Generated using the official AMS LATEX template v6.1 This preprint was originally posted on EarthArXiv prior to peer review. A revised version of this manuscript has now been accepted

for publication in the Journal of Hydrometeorology (DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-24-0089.1).

1	How Will Precipitation Characteristics Associated with Tropical Cyclones in
2	Diverse Synoptic Environments in the Southeast United States Respond to
3	Climate Change?
4	Katherine E. Hollinger Beatty ^a , Gary M. Lackmann ^a , and Jared H. Bowden ^{a,b}
5	^a North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina
6	^b North Carolina State Climate Office, Raleigh, North Carolina

Corresponding author: Katherine E. Hollinger Beatty, kehollin@ncsu.edu 7

ABSTRACT: Tropical cyclones (TCs) can produce large rainfall totals which lead to devastating 8 flooding, loss of life, and significant damage to infrastructure. Many studies have examined future q changes in TC precipitation, however few have considered changes owing to differences in the 10 synoptic environment during landfall. Here we focus on three North Atlantic TCs that impacted 11 the southeastern United States: Hurricanes Floyd (1999), Matthew (2016), and Florence (2018). 12 While these storms were impactful when they occurred, how might the impacts of similar systems 13 change in a future climate? We address these questions using a pseudo-global warming (PGW) 14 approach and ensembles of convection-allowing numerical model simulations. With this method, 15 we compare future changes in precipitation characteristics such as accumulated rainfall, and rain rate 16 frequency and distribution to assess how these changes differ as a function of synoptic environment. 17 Hurricanes Matthew and Floyd, which have more synoptic-scale forcing for ascent while over our 18 study region than Hurricane Florence, exhibit higher average rain rates in the present and future, 19 but Florence exhibits the largest increases in rain rates with warming $(34 \pm 12\%)$ versus $23 \pm 9\%$ 20 and $21 \pm 6\%$ for Hurricanes Matthew and Floyd, respectively). When we consider accumulated 21 precipitation, Hurricanes Matthew and Floyd have larger areal increases in precipitation greater than 22 a threshold of 250 mm than Florence $(17600 \pm 800 km^2 \text{ and } 22400 \pm 400 km^2 \text{ versus } 9800 \pm 500 km^2)$, 23 respectively). These results point to the potential for future TCs in synoptically forced environments 24 to have larger spatial footprints of heavy precipitation but smaller increases in rain rate than storms 25 with less synoptic forcing, especially when considering overland precipitation. 26

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT: Many previous studies demonstrate that tropical cyclone (TC) 27 precipitation will increase in a warmer climate, but few studies consider how TC precipitation 28 responds to climate change as a function of the accompanying weather pattern. Here, we examine 29 future changes in precipitation for TCs in three distinct weather patterns. By analyzing the 30 response of TC rainfall to warming for a diverse set of patterns, we can make recommendations 31 for infrastructure and increase readiness for a variety of future scenarios, with the ultimate goal of 32 maximizing the resilience of future transportation infrastructure. Specifically, rainfall output from 33 these simulations is being used to stress test for future flooding risks with a current emphasis on 34 long-lived transportation infrastructure in North Carolina. 35

1. Introduction

As tropical cyclones (TCs) affect coastlines and communities, they can cause substantial structural 37 damage and loss of life. One of the largest contributors to these impacts is heavy precipitation 38 and subsequent flooding or flash flooding. Rappaport (2014) found that for historical Atlantic 39 TCs affecting the United States (US), approximately one quarter of the fatalities were a result of 40 rain-induced flooding. When considering the frequency of fatalities, they found that nearly half 41 of the TCs that resulted in at least one US fatality had a fatality due to rain-induced flooding 42 (Rappaport 2014). This is especially true in the US states of North and South Carolina, where in 43 the last 10 years, flooding from storms such as Hurricane Florence (2018) and Hurricane Matthew 44 (2016) resulted in dozens of fatalities, multiple road washouts, and the closure of multiple major 45 state and interstate highways (Stewart 2017; Stewart and Berg 2019). More recently, Hurricane 46 Helene (2024) caused extensive damage to transportation infrastructure in western NC with fatality 47 counts in the hundreds, underscoring the need for impact mitigation. 48

It is clear that heavy rainfall from TCs has caused devastating impacts historically, and many previous studies have also examined how precipitation and its associated impacts may change as the climate warms. Per the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) (Seneviratne et al. 2023), there is high confidence that TC rain rates will increase in the future; for TCs passing over or near North Carolina, specifically, Kunkel et al. (2020) report that the heavy precipitation associated with them is very likely to increase. Of the numerous studies that have analyzed TC precipitation changes with climate change, a central finding is an increase in rain rates that either follows, or

in some studies exceeds, the Clausius-Clapeyron scaling ($\sim 7\%$ increase per degree Celsius of 56 warming) (Knutson and Tuleya 2004; Hill and Lackmann 2011; Knutson et al. 2015). Knutson 57 et al. (2020), in reviewing multiple studies, found that near-storm TC rain rates globally increase by 58 a median value of 14% with a range from 6 to 22%, with slight variations by ocean basin, for a 2°C 59 warming scenario; studies of the North Atlantic TCs show a median increase of ~16% (Knutson 60 et al. 2020). While rainfall characteristics for TCs over water are important to understand, most 61 of the societal impacts from TC rainfall, such as flooding, road washouts, and fatalities, occur 62 once the storm is over land. A smaller portion of TC rainfall studies have focused explicitly on 63 these landfalling/overland changes in precipitation (Wright et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2018; Stansfield 64 et al. 2020; Knutson et al. 2022), and their results are consistent in showing increased average 65 post-landfall TC rain rates. While these studies provide useful insight, one limitation of many such 66 studies is their use of lower-resolution simulations and datasets, and methods that do not capture 67 the full extent of changes in TC intensity or precipitation (e.g. Liu et al. 2018; Stansfield et al. 68 2020). 69

When TCs make landfall or interact with land, especially once they enter the mid-latitudes, they 70 often undergo the process of extratropical transition (ET) and some of their tropical features are 71 replaced with extratropical characteristics. This phenomenon has been studied extensively (e.g. 72 Jones et al. 2003; Evans et al. 2017; Keller et al. 2019), and its correlative changes in rainfall 73 characteristics, including a shift of the heaviest precipitation into the northwest quadrant of the 74 storm, are well understood (e.g. Atallah et al. 2007). How these extratropical transitioning storms 75 will change with climate warming has also received recent attention (e.g. Liu et al. 2017; Michaelis 76 and Lackmann 2019; Bieli et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020; Michaelis and Lackmann 2021; Jung 77 and Lackmann 2021, 2023), however only a few studies have focused specifically on rainfall, 78 and how ET TC rainfall changes compare with non-ET TCs that are more tropical in character 79 (e.g. Liu et al. 2018). Another factor that coincides with TCs in various life-cycle phases is the 80 different synoptic environments within which they exist, and how the rainfall produced by TCs in 81 distinct environments may change as the climate warms. To the authors' knowledge, this aspect, 82 specifically, has received very limited attention. 83

Our goal in this paper is to focus on how TC rainfall over land changes with climate warming, and how these changes differ for TCs in differing synoptic environments and at various stages of their life

cycle (tropical versus extratropical-transitioning). Here, we present an analysis of the changes, and 86 in a subsequent paper we explore the causes of the changes. To answer these questions, we analyze 87 three synoptically diverse TCs that produced prolific rainfall (greater than 400 mm maximum 88 accumulated rainfall) over the United States in North and South Carolina, specifically: Hurricanes 89 Floyd (1999), Matthew (2016), and Florence (2018). We conducted ensemble simulations of 90 these three storms at high-resolution using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model 91 (Skamarock et al. 2021) for present-day conditions, and then in a future environment using a 92 pseudo-global warming (PGW, a.k.a. physical climate storyline) approach (Schär et al. 1996; Frei 93 et al. 1998; Kimura and Kitoh 2007; Sato et al. 2007; Baulenas et al. 2023). This approach has 94 proven successful for various precipitation-producing weather phenomena previously, including 95 individual TCs (e.g. Lackmann 2015; Jung and Lackmann 2019; Carroll-Smith et al. 2020; Reed 96 et al. 2020), full TC seasons (e.g. Mallard et al. 2013a,b; Gutmann et al. 2018), and smaller-scale 97 convective systems (e.g. Lackmann 2013; Trapp and Hoogewind 2016; Dougherty and Rasmussen 98 2020; Dougherty et al. 2023). Along with understanding how the rainfall will change, these 99 simulations can provide insight about possible future flooding, which could be used to inform 100 future adaptation decisions. 101

This paper focuses on how the precipitation characteristics for these storms responds to climate 102 change. Section two focuses on data and methods, followed by an evaluation of the simulations 103 with respect to observations in section three. Section four discusses specific changes in precip-104 itation characteristics for these storms, including changes in accumulated precipitation, rain rate 105 distribution, and rain rate spatial extent, followed by conclusions and discussion in section five. A 106 separate, subsequent paper will focus on the forcing mechanisms for these precipitation changes 107 to understand what mechanisms are contributing to discrepancies in TC precipitation changes by 108 synoptic environment. 109

110 2. Data and Methods

a. Case selection and overview

For this study, we chose to analyze Western North Atlantic Hurricanes Matthew (2016), Florence (2018), and Floyd (1999) with a specific focus on the portion of their lifetime as they approached and impacted the US states of North and South Carolina. We selected these cases on the basis of their

contrasting synoptic environments, which influenced the track (Fig. 1), structure, and evolution of 115 these systems: one remained more purely tropical (Florence) and two underwent ET but followed 116 drastically different track evolutions and experienced different amounts of environmental vertical 117 wind shear (Floyd and Matthew). These cases also each resulted in extensive disruption and 118 damage to transportation infrastructure, in addition to being responsible for numerous fatalities. 119 Hurricanes Matthew and Florence in particular resulted in the closure of multiple major interstate 120 highways. While these storms do not represent all possible storm evolutions that could impact this 121 region, they provide diverse synoptic representations of impactful storms in this region. 122

Hurricane Matthew briefly made landfall in northeastern South Carolina near McClellanville 141 around 1500 UTC 8 October 2016 before making a sharp eastward turn as it interacted with an 142 eroding subtropical high and an approaching mid-latitude trough (Stewart 2017). Because of the 143 interactions with the approaching trough and an existing front over North Carolina, Hurricane 144 Matthew's cloud and precipitation shield was shifted to the northwest of the storm center, resulting 145 in large regions of greater than 250 mm (10 inches) of rain over central and eastern NC, with 146 a maximum measured value of 481 mm (18.95 inches) reported near Evergreen, NC (Fig. 2a) 147 (Stewart 2017). This left-of-track precipitation shield, which was influenced by an existing front 148 and increasing southwesterly shear from an approaching trough, is similar to what was seen with 149 Hurricane Floyd, though the tracks for these two storms differed substantially (Figs.1, 2a,c). It is 150 also indicative of a shift to an asymmetric warm-core system instead of a more tropical symmetric 151 warm core TC (Fig. 3a). After impacting North Carolina, Matthew continued to move eastward 152 over the Atlantic before fully losing its tropical characteristics around 1200 UTC 9 October, then 153 merging with the frontal system by 0000 UTC 10 October (Stewart 2017). 154

Hurricane Florence made landfall near Wrightsville Beach, NC as a category one hurricane 155 around 1115 UTC on 14 September 2018 (Stewart and Berg 2019). As it approached North 156 Carolina from the east-southeast, its steering flow weakened, which caused a subsequent decrease 157 in translation speed. This slow translation speed allowed for continued onshore flow of warm, moist 158 air from over the warm Gulf Stream waters off the coast of the Carolinas, resulting in multiple rain 159 bands passing over the same parts of southeastern North Carolina and prolonging the duration of 160 heavy precipitation. There were large regions of greater than 250 mm (10 inches) of rainfall in 161 central and southeastern North Carolina, with a smaller region of greater than 500 mm (20 inches) 162



Figure 1. Observed National Hurricane Center (NHC) second-generation hurricane database (HURDAT2; Landsea and Franklin 2013) storm tracks of Hurricanes Matthew (2016), Florence (2018), and Floyd (1999) during the portion of their life cycles when they were approaching or affecting the study area. The times plotted for these tracks align with the start and end times of our model simulations. Numbers correspond to month-day-hour in UTC and dots represent 6-hourly center positions.

over far southeastern NC, and a localized region of greater than 750 mm (30 inches) from the
 persistent rain bands; the peak rainfall reported was 912 mm (35.93 inches) near Elizabethtown,
 NC (Fig. 2b) (Stewart and Berg 2019). Because of its slow translation speed, Florence continued



Figure 2. Precipitation and track summary, panels (a)-(c): Black line is observed NHC best track for Hurricanes 128 (a) Matthew (2016), (b) Florence (2018), and (c) Floyd (1999) with storm total precipitation (shaded, mm as in 129 legend at right). Observed precipitation for Hurricanes Matthew and Florence is Stage IV and for Hurricane Floyd 130 is Livneh daily CONUS near-surface gridded precipitation provided by the NOAA PSL (Livneh et al. 2013). 131 Panels (d)-(f): Simulated ensemble mean track and probability matched mean total accumulated precipitation 132 (mm) from WRF model simulations of Hurricanes (d) Matthew, (e) Florence, and (f) Floyd. Panels (g)-(i): 133 ensemble mean track and probability matched mean total accumulated precipitation (mm) from future WRF 134 model runs of Hurricanes (g) Matthew, (h) Florence, and (i) Floyd. 135

to produce heavy rainfall over some parts of central and eastern North Carolina for over 72 hours,
 and maintained symmetric warm core tropical characteristics until it reached West Virginia around
 1200 UTC 17 September when it was officially considered extratropical (Stewart and Berg 2019;
 Fig. 3b).

Hurricane Floyd made landfall near Cape Fear, North Carolina at 0630 UTC on 16 September 171 1999 as a category two hurricane on the Saffir-Simpson scale (Pasch et al. 1999). In the roughly 24 172 hours that Floyd directly affected the Carolinas, it produced large regions of 250-400 mm (10-15 173 inches) of rain, with a peak value of 611 mm (24.06 inches) reported near the coast in Wilmington,



Figure 3. Cyclone phase space (CPS) diagrams of thickness symmetry versus lower-tropospheric thermal wind for Hurricanes Matthew (a), Florence (b), and Floyd (c). The B and VLT variables are calculated every 6 hours from ERA5 reanalysis data (black), WRF present simulation data (blue), and WRF future simulation data (red) valid at that time. The beginning and end of time window for each storm is listed in the upper right of each plot; these times are a subset of the times plotted for the tracks in Figure 1 of the paper.

¹⁷⁴ NC (Fig. 2c) (Pasch et al. 1999). This rainfall was likely enhanced by Floyd's interactions with ¹⁷⁵ an approaching cold front and upper-tropospheric trough (Atallah and Bosart 2003). As Floyd

approached and moved across North Carolina, its translation speed increased. It then turned north-176 northeast and encountered an environment with increased south-southwesterly shear, and began to 177 acquire extratropical characteristics which classified it as an asymmetric warm core system (Fig. 178 3c). Floyd moved up the East Coast and continued to interact with the front, producing rainfall 179 totals greater than 250 mm across Maryland, Delaware, and New Jersey and record breaking rainfall 180 in Philadelphia (Pasch et al. 1999). It was classified as a frontal low by the time it reached Maine, 181 thus completing its extratropical transition. See Atallah and Bosart (2003) and Colle (2003) for 182 a thorough analysis of Hurricane Floyd's life cycle and extratropical transition along the US East 183 Coast. 184

185 b. Model configuration

We simulated each storm using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Model version 186 4.2.2 (Skamarock et al. 2021). We experimented with different initialization (and lateral boundary 187 condition) datasets to identify which yielded simulations that most closely matched observations. 188 We initialized Hurricane Matthew with the 0.25° ERA5 dataset (Hersbach et al. 2020) and simulated 189 the period from 00 UTC 06 October until 00 UTC 10 October 2016. For Hurricane Florence, we 190 used the 0.25° Final Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS/FNL) dataset (National Centers 191 for Environmental Prediction, National Weather Service, NOAA, U.S. Department of Commerce 192 2015) and simulated the period from 00 UTC 13 September until 18 UTC 17 September 2018. For 193 Hurricane Floyd, we used the 0.5° Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) (Saha et al. 2010) 194 dataset and simulated the period from 00 UTC 14 September to 00 UTC 17 September 1999. We 195 selected these initial condition data for each case in order to optimize the representation of TC 196 track and precipitation over the study area. We ran a mini-ensemble of simulations for each storm 197 based on varying physical parameterizations; this ensures that results are not particular to a specific 198 set of model physics choices, and offers a more robust solution, while also providing ensemble 199 statistics and information about uncertainty. For Hurricane Matthew, we ran six ensemble members 200 while for Hurricanes Florence and Floyd we ran seven ensemble members. The full list of the key 201 physics choices made in each ensemble member is listed in Table 1. Each of our storms had a 202 parent domain with 12-km grid spacing and a stationary inner nest with 4-km grid spacing (Fig. 203 4); we used two-way nesting so that information from the high-resolution domain was fed back to 204

Table 1. Physics choices used for each ensemble member for WRF simulations. Hurricanes Florence and Floyd used all 7 members, while Hurricane Matthew only used the first 6.

	Member1	Member2	Member3	Member4	Member5	Member6	Member7
Microphysics	Thompson	WSM6	Thompson	Goddard	P3	WDM6	WDM7
Cumulus (domain 1 only)	Tiedtke	Tiedtke	BMJ	Tiedtke	Tiedtke	Tiedtke	Tiedtke
PBL	YSU	YSU	MYJ	YSU	YSU	YSU	YSU
Surface-layer	MM5	MM5	Eta	MM5	MM5	MM5	MM5
Shortwave Radiation	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG
Longwave Radiation	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG	RRTMG

the parent domain. The physics choices for each ensemble member are the same for both the parent domain and the nest, with the exception of the cumulus scheme which was turned off for the nested domain given the higher resolution. All ensemble members also used the ocean mixed layer model to adjust for the cold wakes behind the TCs and the "isftcflx" in WRF is set to the Donelan/Garret formulation to adjust the overwater surface flux exchange coefficients at high wind speed (Donelan et al. 2004).

Given that the focus of our study is overland precipitation and how it responds to climate change, 218 it is imperative that our present and future storms overlap as much as possible; as such, the 219 simulation verification metric we emphasize is the accumulated storm-total rainfall. To maintain 220 track similarity and more similar accumulated rainfall distributions, we used spectral nudging for 221 each ensemble member for Hurricanes Matthew and Florence (Waldron et al. 1996; von Storch 222 et al. 2000; Bowden et al. 2012; Otte et al. 2012). Our specific configuration included nudging of 223 only the winds above the boundary layer (model level 10 which corresponds to \sim 800 hPa base state 224 pressure) at wavenumbers three and smaller on the parent domain; this corresponds to features at 225 spatial scales on the order of 1000 km and greater. We did not nudge geopotential, temperature, 226 or water vapor, and we did not nudge any variables in the nested domain. Our goal with this 227 configuration was to nudge just the large-scale pattern to the reanalysis data to allow the steering 228 flow to be similar in the present and future environments while still allowing the storm-scale 229 features to evolve as freely as possible, and to maintain the warmer thermodynamic conditions for 230 the future simulations. While we tested nudging with Hurricane Floyd, we ultimately did not use 231



Figure 4. WRF domain configurations for Hurricanes Matthew (a), Florence (b), and Floyd (c). The full image is the 12 km parent domain and the black box is the 4 km nested domain. The red box represents the averaging domain used when considering rainfall changes over the Carolinas. The simulated reflectivity is valid at 18z 08 October, 12z 14 September, and 06z 16 September for Hurricanes Matthew, Florence, and Floyd, respectively, and at 12km and 4km resolution in each respective domain.

it because the tracks were sufficiently similar to observations without nudging (Fig. 5c,f). We
 recognize that nudging limits modification of the future TC environment.

In order to compensate for large underestimations in TC intensity in available reanalyses for Hurricane Floyd, we initialized simulations of that storm with a synthetic vortex, following Nolan et al. (2021). This method allowed the intensity of the storm to be closer to observations relative to simulations initialized with the reanalysis alone. The synthetic vortex was especially useful for

Hurricane Floyd, but was not used for Matthew or Florence. For Florence, the intensity, track, and 238 precipitation distribution using reanalysis alone aligned well with the observations (discussed in 239 section 3), so the vortex was not needed. For Matthew, the precipitation distribution and the tracks 240 were close to observations without the synthetic vortex, however the intensity was substantially 241 weaker than observed. To attempt to resolve this disparity, we tested one ensemble member using 242 the synthetic vortex, and while the intensity improved, the storm track and precipitation distribution 243 were more poorly represented (not shown). Given the purpose of these simulations for assessing 244 transportation risk to hurricane precipitation in a warmer climate, the non-synthetic vortex Matthew 245 runs were sufficient. Throughout the model configuration process, all simulations were compared 246 with their respective storm's observed track, intensity, and precipitation distribution to assess their 247 validity, which will be discussed in more detail in section three. The differences between each of 248 the storm simulation configurations are displayed in Table 2. 249

250 c. Future climate simulations

To investigate how these storms would differ in a future thermodynamic environment, we used a 251 PGW approach (Schär et al. 1996; Frei et al. 1998; Kimura and Kitoh 2007; Sato et al. 2007) as 252 has been done successfully in numerous previous studies (e.g. Mallard et al. 2013a,b; Lackmann 253 2013, 2015; Trapp and Hoogewind 2016; Gutmann et al. 2018; Jung and Lackmann 2019; Carroll-254 Smith et al. 2020; Dougherty and Rasmussen 2020; Dougherty et al. 2023). After evaluating our 255 present-day simulations against observations (see section three), we then simulated each storm 256 with projected end-of-century conditions. To accomplish this, we calculated 20-year difference 257 fields ("deltas") for five different temperature variables (skin temperature, surface temperature, soil 258 temperature, air temperature, and sea-surface temperature) using an ensemble of Phase 5 Coupled 259 Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) or CMIP6 models using the Representative Concentration 260 Pathways (RCP) 8.5 or Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSP) 5-8.5 emissions scenarios (Moss 261 et al. 2010; Gidden et al. 2019). We also hold relative humidity constant, which, with warming, 262 results in a moisture delta that is consistent with the given synoptic weather patterns. The final step 263 is the WRF preprocessing interpolation that recalculates geopotential height and ensures hydrostatic 264 balance with the new virtual temperature field. For both present and future simulations, we use 265 digital filter initialization (DFI) (Lynch and Huang 1992; Peckham et al. 2016) to minimize high 266

	HurricaneMatthew	HurricaneFlorence	HurricaneFloyd
Initial and lateral BCs	ERA5	GDAS	CFSR
Vortex initialization?	no	no	yes
Spectral nudging of winds?	yes	yes	no
Number of ensemble members	6	7	7
CMIP data	CMIP5	CMIP6	CMIP5

Table 2. Description of the varying options and configurations for each storm.

frequency noise that may occur in the model as a result of thermodynamic changes, and to generate
 hydrometeor and cloud fields for the initial model time, reducing the need for long model spin-up
 time.

For Hurricanes Matthew and Floyd, we calculated deltas using an ensemble of 20 CMIP5 models 270 for a future time period of 2080-2099 minus a historical time period of 1980-1999. This results 271 in a 100-year temperature delta. For Hurricane Florence, we instead calculated deltas using an 272 ensemble of 20 CMIP6 models for a future time period of 2080-2099 and a historical time period of 273 1995-2014, which results in an 85 year delta. We explicitly calculate these deltas by averaging each 274 variable over the respective time periods and across all the chosen models, then subtracting the two 275 time periods (future and historical). These deltas are then applied to their respective temperature 276 variables in the WRF initialization files to represent thermodynamic environments that are 100, 85, 277 and 100 years after the storms originally occurred for Hurricanes Matthew, Florence, and Floyd, 278 respectively. There is not a distinguishable difference in the time- and ensemble-averaged projected 279 future temperature in our study region between CMIP5 and CMIP6 ensembles (not shown). The list 280 of CMIP5 models we used is the same as those used in Jung and Lackmann (2019), and the CMIP6 281 models we used are listed in Table 3. Six of these models have equilibrium climate sensitivity 282 (ECS) values above 4.5 degrees Celsius, categorizing them as "hot models" (Tokarska et al. 2020; 283 Hausfather et al. 2022). 284

287 d. Return period quantification

Given larger projected changes in extreme storms in the future with additional atmospheric warming (Seneviratne et al. 2023), it is important to investigate climate change projections and the issue of non-stationary within readily available climate information especially as it relates

Table 3. List of CMIP6 models used to compute change fields used in Hurricane Florence PGW simulations.
 All models utilized the SSP 5-8.5 scenario.

_

Models					
ACCESS-CM2	ACCESS-ESM1-5	BCC-CSM2-MR			
CAMS-CSM1-0	CanESM5	CESM2			
CESM2-WACCM	CMCC-ESM2	CNRM-CM6-1			
EC-Earth3	FGOALS-g3	GISS-E2-1-G			
IPSL-CM6A-LR	MIROC6	MPI-ESM1-2-HR			
MPI-ESM1-2-LR	MRI-ESM2-0	NorESM2-LM			
NorESM2-MM	TaiESM1				

²⁹¹ to precipitation extremes. In particular, there is growing interest in precipitation changes and ²⁹² how these changes may impact hydrologic design (Wright et al. 2019; Kourtis and Tsihrintzis ²⁹³ 2022). Hydrologic design standards in North Carolina (NC) and throughout a majority of the ²⁹⁴ US use existing intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curves (NOAA Atlas 14; Bonnin et al. 2004); ²⁹⁵ however, these curves do not consider non-stationarity and climate change.

Here we put the simulated hurricanes (present and future) in the context of NOAA Atlas 14 and 296 a scaled version of Atlas 14 for NC (Bowden et al. 2024, 2025) that considers plausible future 297 changes using downscaled climate change projections from the Localized Constructed Analogs 298 dataset (LOCA; Pierce et al. 2014). This method creates a regional scale factor for the eight 299 climate divisions in NC for each General Circulation Model (GCM), different return periods, 300 greenhouse gas emission scenarios, and time horizons of concern. An ensemble of all downscaled 301 GCM scale factors is created and applied to adjust Atlas 14. Scaling Atlas 14 is noted as a viable 302 option (Kilgore et al. 2019) with scale factors developed for other regions using downscaled climate 303 change projections, similar to the work presented by Miro et al. (2021) for the US Mid-Atlantic 304 region. An assumption is made to estimate the sub-daily rainfall accumulations and intensities 305 using the 24-hr regional scale factors. The historical and projected changes in the scaled IDF 306 values are compared with the simulated hurricanes to begin investigating non-stationarity in the 307 future IDF curves. 308

To calculate the return periods for our simulated storms, we store the highest 100 precipitation values at nested domain grid cells for the six thresholds from each ensemble member: 1-hr, 2-hr, ³¹¹ 3-hr, 6-hr, 12-hr, and 24-hr. Then, we calculate the mean and standard deviation of the stored data ³¹² for each time interval. For comparison, observed NOAA Atlas 14 values were selected for the ³¹³ location in eastern North Carolina with the highest return period values, New Hanover County. We ³¹⁴ chose the observed maximum IDF values in the region because the events we are simulating are ³¹⁵ extreme. The future (scaled) IDF values for end-century and the same high-emission scenario for ³¹⁶ the centroid county estimate are used to estimate projected rainfall for the different durations and ³¹⁷ return periods.

318 3. Model storm simulation performance

a. Present day simulations compared with observations

Each ensemble mean storm tracks align fairly well with the observed tracks: all simulated storms 320 have mean along and cross track root mean square deviations (RMSD) that are less than 50 km 321 (Table 4). For the simulated tracks, we define the TC center location as the grid cell on the 4-km 322 WRF nest with the lowest sea level pressure. Of the three storms, the ensemble mean for Matthew 323 has the largest along and cross track RMSDs due to the simulated storm traveling slower than 324 and to the right of the observed storm after briefly making landfall in the Carolinas (Fig. 7). 325 We explored several options to improve these deviations in Hurricane Matthew's track (not shown 326 here), however the configuration presented here represents a combination of the best simulated track 327 and precipitation distribution compared to observations. The ensemble mean tracks for Hurricanes 328 Florence and Floyd were quite similar to the observed, consistent with smaller quantitative along 329 and cross track differences (Table 4, Fig. 7). 330

The intensity for the present-day simulations was more difficult to align with observations, 356 particularly for Hurricane Matthew. The large discrepancy in intensity with Hurricane Matthew 357 is due to a more westward simulated track that resulted in greater land interaction relative to 358 observations. In addition, Matthew's representation in the reanalysis was much too weak, resulting 359 in a 40-hPa initial condition error. The RMSD of the ensemble mean central pressure for Matthew 360 is too high by ~ 21 -hPa (Table 4). While this is a large difference, simulated precipitation over North 361 and South Carolina compared well with observations (Fig. 2a,d), so we accepted the intensity error 362 in these simulations. Simulated intensities for Hurricanes Floyd and Florence aligned much better 363 with observations, with error values of only ~ 5 and ~ 7 hPa, respectively (Table 4). 364



Figure 5. Ensemble storm tracks of Hurricanes Matthew (a,d), Florence (b,e), and Floyd (c,f), ensemble mean, and observed NHC track. Present-day simulations are in panels a-c and future simulations d-f. The black line represents the NHC best track, the lighter blue (green) lines represent ensemble members, and the darker blue (green) represents the ensemble mean for the present (future) storms. The extent of the figures represents the size of the high-resolution nested domain for each storm simulation.

As mentioned above, an important metric we used to ensure the adequacy of our runs was 365 the similarity between the observed and modeled total accumulated precipitation for each storm 366 (Fig. 2a-f). We subjectively analyzed each storm's simulated ensemble probability matched mean 367 accumulated precipitation and compared its spatial footprint and maximum precipitation amounts 368 with the Stage IV precipitation analysis for Hurricanes Matthew and Florence, and Livneh (Livneh 369 et al. 2013) precipitation for Hurricane Floyd. Overall, the spatial footprint of the accumulated 370 precipitation aligns well for all of our storms, but the single grid-cell maximum storm total 371 precipitation differs by over 100 mm for Hurricanes Matthew and Floyd (Fig. 2). We also 372 compared how well the simulations captured the extratropical transition of our storms, and all 373 present day simulations follow very similar transition patterns and timing when we compare them 374



Figure 6. TC central pressure for Matthew (a, d), Florence (b, e), and Floyd (c, f). Top row is present (with NHC best track in black) and bottom row is future ensembles. Thin lines are ensemble members and darker colored line is ensemble mean.

to reanalyses (Fig. 3). Considering the track, intensity, transition, and precipitation similarities, we deemed the ensemble simulations sufficiently realistic to proceed with analysis.

b. Present day compared with future simulations

Comparing the resulting present-day and future ensemble mean storm tracks, we find that, as 378 expected, they are quite similar for all storms (Fig. 5). While subtle track differences do exist 379 with each storm, overall their tracks are sufficiently similar to allow for precipitation comparisons, 380 especially given that many of the comparisons will be done via an averaging box over North and 381 South Carolina land points (Fig. 4). For each of our storms, the future ensemble mean track falls 382 within a reasonable distance from the present ensemble mean, with along-track RMSD values less 383 than 30 km for all three storms, and cross-track RMSD values between 20 and 45 km for all three 384 storms (Table 4). The largest average along-track RMSD values exist with Hurricane Florence 385 (29.9 km), which traveled slightly faster on average in the future compared to the present; the 386

Table 4. Track and intensity comparison summary. Great-circle along-track distance RMSD (km) are shown 339 in column one, great-circle cross track distance RMSD (km) are shown in column two, and storm minimum sea-340 level pressure RMSD (hPa) are shown in column three. Rows one through three compare observed values (NHC 341 best track) with simulated present ensemble mean values (simulated minus observed), and rows four through six 342 compare present-day ensemble mean values with future ensemble mean values for each storm (present minus 343 future). Differences are calculated every six hours and averaged across all model times (13 times for Floyd and 344 Matthew, 16 for Florence). Along track differences represent a storm moving faster or slower than the storm it 345 is compared to, and cross track differences represent a storm moving to the left or right of the track it is being 346 compared to. 347

	Avg along track RMSD (km)	Avg cross track RMSD (km)	Avg minimum central pressure RMSD (hPa)
Matthew Present to Observations	49.8	46.7	21.1
Florence Present to Observations	20.5	19.6	6.8
Floyd Present to Observations	31.1	16.9	4.9
Matthew Present to Future	12.2	34.7	5.4
Florence Present to Future	29.9	20.6	5.9
Floyd Present to Future	21.1	44.7	11.6

largest cross-track RMSD values exist with Hurricane Floyd (44.7 km) which deviated further to
 the left in the future compared to the present (Fig. 7).

The future simulations for all storms are more intense and reach lower ensemble mean minimum central pressures than their respective present-day counterparts (Fig. 6). The largest intensity increase occurs with Hurricane Floyd, which, at its highest intensity, features a minimum central pressure that is 17-hPa lower in the future ensemble mean relative to the present-day simulations of Floyd. The time-average difference between the present and future ensemble mean minimum central pressure shows that the largest difference also exists for Hurricane Floyd, which has a 12-hPa time-



Figure 7. Ensemble mean along- and cross-track differences for Hurricanes Matthew (a,d), Florence (b,e), and 348 Floyd (c,f). Track differences are calculated between observations and present-day simulations in panels a-c and 349 between future and present simulations in panels d-f. The red lines represents cross track differences and the blue 350 lines represent along track differences. The solid lines represents the mean values and the shading represents one 35 standard deviation. Positive values for cross track indicate the comparison storm was to the right of the original 352 storm, and positive along-track values indicate that the comparison storm was ahead of the original storm. Both 353 of these directions are track-relative, meaning they are oriented in the direction the storm is currently traveling at 354 that time. 355

³⁹⁵ averaged difference (Table 4). We also compared the future extratropical transition of our storms ³⁹⁶ to the present. The transition patterns and timing are very similar for all three storms–Hurricane ³⁹⁷ Florence stays warm core symmetric at all considered times for observations and simulations, and ³⁹⁸ Hurricane Matthew becomes asymmetric just 6 hours later in both simulations than in observations. ³⁹⁹ The largest difference occurs with Hurricane Floyd which, while the simulations and observations ⁴⁰⁰ become asymmetric at the same time, the future simulation briefly returns to symmetric in the ⁴⁰¹ future when the present remains asymmetric (Fig. 3).

402 4. Changes in precipitation characteristics

403 a. Total accumulated precipitation

Several TC rainfall metrics can be used to quantify TC precipitation change between present-404 day and future simulations. One such metric is the total amount of precipitation that a system 405 produces over land, for example, total accumulated rainfall at all land grid cells (Fig. 2). In the 406 PGW simulations, the tracks deviate slightly, resulting in an associated shift of the precipitation 407 swath which can complicate using a direct grid-cell difference between the present and future 408 accumulated precipitation. However, we can still visually see an expansion of the 375-mm isohyet 409 (~15 in) over land in all three cases (Fig. 2). Examining a variety of thresholds, we see an increase 410 in the area receiving greater than 250, 375, 500, and 750 mm of accumulated rainfall in all future 411 cases where those thresholds are met (Table 5). The largest areal increase for Floyd occurred where 412 precipitation totals exceeded 250 mm $(22400 \pm 400 km^2)$, for Matthew where precipitation totals 413 exceeded 375 mm $(27000 \pm 500 km^2)$, and for Florence where precipitation totals exceeded 375 mm 414 $(9900 \pm 400 km^2)$. Out of all three storms, we see the largest areal increase in overland precipitation 415 for any threshold above 250 mm in Hurricane Matthew $(27000 \pm 500 km^2)$ for areas receiving greater 416 than 375 mm). Hurricane Florence's largest areal increase for any threshold is less than Matthew 417 and Floyd's largest changes by about half, indicating it had the smallest changes in footprint for high 418 accumulated precipitation amounts (Table 5). When we consider how the total land area receiving 419 any amount of rainfall changes in the future, we find that the largest increase exists with Hurricane 420 Floyd (+5.7%), but Hurricanes Florence and Matthew experience slight decreases in average area 421 (-0.2%). When we include rainfall that fell over the water in our Carolinas box to account for slight 422 deviations in future tracks, Floyd still has the largest increase in area receiving any precipitation 423 (+9.1%), Hurricane Florence has a slight increase in area (+0.4%) and Matthew still has a decrease 424 in area (-0.3%) (Table 5). Analyzing the change in the maximum accumulated precipitation value 425 for a single grid cell over the Carolinas, we also found an increase for all storms, though the range 426 is quite large between the three storms (+7% for Floyd, +90% for Matthew, and +46% for Florence,427 not shown). 428

⁴³² Most studies that evaluate TC rainfall and climate change report their percent changes as an ⁴³³ average over a large area, making it difficult to compare when considering a smaller region. Table 5. Change in the land area receiving rainfall thresholds of greater than 10 in (250 mm), 15 in (375 mm), 20 in (500 mm), and 30 in (750 mm), plus or minus the standard deviation. Also shown are the average percent change in total area receiving rainfall for land regions only as well as over the land and ocean.

	Matthew (2016)	Florence (2018)	Floyd (1999)
250 mm.	$17600 \pm 800 km^2$	$9800 \pm 500 km^2$	$22400 \pm 400 km^2$
375 mm.	$27000 \pm 500 km^2$	$9900 \pm 400 km^2$	$9900 \pm 300 km^2$
500 mm.	$14000 \pm 100 km^2$	$9000 \pm 500 km^2$	$1300 \pm 100 km^2$
750 mm.	$2200 \pm 100 km^2$	$4600 \pm 300 km^2$	
average change over our study region (land only)	-0.2%	-0.2%	+5.7%
average change over our study region (including ocean)	-0.3%	+0.4%	+9.1%

However, Wright et al. (2015) found that for North and South Carolina, when comparing rainfall 434 for present-day TCs with future projections from CMIP3, and CMIP5 early and late twenty-first 435 century, the spatial map of percent changes in rainfall show increased values ranging from 50 to 436 150% across our study region. This is quite similar to what we find here with localized regions 437 of over 100% increases in accumulated rainfall for all three storms in parts of North and South 438 Carolina (not shown), and average changes ranging from 40-130% across all three storms (not 439 shown). It is important to note, however, that in our study we use RCP8.5 and Wright et al. 440 (2015) used RCP4.5/A1B emissions pathways. Liu et al. (2018) also evaluated how eastern US 441 landfalling TC rainfall would evolve with climate change, and in doing so found that for landfalling 442 TCs between July and November, the increase in rainfall over North and South Carolina ranged 443 from 0-50% for the RCP4.5 future scenario. The large differences between their study and ours 444 may be due in part to their model resolution being much coarser than ours (50-km grid spacing 445 compared to 4-km), and their use of RCP4.5 instead of RCP8.5. Our results are also for three 446 specific, extreme cases as compared to years-long composites of multiple storms. Jalowska et al. 447 (2021), which evaluated the same three storms as in our study, found 22-44% increases in total 448 rainfall across a similar study region based on end-of-century RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 warming. The 449

dampened increases compared to what we find are not entirely surprising given their data 36-km grid spacing compared to our 4 km, as well as the fact that they utilized a statistical downscaling approach based on GCMs which doesn't include TCs.

453 b. Distribution of rain rates

An important precipitation characteristic is the distribution of overland rain rates for each of the 454 storms, and how those distributions change in a warmer climate (Fig. 8). All three storms exhibit 455 a decrease in the frequency of weaker rain rates (less than 12 mm per hour) and an increase in rain 456 rates greater than 25 mm per hour, with Florence and Floyd showing future increases at all rain 457 rates above 12 mm per hour. The biggest future increase in the occurrence of a given rain rate 458 varies by storm, with Matthew having the largest increase around 30 to 33 mm (1.2 to 1.3 inches) 459 per hour, Florence having the largest increase around 20 to 23 mm (0.8 to 0.9 inches) per hour, 460 and Floyd having the largest increase around the 12 to 15 mm (0.5 to 0.6 inches) per hour range, 461 when only considering rain rates above 12 mm per hour. The overall pattern of a decrease in the 462 frequency of lower rain rates and increase in the frequency of higher rain rates aligns with previous 463 studies (Lackmann 2013; Gutmann et al. 2018), and also points to the potential for our future 464 storms to have higher flash-flooding potential than their present-day counterparts. A subsequent 465 paper will explore the causes for this change in rain rate distribution. 466

As mentioned previously, a central finding of studies that examine changes in TC precipitation 473 is an increase in rainfall that either follows or exceeds the Clausius-Clapeyron (CC) scaling ($\sim 7\%$ 474 increase per degree Celsius of warming) (e.g. Knutson and Tuleya 2004; Hill and Lackmann 2011; 475 Knutson et al. 2015). For all of our simulations, the average temperature increase across the 476 lower and middle troposphere (surface to 500 hPa) from present to future was ~4.5K (Table 6). 477 This temperature increase implies a vapor increase of $\sim 35\%$ for all storms. When we calculate 478 the percentage change in precipitable water (PWAT), we find that all three storms have PWAT 479 increases slightly under the expected vapor increase given by the CC scaling - these variations are 480 understandable given that we averaged the 4.5K temperature change across height and location. 481 Comparing the average rain rates from the histograms, we find percent increases of $23 \pm 9\%$, 482 $34 \pm 12\%$, and $21 \pm 6\%$ for Hurricanes Matthew, Florence, and Floyd respectively (Table 6), which 483 are sub-CC scale, CC scale, and sub-CC scale, respectively. When we consider the changes in the 484



Figure 8. Histograms of ensemble mean rain rate (mm h^{-1}) for Hurricanes Matthew (a,d,g), Florence (b,e,h), and Floyd (c,f,i). Here we plot rain rates from present-day WRF ensemble members (a-c), future WRF ensemble members (d-f), and the difference between the two (g-i). The counts are number of cells that experienced that rain rate in any ensemble members, normalized by the number of ensemble members. Analysis is limited to values that occur over land in our region of interest. Values greater than 5000 are omitted to allow focus on less frequent higher rain rate values.

⁴⁸⁵ 90th percentile of these distributions, or the more extreme rain rates, we find increases of $37 \pm 7\%$, ⁴⁸⁶ $55 \pm 20\%$, and $25 \pm 8\%$ for Hurricanes Matthew, Florence, and Floyd respectively (Table 6), which ⁴⁸⁷ are CC scale, super-CC scale, and sub-CC scale respectively. For all three storms, this implies that ⁴⁸⁸ the extreme precipitation rates are increasing more than the averages. Table 6. Temporally and spatially averaged changes in atmospheric layer temperature (surface-500 hPa) and precipitable water calculated from the present-day ensemble mean files. Mean overland hourly rain rates and standard deviations are calculated from all of the ensemble members for each storm. The percent changes are calculated from the ensemble member means and ensemble member 90th percentile precipitation calculations. The plus or minus error metrics represent one standard deviation.

	Matthew (2016)	Florence (2018)	Floyd (1999)
Temperature change	+4.5K	+4.5K	+4.5K
Expected CC scaling	35%	36%	36%
Present PWAT	40.9 mm	45.3 mm	43.6 mm
Future PWAT	54.2 mm	58.1 mm	57.4 mm
PWAT percent change	32%	28%	31%
Present mean rain rate	$11.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ mm} \\ \text{h}^{-1}$	$9.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ mm h}^{-1}$	$12.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ mm} \\ h^{-1}$
Future mean rain rate	$14.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ mm} \\ \text{h}^{-1}$	$12.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ mm} \\ h^{-1}$	$14.6 \pm 1.1 \text{ mm} \\ \text{h}^{-1}$
Mean rain rate %	$23 \pm 9\%$	$34 \pm 12\%$	$21 \pm 6\%$
90th percentile rain rate %	$37 \pm 7\%$	$55 \pm 20\%$	$25\pm8\%$

494 c. Areal extent of rain rates

While the frequency of rain rate occurrences is valuable, it is also important to see where these 495 rain rates occur to determine if the spatial extent of heavy rain rates changes along with the 496 distribution. These plots are also useful to show us regions that may have experienced these rain 497 rates multiple times, thus exacerbating impacts (Fig. 9). The heat map for greater than 12.7 mm 498 h^{-1} of rain is presented here, but larger thresholds were also computed (not shown). The largest 499 difference these heat maps reveal is a shift in track between the present ensemble members and 500 the future ensemble members for all storms. Along with the track shift, however, we also see an 501 expansion of the regions experiencing greater than 12.7 mm h^{-1} rain rates (Fig. 9). When we 502 average the number of land grid cells in which the frequency of rain rates exceeding 12.7 mm h^{-1} 503 is greater than zero, we find increases of 20%, 28%, and 28% for Hurricanes Matthew, Florence, 504



Figure 9. Heatmap of instances of overland rain rates greater than 12.7 mm h^{-1} occurring in each grid cell, normalized by the number of ensemble members and the number of days in the simulation to allow for a better comparison between storms. Organized as in Fig. 8

and Floyd respectively. This indicates an increase in the amount of land grid cells experiencing these higher rain rates in the future. When we average the highest 5% of these frequency values, which gives an idea of how much the area of repeated rain rate occurrence changes at a given threshold, the percent increases are 17%, 47%, and 21% for Hurricanes Matthew, Florence, and Floyd respectively. These precipitation metrics are important to consider as they give insight into another potential cause of flooding or flash-flooding: changes in the duration of high-intensity rain rates.

515 d. Storm-Centered Precipitation Changes

Because of present to future track shifts, it is useful to consider storm-centered precipitation 516 changes by computing the distance from the TC center where the highest rain rates are occurring, 517 and examining how that shifts. As has been shown in previous TC and ET literature (Atallah et al. 518 2007; Liu et al. 2018; Jung and Lackmann 2019, 2021), TCs with more tropical characteristics 519 exhibit the highest rain rate increases near the TC center; then, as the storm begins to undergo ET, 520 the region of maximum rain rates extends outward away from the TC center. Since our storms 521 are in various stages of ET when they impact North Carolina (Fig. 3), we evaluate where the 522 maximum rain rates exist in relation to the distance from the TC center, and how that changes 523 with warming. To do this, we calculated the azimuthal average rain rate values for land points for 524 all of our storms across all simulation times (not shown) and then averaged across the simulation 525 time (including times from both before and during extratropical transition; Fig. 10). For the storm 526 that most strongly retained tropical characteristics, Florence, we find that in the future the rain rate 527 increases the most (~190%) between 50 and 100 km from the storm center. For Matthew, which 528 was transitioning while impacting the Carolinas (Fig. 3), we see the largest increase in rain rates 529 further from the TC center, between 100 and 150 km. The mean magnitude of this difference is an 530 increase of ~140% from present to future. Floyd, which exhibited both tropical and extratropical 531 characteristics when its rain bands were over South and North Carolina, exhibits a double peak: 532 the first between 50 and 100 km and the second between 100 and 150 km. The magnitudes of 533 these increases peak at about 90% and 100%, respectively. The highest simulated mean rain rates 534 were associated with Hurricane Floyd, the largest increase in rain rates occurred with Hurricane 535 Floyd, and the largest percent increases in rain rates from present to future were associated with 536 Hurricane Florence. While the magnitude of the changes differ, we find that rain rate increases 537 within 100 and 300 km for our tropical and transitioning storms are super-Clausius Clapeyron; this 538 aligns with the findings in Jung and Lackmann (2021, 2023). 539

The values presented in Figure 10 are for rain rates that occurred over land in our Carolinas averaging box; if we instead consider the entire 4-km domain that our storms moved through (not shown), we find that all storms have a rain rate peak within 100 km of the center (as Florence does in Fig. 10) and Matthew and Floyd exhibit a secondary rain rate peak between 100 and 200 km radial distance. The largest rain rates and the largest increase in rain rates are in future



Figure 10. Time mean azimuthal average rain rates for the present and future ensemble members (a-c), difference between each storm's present and future members (d-f), and percent change in rain rates (g-i) for Hurricanes Matthew (left columns), Florence (middle columns), and Floyd (right columns). Solid and dashed lines represent mean values and the shading represents 1 standard deviation. These values are for rain rates that occurred over land points in the averaging area over the Carolinas shown in Fig. 4.

⁵⁴⁵ Hurricane Floyd within 75 km of the storm center. While this provides context to the tropical and
⁵⁴⁶ extratropical-transitioning nature of the full life cycle of these storms, our area of primary interest
⁵⁴⁷ is the Carolinas, where Florence was tropical and Floyd and Matthew were being influenced by
⁵⁴⁸ baroclinic systems.

e. Changes in return period of precipitation

The return period can be used to quantify extreme precipitation events in the context of historical 555 events. Here, we quantify the return period for all three storms for different precipitation time 556 intervals (1-hr, 2-hr, 3-hr, 6-hr, 12-hr, and 24-hr; Fig. 11). For all cases, the future storms 557 had a higher return period (e.g., from 100-yr to 500-yr) than the present-day version for all time 558 intervals. At the shorter time intervals, Hurricanes Matthew and Florence exhibit the largest 559 increases in maximum rain rate, with future Matthew exhibiting larger values than Florence at all 560 times shown. When we consider the longer time intervals (i.e. 12-hr and 24-hr), we find that 561 Hurricane Florence has larger increases - this is not surprising as Hurricane Florence was a much 562 longer duration storm than either Matthew or Floyd. 563

When we consider all of these precipitation values in the context of the climate-model (LOCA) 570 adjusted Atlas-14 data, all storms in the present and future and at each rainfall duration period shift 571 to lower return intervals (i.e. if we consider 50, 100, 500, and 1000 year return periods, they shift 572 from 500 to 50 or 1000 to 100 when comparing with historical versus LOCA). Even with these 573 adjustments, however, future Florence is greater than a 1000 year event for four of the six time 574 intervals here, and future Matthew is greater than 1000 year event for all six times. This speaks to 575 just how rare these storms were historically, and how in a future scenario they can become more 576 frequent but still have even more extreme precipitation. However, this also may suggest that LOCA 577 fails to fully represent TC precipitation, and that what appears here to be a 1000-year event may 578 not be quite as rare. 579

580 5. Conclusions

Tropical cyclone rain rates are expected to increase as the climate continues to warm, but the 581 extent of that increase and how it may differ for TCs in contrasting synoptic environments, or at 582 different stages in their life cycle, is less clear. Here, by evaluating overland rain rate characteristics 583 of three Atlantic TCs at various stages of their life cycles, in diverse synoptic patterns, and in 584 altered climate conditions, we find that there is strong variability among the three storms for 585 multiple rainfall characteristics: accumulated rain, distribution of rain rates, spatial distribution 586 of rain rates, and historical extremity of rain rates. We evaluated these three synoptically diverse 587 TC events using high-resolution ensembles in the current climate, and for a high-emission end-of-588



Figure 11. Intensity Duration Frequency curves for historical Atlas-14 values for the New Hanover County station in eastern North Carolina (a, c, e) and for the updated, end-of-century values which include the climate signal from LOCA statistically downscaled climate data (b, d, f). The curves shown represent the 50, 100, 500, and 1000 year return period values for 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, and 24 hour time periods. They represent the average and standard deviation for the 100 highest rain rate values for Hurricanes Matthew (a, b), Florence (c, d), and Floyd (e, f) from the present simulations (dashed line) and future simulations (dotted line).

century thermodynamic environment (RCP 8.5 and SSP585). The main results for changes in each
 precipitation characteristic are as follows:

• For storm-total accumulated rainfall, the land area receiving at least 250mm of rainfall expanded by $17600 \pm 800 km^2$, $9800 \pm 500 km^2$, and $22400 \pm 400 km^2$ for Hurricanes Matthew, Florence, and Floyd, respectively - Hurricanes Matthew and Floyd had almost double the areal expansion of Florence. The largest areal expansions for each storm occurred above a 375 mm threshold for Matthew, 375 mm for Florence, and 250 mm for Floyd.

- When considering how the overland rain rates changed for each storm, we see an increase in all rain rates greater than 5 mm h⁻¹ for each storm, and a decrease in the rain rates below that threshold. We also find that, while Matthew and Floyd have higher average overland rain rates in the present and future, Florence has the highest percent increase in both the average rain rates and 90th percentile rain rates $(34 \pm 12\% \text{ and } 55 \pm 20\%)$.
- Each storm exhibits a greater than 19% increase in the areal coverage of overland rain rates greater than 12.7 mm h^{-1} , and a greater than 17% increase in the number of hours during which those rain rates occurred. The largest increases in both of these metrics exist with Hurricane Florence (28% and 47% respectively).
- A discernible difference between precipitation metrics for these storms emerges when we consider overland, time-averaged rain rates as a function of distance from the TC center. For Hurricane Florence, a storm that strongly retained tropical characteristics, the highest values and the largest change in rain rate occur within 100 km of the center. Matthew and Floyd, both transitioning storms interacting with synoptic features, exhibited peak rain rates further from the TC center at distances greater than 100 km. The highest rain rate of any storm and the largest magnitude increase in mean rain rate occurred with Hurricane Floyd.
- Each of our future storms was greater than a 100-year return period event for multiple rainfall periods when considering both the historical Atlas-14 scale as well the LOCA-adjusted Atlas-14 that accounts for climate change. Even with the LOCA-adjusted Atlas-14 data, however, future Hurricane Florence is greater than a 1000 year event for four of the six time intervals, and future Hurricane Matthew is greater than 1000 year event for all six times. Hurricane Matthew's 1-hr, 2-hr, 3-hr, and 6-hr future maximum rain rates were the highest out of all

three storms, while Florence had the highest future maximum rain rates for 12-hr and 24-hr duration.

The ensemble of present-day and future simulations can be used to assess future threats, for 620 example to transportation infrastructure. For such applications, where highly localized present-to-621 future comparisons are needed, a "scale factor" approach is useful because it eliminates challenges 622 created by the shifts in the simulated spatial precipitation distribution. For such applications, we 623 recommend computing precipitation change statistics from the present-day and future ensembles 624 to determine scale factors, such as the percent changes we calculated from the histograms here. 625 Then, the scale factor can be applied to either observed or simulated present-day precipitation. For 626 more on this approach, see Grimley et al. (2024). This scale-factor approach can be modified to 627 consider different storm types, different percentile thresholds, or different regions. 628

The configuration of this study has a few limitations, one of which is the use of spectral nudging for two of the cases. Nudging was used to minimize differences between present and future tracks. Given that nudging constrains track changes, these simulations are not useful for understanding future changes in TC track or translation speed. Nudging also reduces environmental changes that may have impacted the resulting precipitation fields, though only the large-scale steering flow was nudged in an attempt to minimize this influence.

Another limitation of this study is the limited sample size of storms studied; only three storms 635 are compared, all of which are relatively modest in intensity and only represent a subset of synoptic 636 environments. We also are only examining one future scenario (RCP8.5) out of many, and one 637 future time period out of many (end of century). While we acknowledge that three storms in one 638 future scenario is not sufficient to fully generalize future TC rainfall changes for storms in a large 639 variety of synoptic settings, and a larger catalog of storms would be preferred in order to represent 640 the variability, there are still some patterns we can identify from our subset of storms. One such 641 pattern is that, while Hurricanes Floyd and Matthew have larger average rain rates in the present 642 and future than Florence (when considering the whole distribution of rain rates), we find the largest 643 percent increases in average rain rate with Hurricane Florence. When we consider these rain rate 644 changes as a function of distance from the TC center, we see that again the largest percent increases 645 in average rain rates exist with Hurricane Florence within 75 km of the storm center. However, 646 Hurricane Florence also has a much smaller areal increase in total accumulated precipitation than 647

32

both Matthew and Floyd when we consider totals above 250 mm. These findings may point to 648 a difference in climate change response for more tropical, non-synoptic TC rainfall as compared 649 to more extratropical-transitioning, synoptic-interacting TCs. In a subsequent paper, the forcing 650 mechanisms for these precipitation changes will be evaluated to understand what thermodynamic 651 and dynamic mechanisms are contributing to these discrepancies in TC precipitation changes by 652 synoptic environment. It is also important to point out that the changes we are identifying are 653 mostly focused on overland changes in our Carolinas domain (Fig. 4) and do not all include 654 precipitation that fell over the ocean. Because some of our storms took slightly different tracks in 655 the future, and therefore spent more or less time over the land, this focus on overland only may not 656 represent the full changes in these precipitation metrics. However, given that this work is motivated 657 by and used for land transportation applications, this limitation is justified. 658

Each of these storms produced substantial rainfall when they occurred historically, and the changes described above indicate that when similar storms occur in a future, warmer climate, the impacts could be even more devastating. We also highlight the importance of evaluating these precipitation changes as a function of the TC environment. It is important to understand how TC rainfall, evaluated from multiple different lenses at different spatial scales, may change as the climate continues to warm in order to help inform infrastructure planning, as well as to assist in attempts to mitigate damage and loss of life caused in the wake of these destructive TCs.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the North Carolina Department of Trans-666 portation (NCDOT) project 2020-57, awarded to North Carolina State University (NCSU), and 667 North Carolina State University. We acknowledge the support of NCDOT Hydraulics Engineer, 668 Matthew Lauffer. High-performance computing support was provided by the North Carolina State 669 University Henry2 and Hazel clusters. Thanks to Chunyong Jung for obtaining and interpolating 670 the CMIP5 GCM data and providing the interpolation codes, and to Brett Roberts (CIWRO) for 671 code and assistance with calculating probability matched mean precipitation. We also thank Lau-672 ren Grimley and Lauren Getker for feedback on an earlier version of the manuscript, and Walter 673 Robinson and Anantha Aiyyer for feedback on material for this manuscript. 674

⁶⁷⁵ Data availability statement. The source code for the model used in this study, ⁶⁷⁶ WRF 4.2.2, is freely available from https://github.com/wrf-model/WRF/releases? ⁶⁷⁷ page=2. Model output from the simulations presented in this manuscript are

at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.x95x69pt8. available ECMWF 5 reanaly-678 sis data can be obtained from https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/ 679 dataset/reanalysis-era5-pressure-levels?tab=form, CFSR data can be ob-680 tained from https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/data/climate-forecast-system/access/ 681 reanalysis/6-hourly-by-pressure-level/, and GDAS/FNL data can be obtained from 682 https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds083.3/dataaccess/#. 683

684 **References**

- Atallah, E., L. F. Bosart, and A. R. Aiyyer, 2007: Precipitation distribution associated with
 landfalling tropical cyclones over the eastern united states. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 135, 2185–2206,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR3382.1.
- Atallah, E. H., and L. F. Bosart, 2003: The extratropical transition and precipitation distribution
 of hurricane floyd (1999). *Mon. Wea. Rev.*
- Baulenas, E., G. Versteeg, M. Terrado, J. Mindlin, and D. Bojovic, 2023: Assembling the climate
 story: use of storyline approaches in climate-related science. *Global Challenges*, 2200183.
- Bieli, M., A. H. Sobel, S. J. Camargo, H. Murakami, and G. A. Vecchi, 2020: Application of the
 cyclone phase space to extratropical transition in a global climate model. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, **12** (**4**), e2019MS001878, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/
 2019MS001878.
- Bonnin, G. M., D. Martin, B. Lin, T. Parzybok, M. Yekta, and D. Riley, 2004: Precipitation frequency atlas of the united states. volume 2 version 3.0. delaware, district of columbia, illinois,
 indiana, kentucky, maryland, new jersey, north carolina, ohio, pennsylvania, south carolina,
 tennessee, virginia, west virginia. Tech. rep., U.S. Department of Commerce.
- Bowden, J. H., K. E. Kunkel, G. M. Lackmann, K. Hollinger Beatty, K. Dello, A. M. Jalowska, T. L.
 Spero, and M. Lauffer, 2025: An apples-to-apples comparison of downscaling methods to inform
 and produce future precipitation intensity-duration-frequency curves for resilient transportation
 within north carolina, in preparation.
- Bowden, J. H., T. L. Otte, C. G. Nolte, and M. J. Otte, 2012: Examining interior grid nudging
 techniques using two-way nesting in the wrf model for regional climate modeling. *Journal of Climate*, 25 (8), 2805–2823.
- Bowden, J. H., and Coauthors, 2024: A comparison of downscaling methods to create future pre cipitation intensity-duration-frequency curves for resilient transportation within north carolina.
 104th AMS Annual Meeting, AMS.

35

- Carroll-Smith, D., R. J. Trapp, and J. M. Done, 2020: Exploring inland tropical cyclone rainfall
 and tornadoes under future climate conditions through a case study of hurricane ivan. *J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol.*, **60**, 103–118, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-20-0090.1.
- ⁷¹³ Colle, B. A., 2003: Numerical simulations of the extratropical transition of floyd (1999): Structural
 ⁷¹⁴ evolution and responsible mechanisms for the heavy rainfall over the northeast united states. *Mon.* ⁷¹⁵ Wea. Rev.
- ⁷¹⁶ Donelan, M., B. K. Haus, N. Reul, W. Plant, M. Stiassnie, H. Graber, O. B. Brown, and E. Saltzman,
 ⁷¹⁷ 2004: On the limiting aerodynamic roughness of the ocean in very strong winds. *Geophysical* ⁷¹⁸ *Research Letters*, **31** (18).
- Dougherty, E., and K. L. Rasmussen, 2020: Changes in future flash flood–producing storms in the
 united states. *J. Hydrometeor.*, 21, 2221–2236, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-20-0014.1.
- Dougherty, E. M., A. F. Prein, E. D. Gutmann, and A. J. Newman, 2023: Future simulated
 changes in central u.s. mesoscale convective system rainfall caused by changes in convective
 and stratiform structure. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 128, https://doi.org/
 10.1029/2022JD037537.
- Evans, C., and Coauthors, 2017: The extratropical transition of tropical cyclones. part i:
 Cyclone evolution and direct impacts. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 145, 4317–4344, https://doi.org/
 10.1175/mwr-d-17-0027.1.
- Frei, C., C. Schär, D. Lüthi, and H. C. Davies, 1998: Heavy precipitation processes in a warmer
 climate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 1431–1434, https://doi.org/10.1029/98GL51099.
- Gidden, M. J., and Coauthors, 2019: Global emissions pathways under different socioeconomic
 scenarios for use in cmip6: a dataset of harmonized emissions trajectories through the end
 of the century. *Geoscientific Model Development*, **12** (**4**), 1443–1475, https://doi.org/10.5194/
 gmd-12-1443-2019.
- ⁷³⁴ Grimley, L., K. Hollinger Beatty, A. Sebastian, S. Bunya, and G. Lackmann, 2024: Climate change
 ⁷³⁵ exacerbates compound flooding from recent tropical cyclones. *npj Natural Hazards*, 1 (1), 45.

- Gutmann, E. D., and Coauthors, 2018: Changes in hurricanes from a 13-yr convection-736 permitting pseudo- global warming simulation. J. Climate, 31, 3643–3657, https://doi.org/ 737 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0391.1. 738
- Hausfather, Z., K. Marvel, G. A. Schmidt, J. W. Nielsen-Gammon, and M. Zelinka, 2022: Climate 739 simulations: recognize the 'hot model' problem. Nature, 605 (7908), 26–29. 740
- Hersbach, H., and Coauthors, 2020: The era5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal 741 Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999–2049. 742
- Hill, K. A., and G. M. Lackmann, 2011: The impact of future climate change on tc intensity and 743 structure: A downscaling approach. J. Climate, 24 (17), 4644–4661. 744
- Jalowska, A. M., T. L. Spero, and J. H. Bowden, 2021: Projecting changes in extreme rainfall 745 from three tropical cyclones using the design-rainfall approach. npj Climate and Atmospheric 746 Science, 4, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00176-9. 747
- Jones, S. C., and Coauthors, 2003: The extratropical transition of tropical cyclones: Forecast 748 challenges, current understanding, and future directions. *Wea. Forecasting*, **18**(6), 1052–1092. 749
- Jung, C., and G. M. Lackmann, 2019: Extratropical transition of hurricane irene (2011) in a 750 changing climate. J. Climate, 32, 4847–4871, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0558.1. 751
- Jung, C., and G. M. Lackmann, 2021: The response of extratropical transition of tropical cy-752 clones to climate change: Quasi-idealized numerical experiments. J. Climate, 34, 4361–4381, 753 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0543.1. 754
- Jung, C., and G. M. Lackmann, 2023: Changes in tropical cyclones undergoing extratropical tran-755 sition in a warming climate: Quasi-idealized numerical experiments of north atlantic landfalling 756 events. Geophys. Res. Lett., 50, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101963. 757
- Keller, J. H., and Coauthors, 2019: The extratropical transition of tropical cyclones. part ii: 758 Interaction with the midlatitude flow, downstream impacts, and implications for predictability. 759 Mon. Wea. Rev., 147, 1077–1106, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-17-0329.1.
- Kilgore, R., and Coauthors, 2019: Applying climate change information to hydrologic and coastal 761
- design of transportation infrastructure. Tech. rep. 762

760

- ⁷⁶³ Kimura, F., and A. Kitoh, 2007: Downscaling by pseudo global warming method. *The Final Report* ⁷⁶⁴ of ICCAP, **4346**, 463–478.
- ⁷⁶⁵ Knutson, T., and Coauthors, 2020: Tropical cyclones and climate change assessment part ii:
 ⁷⁶⁶ Projected response to anthropogenic warming. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **101**, E303–E322,
 ⁷⁶⁷ https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0194.1.
- Knutson, T. R., J. J. Sirutis, M. A. Bender, R. E. Tuleya, and B. A. Schenkel, 2022: Dynamical downscaling projections of late twenty-first-century u.s. landfalling hurricane activity. *Climatic Change*, **171**, 28, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-022-03346-7.
- Knutson, T. R., J. J. Sirutis, M. Zhao, R. E. Tuleya, M. Bender, G. A. Vecchi, G. Villarini, and
 D. Chavas, 2015: Global projections of intense tropical cyclone activity for the late twenty-first
 century from dynamical downscaling of cmip5/rcp4. 5 scenarios. *J. Climate*, 28 (18), 7203–7224.
- Knutson, T. R., and R. E. Tuleya, 2004: Impact of co 2-induced warming on simulated hurricane intensity and precipitation: Sensitivity to the choice of climate model and convective parameterization. *J. Climate*, **17** (**18**), 3477–3495.
- Kourtis, I. M., and V. A. Tsihrintzis, 2022: Update of intensity-duration-frequency (idf) curves
 under climate change: a review. *Water Supply*, 22 (5), 4951–4974.
- ⁷⁷⁹ Kunkel, K., and Coauthors, 2020: North carolina climate science report. Tech. rep., North Carolina
 ⁷⁸⁰ Institute for Climate Studies.
- Lackmann, G. M., 2013: The south-central u.s. flood of may 2010: Present and future*. *J. Climate*,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI.
- Lackmann, G. M., 2015: Hurricane sandy before 1900 and after 2100. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*,
 96, 547–560, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00123.1.
- Landsea, C. W., and J. L. Franklin, 2013: Atlantic hurricane database uncertainty and presentation
 of a new database format. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 141 (10), 3576–3592.
- ⁷⁸⁷ Liu, M., G. A. Vecchi, J. A. Smith, and H. Murakami, 2017: The present-day simulation and
- twenty-first-century projection of the climatology of extratropical transition in the north atlantic.
- ⁷⁸⁹ J. Climate, **30**, 2739–2756, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0352.1.

Liu, M., G. A. Vecchi, J. A. Smith, and H. Murakami, 2018: Projection of landfalling-tropical
 cyclone rainfall in the eastern united states under anthropogenic warming. *J. Climate*, **31**, 7269–
 7286, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0747.1.

Liu, M., L. Yang, J. A. Smith, and G. A. Vecchi, 2020: Response of extreme rainfall for landfalling
 tropical cyclones undergoing extratropical transition to projected climate change: Hurricane
 irene (2011). *Earth's Future*, 8, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001360.

- Livneh, B., E. A. Rosenberg, C. Lin, B. Nijssen, V. Mishra, K. M. Andreadis, E. P. Maurer, and
 D. P. Lettenmaier, 2013: A long-term hydrologically based dataset of land surface fluxes and
 states for the conterminous united states: Update and extensions. *Journal of Climate*, 26 (23),
 9384–9392.
- Lynch, P., and X.-Y. Huang, 1992: Initialization of the hirlam model using a digital filter. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **120** (6), 1019–1034.
- Mallard, M. S., G. M. Lackmann, and A. Aiyyer, 2013a: Atlantic hurricanes and climate change.
 part ii: Role of thermodynamic changes in decreased hurricane frequency. *J. Climate*, 26 (21),
 803
 8513–8528.
- Mallard, M. S., G. M. Lackmann, A. Aiyyer, and K. Hill, 2013b: Atlantic hurricanes and climate
 change. part i: Experimental design and isolation of thermodynamic effects. *J. Climate*, 26 (13),
 4876–4893.
- Michaelis, A. C., and G. M. Lackmann, 2019: Climatological changes in the extratropical transition
 of tropical cyclones in high-resolution global simulations. *J. Climate*, https://doi.org/10.1175/
 JCLI-D-19.
- Michaelis, A. C., and G. M. Lackmann, 2021: Storm-scale dynamical changes of extratropical transition events in present-day and future high-resolution global simulations. *J. Climate*, **34**, 5037–5062, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0472.1.
- Miro, M., A. DeGaetano, C. Samaras, K. R. Grocholski, T. López-Cantú, M. Webber, and B. Eck,
- 2021: Projected intensity-duration-frequency (idf) curve tool for the chesapeake bay watershed
- and virginia. website), Northeast Regional Climate Center, available online: https://midatlantic-
- *idf. rcc-acis. org/, last accessed May*, **4**, 2022.

- Moss, R. H., and Coauthors, 2010: The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment. *Nature*, **463** (**7282**), 747–756.
- National Centers for Environmental Prediction, National Weather Service, NOAA, U.S. Department
- of Commerce, 2015: Ncep gdas/fnl 0.25 degree global tropospheric analyses and forecast grids.
- Research Data Archive at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Computational and
- ⁸²³ Information Systems Laboratory, Boulder CO, URL https://doi.org/10.5065/D65Q4T4Z.
- Nolan, D. S., B. D. McNoldy, and J. Yunge, 2021: Evaluation of the surface wind field over
 land in wrf simulations of hurricane wilma (2005). part i: Model initialization and simulation
 validation. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 149, 679–695, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-20-0199.1.
- Otte, T. L., C. G. Nolte, M. J. Otte, and J. H. Bowden, 2012: Does nudging squelch the extremes in regional climate modeling? *Journal of Climate*, **25** (**20**), 7046–7066.
- Pasch, R. J., T. B. Kimberlain, and S. R. Stewart, 1999: Preliminary report hurricane floyd. Tech.
 rep., National Hurricane Center.
- Peckham, S. E., T. G. Smirnova, S. G. Benjamin, J. M. Brown, and J. S. Kenyon, 2016: Imple mentation of a digital filter initialization in the wrf model and its application in the rapid refresh.
 Mon. Wea. Rev., 144 (1), 99–106.
- Pierce, D. W., D. R. Cayan, and B. L. Thrasher, 2014: Statistical downscaling using localized
 constructed analogs (loca). *J. Hydrometeor.*, **15 (6)**, 2558 2585, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/
 10.1175/JHM-D-14-0082.1.
- Rappaport, E. N., 2014: Fatalities in the united states from atlantic tropical cyclones: New data
 and interpretation. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **95** (3), 341–346.
- Reed, K. A., A. M. Stansfield, M. F. Wehner, and C. M. Zarzycki, 2020: Forecasted attribution of
 the human influence on hurricane florence. *Science Advances*, 6 (1), eaaw9253, https://doi.org/
 10.1126/sciadv.aaw9253.
- Saha, S., and Coauthors, 2010: The ncep climate forecast system reanalysis. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **91** (8), 1015–1058.

- Sato, T., F. Kimura, and A. Kitoh, 2007: Projection of global warming onto regional precipitation over mongolia using a regional climate model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 333, 144–154, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.07.023.
- Schär, C., C. Frei, D. Lüthi, and H. C. Davies, 1996: Surrogate climate-change scenarios for
 regional climate models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 669–672, https://doi.org/10.1029/96GL00265.
- Seneviratne, S. I., and Coauthors, 2023: Weather and Climate Extreme Events in a Changing
 Climate, chap. Climate Change 2021 The Physical Science Basis, 1513–1766. Cambridge
 University Press, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.013.
- Skamarock, W., and Coauthors, 2021: A description of the advanced research wrf model version
 4.3; no. *NCAR/TN556+ STR*.
- Stansfield, A. M., K. A. Reed, and C. M. Zarzycki, 2020: Changes in precipitation from north
 atlantic tropical cyclones under rcp scenarios in the variable-resolution community atmosphere
 model. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 47, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086930.
- ⁸⁵⁷ Stewart, S. R., 2017: Hurricane matthew. Tech. rep., National Hurricane Center.
- Stewart, S. R., and R. Berg, 2019: Hurricane florence. Tech. rep., National Hurricane Center.
- Tokarska, K. B., M. B. Stolpe, S. Sippel, E. M. Fischer, C. J. Smith, F. Lehner, and R. Knutti,
 2020: Past warming trend constrains future warming in cmip6 models. *Science Advances*, 6 (12),
 eaaz9549, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz9549.
- Trapp, R. J., and K. A. Hoogewind, 2016: The realization of extreme tornadic storm events
 under future anthropogenic climate change. *J. Climate*, 29, 5251–5265, https://doi.org/10.1175/
 JCLI-D-15-0623.1.
- von Storch, H., H. Langenberg, and F. Feser, 2000: A spectral nudging technique for dynamical
 downscaling purposes. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **128** (10), 3664–3673.
- Waldron, K. M., J. Paegle, and J. D. Horel, 1996: Sensitivity of a spectrally filtered and nudged
 limited-area model to outer model options. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **124** (**3**), 529–547.

- ⁸⁶⁹ Wright, D. B., C. D. Bosma, and T. Lopez-Cantu, 2019: Us hydrologic design standards insufficient
 ⁸⁷⁰ due to large increases in frequency of rainfall extremes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46 (14),
 ⁸⁷¹ 8144–8153.
- Wright, D. B., T. R. Knutson, and J. A. Smith, 2015: Regional climate model projections of rainfall
- from u.s. landfalling tropical cyclones. *Climate Dynamics*, **45**, 3365–3379, https://doi.org/10.
- ⁸⁷⁴ 1007/s00382-015-2544-y.