and ecosystems, and potential impacts on land-use change. Additionally, large parts of Chile have experienced severe droughts conditions that have significantly affected vegetation and water storage in recent years; north-central Chile has faced a persistent precipitation deficit (or "mega-drought") since  $2010^{37}$ , which has broadly impacted native forests (e.g., ref. 38-40) and agricultural productivity (e.g., ref. 34, 41, 42). There is also growing evidence that this "mega-drought" has impacted farmers' decision making, who now opt for crops with shorter rotations and lower capital costs<sup>43</sup>. Given the persistent water deficit associated with the "mega-drought" and its cascading effects on the hydrological system<sup>44</sup>, it is critical to assess multiple time scales that account for the cumulative impacts of this extreme event over several years. We therefore aim to assess: i) short- to long-term time trends in multi-scalar drought indices that capture variation in the components of water balance, i.e., water supply and demand; ii) temporal changes in land-use cover and vegetation productivity, and iii) drought impacts on vegetation productivity and land-use change across continental Chile.

#### Results

# Decreases in water supply and increases in water demand strengthen over longer time scales

We observed a decrease in SPI, SPEI, and SSI - proxies largely associated with water supply - from north to south in continental Chile, with the exception of the southernmost region ("Austral"), a trend that became more pronounced over longer time scales (Fig. 1). In

contrast, we found that EDDI - a proxy for atmospheric water demand - showed a positive trend across Chile, with a sharper increase over time scales in the north than in the south. In general, these results suggest that declines in precipitation have reduced water supply, while increases in temperature have increased water demand over the past four decades.



Drought index 🔶 EDDI 🔶 SPEI 🔶 SS

**Figure 1. Drought severity increases over longer time scales across most of continental Chile**. Temporal shifts in drought severity over multiple time scales for indices associated with water supply (SPI, SPEI, SSI) and demand (EDDI) across continental Chile for 1981-2023. SPI is the standardized precipitation index, SPEI is the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index , SSI is the Standardized Soil Moisture Index, and EDDI is the Evaporative Demand Drought Index. Drought indices were aggregated per region for visualization.

#### Vegetation productivity decreased in northern and central Chile

Despite evidence of increasing drought severity across Chile, we found contrasting temporal trends in vegetation productivity (Fig. 2). In the two southernmost regions ('Sur' and 'Austral') and one northern region ('Norte Grande'), vegetation productivity increased over the last 23 years, while in two more central regions ('Centro' and 'Norte Chico') it decreased over the same period (Fig. 2). In central Chile, vegetation productivity was lowest from 2019 to 2022, which could be due to either a decrease in vegetation area, a loss of biomass or browning.



**Figure 2. Central and northern Chile have experienced the greatest decline in vegetation productivity.** Spatial (**a**) and temporal (**b**) variation in vegetation productivity across continental Chile for 2000-2023. Vegetation productivity was estimated as standardized vegetation productivity (zcNDVI). Green corresponds to areas with a positive temporal trend in zcNDVI, red corresponds to a negative temporal trend in zcNDVI, and gray corresponds to areas that did not change over time. Temporal trends in zcNDVI were estimated with the non-parametric modified Mann-Kendall test for serially correlated data.

#### Cropland and forest cover are shifting southwards

We also observed significant changes in land cover across continental Chile (Fig. 3). In northern Chile ("Norte Grande" and "Norte Chico"), the cover of croplands (-12 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) and savannas (-70 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) decreased, while the cover of barren lands increased significantly (111 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) and the cover of forests, grasslands and shrublands did not change (0 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>). In central Chile ("Centro"), croplands (-22 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) and savannas (-136 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) experienced a strong decrease in cover, but shrublands (146 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>), grasslands (83 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>), and barren lands (23 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) increased, and forests did not change (0 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, in southern Chile ("Sur"), forest cover (397 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) and cropland cover (38 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) increased over time, with only savanna cover decreasing (-319 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>). In the southernmost region ("Austral"), only savanna cover increased (172 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>), while barren land (-93 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) and shrubland (-37 km<sup>2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>) cover decreased. These changes in land cover suggest that agricultural cover is shifting further south, from northern and central Chile to southern Chile, where savannas are apparently being rapidly replaced by native and planted forests.



**Figure 3**. Land cover is shifting dynamically across continental Chile. Temporal trends in absolute (**a**) and relative (**b**) land cover across continental Chile for 2001-2022. Temporal change in land cover for each class was estimated with Sen's slope; zero values indicate no change, while red and blue points indicate maximum and minimum values, respectively. Land cover classes with no values did not have statistically significant changes in area over the study period. Relative land cover change was estimated within each study region.

# Vegetation productivity most strongly impacted by drought in south-central Chile

We found that temporal variation in vegetation productivity was usually best explained by drought indices with time scales greater than 12 months (Fig. 4). For all drought indices, the time scales with the strongest correlation with vegetation productivity were longer towards northern Chile and shorter towards southern Chile, with the exception of the southernmost region ("Austral"). Especially in south-central Chile ("Centro" and "Sur"), the time scales with the strongest correlation with vegetation productivity were concentrated in the Coastal and Andean mountain ranges. However, the areas where vegetation was most affected by drought, i.e. where correlations were positive for SPI, SPEI and SSI and negative for EDDI, were located in south-central Chile, but not necessarily in either of the two mountain ranges. While the spatial variation in the relationship between drought index that captures water supply *via* soil moisture (Standardized Soil Moisture Index; SSI) tended to show a stronger correlation with vegetation productivity over larger areas than the other drought indices.

Our analysis also revealed that water demand and supply differentially affected the time scales at which vegetation productivity of land cover types within each region was most impacted by drought (Fig. 5/Table SSX). In northern Chile, all land cover types exhibited stronger correlations with drought indices associated with water supply, i.e. SPI, SPEI, and SSI, at shorter time scales (12 or 14 months) than those associated with water demand, AED (36 months). In central Chile, we observed a similar pattern for shrublands and savannas, and found that vegetation productivity of shrublands, savannas, and croplands were generally more affected by changes in water supply than grasslands, croplands, or forests. In southern Chile, vegetation productivity within land cover types was less affected by variation in water supply or demand - and at shorter timescales than in other regions. Notably, vegetation productivity of native and planted forests was weakly correlated with drought indices (r < 0.2) at relatively long time scales, particularly in central Chile.



**Figure 4. Drought impacts on vegetation productivity shift across continental Chile.** Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to estimate the direction and magnitude of the relationship between drought severity and vegetation productivity for each index for 2000-2023. We show Pearson correlation coefficients for the time scale (3 - 36 months) at which they reach their maximum value. Areas in white indicate no statistically significant correlation. SPI is the standardized precipitation index, SPEI is the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index , SSI is the Standardized Soil Moisture Index, and EDDI is the Evaporative Demand Drought Index.



Time-scale (months)



**Figure 5. Drought impacts on vegetation productivity are higher over longer time scales.** Spatial variation in the time scale (3-36 months) at which drought impacts on vegetation productivity are most severe across continental Chile for 2000-2023. White spaces indicate no significant correlation between vegetation productivity and drought severity. SPI is the standardized precipitation index, SPEI is the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index, SSI is the Standardized Soil Moisture Index, and EDDI is the Evaporative Demand Drought Index.

#### Drought transforms land cover distribution

Our random forest models show that drought indices explain between 22-48% of the variation in land cover change across continental Chile, with the exception of croplands whose variation was weakly affected by drought (Fig. 6; 11-20%). Moreover, these results highlight the importance of considering water supply and demand, as drought indices associated with both aspects of water balance had high importance values across most study regions and land cover types. The variation in the time scale of drought indices within study regions also suggests that different types of vegetation are not equally sensitive to droughts of similar intensities. For example, changes in savanna and shrubland cover were associated with longer time scales in most regions, while changes in forest cover in central and southern Chile were associated with shorter time scales. Our results also show that drought severity was associated with the magnitude and direction of land cover change (Fig. 7). More specifically, we found that decreases in precipitation (SPI-6) and soil moisture (SSI-36) and increases in atmospheric evaporative demand (EDDI-6 and EDDI-36) at multiple time scales are associated with non-linear decreases in grassland across continental Chile and forest cover from central to southern Chile. In contrast, shrubland increased non-linearly in response to decreases in precipitation (SPI-6 and SPI-36) and soil moisture (SSI-6 and SSI-36) and increases in atmospheric evaporative demand (EDDI-6 and EDDI-36) across central and northern Chile. Savanna cover responded weakly to changes in precipitation across continental Chile, but exhibited more pronounced non-linear declines in response to increasing atmospheric evaporative demand (EDDI-6 and EDDI-36) across most study regions. Cropland cover, not surprisingly, varied weakly in response to changes in either water supply or demand.



**Figure 6. Shifts in water supply and demand drive land cover change across multiple time scales.** Variable importance of multi-scalar drought indices for explaining land cover change in five study regions across continental Chile. Variable importance was estimated with Random Forest models fitted for each combination of study region and land cover type. SPI is the Standardized Precipitation *index*, SPEI is the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index, SSI is the Standardized Soil Moisture Index, and EDDI is the Evaporative Demand Drought Index. The numbers next to the drought index correspond to the time scales in months (1- 36).



**Figure 7. Drought severity drives land cover change, but not for all cover types.** Response of land cover change in response to water demand and supply across multiple time scales and study regions in continental Chile. SPI is the standardized precipitation index, SPEI is the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index, SSI is the Standardized Soil Moisture Index, and EDDI is the Evaporative Demand Drought Index. Numbers next to the drought index correspond to the time scales in months (1- 36). Fitted lines are smoothed response curves across river basins in each region estimated with Random Forest models.

#### Discussion

#### Temporal trends in water supply and demand

With the exception of the southernmost region, we found a significant decreasing trend in water supply (SPI, SPEI, and SSI) over the past four decades across continental Chile and is strongest in northern and central Chile<sup>44,45</sup>. Our results reveal that decreases in water supply increased over longer time scales, which is consistent with a progressive intensification of drought severity across much of Chile, as has been observed in other regions experiencing long-term droughts<sup>46,47</sup>. In parallel, we observed an increased water demand (EDDI) due to rising air temperatures, which also strengthened over longer time scales. Taken together, our results provide multiple lines of evidence that continental Chile has experienced a sustained drying trend due to a concurrent decrease in precipitation and increase in atmospheric evaporative demand<sup>48</sup>.

#### Temporal trends in vegetation productivity

The consequences of the persistent drying trend for ecosystems throughout continental Chile are manifold. First, the prolonged hydrological drought, i.e. precipitation deficit, has reduced groundwater storage (SSI; ref. 49), leading to a steady decline in vegetation productivity (zcNDVI) since 2000 across northern and central Chile, reaching its lowest level between 2020 and 2022. This decline was most strongly associated with declines in soil moisture, as has been reported for natural and productive ecosystems<sup>50-52</sup>. Second, the strong coupling between vegetation productivity and soil moisture over longer time scales<sup>53</sup> that we observed provides a more direct physiological explanation for the sharp decline in forest growth and productivity in central Chile (e.g., ref. 1, 54), as the dominant woody

vegetation (e.g., trees, shrubs) in this region is likely to obtain water from deeper in the soil profile than herbs, grasses, or agricultural crops<sup>55</sup>. Moreover, the strengthening of the correlation between vegetation productivity and water supply (SPI, SPEI, SSI) or demand (EDDI) over multiple time scales (up to 36 months) and across land cover types (Fig. 5) - demonstrates the impacts of climate change on the water balance in Chile. Impacts may extend beyond vegetation productivity, as reduced soil moisture in the western United States has increased wildfire activity<sup>56</sup>, which is a growing concern in Chile and may be further exacerbated by extensive plantations of highly flammable tree species<sup>57</sup>. Third, we found that the decline in the vegetation productivity of croplands is due to a decrease in the water supply to a greater extent than to an increase in water demand<sup>58</sup>, despite evidence that more water-intensive crops have replaced less water-intensive crops in the Petorca Basin of central Chile, leading to an increase in water extraction from rivers or groundwater<sup>59,60</sup>.

#### Drought impacts on land cover

We found evidence that temporal decreases in water supply and decreases in water demand are driving shifts not only in vegetation productivity but also in land cover across most of continental Chile. Forest and grassland cover were particularly sensitive to changes in the water balance over short and long temporal scales, which is consistent with recent studies showing that progressive, long-term water deficits in central Chile have triggered forest browning and declines in native forest productivity<sup>1,38,54</sup>. Despite combining native and planted forests in our analysis, the latter of which are considered to be more drought tolerant in central and southern Chile<sup>61</sup>, we show that forest cover declines more sharply in response to increasing water demand due to rising temperatures temperatures (EDDI) than decreasing water supply (e.g., SPI, SSI; refs. 62, 63), which may have cascading impacts on multiple facets of forest diversity<sup>64,65</sup>. Our results extend the results of these studies by showing that drought-induced forest cover decline has extended beyond central Chile to the southernmost region of continental Chile. This is noteworthy because declines in vegetation productivity in southern Chile - a region whose water balance is typically projected to be less affected by climate change than central and northern Chile<sup>66</sup> - have only manifested since 2022 (Fig. 2). Moreover, our results provide evidence that, in addition to forest cover, other land cover types have been affected by water deficits, particularly grasslands, despite physiological differences between dominant plant growth forms (e.g., trees, shrubs, C3 and C4 grasses: refs. 18, 19). Our results therefore suggest that multiple land cover types could be vulnerable to regime shifts towards more drought tolerant land cover types<sup>68,68</sup>, such as shrublands, whose cover increased non-linearly in response to increasing drought severity. In central Chile, for example, the increase in shrubland cover could be due to drought-induced decreases in savanna or cropland cover. Changes in cropland cover may not be a direct consequence of drought (Fig. 7), but rather an indirect one, possibly reflecting the decision of resource-poor farmers to migrate to regions with more abundant water resources or to change economic activity<sup>7,69</sup>. In contrast, the increase in shrubland cover due to a decrease in savanna cover may be ecological, as shrubs may be more drought tolerant than other growth forms<sup>70</sup>.

Overall, our results show that long-term declines in water supply and demand have induced widespread, multi-dimensional impacts on the vegetation productivity and on the extent of land cover types. While prolonged droughts may directly cause shifts to more drought-tolerant land cover types, such as shrublands, they may also influence land cover change through human decision making and activities. This study extends current understanding of drought impacts by demonstrating how their multidimensionality emerges over multiple time scales and across land cover types, which can contribute to developing context-specific adaptation strategies for agriculture, biodiversity conservation, and natural resource management.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Study area

Continental Chile has a diverse climate, with strong gradients from north to south and east to west<sup>71</sup> (Fig. 8a), which, together with its complex topography, determine its ecosystem diversity<sup>36,72</sup> (Fig. 8c). We divided Chile into five regions: "Norte Grande" (17°34'–25°42'S), "Norte Chico" (25°42'-32°8'S), "Centro" (32°08'-36°12'S), "Sur" (36°12'-43°48'S), and "Austral" (43°48'-56°00'S). "Norte Grande" and "Norte Chico" are predominantly arid with hot (Bwh in the Koppen-Geiger classification) and cold (Bwk) temperatures. Towards the south of "Norte Chico", the climate changes to an arid steppe with cold temperatures (Bsk). In these two northern regions, the land is mostly bare, with a small area covered by shrublands and grasslands. In the "Centro" region and the northern half of "Sur", the climate is mostly Mediterranean, with warm to hot summers (Csa and Csb). Land cover in the "Centro" region consists of a significant amount of shrublands and savannas (50%), followed by grasslands (16%), forests (8%), and croplands (5%). The south of "Sur" and the north of the "Austral" region have a mostly oceanic climate (Cfb). Those zones have a large area of forests and grasslands. The southern part of the country has a tundra climate, while "Austral" is a cold, semi-arid area covered by grasslands and forests, and, to a lesser extent, savannas.



**Figure 8.** Variation of climate, topography, and land cover classes across continental Chile. Koppen-Geiger climate classes (a), topography (b), land cover classes for 2022 (c), and persistent land cover classes (> 80%) for 2001-2022 (d) across continental Chile.

#### Data

#### Gridded meteorological and vegetation data

To derive a proxy for vegetation productivity, we used the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) from the product MOD13A3 Collection 6.1 from MODIS . MOD13A3 provides vegetation indices with a 1 km spatial resolution and monthly frequency<sup>73</sup>. For soil

moisture, water supply, and water demand variables, we used ERA5-Land (ERA5L) (ECMWF Reanalysis version 5 over land)<sup>74</sup>, a reanalysis dataset that provides atmospheric and land variables since 1950. It has a spatial resolution of 0.1° (9 km), hourly frequency, and global coverage. We selected total precipitation, maximum and minimum temperature at 2 meters, and volumetric soil water layers between 0 and 100 cm of depth (layer 1 to layer 3; Table SSX).

# Short- to long-term drought trends

# **Atmospheric Evaporative Demand (AED)**

To compute drought indices that use water demand, it is necessary to first calculate AED. To do this, we employed the Hargreaves method<sup>75,76</sup> by applying the following equation:

$$AED = 0.0023 \cdot Ra \cdot (T + 17.8) \cdot (T_{max} - T_{min})^{0.5} (1)$$

where  $Ra\left(MJm^2day^{-1}\right)$  is extraterrestrial radiation;  $T, T_{max}$ , and  $T_{min}$  are mean, maximum, and minimum temperature (°*C*) at 2m. For calculating *Ra* we used the coordinate of the latitude of the centroid of each pixel as follows:

$$R_{a} = \frac{14,400}{\pi} \cdot G_{sc} \cdot d_{r} [\omega_{s} \cdot \sin(\phi) \cdot \sin(\delta) + \cos(\phi) \cdot \cos(\delta) \cdot \sin(\omega_{s})) (2),$$

where

*Ra*: extraterrestrial radiation  $[MJm^{-2}day - 1)$ , *G*<sub>sc</sub>: solar constant = 0.0820  $[MJm^{-2}min^{-1})$ , *d*<sub>r</sub>: inverse relative distance Earth-Sun,  $\omega_{s}$  sunset hour angle [rad),

φ: latitude [*rad*), and

δ: solar declination [*rad*).

We selected the method of Hargreaves to estimate AED because of its simplicity, as it only requires temperature and extraterrestrial radiation, and because access to the data needed for alternative methods (e.g., Penman-Montieth) is often limited<sup>20</sup>.

# **Drought indices**

To derive the drought indices of water supply and demand we used the ERA5L dataset and the MODIS product, with a monthly frequency for 1981–2023 and 2000–2023, respectively. Drought indices capture historical anomalies of water supply and demand. To quantify each anomaly, the common practice is to derive it following a statistical parametric method in which it is assumed that the statistical distribution of the data is known<sup>77</sup>. The use of an erroneous statistical distribution that does not fit the data is usually the highest source of uncertainty<sup>78</sup>. In the case of Chile, due to its high degree of climatic variability, it is difficult to choose a proper distribution without previous research that could be applicable

throughout its entire extent. We therefore use a non-parametric method for the calculation of the drought indices, following ref. 79.

For monitoring water supply, we used the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI; ref. 22), which relies on precipitation data. To evaluate water demand, we chose the Evaporative Demand Drought Index (EDDI; refs. 22, 80), which is based on the AED. To consider the combined effect of water supply and demand, we selected the SPEI<sup>17</sup>. For SPEI, an auxiliary variable D = P - AED is calculated. Soil moisture is the main driver of vegetation productivity, particularly in semi-arid regions<sup>81</sup>. Hence, we used the Standardized Soil Moisture Index (SSI) to monitor soil moisture (SM)<sup>82</sup>. For the SSI, we used the average soil moisture from ERA5L at a depth of 1m. All calculated indices are multi-scalar and can be used for the analysis of short- to long-term droughts.

To derive the drought indices, we first calculate the sum of the variables with regard to the time scale(s). In this case, for generalization purposes, we will use *V*, referring to variables *P*, *AED*, *D*, and *SM* (Table SSX). We accumulated each over the time series of values (months), and for the time scales *s*:

$$A_{i}^{s} = \sum_{i=n-s-i+2}^{n-i+1} V_{i} \forall i \ge n - s + 1(2)$$

The  $A_i^s$  corresponds to a moving window (convolution) that sums the variable for time scales *s*. This is summed over *s* months, starting from the most recent month (n) back in time until month n-s+1. For example, using as a variable the precipitation, a period of twelve months (n), and a time scale of three months (s):

$$A_{1}^{3} = P_{oct} + P_{nov} + P_{dic}$$
  
$$\vdots = \vdots + \vdots + \vdots$$
  
$$A_{10}^{3} = P_{jan} + P_{feb} + P_{mar}$$

Then, we used the empirical Tukey plotting position<sup>83</sup> over  $A_i^s$  to derive the  $P(a_i)$  probabilities across a period of interest:

$$P(A_i^s) = \frac{i - 0.33}{n + 0.33'}(3)$$

An inverse normal approximation<sup>84</sup> obtains the empirically derived probabilities once the variable accumulates over time for the scale *s*. Thus, the drought indices *SPI*, *SPEI*, *EDDI*, *and SSI* are obtained following the

$$DI(A_i^s) = W - \frac{C_0 + C_1 \cdot W + c_2 \cdot W^2}{1 + d_1 \cdot W + d_2 \cdot W^2 + d_3 \cdot W^3} (4)$$

*DI* refers to the drought index calculated for the variable *V*. The values for the constants are:  $C_0 = 2.515517$ ,  $C_1 = 0.802853$ ,  $C_2 = 0.010328$ ,  $d_1 = 1.432788$ ,  $d_2 = 0.189269$ , and d3 = 0.001308 (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1968). For  $P(A_i^s) \le 0.5$ ,  $W = \sqrt{-2 \cdot ln(P(A_i^s))}$ , and for  $P(A_i^s) > 0.5$ , replace  $P(A_i^s)$  with  $1 - P(A_i^s)$  and reverse the sign of  $DI(A_i^s)$ .

The drought indices were calculated for time scales of 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months at a monthly frequency for 1981–2023.

#### **Temporal trends of drought indices**

To determine if there are statistically significant positive or negative temporal trends for the drought indices, we used the non-parametric modified Mann-Kendall test for serially correlated data<sup>85</sup>. To determine the magnitude of the trend, we used Sen's slope<sup>86</sup>. Sen's slope is less affected by outliers than parametric ordinary least squares (OLS) regression, and as a non-parametric method it is not influenced by the distribution of the data. We applied both methods for SPI, EDDI, SPEI, and SSI and six time scales, resulting in a total of 24 trends. We then aggregated temporal trends for each region and land cover type.

#### **Vegetation productivity**

We also used the MODIS product to calculate vegetation productivity, and calculated anomalies in NDVI using zcNDVI<sup>34</sup>, which was derived from the monthly time series of NDVI, with Equations 2 and 4. For vegetation productivity, we selected the time scale that best correlates with annual net primary productivity (NPP) across continental Chile. For this purpose, we calculated zcNDVI for time scales of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months (from December) and compared it with the annual NPP. We obtained NPP from MOD17A3HGF<sup>87</sup>. We chose to use six months because the R<sup>2</sup> between zcNDVI and NPP reaches its highest value at six months, obtaining an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.31 for forest and 0.72 for shrubland (Supplementary Information Section S5). We subsequently used zcNDVI with a time scale of 6 months and calculated it at a monthly frequency for 2000–2023.

#### Drought impacts on vegetation productivity

For each land cover, we analyzed the trend of vegetation productivity. To this end, we identified areas within each land cover macro-class that are persistent over time, to reduce the possibility that trends in vegetation productivity may be influenced by changes in land cover. We examined the correlation between drought indices and vegetation productivity across land cover types to determine to the extent to which soil moisture and water demand and supply affect vegetation productivity.

We estimated pixel-to-pixel Pearson's correlations between drought indices at time scales of 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months with zcNDVI. We extracted the Pearson correlation coefficient corresponding to the time scale with the highest value. For each index, we then generated two raster maps: 1) a raster with values of the time scales and drought index that

reached the maximum correlation, and 2) a raster with the magnitude of the correlation between the drought index and vegetation productivity.

# Drought impacts on land cover change

# Land cover change

To analyze land cover change, we used the classification scheme of the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) from the product MCD12Q1 Collection 6.1 from MODIS. The MCD12Q1 product is produced for each year from 2001 to 2022 and defines 17 classes (see Table Sx). Following the FAO classification<sup>88</sup>, we considered native and planted forests as "forests", which represent natural and productive ecosystems dominated by large trees. To analyze the land cover change, we use the IGBP scheme from the MCD12Q1 Collection 6.1 from MODIS. We regrouped the 17 classes into ten macro-classes, as follows: 1-4 to forests (native forest and plantations), 5-7 to shrublands, 8-9 to savannas, 10 as grasslands, 11 as wetlands, 12 and 14 to croplands, 13 as urban, 15 as snow and ice, 16 as barren, and 17 as water (Table S1). This resulted in a time series of land cover with ten macro-classes for 2001 and 2023. We validated the land cover macro-classes using a high resolution (30 m ) land cover map for 2013-2014<sup>89</sup>. Our results showed a global accuracy of ~0.82 and a F1 score of ~0.66 (Supplementary Information, S2).

We calculated the area for each land cover class in the five study regions for 2001–2022. We then estimated the temporal change in area for each land cover type and macro-class, and determined the statistical significance and magnitude of the trend as described above.

To assess how water demand and supply, and soil moisture affect the variation in vegetation productivity across various land cover types, we avoid analyzing areas that experienced major land cover changes in the 2001–2022 period. To assess how zcNDVI varied irrespective of land cover change, we developed a persistence mask for land cover, which only retains pixels for which the macro-class remained the same for at least 80% of the 22 years (Fig. 8d).

# Relationship between land cover and drought trends

To identify which drought indices and time scales have a major impact on changes in land cover type, we examined the relationship between the trend in land cover classes and the trend in drought indices. We performed the analysis at the sub-basin scale, using 469 basins, which have a surface area between 0.0746 and 24,000 km<sup>2</sup> and a median area of 1,249 km<sup>2</sup>. For each basin, we calculated the trend per land cover type, considering the proportion of the type relative to the total surface of the basin. For each basin we extracted the average trend of all drought indices and at time scales of 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months. Also, we extracted the average trend in zcNDVI.

We modeled trends in land cover type per macroclass with the aim of assessing how land cover trends relate to drought indices. We used the random forest method<sup>90</sup>, which employs multiple decision trees, allowing for classification and regression. Some advantages include the ability to find non-linear relationships, reduce overfitting, and derive variable importance. We included the four drought indices at each time scale and zcNDVI for a total

of 25 predictors and built six random forest models, one for each land cover and region. We trained each model with 1000 trees using a resampling strategy with cross-validation. To this end, we used cross-validation to evaluate model fit using ten folds then calculated R<sup>2</sup>, root mean square error (RMSE), and variable importance. Variable importance identifies which variables have a higher contribution to explaining model variation. We calculated variable importance by permuting out-of-bag (OOB) data per tree and calculating the mean standard error of the OOB data. After permuting each predictor variable, we repeated the process for the remaining variables. We repeated this process ten times per fold to assess model fit.

Finally, we visually explored the relationship between drought indices and changes in land cover. To do this, we compared the relative changes in land cover surface with the drought indices of six and thirty-six months.

#### Software

For downloading, processing, and analyzing spatio-temporal data, we used the R programming language for statistical computing and graphics<sup>91</sup>. For downloading ERA5L, we used the {ecmwfr} package<sup>92</sup>. For processing raster data, we used {terra}<sup>93</sup> and {stars}<sup>94</sup>. For managing vectorial data, we used {sf}<sup>95</sup>. For the calculation of AED, we used {SPEI}<sup>96</sup>. For mapping, we used {tmap}<sup>97</sup>. For data analysis and visualization, the suite {tidyverse}<sup>98</sup> was used. For the random forest modeling, we used the {tidymodels}<sup>99</sup> and {ranger}<sup>100</sup> packages.

#### Acknowledgments

The National Research and Development Agency of Chile (ANID) funded this study through the drought emergency project FSEQ210022, Fondecyt Iniciación N°11190360, Fondecyt Postdoctorado N°3230678, and Fondecyt Regular N°1210526.

# References

- 1. Miranda, A. et al. Widespread synchronous decline of Mediterranean-type forest driven by accelerated aridity. Nature Plants 9, 1810–1817 (2023). URL https://www.nature.com/articles/s41477-023-01541-7.
- Calvin, K. et al. IPCC, 2023: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of theIntergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, H. Lee and J. Romero (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. Tech. Rep., Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2023). URL https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/syr/.
- 3. Cheng, Y. et al. Scattered tree death contributes to substantial forest loss in California. Nature Communications 15, 641 (2024). URL <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-024-44991-z</u>.
- 4. Crausbay, S. D. et al. Defining Ecological Drought for the Twenty-FirstCentury. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 98, 2543–2550 (2017). URL

https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/98/12/bams-d-16-0292.1.xml. Publisher: American Meteorological Society.

- 5. Wilhite, D. A. & Glantz, M. H. Understanding: The drought phenomenon: The role of definitions. Water International 10, 111–120 (1985). URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02508068508686328.
- 6. Van Loon, A. F. et al. Drought in the Anthropocene. Nature Geoscience 9, 89–91 (2016).
- 7. AghaKouchak, A. et al. Anthropogenic Drought: Definition, Challenges, and Opportunities. Reviews of Geophysics 59, e2019RG000683 (2021). URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2019RG000683.
- 8. Lawler, J. J. et al. Projected land-use change impacts on ecosystem services in the United States. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 7492–7497 (2014). URL <u>https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1405557111</u>.
- 9. Newbold, T. et al. Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. Nature 520, 45–50 (2015). URL <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/nature14324</u>.
- 10. Vicente-Serrano, S. M. et al. Global drought trends and future projections. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 380, 20210285 (2022). URL https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsta.2021.0285.
- 11. Kogan, F., Guo, W. & Yang, W. Near 40-year drought trend during 1981-2019 earth warming and food security. Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk 11, 469–490 (2020). URL <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19475705</u>. 2020.1730452.
- 12. Winkler, K., Fuchs, R., Rounsevell, M. & Herold, M. Global land use changes are four times greater than previously estimated. Nature Communications 12, 2501 (2021). URL <a href="https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-22702-2">https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-22702-2</a>.
- 13. Song, X.-P. et al. Global land change from 1982 to 2016. Nature 560, 639–643 (2018). URL <a href="https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-018-0411-9">https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-018-0411-9</a>.
- 14. Chen, J. et al. Assessing the impact of drought-land cover change on global vegetation greenness and productivity. Science of The Total Environment 852, 158499 (2022). URL <u>https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S004896972205598X</u>.
- 15. Akinyemi, F. O. Vegetation Trends, Drought Severity and Land Use-Land Cover Change during the Growing Season in Semi-Arid Contexts. Remote Sensing 2021, Vol. 13, Page 836 13, 836 (2021). URL https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/13/5/836/htm. Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- 16. Peng, D. et al. Country-level net primary production distribution and response to drought and land cover change. Science of The Total Environment 574, 65–77 (2017). URL <a href="https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0048969716319507">https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0048969716319507</a>.
- 17. Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S. & López-Moreno, J. I. A multiscalar drought index sensitive to global warming: The standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. Journal of Climate 23, 1696–1718 (2010). URL <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1</u>.
- 18. Craine, J. M. et al. Global diversity of drought tolerance and grassland climate-change resilience. Nature Climate Change 3, 63–67 (2013). URL <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate1634</u>.
- 19. McDowell, N. G. et al. Mechanisms of woody-plant mortality under rising drought, CO2 and vapour pressure deficit. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment 3, 294–308 (2022). URL <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s43017-022-00272-1</u>.
- 20. Vicente-Serrano, S. M. et al. Reference evapotranspiration variability and trends in Spain, 1961–2011. Global and Planetary Change 121, 26–40 (2014). URL <u>https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0921818114001180</u>.
- 21. WMO, Svoboda, M., Hayes, M. & Wood, D. A. Standardized Precipitation Index User Guide (WMO, Geneva, 2012).

- 22. Mckee, T. B., Doesken, N. J. & Kleist, J. The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. In: Proceedings of the Ninth Conference on Applied Climatology. American Metereological Society 179–184 (1993).
- 23. Gebrechorkos, S. H. et al. Global high-resolution drought indices for 1981–2022. Earth System Science Data 15, 5449–5466 (2023). URL <u>https://essd.copernicus</u>. org/articles/15/5449/2023/.
- 24. Liu, X., Yu, S., Yang, Z., Dong, J. & Peng, J. The first global multi-timescale daily SPEI dataset from 1982 to 2021. Scientific Data 11, 223 (2024). URL https://www.nature.com/articles/s41597-024-03047-z.
- 25. Vicente-Serrano, S. M., McVicar, T. R., Miralles, D. G., Yang, Y. & Tomas-Burguera, M. Unraveling the influence of atmospheric evaporative demand on drought and its response to climate change. WIREs Climate Change 11, e632 (2020). URL https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wcc.632.
- 26. McEvoy, D. J. et al. The Evaporative Demand Drought Index. Part II: CONUS-Wide Assessment against Common Drought Indicators. Journal of Hydrometeorology 17, 1763–1779 (2016). URL <a href="http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0122.1">http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0122.1</a>.
- 27. Narasimhan, B. & Srinivasan, R. Development and evaluation of Soil Moisture Deficit Index (SMDI) and Evapotranspiration Deficit Index (ETDI) for agricultural drought monitoring. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 133, 69–88 (2005). URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168192305001565.
- Souza, A. G. S. S., Ribeiro Neto, A. & Souza, L. L. D. Soil moisture-based index for agricultural drought assessment: SMADI application in Pernambuco State-Brazil. Remote Sensing of Environment 252, 112124 (2021). URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425720304971.
- 29. AghaKouchak, A. A baseline probabilistic drought forecasting framework using standardized soil moisture index: application to the 2012 United States drought. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 18, 2485–2492 (2014). URL <u>https://hess.copernicus.org/articles/18/2485/2014/</u>.
- 30. AghaKouchak, A. et al. Remote sensing of drought: Progress, challenges and opportunities. Reviews of Geophysics 53, 452–480 (2015). URL <u>http://dx.doi</u>. org/10.1002/2014RG000456.
- 31. Camps-Valls, G. et al. A unified vegetation index for quantifying the terrestrial biosphere. Science Advances 7, eabc7447 (2021). URL https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abc7447.
- 32. Paruelo, J. M. et al. An integrative index of Ecosystem Services provision based on remotely sensed data. Ecological Indicators 71, 145–154 (2016). URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1470160X16303843. Publisher:Elsevier.
- 33. Helman, D., Mussery, A., Lensky, I. M. & Leu, S. Detecting changes in biomass productivity in a different land management regimes in drylands using satellite-derived vegetation index. Soil Use and Management 30, 32–39 (2014). URL https://bsssiournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/sum.12099.
- Zambrano, F., Vrieling, A., Nelson, A., Meroni, M. & Tadesse, T. Prediction of drought-induced reduction of agricultural productivity in Chile from MODIS, rainfall estimates, and climate oscillation indices. Remote Sensing of Environment 219, 15–30 (2018). URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425718304541. Publisher: Elsevier.
- 35. Beck, H. E. et al. High-resolution (1 km) Köppen-Geiger maps for 1901–2099 based on constrained CMIP6 projections. Scientific Data 10 (2023). URL <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02549-6</u>.
- Luebert, F. & Pliscoff, P. The vegetation of Chile and the EcoVeg approach in the context of the International Vegetation Classification project. Vegetation Classification and Survey 3, 15–28 (2022). URL <u>https://vcs.pensoft.net/article/67893/</u>.

- Garreaud, R. et al. The 2010-2015 mega drought in Central Chile: Impacts on regional hydroclimate and vegetation. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions 2017, 1–37 (2017). URL <u>http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/hess-2017-191/</u>.
- 38. Miranda, A. et al. Forest browning trends in response to drought in a highly threatened mediterranean landscape of South America. Ecological Indicators 115, 106401 (2020). URL <a href="https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1470160X20303381">https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1470160X20303381</a>.
- 39. Urrutia-Jalabert, R., González, M. E., González-Reyes, ., Lara, A. & Garreaud, R. Climate variability and forest fires in central and south-central Chile. Ecosphere 9, e02171 (2018). URL <a href="https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.2171">https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.2171</a>.
- 40. Venegas-González, A., Juñent, F. R., Gutiérrez, A. G. & Filho, M. T. Recent radial growth decline in response to increased drought conditions in the northernmost Nothofagus populations from South America. Forest Ecology and Management 409, 94–104 (2018). URL <a href="https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378112717313993">https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378112717313993</a>.
- Zambrano, F., Lillo-Saavedra, M., Verbist, K. & Lagos, O. Sixteen years of agricultural drought assessment of the biobío region in chile using a 250 m resolution vegetation condition index (VCI). Remote Sensing 8, 1–20 (2016). URL http://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/8/6/530. Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- 42. Zambrano, F. in Four decades of satellite data for agricultural drought monitoring throughout the growing season in Central Chile (eds Vijay P. Singh Deepak Jhajharia, R. M. & Kumar, R.) Integrated Drought Management, Two Volume Set 28 (CRC Press, 2023).
- 43. Zúñiga, F., Jaime, M. & Salazar, C. Crop farming adaptation to droughts in small-scale dryland agriculture in Chile. Water Resources and Economics 34, 100176 (2021). URL <u>https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2212428421000013</u>.
- 44. Boisier, J. P. et al. Anthropogenic drying in central-southern Chile evidenced by long-term observations and climate model simulations. Elementa 6, 74 (2018). URL <u>https://www.elementascience.org/article/10.1525/elementa.328/</u>.
- 45. Sarricolea, P., Meseguer-Ruiz, �., Serrano-Notivoli, R., Soto, M. V. & Martin-Vide, J. Trends of daily precipitation concentration in Central-Southern Chile. Atmospheric Research 215, 85–98 (2019). URL <u>https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0169809518308512</u>.
- 46. Rashid, M. M. & Beecham, S. Characterization of meteorological droughts across South Australia. Meteorological Applications 26, 556–568 (2019). URL <u>https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/met.1783</u>.
- 47. Miró, J. J., Estrela, M. J., Corell, D., Gómez, I. & Luna, M. Y. Precipitation and drought trends (1952–2021) in a key hydrological recharge area of the eastern Iberian Peninsula. Atmospheric Research 286, 106695 (2023). URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0169809523000923.
- 48. Páscoa, P. et al. A high-resolution view of the recent drought trends over the Iberian Peninsula. Weather and Climate Extremes 32, 100320 (2021). URL <u>https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2212094721000189</u>.
- 49. Taucare, M., Viguier, B., Figueroa, R. & Daniele, L. The alarming state of Central Chile's groundwater resources: A paradigmatic case of a lasting over-exploitation. Science of The Total Environment 906, 167723 (2024). URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0048969723063507.
- 50. Nicolai-Shaw, N., Zscheischler, J., Hirschi, M., Gudmundsson, L. & Seneviratne, I. A drought event composite analysis using satellite remote-sensing based soil moisture. Remote Sensing of Environment 203, 216–225 (2017). URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425717302729.
- 51. Jiang, W., Wang, L., Feng, L., Zhang, M. & Yao, R. Drought characteristics and its impact on changes in surface vegetation from 1981 to 2015 in the Yangtze River Basin, China.

International Journal of Climatology 40, 3380–3397 (2020). URL <u>https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/joc.6403</u>.

- 52. Zhou, K., Li, J., Zhang, T. & Kang, A. The use of combined soil moisture data to characterize agricultural drought conditions and the relationship among different drought types in China. Agricultural Water Management 243, 106479 (2021). URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378377420305965.
- 53. Bonan, G. B. Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of Forests. Science 320, 1444–1449 (2008). URL <u>https://www.science</u>. org/doi/10.1126/science.1155121.
- 54. Venegas-González, A. et al. Sclerophyllous Forest Tree Growth Under the Influence of a Historic Megadrought in the Mediterranean Ecoregion of Chile. Ecosystems 26, 344–361 (2023). URL <u>https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10021-022-00760-x</u>.
- 55. Oliveira, R. S. et al. Deep root function in soil water dynamics in cerrado savannas of central Brazil. Functional Ecology 19, 574–581 (2005). URL https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2005.01003.x.
- 56. Holden, Z. A. et al. Decreasing fire season precipitation increased recent western US forest wildfire activity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115 (2018). URL <a href="https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1802316115">https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1802316115</a>.
- 57. Bowman, D. M. J. S. et al. Human–environmental drivers and impacts of the globally extreme 2017 Chilean fires. Ambio 48, 350–362 (2019). URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s13280-018-1084-1.
- Quiring, S. M. & Ganesh, S. Evaluating the utility of the Vegetation Condition Index (VCI) for monitoring meteorological drought in Texas. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150, 330–339 (2010). URL <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168192309002809</u>.
- 59. Muñoz, A. A. et al. Water Crisis in Petorca Basin, Chile: The Combined Effects of a Mega-Drought and Water Management. Water 12, 648 (2020). URL https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/12/3/648.
- 60. Duran-Llacer, I. et al. Lessons to Be Learned: Groundwater Depletion in Chile's Ligua and Petorca Watersheds through an Interdisciplinary Approach. Water 12, 2446 (2020). URL <a href="https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/12/9/2446">https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/12/9/2446</a>.
- 61. Carrasco, G. et al. Effects of climate change on forest plantation productivity in Chile. Global Change Biology 28, 7391–7409 (2022). URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcb.16418.
- 62. Fajardo, A., Gazol, A., Mayr, C. & Camarero, J. J. Recent decadal drought reverts warming-triggered growth enhancement in contrasting climates in the southern Andes tree line. Journal of Biogeography 46, 1367–1379 (2019). URLhttps://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jbi.13580.
- 63. Holz, A., Hart, S. J., Williamson, G. J., Veblen, T. T. & Aravena, J. C. Radial growth response to climate change along the latitudinal range of the world's southernmost conifer in southern South America. Journal of Biogeography 45, 1140–1152 (2018). URL <a href="https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jbi.13199">https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jbi.13199</a>.
- 64. Segovia, R. A. et al. Freezing and water availability structure the evolutionary diversity of trees across the Americas. Science Advances 6, eaaz5373 (2020). URL <u>https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.aaz5373</u>.
- 65. Sabatini, F. M. et al. Global patterns of vascular plant alpha diversity. Nature Communications 13, 4683 (2022). URL <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-022-32063-z</u>.
- 66. Brêda, J. P. L. F. et al. Climate change impacts on South American water balance from a continental-scale hydrological model driven by CMIP5 projections. Climatic Change 159, 503–522 (2020). URL <u>http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10584-020-02667-9</u>.

- 67. Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S., Foley, J. A., Folke, C. & Walker, B. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. Nature 413, 591–596 (2001). URL <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/35098000</u>.
- 68. Martínez-Vilalta, J. & Lloret, F. Drought-induced vegetation shifts in terrestrial ecosystems: The key role of regeneration dynamics. Global and Planetary Change 144, 94–108 (2016). URL <a href="https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0921818115301405">https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0921818115301405</a>.
- 69. Hermans, K. & McLeman, R. Climate change, drought, land degradation and migration: exploring the linkages. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 50, 236–244 (2021). URL <a href="https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1877343521000701">https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1877343521000701</a>.
- 70. Götmark, F., Götmark, E. & Jensen, A. M. Why Be a Shrub? A Basic Model and Hypotheses for the Adaptive Values of a Common Growth Form. Frontiers in Plant Science 7 (2016). URL <u>http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fpls.2016.01095/abstract</u>.
- Aceituno, P., Boisier, J. P., Garreaud, R., Rondanelli, R. & Rutllant, J. A. in Climate and Weather in Chile (eds Fernández, B. & Gironás, J.) Water Resources of Chile, Vol. 8 7–29 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021).
- 72. Garreaud, R. D. The Andes climate and weather. Advances in Geosciences 22, 3–11 (2009). URL <a href="https://adgeo.copernicus.org/articles/22/3/2009/">https://adgeo.copernicus.org/articles/22/3/2009/</a>.
- 73. Didan, K. MOD13Q1 MODIS/Terra Vegetation Indices 16-Day L3 Global 250m SIN Grid V006. Tech. Rep., NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC (2015).
- 74. Muñoz-Sabater, J. et al. ERA5-Land: a state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset for land applications. Earth System Science Data 13, 4349–4383 (2021). URL <u>https://essd.copernicus.org/articles/13/4349/2021/</u>.
- 75. Hargreaves, G. H. Defining and Using Reference Evapotranspiration. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering 120, 1132–1139 (1994). URL <u>https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/%28ASCE%290733-9437%281994%29120%3A6%2811 32%29</u>.
- 76. Hargreaves, G. H. & Samani, Z. A. Reference crop evapotranspiration from temperature. Applied engineering in agriculture 1, 96–99 (1985).
- 77. Heim, R. R. A Review of Twentieth-Century Drought Indices Used in the United States. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 83, 1149–1166 (2002). URL <u>https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/1520-0477-83.8.1149</u>.
- 78. Laimighofer, J. & Laaha, G. How standard are standardized drought indices? Uncertainty components for the SPI & SPEI case. Journal of Hydrology 613, 128385 (2022). URL <u>https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022169422009544</u>.
- 79. Farahmand, A. & AghaKouchak, A. A generalized framework for deriving nonparametric standardized drought indicators. Advances in Water Resources 76, 140–145 (2015). URL <u>https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0309170814002322</u>.
- 80. Hobbins, M. T. et al. The Evaporative Demand Drought Index. Part I: Linking Drought Evolution to Variations in Evaporative Demand. Journal of Hydrometeorology 17, 1745–1761 (2016). URL <a href="http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0121.1">http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0121.1</a>.
- 81. Li, W. et al. Widespread increasing vegetation sensitivity to soil moisture. Nature Communications 13, 3959 (2022). URL https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-022-31667-9.
- 82. Hao, Z. & AghaKouchak, A. Multivariate Standardized Drought Index: A parametric multi-index model. Advances in Water Resources 57, 12–18 (2013). URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0309170813000493.
- 83. Wilks, D. S. Empirical distributions and exploratory data analysis. Statistical Methods in the Atmospheric Sciences 100 (2011).
- 84. Abramowitz, M. & Stegun, I. A. Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables Vol. 55 (US Government printing office, 1968).

- Yue, S. & Wang, C. The Mann-Kendall Test Modified by Effective Sample Size to Detect Trend in Serially Correlated Hydrological Series. Water Resources Management 18, 201–218 (2004). URL <u>http://link.springer.com/10.1023/B:WARM.0000043140.61082.60</u>.
- 86. Sen, P. K. Estimates of the Regression Coefficient Based on Kendall's Tau. Journal of the American Statistical Association 63, 1379–1389 (1968). URL <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01621459.1968.10480934</u>.
- 87. Running, S. & Zhao, M. MOD17A3HGF MODIS/Terra Net Primary Production Gap-Filled Yearly L4 Global 500 m SIN Grid V006 (2019). URL https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/mod17a3hgfv006/.
- 88. FAO. The State of the World's Forests 2022 (FAO, 2022). URL <u>http://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb9360en</u>.
- 89. Zhao, Y. et al. Detailed dynamic land cover mapping of Chile: Accuracy improvement by integrating multi-temporal data. Remote Sensing of Environment 183, 170–185 (2016). URL <a href="https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425716302188">https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0034425716302188</a>.
- 90. Ho, T. K. Random decision forests, Vol. 1, 278–282 (IEEE, 1995).
- 91. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2023). URL <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>.
- 92. Hufkens, K., Stauffer, R. & Campitelli, E. The ecwmfr package: an interface to ECMWF API endpoints (2019). URL <u>https://bluegreen-labs.github.io/ecmwfr/</u>.
- 93. Hijmans, R. J. terra: Spatial Data Analysis (2023). URL <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=terra</u>.
- 94. Pebesma, E. & Bivand, R. Spatial Data Science: With applications in R (Chapman and Hall/CRC, London, 2023). URL <u>https://r-spatial.org/book/</u>.
- 95. Pebesma, E. Simple Features for R: Standardized Support for Spatial Vector Data. The R Journal 10, 439–446 (2018). URL <u>https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2018-009</u>.
- 96. Beguería, S. & Vicente-Serrano, S. M. SPEI: Calculation of the Standardized Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index (2023). URL <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=SPEI</u>.
- 97. Tennekes, M. tmap: Thematic Maps in R. Journal of Statistical Software 84, 1–39 (2018).
- 98. Wickham, H. et al. Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software 4, 1686 (2019).
- 99. Kuhn, M. & Wickham, H. Tidymodels: a collection of packages for modeling and machine learning using tidyverse principles. (2020). URL <u>https://www.tidymodels.org</u>.
- 100.Wright, M. N. & Ziegler, A. ranger: A Fast Implementation of Random Forests for High Dimensional Data in C++ and R. Journal of Statistical Software 77,1–17 (2017).