

1 Inadequate wastewater management in Dhaka's major hospitals: A Socio-
2 Technical Systems (STS) analysis of leadership, policy, and technological
3 challenges

4 **Nuhu Amin**^{1,2*}, Tim Foster¹, Nafeya Tabassum Shimki², Md Rezaul Hasan², Supriya
5 Sarkar³, Shaikh Daud Adnan³, Aninda Rahman⁴, Rizwana Khan⁵, Mahbubur Rahman², Juliet
6 Willetts¹

7 ¹Institute for Sustainable Futures, University of Technology Sydney, 235 Jones St, Ultimo, NSW, 2007,
8 Australia

9 ²Environmental health and WASH, Health System and Population Studies Division, International Centre
10 for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Dhaka, Bangladesh

11 ³Hospital Services Management, Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS), MoH&FW, Mohakhali,
12 Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh

13 ⁴Communicable Disease Control (CDC) Program, Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS),
14 MoH&FW, Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh

15 ⁵Infectious disease Division, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b),
16 Dhaka, Bangladesh

17 *Correspond to nuhu.amin@icddr.org

18 **Keywords:** Hospital sanitation facilities; wastewater treatment; Environmental Fecal contamination;
19 Hazardous liquid waste; SGD6; Bangladesh

20
21 **Abstract:**

22 Unsafe hospital wastewater is a significant concern, especially in low- and middle-income countries
23 (LMICs) where the health impact is often underreported. Socio-technical systems (STS) theory, which
24 examines the interplay between social and technical elements within complex systems, is widely used
25 in developed countries but rarely applied in LMIC hospitals. We employed STS theory to evaluate the
26 social and technical aspects of hospital wastewater treatment (WWT) and management in Dhaka City,
27 alongside a comprehensive assessment of WWT processes. We used a mixed-methods approach,
28 combining quantitative (structured-observations) and qualitative interviews. Structured observations
29 assessed the availability and functionality of WWT systems in selected hospitals. We conducted 30
30 key-informant interviews across 13 hospitals, including 21 respondents from government and nine
31 from private hospitals. The respondents were cleaners, key health professionals, and public works
32 department (PWD) engineers. We also analyzed relevant government reports and policies. Among the
33 hospitals surveyed, 10 had some form of WWT system, while the remaining three lacked any
34 treatment infrastructure. Of those with WWT, seven utilized anaerobic baffled reactors and three had
35 septic tanks. Interviews revealed that hospital authorities prioritize patient care and medical
36 equipment maintenance over WWT, with limited understanding among hospital staff regarding
37 WWT. PWD-engineers reported frequent staff shortages and bureaucratic delays, affecting the
38 efficiency of WWT system repairs and desludging. Our study identified significant gaps in WWT in
39 Dhaka hospitals, including the limited use of adequate treatment technologies, poor wastewater
40 management knowledge, and many systems in disrepair, leading to hazardous liquid being discharged
41 directly into the environment. The STS approach highlighted the need for policy formulation for
42 HWW regulation, government leadership, adequate financing, technical assistance, and staff capacity
43 building. Addressing these issues comprehensively can lead to safer and more sustainable wastewater
44 management practices in healthcare facilities, ultimately benefiting public health and the environment.

45

46 **Introduction**

47 Unsafe hospital wastewater is a significant concern, posing unknown but potentially severe
48 public health risks. Hospital wastewater (HWW), a complex mixture originating from various
49 medical and non-medical activities, contains biological components (e.g., pathogens),
50 chemicals such as disinfectants and cleaning agents, pharmaceuticals including antibiotics,
51 analgesics, and hormones, as well as heavy metals like mercury, lead, cadmium, and
52 chromium [1]. This composition mirrors the diverse contaminants introduced during patient
53 treatments, cleaning procedures, and the use of medical equipment. A particular concern
54 regarding the spread of Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) is the significant role played by
55 wastewater, especially from HCFs [2, 3]. Compared to community wastewater, HCF
56 wastewater exhibits higher concentrations of antibiotics entering the waste stream from both
57 patients and discarded pharmaceuticals [4]. Understanding and mitigating these complexities
58 are crucial for addressing public health challenges associated with HWW.

59
60 Safely managed sanitation in HCFs requires the use of an improved sanitation facility and
61 for excreta to be either safely disposed of in situ or transported and treated off-site [5]. As
62 part of the United Nations Secretary-General's global call to action on water, sanitation and
63 hygiene (WASH) in HCFs in 2019, the member states committed to work towards achieving
64 universal access to WASH in HCFs by 2030 [6], primarily focusing on the sustainable
65 development goals (SDG) 3 (good health and well-being) and SDG 6 (clean water and
66 sanitation). A recent report from WHO-UNICEF also stated that unsafe containment,
67 emptying and disposal of fecal sludge and liquid waste presents a growing risk to public
68 health and the environment and threatens progress on other SDG targets [7]. Furthermore,
69 this same report notes limited data to identify and address inequalities in safely managed
70 services in HCFs to determine rates of progress [7]. Timely and accurate data on safely

71 managed onsite sanitation services of HCFs can increase awareness of countries' needs and
72 gaps, and inform policy, implementation and research efforts to extend and improve services
73 [8].

74

75 Recent systematic reviews on HWW treatment systems highlighted a lack of research on
76 HWW management in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs) [1, 3]. The review
77 conducted by Amin et al. in 2024, underscored that numerous HCFs in LMICs lack effective
78 wastewater treatment systems, and most wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) included in
79 the review failed to meet national standards for discharging wastewater [3] highlighting this
80 as a crucial area for future research. Furthermore, the review noted a scarcity of
81 comprehensive studies on the total wastewater or liquid waste management status in densely
82 populated urban areas globally, emphasizing a critical gap in knowledge. Recent studies
83 conducted in large hospitals in urban Bangladesh also highlighted a concerning lack of
84 wastewater treatment facilities, revealing that most hospitals discharge their wastewater
85 directly into the environment without any prior treatment [9, 10]. Wastewater and hazardous
86 liquid waste management in HCFs are critically overlooked during the planning and
87 construction phases [11]. Furthermore, in urban areas of LMICs, the design and construction
88 of treatment system often fail to consider how behavioral, social, and technical components
89 interact within these systems, particularly in the context of HCFs [12-15].

90

91 Globally, research on WASH in HCFs is limited, and in LMICs, including Bangladesh,
92 such research has predominantly focused on overall WASH assessments rather than on the
93 functionality and efficacy of specific WASH technologies. Moreover, studies that specifically
94 examine the types and effectiveness of HWW treatment systems in LMICs remain scarce [1,
95 3, 9, 16-18].

96

97 There has been limited academic investigation into the interrelationship between the
98 technical and social and institutional aspects of wastewater management in HCFs. While the
99 water and sanitation for health facility improvement tool (WASH-FIT) provides a
100 comprehensive practical framework for enhancing WASH practices in HCFs [5], it primarily
101 focuses on practical step-by-step guidance for adapting and implementing WASH-FIT in
102 various contexts, such as quality of care, infection prevention and control (IPC), and maternal
103 child health, with the aim of improving the sustainability and climate resilience of WASH
104 services in HCFs. This framework emphasizes enhancing leadership capacity, community
105 engagement, behaviour change communications, training, and sustainable investment to
106 improve WASH in HCFs. However, WASH-FIT has limited focus on the technological
107 aspects of WASH improvement, particularly in enhancing sanitation and wastewater
108 facilities.

109

110 There is a significant research gap in understanding the interrelationship between social and
111 institutional factors and liquid waste and wastewater management in HCFs. Despite the
112 importance of these factors, there is a lack of research that comprehensively addresses how
113 they interact with technical aspects of wastewater management. Our literature review found
114 no studies that fully explore these interactions, highlighting a critical need for further
115 investigation in this area. This limitation highlights a missed opportunity for enhancing
116 WASH outcomes through a more holistic approach that integrates both technological
117 solutions and behavioral interventions. Moreover, existing literature on HCF sanitation has
118 largely focused on the microbial efficacy of wastewater treatments [19-22], leaving a
119 significant knowledge gap regarding the underlying reasons for the poor performance of
120 sanitation technologies. Specifically, there is a lack of investigation into the social, cultural,

121 and behavioral dimensions of inadequate sanitation management in HCFs. Addressing this
122 gap is essential for developing more effective and sustainable sanitation solutions that are
123 culturally and contextually appropriate.

124

125 The socio-technical systems (STS) theory is an interdisciplinary approach, exploring the
126 interaction between social and technical facets within complex systems. It underscores the
127 interconnectedness of people, technology, and the environment in various organizational or
128 societal contexts [23]. This theory acknowledges the mutual dependence of technology and
129 social structures, highlighting the need to analyze them to attain optimal system functioning.
130 Its primary goal is to comprehend the interactions among social and technical elements
131 within systems, aiming to design policy, and enhance systems while taking into account
132 social, behavioral, and technical considerations [13, 24].

133

134 STS theory has been utilized across various disciplines, including information systems,
135 organizational studies, business management, and engineering [25], using a mix of qualitative
136 and quantitative methods alongside socio-technical design approaches. The theory has been
137 applied in multiple contexts and scales [26, 27], from individual work systems within
138 organizations to entire organizations, and even up to macrosocial systems at the societal
139 level, such as industry sectors [28]. While the adoption of STS theory in civil engineering and
140 innovative construction projects is widespread in developed countries [29-31], its
141 implementation in the institutions remains underexplored in LMICs. The application of STS
142 theory in HCFs in LMICs is rare; only one study in Germany, by Heinzl et al. (2024),
143 adopted STS theory to examine water infrastructure as critical HCF infrastructure, with no
144 similar application to wastewater noted. Understanding critical infrastructure as a STS theory
145 can help to evaluate the complex interlinkage among the factors that facilitate or hindering

146 better preparedness [32]. To address critical knowledge gaps in relation to HWW treatment,
147 we adopted STS theory to identify and analyse social and technical aspects of HWW
148 treatment arrangements and management, as well as observations of the functionality of the
149 wastewater treatment processes available in major hospitals within Dhaka City, Bangladesh.

150 **Methods**

151 **Study design**

152 Between June and December 2022, we conducted a mixed-methods study in 10 government
153 hospitals and three private hospitals from Dhaka city (Table 1). Government hospitals in
154 Dhaka, are typically managed by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW),
155 which oversees the planning, implementation, and management of healthcare services.
156 Additionally, the Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS) is a key agency under the
157 MoHFW responsible for the administration, supervision, and coordination of healthcare
158 services at the national level [33]. These hospitals are part of the public healthcare system and
159 offer a range of medical services, with the aim of providing accessible and affordable
160 healthcare to residents. A private hospital is owned and operated by a private entity or
161 organization and regulated by DGHS. Private hospitals always charge patients for their
162 medical services and are not directly funded or managed by the government [34]. We
163 employed three data collection techniques in our study: conducted qualitative research
164 through interviews with key informants, reviewed relevant documents, and performed
165 structured observations of hospital pipe networks, wastewater treatment systems, and
166 drainage systems.

167

168 Theoretical basis

169 We drew on the modified STS theory in this study, specifically the Leavitt Diamond model
170 developed by Sawy (2001), which formed the basis of our framework. This framework
171 suggests a systems view of organizations (i.e., hospitals), represented by a hexagon, which
172 served as the core concept guiding our study (Fig 1). Within the STS perspective, we
173 recognized that organizations are situated within interacting subsystems, each contributing to
174 the overall functioning of the organization [35]. These hexagonal subsystems included:

- 175 - Current **cultural norms** influence hospital authorities' and staff perceptions of wastewater
176 treatment priority and practices.
- 177 - National **policies and regulations** govern HWW management and discharge.
- 178 - **Technologies** employed for HWW management.
- 179 - **Leadership and management** procedures guide liquid waste and wastewater treatment.
- 180 - Day-to-day **staff (i.e., people)** conduct operation and maintenance activities.
- 181 - Broader hospital supporting **infrastructure** aid in managing liquid wastes.

182

183 Fig 1. Socio-technical system theory with relevant key indicators.

184

185 For qualitative interviews, we adopted the revised guidelines outlined by Appelbaum (1997)
186 [13], which provide a detailed description of each subsystem of the STS framework. Previous
187 studies have utilized this tool to assess the water and sanitation situation and technological
188 assessment in healthcare facilities [32, 36, 37]. The qualitative interviews comprised key
189 informant interviews (KII) with selected stakeholders, including public works department
190 (PWD) engineers, healthcare professionals: hospital cleaners, and ward masters. By
191 combining methods, the investigation team verified and cross-checked the data collected
192 from each approach, resulting in a depth of understanding that a single method might not

193 have achieved [38, 39]. The document analysis involved sourcing published government
 194 reports (i.e., Department of Environment and DGHS) on hospital waste and wastewater
 195 management, standard and related policies [33, 34, 40-45]

196 [Enrolment of study hospitals](#)

197 The selection of hospitals for this study was described in a recent hospital-based study [46] in
 198 Dhaka. Briefly, we prioritized stakeholder engagement by convening a meeting involving key
 199 participants such as DGHS, Dhaka water supply and sewerage authority (WASA),
 200 policymakers, and NGOs. We developed criteria for hospital selection, considering factors
 201 like construction year, hospital type, size, and geographic location. We chose ten government
 202 hospitals purposively, including general, medical college, and specialized hospitals, within
 203 both South and North City Corporations in Dhaka. Furthermore, we purposively selected
 204 three private medical college hospitals for the comparison with the government hospitals. We
 205 integrated stakeholder suggestions to enhance selection criteria and refine data collection
 206 tools. Description of hospitals is presented in Table 1.

207
 208 **Table 1. Descriptive statistics of selected hospitals (10 government and three non-**
 209 **government hospitals) in Dhaka, Bangladesh, July-December 2022.**

Hospital type and codes	Year established	Hospital type	# of beds	Total toilets
H1	2001	General hospitals	250	132
H2	2012	General hospitals	500	379
H3	1963	Medical college hospitals	850	255
H4	2009	Medical college hospitals	500	430
H5	1972	specialized hospitals	300	43
H6	2018	specialized hospitals	250	194
H7	1978	specialized hospitals	414	318
H8	1982	specialized hospitals	300	203
H9	2012	specialized hospitals	450	183
H10	2013	specialized hospitals	250	177
P1	1986	General hospitals	500	86
P2	1992	General hospitals	500	59
P3	2003	General hospitals	500	Data not collected

210 “H”-represented the codes for government hospitals.

211 “P”-represented the codes for private hospital.

212

213

214 **Operational definitions**

215 The operational definitions for various variables in HCFs used in this study were based on the
 216 WHO-JMP. We extended these definitions to include additional sanitation-related variables
 217 relevant to HCFs in Dhaka city, as shown in Table 2. When JMP or WASH-FIT did not
 218 provide clear definitions for certain variables, such as liquid waste and functional
 219 containment, we created our own operational definitions.

220 **Table 2. Operational definitions used to describe different components of sanitation**
 221 **facilities, wastewater and liquid waste in hospitals in Dhaka, Bangladesh.**

Terminology	JMP defined	Definitions used in this study
Safely managed sanitation service	<p>No separate definition for HCFs [47-49]</p> <p><i>According to JMP WASH data for sanitation [50]:</i> Safely managed sanitation in HCFs refers to the use of an improved sanitation facility where excreta is safely disposed of in situ or transported and treated off-site.</p> <p><i>Expanded definition from JMP WASH data for Monitoring Safely Managed On-Site Sanitation:</i> The sanitation facilities should additionally prevent the discharge of wastewater and fecal sludge to the surface environment, and they should ensure that excreta are either treated and disposed of in situ or transported and treated off-site.</p>	<p><i>Extended JMP definition:</i> - the use of an improved sanitation facility where excreta is safely disposed of in situ or transported and treated off-site, prevent the discharge of wastewater and fecal sludge to the surface environment</p> <p>The relevant regulation for Bangladesh obtained from Bangladesh Environmental Conservation Rules 2023 [40] it follows following effluent quality parameters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Temperature: 30°C - pH: 6.0-9.0 - Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) at 20°C: ≤ 30 mg/L - Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD): ≤ 125 mg/L - Suspended Solids (SS): ≤ 100 mg/L - Oil and Grease: ≤ 10 mg/L - Nitrate (NO₃): ≤ 50 mg/L - Phosphate (PO₄): ≤ 15 mg/L - Total Coliform: ≤ 1000 CFU/100mL <p>- The standards for HWW discharge in Bangladesh as per The Environment Conservation Rules, 2023, are outlined to ensure that effluents do not harm the environment or public health. Here are the key standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Human feces are contained within a holding tank/pit in such a way that it is inaccessible for human contact or contact by flies or other animals (rodents, insects) -All wastewater discharge through single or multiple, functional on-site systems including at least primary and secondary treatment processes or conveyance of wastewater to a suitable centralized treatment plant via an appropriately designed piped sewerage network. -For any onsite system, frequency of desludging/emptying are regular, well recorded and hospital staff are able to describe the frequency and process of safely desludging/emptying of ABR
Hospital staff: The term "staff" refers to individuals employed within the healthcare setting, including healthcare		

professionals such as doctors and nurses, administrative personnel, support staff, and/or cleaners [46].

Anaerobic baffled reactor (ABR): An anaerobic baffled reactor (ABR) is a multiple-stage reactor that consists a series of upflow reactors connected by means of baffles that force wastewater to flow under and over them as it passes from the influent to the effluent [51]. For this study, if the tank or sludge reservoir had more than two chambers, we classified it as ABR. ABR is considered as primary treatment system.

Septic tank: A septic tank is a sewage disposal system that consists of a concrete tank with an outlet submerged in the ground or soak pit. For this study, if the tank or sludge reservoir had two chambers, we classified it as septic tank. Septic tank is considered as primary treatment system.

Containment or holding tank: A single-compartment tank designed primarily to hold fecal sludge without undergoing any primary treatment.

Functional ABR or Septic Tank: Functionality is determined through direct observation (if the system is accessible) or through reports from PWD engineers or hospital staff (if the system is concealed beneath a building). A functional ABR or septic tank is identified by having intact lids and a structure free from leaks or breakages.

Piped network/discharge pipes: The system of pipes or channels that transport liquid or semisolid waste from its source within a facility, such as a hospital, to the designated hospital drain or disposal point. These pipes are responsible for conveying wastewater, sewage, or other liquid waste materials for proper disposal or treatment.

Hospital drain: A hospital drain refers to a drainage system used within the hospital premises to manage and dispose of various liquid wastes generated from hospital activities. These drains are part of the hospital's wastewater system and convey water, wastewater and all kinds of liquid waste from toilets, patients' wards, laboratories, operating rooms, and other medical areas.

Functional drain/drainage system: A drainage system in a hospital that consists of concrete channels or pipes lined with cement, equipped with intact and properly sealed covers to prevent leaks and ensure the safe and efficient transport of wastewater and other fluids. The hospital drainage system is usually managed by hospital authorities and the PWD.

Community drain: Refers to a drainage system that serves a larger communal area, often shared by multiple households or buildings within a neighborhood or community. This system is designed to manage and channel away wastewater, stormwater, and other surface runoff from community, institutions, industries, and run-off to prevent flooding and maintain public health and environmental safety. For this study drainage network outside the hospital premise is considered as community drain.

Wastewater: Wastewater is water generated after the use of freshwater, raw water, drinking water or saline water in a variety of deliberate applications or processes [52]. Another definition of wastewater is "Used water from any combination of domestic, industrial, commercial or agricultural activities, surface runoff / storm water, and any sewer inflow or sewer infiltration" [53] In everyday usage, wastewater is commonly a synonym for sewage (also called domestic wastewater or municipal wastewater), which is wastewater that is produced by a community of people.

Hospital wastewater (HWW): Wastewater discharged from the hospital is classified as HWW. WHO has characterized these HWW in following ways: i) *Blackwater (sewage)* contains mainly fecal matter and urine; ii) *Greywater (sullage)* contains residues from washing, bathing, laboratory processes, laundry, and other technical processes such as cooling water or the rinsing of X-ray films, potentially loaded with a genotoxic or cytotoxic agent; iii) *Storm water* contains rainfall collected from roofs, grounds, yards and paved surfaces, water used for irrigating hospital grounds, toilet flushing, and other general washing purposes which may be lost to drains and watercourses and as groundwater recharge [54-56].

Biomedical liquid waste: "Bio-medical liquid waste" means any liquid waste including its container and any intermediate product, which is generated during the diagnosis, treatment or immunization of human beings or animals or in research pertaining thereto or in the production or testing thereof [57].

Hospital hazardous waste: Hospital hazardous waste refers to any solid, liquid, or gaseous waste generated within a hospital setting that possesses inherent risks to human health and the environment due to its composition. This waste category encompasses various materials such as biomedical waste, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, disinfectants, pathological waste, radioactive materials, and other potentially harmful substances.

Hazardous hospital liquid: Hazardous hospital liquid refers to any liquid waste generated within hospital that poses potential risks to human health and the environment due to its composition. This waste may include biological waste, biomedical waste, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, disinfectants, bodily fluids, and other potentially harmful substances.

Chemical liquid waste: This category includes hazardous liquids generated through various medical activities such as laboratory analyses, cleaning, disinfecting procedures, and other medical operations. It may contain harmful substances

like formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, xylene, toluene, and mercury.

Pharmaceutical liquid waste: This type of waste is produced from activities involving medications and includes expired liquid medications, discarded vaccines and injectables, and partially used or contaminated intravenous (IV) solutions.

222

223 **Study participants**

224 For the qualitative method, the respondents comprised individuals engaged in policy
225 formulation and strategic planning regarding hospital facility management, staff directly
226 involved with hospital sanitation management, as well as those working within organizations
227 responsible for routine cleaning. Table 3 provides a detailed description of the types, roles,
228 and responsibilities of the respondents selected for the qualitative interviews. In brief, two
229 groups of individuals were interviewed: (1) Engineers from the public works department
230 (PWD), ministry of housing and public works, and (2) hospital staff, including directors,
231 ward master/hospital managers and cleaning staff. PWD engineers were included for the
232 interview due to their close liaison with the MoHFW and their role as coordinators between
233 PWD, the Department of Architecture, and MoHFW [58]. PWD engineers were responsible
234 for tender document preparation, tender invitations, and maintaining liaison with the design
235 division and department of architecture. They ensured timely availability of structural and
236 architectural designs and drawings, major civil works, as well as monitored the progress of
237 hospital projects [58]. A total of seven engineers were responsible for managing selected
238 hospitals, and we conducted interviews with all of them. Hospital directors were included in
239 the interviews to understand the hospital's priorities in managing WASH, the challenges of
240 managing sanitation, and the future direction for improving WASH in HCFs for LMICs. We
241 enrolled one ward master from each hospital to participate in the KII. Ward masters oversee
242 patient care coordination, manage the cleaning staff, handle administrative tasks, facilitate
243 communication, and advocate for patient rights and needs. They play a crucial role in quality
244 assurance, patient safety, and infection control [59]. If there were multiple ward masters in a
245 hospital, we selected the one who had been employed for a longer period in the hospital. We

246 also included ten cleaners (8 from government and two from private hospitals) from the
 247 selected hospitals. Cleaners were purposively selected for the interview, and priority was
 248 given to those who had been serving the hospital for more than five years. Integrating PWD
 249 engineers, ward masters and cleaners allowed us to gather insights from individuals who had
 250 direct experience managing the sanitation facilities in the hospital (Table 3).

251 **Table 3. Type, roles, and responsibilities of the respondents for the Key informant interviews**
 252 **(KII) in hospitals in Dhaka city.**
 253

Type of respondents	Definition/role	# of interviews	Inclusion criteria	Duty station and hours	Department & ministry
Hospital Directors	In Bangladesh, Hospital Directors are senior healthcare administrators responsible for overseeing the overall operations of a hospital or healthcare facility. They play a crucial role in setting strategic directions, ensuring the delivery of high-quality medical services, managing staff, and overseeing the facility's financial health [60].	13	All included who provided consent for interviews	Hospital premises. Duty hours 6-8 hours (single shift)	Director general of Health service (DGHS), MoH&FW
Engineers (government hospitals)	A PWD (Public Works Department) engineer in a hospital is a professional responsible for close liaison with the MoHFW, acting as a coordinator among the field-level office of PWD, Department of Architecture, and MoHFW. They prepare tender documents and invite tenders for large civil works, including WASH. Additionally, they monitor the physical and financial progress of the projects. [61, 62]	4	All included who provided consent for interviews	Mostly outside of the hospital in a separate office. Duty hours: 9:00 am to 5:00 pm	Public works department (PWD), Ministry of Housing and Public Works
Engineers (Private hospitals)	A civil engineer in a private hospital is recruited by individual hospital, responsible for the planning, design, construction, maintenance, and management of the hospital's physical infrastructure and facilities. Their role encompasses a variety of responsibilities related to the hospital's structural and architectural aspects.	3	All included who provided consent for interviews	Within hospital. Duty hours 9:00 am to 5:00 pm	Not applicable
Ward masters (Government hospital)	A hospital ward master, also known as a ward manager or unit manager in some regions, is a senior administrative and		-Provide consent for interview -If more than one ward master	Hospital premises. Duty hours 8-16 hours	Director general of Health service (DGHS),

Type of respondents	Definition/role	# of interviews	Inclusion criteria	Duty station and hours	Department & ministry
	supervisory healthcare professional responsible for managing a specific ward or unit within a hospital. Six key areas of responsibility likely to define the role of ward managers: general performance/quality issues, people management/HRM, planning and scheduling of work, managing operational costs, dealing with clinical work and communication outside the immediate team [63].	10	present in one hospital priority was given to the senior staff	(2-3 shifts)	MoH&FW
Ward masters (Private hospital)	Same as above	3	All included who provided consent for interviews	Hospital premises. Duty hours 8-16 hours (2-3 shifts)	Not applicable
Cleaner (Government hospital)	A hospital cleaner also called environmental services staff, housekeeping or janitorial services, is an individual employed directly or through subcontracting by external suppliers, tasked with maintaining cleanliness, hygiene, and overall sanitation within a hospital. Typically, cleaners operate under the supervision of a ward master or nurse[64].	5	-Provide consent for interview -Cleaners serving the hospital for more than five years were prioritized -If more than one ward master present in one hospital priority was given to the senior staff	Hospital premises. Duty hours 8-16 hours (2-3 shifts)	Director general of Health service (DGHS), MoH&FW or subcontracted through another vendor
Cleaner (Private hospital)	“Staff responsible for cleaning” refers to non-health care providers such as cleaners or auxiliary staff, as well as health care providers who, in addition to their clinical and patient care duties, perform cleaning tasks as part of their role [65]. Same as above	5	Same as cleaners from government hospitals	Hospital premises. Duty hours 8-16 hours (2-3 shifts)	Direct recruitment or subcontract through another vendor

255 Data collection

256 Document review

257 The purpose of the document review was to understand the current policies related to HWW
258 discharge standards set by the relevant authorities, such as the Department of Environment
259 (DoE) under the Ministry of Environment and the Directorate General of Health Services
260 (DGHS). This review aimed to identify the technologies recommended by the DGHS and
261 DoE for wastewater treatment in healthcare facilities. The review also examined the
262 prioritization of liquid waste management over solid waste management, as highlighted in
263 recently published government reports. Furthermore, it assessed the responsibilities of
264 different authorities, both government and private, in managing overall hospital waste, with a
265 particular focus on liquid waste management. We also reviewed the Bangladesh national
266 building codes published by Housing & Building Research Institute (HBRI) [66, 67]. Lastly,
267 the review included an examination of the WASH-FIT report and recently published WHO
268 reports related to WASH in healthcare facilities and wastewater discharge guidelines to
269 protect the environment (Table S1). This document review provided insights into the
270 regulatory framework, technological recommendations, and management responsibilities
271 crucial for effective HWW management.

272

273 Structured observation of the sanitation facilities

274 Structured observation included an assessment of the sanitation systems which included
275 drainage networks, availability and functionality of onsite wastewater treatment
276 plant/systems, septic tanks, and anaerobic baffled reactors (ABRs) and discharge of HWW
277 (grey and black water). After the selection of hospitals, the fieldworkers employed a
278 structured observational checklist to collect data on specific variables: the presence of onsite

279 wastewater treatment technology such as WWTP, septic tanks and ABRs within the hospital
280 premises.

281

282 To appropriately document the sanitation infrastructure, we requested the PWD engineer to
283 share the building design and drainage network maps. In cases where obtaining the map was
284 not feasible, we asked the engineer to collaborate with fieldworkers in drawing the drainage
285 network and wastewater flow directions within the hospital. After gaining a preliminary
286 understanding of the hospital sanitation network, we requested the ward master of each
287 hospital to assign a knowledgeable staff member who could provide insights into the drainage
288 network and overall sanitation facilities of the hospital. Volunteers from the hospitals (i.e.,
289 cleaners or ward boy) and two data collectors (including NA) conducted transect walks to
290 develop illustrated maps for each hospital. These maps indicated the starting point of drains,
291 the direction of wastewater flow, types and availability of wastewater treatment plants, the
292 number of functional septic tanks and ABRs, and the point of discharge (such as a
293 community drain or a surface water body) after leaving the hospital (Fig 1). During the
294 transect walks, the fieldworkers assessed the type of wastewater discharged (i.e., all treated,
295 partially treated or untreated) from the hospital to the community. The fieldworkers recorded
296 detailed notes on key elements of sanitation infrastructure during these walks and took photos
297 to document the status of the sanitation systems. After completing the walks, the team
298 collaborated with the ward master and volunteer to provide them with large sheets of paper
299 and colored pens, which they used to create maps illustrating the hospital's sanitation network
300 [68].

301

302 **Key Informant Interviews**

303 We used structured interview guidelines for each group of respondents to conduct the
304 interviews (see supplemental information). The data collection team, consisting of two
305 female and one male qualitative researcher (NA). We conducted the interviews in the
306 participants' native language, Bengali. The interviewers, who were also native speakers, used
307 interview guides to facilitate the conversations. Participants were informed about their
308 privacy and the protection of their personal information, and we obtained their informed
309 written consent for the research. The interviews took place in various locations depending on
310 the availability of venues and the participants' preferences. For example, interviews with
311 PWD engineers were conducted in their offices (outside the hospital premises), interviews
312 with cleaners took place in empty patient rooms, and ward masters were interviewed in
313 empty staff rooms. The interviews lasted between 20 and 55 minutes, with all discussions
314 being audio-recorded with the participants' consent. The KII guidelines were tailored
315 individually for various respondents according to their roles and responsibilities within HCFs.

316

317 Using structured interview guides, interviewers asked open-ended questions to allow
318 participants the flexibility to share their thoughts on the topic areas. The research team
319 discussed potential prompts for each key area during the preparation phase. The KII
320 guidelines were pilot tested, refined, and organized into structured sub-themes to enhance
321 clarity and ensure consistency.

322

323 **Data analysis and management**

324 Three trained native Bengali speakers (NA and two research assistants) experienced in
325 qualitative research collected all the data from hospitals staff and caregivers. The audio
326 recordings of in-depth interviews were transcribed verbatim in Bengali and then translated

327 into English using Microsoft Word. The translated transcripts retained the original tone of the
328 interviews by including transliterations of local terms and expressions. Codes were created
329 based on six STS theory themes (Fig 1), and the research team regularly met during
330 transcription and translation to familiarize themselves with the data. Additional inductive
331 codes were generated from the data. All interview transcripts were manually coded and
332 categorized according to these codes using Microsoft Excel.

333 We employed the STS framework to analyze data within six main thematic areas stated
334 above. Although similar questions were asked during the interviews, our qualitative data
335 analysis prioritized the expertise of respondents on relevant sub-themes. For instance, in key
336 informant interviews (KIIs) with hospital directors, we extracted quotes related to the norms
337 and priorities of liquid treatment over patient management. For engineers, we prioritized
338 presenting insights pertaining to the technological aspects of wastewater treatment, including
339 functionality and efficacy. In interviews with ward masters, our focus was on insights
340 relevant to the operation and maintenance of hospital sanitation networks, coordination
341 between engineers and hospital authorities, and individuals involved in managing liquid
342 waste. Similarly, for cleaners, we prioritized aspects regarding their knowledge of liquid
343 waste generated from hospitals and the health risks associated with managing hospital liquid
344 waste. For reporting, both qualitative (i.e., KII) and quantitative (infrastructure assessment)
345 results were integrated within the STS framework. Specifically, the infrastructure assessment
346 data was aligned with the "technology" subsystem of the result section.

347

348 [Quality assurance](#)

349 Before the study, research assistants underwent rigorous training and participated in a pre-test
350 session. They were then paired for data collection and continuously assessed. These assistants
351 were fluent in English and Bengali, the primary local language, and had experience working

352 in urban poor settings. To ensure the completeness and correctness of the data, weekly
353 meetings were held with the team after conducting a few interviews, and the data summary
354 were shared with the supervisors (JW and TF). The research team gathered to review the
355 interview activities, ensuring the data was cleaned and verified before storing and processing
356 it. They used both manual and electronic backups for safety.

357

358 [Ethical considerations](#)

359 We followed a systematic approach to ensure the rights and confidentiality of all participants
360 and institutions. Firstly, we secured written informed consent from all individuals
361 participating in the study. Additionally, we obtained written approvals from the DGHS and
362 formal written approvals from the hospital directors to conduct interviews with the ward
363 masters and cleaners. An introductory letter, provided by the lead author (NA), was presented
364 to all hospital directors. This letter outlined the purpose of the study and clarified aspects of
365 confidentiality, voluntary participation, anonymity, and the right to withdraw from the study.
366 Participants were assured that they could withdraw from the study at any point if they felt
367 uncomfortable. Moreover, to safeguard confidentiality, no participant identifiers were
368 recorded. The importance of confidentiality was strongly emphasized during the training of
369 research assistants before data collection. To further protect the identities of both the
370 respondents and hospitals, we assigned unique hospital codes (government hospital codes: H1
371 to H10, and private hospital codes: P1 to P3) instead of using the actual hospital names.
372 Finally, the study protocol was thoroughly reviewed and approved by the Ethical Review
373 Committee at icddr,b in Dhaka, Bangladesh, as well as the Human Research Ethics
374 Committee at the University of Technology Sydney in Australia.

375 **Results**

376 A total of 30 interviews were conducted, encompassing individual interviews held in thirteen
377 hospitals in Dhaka city. These participants comprised seven engineers, 10 cleaners, and 13
378 ward masters. Among the 30 respondents, nine recruited from private hospitals, while 21
379 were selected from the government hospitals. A summary of the results is provided following the
380 STS theory framework in Table S2.

381

382 **Current cultural norms among hospital authorities and staff about wastewater** 383 **treatment priority and practices**

384

385 Hospital leadership authorities placed wastewater treatment as a low priority. All the hospital
386 directors were aware of and specifically highlighted that untreated HWW and infectious
387 liquids pose a significant threat to the environment and water bodies if not properly treated.
388 When asked about their priorities concerning hospital fecal sludge and liquid waste
389 management, most (9 out of 12) directors noted that their primary focus is on patient care,
390 maintaining essential equipment, and ensuring the safety of both staff and patients. One
391 director emphasized, *“Hospitals are faced with numerous administrative challenges daily,*
392 *often constrained by limited resources. Recently, we acquired expensive (medical) equipment,*
393 *but due to inadequate space, installation has become an issue. If not resolved promptly, these*
394 *machines may malfunction.”* Another director highlighted, *“Our top priorities are patient*
395 *treatment and recovery from diseases. Instances of patient death due to medical negligence*
396 *gain national-level media attention. However, wastewater treatment doesn't receive the same*
397 *level of priority from both media coverage and the hospital authority.”* (Director, H1)

398

399 Hospital staff, particularly cleaners and ward masters, had a very limited or no
400 understanding of the types of hospital liquid waste generated, how it is discharged from the
401 hospital to the community, and how it is treated. Among the 23 staff members (ward masters
402 and cleaners) interviewed, none could describe how biomedical liquid waste is managed in
403 the hospital. Similarly, among the seven PWD engineers, nearly all stated that managing
404 biomedical waste is not their responsibility; they are primarily tasked with treating HWW and
405 fecal sludge.

406 We found that, discharging wastewater/liquid waste without proper treatment is common in
407 hospitals in Dhaka city. This practice is also acceptable among hospital managers (ward
408 masters) and cleaners because lack of knowledge on health and environmental impacts of
409 HWW. Most ward masters (11 out of 13) lacked awareness about the discharge details, such
410 as the type, volume, and pathways of liquid waste from their own hospital. One ward master
411 stated, *"I am not sure how the liquid waste flows from the hospital to the environment, but we
412 have a good treatment system (i.e., septic tank) with the capacity to treat all liquid waste."*
413 *(Ward master, H5)* The same ward master also mentioned that the septic tanks have not been
414 emptied for the last five years.

415

416 It is a common practice for cleaners to clean drains and septic tanks without any personal
417 protective equipment (PPE). Although most of the cleaners (8 out of 13) acknowledge that
418 HWW contains harmful chemicals, they do not perceive it as a potential cause of diseases
419 while cleaning. One cleaner stated, *"I regularly clean the septic tank when it is clogged; I
420 never use any PPE, and I am doing well."* *(Cleaner, H4)*

421

422 [National policies and regulations on HWW management and discharge](#)

423

424 The current national policies and regulations on HHW management and discharge in
425 Bangladesh reveal significant gaps, indicating a lack of focus or priority on liquid waste
426 management in healthcare facilities within existing policies. The Ministry of Health and
427 Family Welfare (MoHFW) appears to prioritize solid waste management over liquid waste
428 management in healthcare facilities. The National Strategy for WASH in Healthcare
429 Facilities 2019-2023 emphasizes solid waste management but lacks guidance on liquid waste
430 discharge and management. According to the report, solid waste management falls under the
431 responsibility of City Corporations in Bangladesh, while PRISM, a prominent NGO in Dhaka
432 city, manages hospital solid waste for a nominal fee [41]. The Health Services Division
433 (HSD) of MoHFW published an environmental management plan for emergency response
434 (i.e., COVID-19 pandemic) in 2022. However, this plan primarily focuses on guidelines for
435 handling and disposing of hospital clinical wastes (e.g., body fluids, antibiotics, and
436 chemicals) and does not address overall liquid waste discharge, including effluent from
437 sanitation systems [42]. In contrast, the Department of Environment (DoE) Dhaka's 2015
438 report on Bangladesh standards and guidelines for sludge management classifies liquid
439 wastes from medical care facilities as highly hazardous [43]. Despite this classification of
440 liquid waste, the recent MoHFW guidelines did not provide clear instructions on how
441 different types of liquid waste should be managed or the standards they should meet before
442 being discharged into the environment or community drainage systems [41, 42]. These
443 disparities highlight a lack of focus or priority on liquid waste management in healthcare
444 facilities within the existing policies. There is a need for a more comprehensive and
445 integrated approach to address liquid waste management in HCFs.

446

447 [Technologies used to treat HWW](#)

448

449 Our findings include both those from structured observation as well as exploration of staff
450 understanding of these technologies, with key findings that the infrastructure was generally
451 inadequate and staff knowledge and awareness low.

452

453 Among the 13 hospitals surveyed, 10 had some form of wastewater treatment system, while
454 the remaining three lacked any treatment infrastructure. Among those with treatment systems,
455 seven utilized ABRs, and three had septic tanks to treat HWW. In one private hospital (P3), the
456 biological waste (such as body fluids) produced during procedures was collected separately and
457 treated using a physico-chemical effluent treatment plant. The chemicals used in the treatment
458 included alum, hypochlorite, and polymer. Among the hospitals with ABRs, varying degrees of
459 structural integrity were observed: one had all compartments broken, another had all four
460 compartments damaged, while the rest had physically intact compartments with lids and no
461 visibly broken walls. In the case of the three hospitals with septic tanks, two had four intact
462 tanks, while the other had eight out of nine tanks in good condition. Among the government
463 hospitals, only four had fully intact ABR compartments, while the remaining hospitals
464 showed varying levels of disrepair or lacked treatment systems altogether. In contrast, among
465 the private hospitals, two had intact ABR or septic tank compartments, while one lacked any
466 treatment system. Only one hospital discharged its wastewater/effluent into surface water
467 adjacent to the hospital premises, while the remaining hospitals (n=12) discharged into
468 neighboring drains, ultimately flowing into surface water bodies or reaching the municipal
469 sewage lifting station (Table 4)

470 **Table 4. Observation of wastewater treatment systems in government and private hospitals in Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2022.**

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

Hospitals	Treatment present (YES/NO)	Type of treatment	Number of containment compartments (both broken and functional)	Number of containments able to observe	Number of physically intact containments [†]	Proportion of wastewater passing through treatment**	Discharge location
General hospitals							
H1	Yes	*ABR	4	4	0	None	Community drain
H2	Yes	ABR	4	3	4	All	Surface water
Medical college hospitals							
H3	Yes	Septic tanks	9	3	8 (reported by staff)	Partially	Community drain
H4	Yes	ABR	4	4	1	Partially	Community drain
Specialized hospitals							
H5	Yes	ABR	1	1	1	Partially	Community drain
H6	Yes	ABR	2	2	2	All	Community drain
H7	No	-	0	NA	NA	NA	Community drain
H8	No	-	0	NA	NA	NA	Community drain
H9	Yes	ABR	2	2	2	All	Community drain
H10	Yes	ABR	1	1	1	All	Community drain
Private hospitals							
P1	No	-	0	NA	NA	NA	Community drain
P2	Yes	Septic tank	4	4	4	All	Community drain
P3 ^{††}	Yes	Septic tank	4	4	4	All	Community drain

493 *ABR= anaerobic baffled reactors

494 [†]Containments were considered to be physically intact if they had a lid and/or no broken walls

495 [‡]Wastewater and fecal sludge directly discharged to the environment

496 [§]Any type of pipes (sewage or wastewater) exits from the hospital building

497 NA-Not applicable

498 **They categorized wastewater discharges as fully treated (when all effluent passed through a treatment process), partially treated (when some wastewater underwent treatment while the rest bypassed containment), or untreated (when no treatment was in place or when all containment structures were damaged).

500 ^{††}In hospital P3, the biological waste (such as body fluids) produced during procedures was collected separately and treated using a physico-chemical effluent treatment plant. The chemicals used in the treatment included alum, hypochlorite, and polymer.

501

502

503

504 Our KII revealed that PWD engineers, ward masters, and cleaners had a clear
505 understanding of the availability of on-site HWW treatment options, such as primary systems
506 like septic tanks, ABR, or advanced systems like sewage treatment plants (STP). However,
507 ward masters and cleaners demonstrated limited understanding regarding the functionality of
508 the treatment system within their respective hospitals. In our study, we found that all
509 engineers (N=5) working in government hospitals highlighted the absence of advanced on-
510 site wastewater treatment options, such as full-scale STP, within these facilities. Specifically,
511 one engineer from a government hospital (H8) underscored this concern, stating, "*Our*
512 *hospital had a number of septic tanks to treat the sludge but there are no advanced*
513 *wastewater treatment options like (full-scale) STP in these (government) hospitals "*
514 (Engineer, H6 and H8). Two out of three engineers from private hospitals also indicated the
515 absence of advanced wastewater treatment options within their hospital premises.
516 Specifically, one engineer from a private hospital (P1) highlighted this, mentioning that "*We*
517 *do not have any full-scale STP or any septic tank in this hospital. So, we are directly*
518 *connecting and disposing of it (sludge and liquid waste) to the WASA's (municipal) sewage*
519 *line without any treatment" (Engineer, P1). Most ward masters and cleaners provided similar*
520 information regarding the availability of wastewater treatment systems in the selected
521 hospitals.

522
523 Most of the engineers (five out of seven) were not aware of the microbial efficacy of the
524 treatment system used in the hospitals. When asked about the performance of the septic tank,
525 the engineer at a specialized hospital (H5) mentioned that the septic tank is operating well,
526 and he is satisfied with its efficacy. When questioned about how he tested the efficacy of the
527 wastewater treatment system and whether there is any periodic testing done to assess the
528 effluent quality before discharging, the engineer responded that there was no facility to test

529 the samples in the laboratory. The engineer also acknowledged that, to assess the efficacy of
530 the treatment plant, they need to test the effluent in the laboratory for pathogen removal. "*The*
531 *septic tank here is operating well. However, if the water is tested, it is possible to know its*
532 *results like the amount of water it can purify or the amount it cannot*" (Engineer, H5).

533

534 Leadership and management procedure for liquid waste and wastewater treatment

535 The management of HWW is complex and often faced prolonged delays in repair and
536 maintenance, primarily due to staff shortages within the PWD, which necessitates the hiring
537 of workers from local community vendors, and adherence to time-consuming government
538 public procurement procedures for the purchase of major equipment or construction
539 materials. The MoHFW is responsible for managing the overall WASH in HCFs [69]. Many
540 hospitals in Bangladesh have dedicated WASH committees comprising healthcare
541 professionals, administrators, and support staff. These committees are responsible for
542 planning, implementing, and monitoring WASH activities in HCFs.

543

544 The PWD operates under the Ministry of Housing and Public Works in Bangladesh. It is
545 tasked with constructing buildings and structures for various government organizations and
546 agencies. The department is responsible for the design, construction, and maintenance of key
547 structures within HCFs across the country. PWD plays an active role in providing
548 fundamental infrastructure for appropriate fecal sludge and liquid waste management in
549 public hospitals [70]. Additionally, PWD is responsible for the installation and management
550 of wastewater treatment systems within hospitals. Each government hospital has an assigned
551 engineer from the PWD health wing, supported by one or more assistant engineers. In some
552 hospitals, these engineers have dedicated offices on the premises; in others, they operate from
553 nearby departmental offices. When major repairs and maintenance of the sanitation system

554 are needed (e.g., desludging, repair of ABRs), the hospital authority or ward master submits a
555 request to the local PWD office. This request is then forwarded to the PWD head office,
556 which is located further away. The head office directs the local PWD office on how to
557 address the issue and allocates the necessary resources. Sometimes, due to staffing shortages,
558 the PWD may need to hire workers from local community vendors, which can add to the
559 delay. Additionally, the purchase of major equipment or construction materials requires
560 adherence to government public procurement procedures, which are time-consuming.
561 Consequently, this often results in prolonged delays in repairs or desludging.

562 In private hospitals, engineers are recruited directly by the hospital authorities and have
563 dedicated offices within the hospital. They coordinate closely with the ward masters for any
564 necessary repairs and maintenance. When repairs and maintenance of the sanitation system
565 are needed, the engineer and hospital authority assess the situation together and then request
566 resources from the hospital director. Once approved by the director, they engage a vendor to
567 carry out the work. This streamlined process requires less time, enabling a quick response to
568 any emergency management needs of the sanitation system.

569
570 Our results suggest that the MoHFW and PWD must collaborate to ensure the safely
571 managed of sanitation in HCFs. Through our qualitative interviews, we identified critical
572 communication and coordination gaps between PWD engineers and hospital authorities,
573 which caused delays in the repair and maintenance, as well as in the pit emptying of the
574 sanitation system. Many ward masters (7 out of 10) pointed out that delays in tank emptying
575 or the repair of major structures were attributed to the delayed response from the PWD office.
576 One of the ward-masters from hospital H5 stated, “*Whenever there was any breakage or*
577 *overflow of the septic tank, we immediately informed the PWD office. We hardly get an*

578 *immediate response from the PWD office, and it took a long time to resolve the issue.*

579 *Sometimes it took several months."*

580 Conversely, three PWD engineers held differing views to the hospital ward masters, and
581 they stated that PWD have sufficient staff to manage the sanitation system in their assigned
582 hospitals. They claimed that the hospital authority did not maintain the sanitation facilities
583 after constructed, which is under their responsibility. One Engineer from hospital H5 stated,
584 *"There are sufficient PWD staff for repair and maintenance of the hospital sanitation system.*
585 *As a result, we can respond to every issue very quickly. Let's say if water is overflowing from*
586 *the septic tank and we are informed by the hospital authority, we provided a prompt*
587 *response. But quick response is not always possible due to multiple engagement of the staff.*
588 *Suppose if they (hospital authority) inform us about the need for septic tank cleaning today*
589 *but our team is busy with other tasks, we won't be able to clean the tank until tomorrow in*
590 *that case (Hospital H5, Eng.)."*

591

592 However, four PWD engineers agreed that they have a shortage of manpower and due to
593 the lack of manpower, the services were delayed. One engineer from government hospital
594 stated *"The manpower shortage is caused because of a recent policy change by the ministry.*
595 *We cannot keep permanent workers anymore (for repair and maintenance of the hospital), we*
596 *have to outsource the workers from other organizations. We have some gaps outsourcing*
597 *people currently and this requiring time"* (Engineer, H7).

598

599 **Day to day staff operation and maintenance activities**

600

601 The study revealed notable disparities in the management of septic tanks and ABRs between
602 government and private hospitals. In private hospitals, day-to-day operations and

603 maintenance were relatively straightforward and managed by their own staff. In contrast,
604 government hospitals faced a more complex process that primarily depends on PWD office
605 staff for regular wastewater fecal sludge management. In government hospitals, the emptying
606 of septic tanks, major repair and maintenance was performed by the PWD staff. One ward
607 master from a government hospital (H10) stated, *“Septic tank is cleaned jointly by the city
608 corporation staff and our (PWD) staff when the tanks need to be cleaned. Usually, if there is
609 any issue with the septic tank in the hospital, our hospital (cleaning) staff tried to fix it (tank).
610 If we fail to fix the problem, we call PWD staff.”*

611
612 Another ward master from a government hospital also stated, *“If there is any need for
613 minor repair and maintenance of the sanitation system, we manage them with our own staff.
614 For example, cleaning drains is our regular task to maintain the flow of the water. If any
615 sewage pipes are clogged, we call the sweeper (local sanitation worker/pit emptier), and he
616 fixes the problem. But for septic tank emptying or major repair and maintenance, we have to
617 call the PWD engineers. This is not our responsibility.”* (Ward master, H2)

618
619 In private hospitals septic tanks and sludge was managed by the hospital staff with the help
620 of their own engineers. The engineer of a private hospital (P2) stated *“There are three
621 sweepers and a number of cleaners in this hospital. We have a machine (pump/suction) that
622 cleans/remove all waste thoroughly, even pads or solids. We manage everything (sanitation
623 system) by our own.”*

624

625 [Broader hospital supporting infrastructure to manage liquid wastes](#)

626

627 The hospital buildings had complex designs, and many of them were outdated. The
628 regulations for hospital building structures in Bangladesh have their origins in the rules
629 established during British colonial rule. Various urban planning and construction regulations
630 were introduced during this time, one of which was the Building Construction Act, originally
631 formulated in 1952 [66]. Key aspects of these building regulations include guidelines on
632 Floor Area Ratio, Maximum Ground Coverage, land use policy, and other construction
633 standards to ensure safety and environmental sustainability [67]. However, there are no
634 specific instructions regarding hospital liquid waste discharge and its management. Many
635 hospitals still operate with major treatment services in buildings constructed during the
636 British era, underscoring the need for updated infrastructure to meet modern healthcare
637 demands.

638

639 The pipe networks and discharge mechanism of liquid waste, including wastewater
640 discharge, was difficult to understand, since they were often hidden from sight. Almost all
641 healthcare workers (ward masters and cleaners) stated that they do not have any idea about
642 the pipe network, discharge mechanism or pathways of liquid waste from the hospital to the
643 environment. Although, all engineers we interviewed were able to explain the pathways of
644 wastewater generated from toilets, they were less clear on the details of how and where body
645 fluid, chemicals and other liquid wastes were discharged. One of the engineers from a
646 government hospital stated, “*our hospitals had two separate lines (i.e., pipes/channels) for*
647 *greywater and blackwater discharge, but I am not sure where the medical liquid waste*
648 *discharged or how is it generated (Engineer, H1).”*

649

650 The building structure and component infrastructure were identified as one of the critical
651 factors for effectively treating HWW, as stated by the PWD engineers. Three out of seven

652 engineers mentioned that buildings with old structures faced serious challenges in managing
653 wastewater because the pipes and drainage networks were prone to breaking. Due to the
654 narrow pipes in the old buildings, the pipe networks (including both internal and external
655 pipes) often become clogged, leading to liquid waste overflow into the environment.
656 Additionally, the number of patients has increased significantly over the last two decades, but
657 the old hospital buildings were not modified to accommodate the increased patient load and
658 associated wastewater flows.

659

660 **Discussion**

661 This study provided a crucial understanding of the interplay between social and technical
662 factors influencing HWW treatment technology and management practices. This approach
663 integrated complex relationships among human behaviors, institutional frameworks, and
664 technological infrastructure within healthcare facilities in LMICs. Using STS theory, we
665 identified seven critical gaps hindering safe and sustainable wastewater management in major
666 hospitals in Dhaka: 1) lack of prioritization for healthcare wastewater and fecal sludge
667 management; 2) insufficient policy and regulatory frameworks with ineffective
668 implementation; 3) inadequate awareness and training programs on liquid waste
669 management; 4) inappropriate sanitation infrastructure and wastewater treatment technology;
670 5) weak coordination and communication between healthcare professionals and PWD
671 personnel; 6) limited manpower and resource allocation for wastewater management
672 activities; and 7) limited understanding of health and environmental impacts from untreated
673 wastewater discharge.

674

675 We found that only a few hospitals in Dhaka possessed basic facilities for treating highly
676 hazardous wastewater. While some hospitals had septic tanks or ABRs for effluent treatment,
677 most of these systems were broken, resulting in the direct release of untreated wastewater
678 into the environment. This study is aligned with a recent study conducted in large hospitals
679 in Dhaka city during COVID-19 pandemic [9]. Another study conducted in similar settings
680 assessed the treatment efficacy of HWW and found high concentration of organic pollutants
681 and microbial contamination in the effluent which raised concerns regarding environmental
682 contamination [18]. Although recent WHO report suggested that achieving the SDG target
683 6.2 in HCFs requires at least secondary treatment options for HWW [71], academic literature
684 suggests that secondary technologies like septic tanks or ABRs are inadequate for the
685 complex composition of HWW [15]. These and other authors highlight the need for full-
686 scale, multi-stage advanced treatment technologies to effectively remove fecal pathogens,
687 chemicals, drug residues, and laboratory reagents. Further research is essential to investigate
688 and identify technologies that can supplement existing treatment processes in LMICs [3].

689
690 Our results indicated a lack of understanding among PWD engineers regarding liquid waste
691 discharge. PWD engineers were mostly responsible for managing fecal sludge management
692 and only aware of sewage and black water discharge from toilets to primary treatment. Most
693 of them were not aware of the discharge path of different liquid waste from hospital.
694 Effective HWW and liquid waste treatment in LMICs depends not only on treatment
695 technologies but also on infrastructure, such as the building's drainage network, and how
696 wastewater is discharged from toilets to the treatment facilities. Understanding the types of
697 liquid waste (black water, body fluids, laboratory reagents/chemicals, drugs, antibiotics, grey
698 water) produced, their discharge routes, and mixing mechanism is crucial [57]. Without
699 proper source segregation of liquid waste, achieving appropriate wastewater treatment

700 efficacy is challenging [3, 15]. If the sources of liquid discharge are not separated, large
701 volumes of grey water contribute to high volumes of combined wastewater in the system and
702 may increase the cost of wastewater treatment due to the increased volume (Rousso et al.,
703 2024). From our search, we did not find any published articles about the source segregation
704 of liquid waste for HCFs. Further research is needed to understand waste types and volumes
705 generated from hospitals and cost-effective management. Studies on source segregation can
706 provide valuable insights into improving wastewater treatment efficacy and reducing
707 treatment costs.

708

709 A key gap identified in this study was the low prioritization of wastewater and fecal sludge
710 management by hospital staff, especially ward masters and cleaners, who did not see it as part
711 of their regular duties. Hospital staff were primarily occupied with administrative tasks,
712 including patient management, leaving them little time to improve WASH in HCFs,
713 particularly in the areas of wastewater and liquid waste management. Studies have found that
714 healthcare professionals are resistant to accepting new roles and responsibilities [72],
715 especially those related to non-clinical tasks [73]. Unlike solid medical waste, liquid waste is
716 not visible to healthcare professionals as it is discharged outside the hospital and does not
717 interfere with their daily clinical care. Improving the knowledge and awareness among health
718 professionals, including the leadership team, policymakers, community WASH practitioners,
719 and relevant ministries (e.g., Ministry of Environment), about hospital liquid waste
720 management through is critical for enhancing safely managed sanitation in large hospitals in
721 Bangladesh [73].

722

723 Another critical challenge highlighted in our study for managing HWW was the poor
724 coordination and lack of role clarification related to wastewater management between

725 healthcare professionals (i.e., ward masters) and PWD engineers. Hospital staff, including
726 ward masters and cleaners, frequently reported that the lack of coordination and support from
727 PWD engineers led to delays in repairing and maintaining sanitation systems. These delays in
728 septic tank emptying resulted in the overflow of sludge from the septic tanks, causing severe
729 environmental contamination. Conversely, several PWD engineers reported that hospital staff
730 can manage the sanitation facility and perform minor repairs and maintenance of the
731 sanitation system. Staff shortages at the PWD office and hospital were a common issue,
732 further hindering quick responses to sanitation management needs in hospitals. Hospital
733 cleaners had a lack of understanding about the periodic monitoring and emptying schedule of
734 septic tanks in hospitals. Most septic tanks and ABRs were only emptied when they required
735 repair or became overfilled. To address these issues, it is imperative to enhance the capacity
736 of the PWD and develop a clear strategy to improve coordination between the PWD and
737 hospital authorities for effective and safe sanitation services management.

738

739 This was the first study to utilize STS theory to comprehensively assess the social and
740 technical dimensions of HWW treatment technology and management strategies, specifically
741 in major hospitals in Dhaka, a densely populated city in a LMIC. While STS theory has been
742 applied in various disciplines [25], its use in constructing, designing, and managing critical
743 infrastructure in institutional buildings, especially in LMICs, remains underexplored. Our
744 search revealed only one study using STS theory to examine water infrastructure as critical
745 hospital infrastructure, with no similar applications to wastewater management identified.
746 This study emphasized that understanding critical infrastructure through STS can help assess
747 complex interlinkages between factors that enable or hinder better preparedness [32].
748 Furthermore, many community-based studies have used STS theory for planning and
749 managing centralized wastewater treatment technologies [74, 75], concluding that

750 incorporating STS can improve wastewater management adaptation and efficiency [74, 76].
751 Our study suggests that integrating STS theory with existing sanitation improvement plans
752 (e.g., designing internal sewage networks and wastewater treatment technologies) in HCFs is
753 critical for achieving safely managed sanitation services. Deeper behavioral changes among
754 health professionals, PWD sanitation engineers, policymakers, and users, especially in their
755 motivation to take responsibility for HWW, are essential. Leadership and the adaptation of
756 STS theory are necessary for meaningful changes in HCFs.

757

758 Although the MoHFW developed a National Strategy for WASH in HCFs from 2019 to
759 2023 to enhance the comprehensive Quality of Care (QoC), the implementation of this policy
760 by healthcare authorities is infrequent [41]. WASH FIT is a risk management tool for HCFs
761 at various levels, and it offers a framework to devise, track, and implement continuous
762 infrastructure improvements and prioritize WASH actions [5]. While many governments in
763 LMIC have adopted the WASH FIT tool for assessing WASH in HCFs [77, 78], there is
764 limited evidence of its utilization in Bangladeshi HCFs, except for those in Rohingya camps
765 in Cox's Bazar [79]. Healthcare professionals in Bangladesh should prioritize learning about
766 this tool and integrating it into their daily practice to assess and quantify the gaps in achieving
767 safely managed sanitation services. Government leadership is crucial for ensuring long-term
768 success through regular financing, technical support, and mentorship. This government
769 support encourages partners to use a unified approach to training, assessment, technical
770 design, behavior change, and data sharing [5].

771

772 Our study revealed that most hospitals in Dhaka faced limited human resources to manage
773 sanitation services. Additionally, large hospitals in the country exhibit an excessively high
774 patient-to-doctor and patient-to-nurse ratio [4, 80]. Moreover, in LMICs like Bangladesh, the

775 management of WASH and environmental cleaning within HCFs is typically overseen by a
776 WASH committee comprised of existing hospital staff, including administrators (e.g.,
777 directors), healthcare professionals (e.g., doctors and nurses), and support staff (e.g., cleaners,
778 ward attendants) [81]. A recent study conducted across 14 LMICs hospitals found that only
779 39% of hospitals had an active WASH committee, and they rarely manage time to meet to
780 discuss on WASH related issues in hospital. Given these challenges, expecting the hospital
781 WASH committee to effectively manage HWW may be unrealistic. Strengthening policies on
782 liquid waste management at healthcare facilities is crucial, but equally important is the
783 establishment of a dedicated sanitation workforce and their training on the health and
784 environmental impacts of untreated wastewater discharge, both within hospitals and at the
785 community level [15].

786

787 The management of HWW differs significantly between government and private hospitals.
788 Private hospitals tend to maintain their infrastructure better, largely because they can make
789 quick decisions and implement solutions without the delays that typically accompany
790 government procurement processes. In private hospitals, decision-making is streamlined,
791 allowing hospital directors, in consultation with on-site engineers, to quickly allocate funds
792 and approve procurement. Simpler procurement policies and the presence of engineers on-site
793 enable faster responses to emergencies and more effective maintenance of wastewater
794 systems. However, government hospitals operate within a more bureaucratic framework
795 overseen by the MoHFW and the PWD. While each government hospital has an assigned
796 PWD engineer, these engineers may not always be on-site, instead working from local or
797 regional offices, which leads to slower communication and response times. The process for
798 repairs and maintenance often involves multiple steps, from submitting a request to obtaining
799 approval and resources from the PWD's head office. Additionally, the procurement of

800 materials and the hiring of workers must follow strict public procurement procedures, causing
801 further delays. To improve wastewater management in government hospitals, reforms are
802 needed to streamline decision-making processes, decentralize certain powers, and revise
803 procurement policies to allow quicker responses to maintenance and repair needs [5, 15].

804

805 Although our study has many strengths, incorporating robust methodology and collecting
806 information from diverse groups of people, as well as observational data under the framework
807 of the STS theory, it also has some limitations. First, we did not include interviews with
808 stakeholders from other relevant ministries, such as the Ministry of Environment (MoE).
809 Insights from the MoE could have provided a broader perspective on regulatory compliance
810 and the environmental impact of HWW discharge. Second, we did not examine the details of
811 financing mechanisms behind managing sanitation systems in hospitals. Understanding how
812 budgets are allocated for sanitation management in both government and private hospitals
813 would have given us a clearer picture of resource distribution and funding-related delays in
814 maintenance and operations. Lastly, our interviews focused on hospital cleaners responsible
815 for maintaining the interior of the hospitals. While these staff members play a crucial role in
816 ensuring hospital hygiene, we did not engage with sanitation workers from the PWD or local
817 community vendors who are directly involved in repairing and desludging septic tanks or
818 ABRs [82]. This gap in our interviews might have led us to overlook critical insights into the
819 operational challenges and logistical issues involved in managing external sanitation
820 facilities. Future studies should aim to address these limitations by including a broader range
821 of stakeholders, examining financing mechanisms in more detail, and engaging with those
822 responsible for the external management of hospital sanitation systems.

823 **Conclusion and recommendations**

824 In conclusion, our study underscores the critical challenges of wastewater and liquid waste
825 management in hospitals in Dhaka city, Bangladesh, and potentially in similar contexts across
826 LMICs. We observed a lack of adequate wastewater treatment facilities in most hospitals,
827 with the available systems inappropriate for this type of wastewater, and many existing
828 systems in disrepair, leading to hazardous liquid waste being discharged directly into the
829 environment. This situation may be exacerbated by use of primary treatment technologies
830 only, inadequate knowledge and practice of wastewater management, and inappropriate
831 infrastructure and maintenance.

832

833 Management of wastewater in hospitals encompasses interconnected social and technical
834 components. In this paper the STS approach served to emphasize the importance of the social
835 and behavioural aspects within these critical infrastructure systems, including deficit in
836 prioritisation, knowledge and attitudes to attending to this aspect of hospital management.
837 This STS approach is particularly valuable for planning potential strategies to improve the
838 current failure of wastewater technologies in this context.

839

840 Current HWW treatment technologies, such as septic tanks and ABRs, are insufficient for
841 managing complex healthcare wastewater, necessitating advanced treatment solutions and
842 improved staff training. Effective wastewater management requires collaboration between
843 healthcare authorities, PWDs, hospital staff and city authority, along with future
844 infrastructure designs that include segregated piped networks and on-site treatment facilities.
845 To address these challenges, government leadership is crucial, with support required for
846 financing, technical assistance, and capacity building. Addressing these issues

847 comprehensively will lead to safer and more sustainable wastewater management practices in
848 HCFs, ultimately benefiting both public health and the environment.

849 **Supporting information**

850 S1 File. S1 Table and S1 Fig.
851 (DOCX)

852 **Acknowledgement**

853 We would like to convey our gratitude to the Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS)
854 under Bangladesh's Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW) for providing
855 approval and necessary support to conduct the research. We acknowledged the Director,
856 Hospital and Clinic Sections, DGHS, for his strong leadership and continuous support during
857 data collection. We are also thankful to the Directors, doctors, and support staff of all selected
858 hospitals for their permission and support data collection, interviewing, and effective
859 implementation at the facility. We are also indebted to icddr,b fieldworkers who contributed
860 immensely to conducting field activities to make this study successful. icddr,b is grateful to
861 the governments of Bangladesh and Canada, for providing core/unrestricted support.

862 **CRedit Authorship contribution statement**

863 **Conceptualization:** Nuhu Amin, Tim Foster, Supriya Sarkar, Shaikh Daud Adnan, Aninda
864 Rahman, Mahbubur Rahman, Juliet Willetts.

865 **Data curation:** Nuhu Amin, Nafea Tabassum Shimki, Rizwana Khan.

866 **Formal analysis:** Nuhu Amin.

867 **Funding acquisition:** Nuhu Amin, Tim Foster, Juliet Willetts.

868 **Investigation:** Nuhu Amin, Tim Foster.

869 **Methodology:** Nuhu Amin, Tim Foster, Nafeya Tabassum Shimki, Aninda Rahman,
870 Mahbubur Rahman, Juliet Willetts.

871 **Project administration:** Md Rezaul Hasan, Mahbubur Rahman.

872 **Resources:** Md Rezaul Hasan, Supriya Sarkar, Shaikh Daud Adnan, Juliet Willetts.

873 **Supervision:** Tim Foster, Shaikh Daud Adnan, Mahbubur Rahman, Juliet Willetts.

874 **Validation:** Nuhu Amin, Nafea Tabassum Shimki, Md Rezaul Hasan, Supriya Sarkar, Shaikh
875 Daud Adnan, Aninda Rahman, Rizwana Khan, Juliet Willetts.

876 **Visualization:** Nuhu Amin.

877 **Writing – original draft:** Nuhu Amin.

878 **Writing – review & editing:** Tim Foster, Nafeya Tabassum Shimki, Md Rezaul Hasan,
879 Supriya Sarkar, Shaikh Daud Adnan, Aninda Rahman, Rizwana Khan, Mahbubur Rahman,
880 Juliet Willetts.

881

882 **References**

- 883 1. Majumder A, Gupta AK, Ghosal PS, Varma M. A review on hospital wastewater treatment: A
884 special emphasis on occurrence and removal of pharmaceutically active compounds, resistant
885 microorganisms, and SARS-CoV-2. *J Environ Chem Eng.* 2021;9(2):104812. Epub 2020/12/01. doi:
886 10.1016/j.jece.2020.104812. PubMed PMID: 33251108; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7680650.
- 887 2. Sambaza SS, Naicker N. Contribution of wastewater to antimicrobial resistance: A review
888 article. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance.* 2023;34:23-9. doi:
889 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2023.05.010>.
- 890 3. Amin N, Foster T, Shimki NT, Willetts J. Hospital wastewater (HWW) treatment in low- and
891 middle-income countries: A systematic review of microbial treatment efficacy. *Sci Total Environ.*
892 2024;921:170994. Epub 2024/02/17. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170994. PubMed PMID:
893 38365018.
- 894 4. Samrot AV, Wilson S, Sanjay Preeth RS, Prakash P, Sathiyasree M, Saigeetha S, et al. Sources
895 of Antibiotic Contamination in Wastewater and Approaches to Their Removal—An Overview.
896 *Sustainability.* 2023;15(16):12639. PubMed PMID: doi:10.3390/su151612639.
- 897 5. WHO. WASH FIT: A practical guide for improving quality of care through water, sanitation
898 and hygiene in health care facilities. Second edition. 2022.
- 899 6. WHO. WASH in health care facilities: Practical steps to achieve universal access to quality
900 care. Available at <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241515511> and accessed on
901 February 27, 2024. 2019.
- 902 7. WHO-UNICEF. "State of the World's Sanitation: An urgent call to transform sanitation for
903 better health, environments, economies and societies.," United Nations Children's Fund and the
904 World Health Organization, New York, 2020. 2020.
- 905 8. WHO. Guidelines on sanitation and health," World Health Organization, Geneva. 2018.

- 906 9. Amin N, Haque R, Rahman MZ, Rahman MZ, Mahmud ZH, Hasan R, et al. Dependency of
907 sanitation infrastructure on the discharge of faecal coliform and SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA in wastewater
908 from COVID and non-COVID hospitals in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Sci Total Environ.* 2023;867:161424.
909 Epub 2023/01/10. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.161424. PubMed PMID: 36623655; PubMed Central
910 PMCID: PMC9822545.
- 911 10. Behnam B, Oishi SN, Uddin SMN, Rafa N, Nasiruddin SM, Mollah AM, et al. Inadequacies in
912 hospital waste and sewerage management in Chattogram, Bangladesh: exploring environmental and
913 occupational health hazards. *Sustainability.* 2020;12(21):9077.
- 914 11. Harris F, McCaffer R, Baldwin A, Edum-Fotwe F. *Modern construction management: John*
915 *Wiley & Sons; 2021.*
- 916 12. Ramôa AR, McConville J, Lüthi C, Matos JS. Use of process guides for comprehensive urban
917 sanitation technology decision-making: practice versus theory. *Water Policy.* 2017;20(1):158-74. doi:
918 10.2166/wp.2017.117.
- 919 13. Appelbaum SH. Socio-technical systems theory: an intervention strategy for organizational
920 development. *Management Decision.* 1997;35:452-63.
- 921 14. Abdilahi H, Chara AH, Prova NBF, Naher N. A Systematic Analysis on Adaptation of Modern
922 Construction using Advanced Technology: the Perspective to Bangladesh.
- 923 15. Rouso B, Willetts J, Foster T, Amin N. Water and sanitation technologies for healthcare
924 facilities: selecting options for adoption and scale-up in the Western Pacific Region. 2024.
- 925 16. Owojori GO, Lateef SA, Ana GREE. Effectiveness of wastewater treatment plant at the
926 removal of nutrients, pathogenic bacteria, and antibiotic-resistant bacteria in wastewater from
927 hospital source. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research.* 2024;31(7):10785-801. doi:
928 10.1007/s11356-024-31829-w.
- 929 17. Bhandari G, Chaudhary P, Gangola S, Gupta S, Gupta A, Rafatullah M, et al. A review on
930 hospital wastewater treatment technologies: Current management practices and future prospects.
931 *Journal of Water Process Engineering.* 2023;56:104516. doi:
932 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2023.104516>.
- 933 18. Hossain N, Roni MRH, Alif A. The Characterization of Medical Wastewater and Appropriate
934 Treatment Strategies in Dhaka, Bangladesh: A Comparative Analysis of Public Hospitals. *Engineering*
935 *Proceedings.* 2023;56(1):59. PubMed PMID: doi:10.3390/ASEC2023-15392.
- 936 19. Parashar V, Singh S, Purohit MR, Tamhankar AJ, Singh D, Kalyanasundaram M, et al. Utility of
937 constructed wetlands for treatment of hospital effluent and antibiotic resistant bacteria in resource
938 limited settings: A case study in Ujjain, India. *Water Environ Res.* 2022;94(9):e10783. Epub
939 2022/09/09. doi: 10.1002/wer.10783. PubMed PMID: 36073662; PubMed Central PMCID:
940 PMC9544608.
- 941 20. Khan NAEM, R.; Khan, R. A.; Ahmed, S.; Dhingra, A.; Alsubih, M.; Khan, A. R. Horizontal sub
942 surface flow Constructed Wetlands coupled with tubesettler for hospital wastewater treatment. *J*
943 *Environ Manage.* 2020;267:110627. Epub 2020/05/19. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110627.
944 PubMed PMID: 32421669.
- 945 21. Rashid AM, S. A.; Keating, C.; Ali, S.; Campos, L. C. Hospital wastewater treated with a novel
946 bacterial consortium (*Alcaligenes faecalis* and *Bacillus paramycoides* spp.) for phytotoxicity
947 reduction in Berseem clover and tomato crops. *Water Sci Technol.* 2021;83(7):1764-80. Epub
948 2021/04/13. doi: 10.2166/wst.2021.079. PubMed PMID: 33843758.
- 949 22. Zagui GSM, N. C.; Santos, D. V.; Darini, A. L. C.; Domingo, J. L.; Segura-Muñoz, S. I.; Andrade,
950 L. N. High occurrence of heavy metal tolerance genes in bacteria isolated from wastewater: A new
951 concern? *Environ Res.* 2021;196:110352. Epub 2020/10/26. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2020.110352.
952 PubMed PMID: 33098821.
- 953 23. Pasmore WA. *Social Science Transformed: The Socio-Technical Perspective. Human*
954 *Relations.* 1995;48(1):1-21. doi: 10.1177/001872679504800101.
- 955 24. Baxter G, Sommerville I. Socio-technical systems: From design methods to systems
956 engineering. *Interacting with Computers.* 2010;23(1):4-17. doi: 10.1016/j.intcom.2010.07.003.

- 957 25. Morris A, editor Socio-Technical Systems in ICT: A Comprehensive Survey 2009.
- 958 26. Griffith TL, Dougherty DJ. Beyond socio-technical systems: introduction to the special issue.
- 959 Journal of Engineering and Technology Management. 2001;18(3):207-18. doi:
- 960 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-4748\(01\)00034-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-4748(01)00034-0).
- 961 27. Geels FW. Ontologies, socio-technical transitions (to sustainability), and the multi-level
- 962 perspective. Research Policy. 2010;39(4):495-510. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2010.01.022>.
- 963 28. Trist EL. The evolution of socio-technical systems. Ontario Quality of Working Life Centre.
- 964 Available at
- 965 [https://books.google.com.bd/books/about/The_Evolution_of_Socio_technical_Systems.html?id=19](https://books.google.com.bd/books/about/The_Evolution_of_Socio_technical_Systems.html?id=19d1QgAACAAJ&redir_esc=y)
- 966 [d1QgAACAAJ&redir_esc=y](https://books.google.com.bd/books/about/The_Evolution_of_Socio_technical_Systems.html?id=19d1QgAACAAJ&redir_esc=y) and accessed on March 17 2024. 1981.
- 967 29. El-Diraby T, Krijnen T, Papagelis M. BIM-based collaborative design and socio-technical
- 968 analytics of green buildings. Automation in Construction. 2017;82:59-74. doi:
- 969 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2017.06.004>.
- 970 30. Rohrer H. Managing the technological transition to sustainable construction of buildings:
- 971 a socio-technical perspective. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management. 2001;13(1):137-50.
- 972 31. Schweber L, Harty C. Actors and objects: a socio-technical networks approach to technology
- 973 uptake in the construction sector. Construction management and economics. 2010;28(6):657-74.
- 974 32. Heinzl C, van der Heijden S, Mayer A, Sanger N, Sandholz S. Need for intensive care? A
- 975 socio-technical systems perspective on water supply failure preparedness in German health care
- 976 facilities. International Journal of Critical Infrastructure Protection. 2024;44:100644. doi:
- 977 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcip.2023.100644>.
- 978 33. MoHFW. National Strategy for WASH in Health Care Facilities 2019-2023 A Framework
- 979 for Action. Available at. <https://old.dghs.gov.bd/images/docs/Guideline/National>
- 980 [%20Strategy%20for%20Wash%20in%20Healthcare%20Facilities%202019-2023.pdf](https://old.dghs.gov.bd/images/docs/Guideline/National).
- 981 (Accessed 17 May 2022). 2019.
- 982 34. DGHS. Hospital Services Management: Laws-regulations for private hospitals. available at
- 983 <http://hospitaldghs.gov.bd/list-of-private-clinics/> and accessed on August 30 2023. 2020.
- 984 35. Sawy OAE. Redesigning enterprise processes for e-business: McGraw-Hill, Inc.; 2001.
- 985 36. Chisholm RF, Ziegenfuss JT. A review of applications of the sociotechnical systems approach
- 986 to health care organizations. The Journal of applied behavioral science. 1986;22(3):315-27.
- 987 37. Craig S, Kodate N. Understanding the state of health information in Ireland: A qualitative
- 988 study using a socio-technical approach. Int J Med Inform. 2018;114:1-5. Epub 2018/04/21. doi:
- 989 [10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.03.001). PubMed PMID: 29673598.
- 990 38. Williams CM. The identification of family members' contribution to patients' care in the
- 991 intensive care unit: a naturalistic inquiry. Nursing in Critical Care. 2005;10(1):6-14. doi:
- 992 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1362-1017.2005.00092.x>.
- 993 39. Islam MS, Luby SP, Sultana R, Rimi NA, Zaman RU, Uddin M, et al. Family caregivers in public
- 994 tertiary care hospitals in Bangladesh: risks and opportunities for infection control. Am J Infect
- 995 Control. 2014;42(3):305-10. Epub 2014/01/11. doi: [10.1016/j.ajic.2013.09.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2013.09.012). PubMed PMID:
- 996 24406254; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4681270.
- 997 40. GoB. Bangladesh Environmental Conservation Rules 2023 (ECR-2023). Available at
- 998 [https://file-chittagong.portal.gov.bd/uploads/c2caafaa-e471-422d-9344-](https://file-chittagong.portal.gov.bd/uploads/c2caafaa-e471-422d-9344-8bbc195d1a74/641/180/9a8/6411809a82e0b221760915.pdf)
- 999 [8bbc195d1a74/641/180/9a8/6411809a82e0b221760915.pdf](https://file-chittagong.portal.gov.bd/uploads/c2caafaa-e471-422d-9344-8bbc195d1a74/641/180/9a8/6411809a82e0b221760915.pdf) and accessed on 17 April, 2024. 2023.
- 1000 41. MoHFW. National Strategy for WASH in Healthcare Facilities 2019-2023: A framework for
- 1001 action. Available at: [https://www.washinhcf.org/resource/national-strategy-for-wash-in-healthcare-](https://www.washinhcf.org/resource/national-strategy-for-wash-in-healthcare-facilities-2019-2023-a-framework-for-action/)
- 1002 [facilities-2019-2023-a-framework-for-action/](https://www.washinhcf.org/resource/national-strategy-for-wash-in-healthcare-facilities-2019-2023-a-framework-for-action/) and accessed on May 22, 2023. 2019.
- 1003 42. MoHFW. Environmental Management plan: Bangladesh COVID-19 Response Emergency
- 1004 Assistance Project. Available at
- 1005 [https://dghs.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/dghs.portal.gov.bd/page/05b4a937_ed7b_40b5_](https://dghs.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/dghs.portal.gov.bd/page/05b4a937_ed7b_40b5_a708_a70e98a69e61/2023-11-02-06-56-09f12f8975963d8f91a57987d1aeb411.pdf)
- 1006 [a708_a70e98a69e61/2023-11-02-06-56-09f12f8975963d8f91a57987d1aeb411.pdf](https://dghs.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/dghs.portal.gov.bd/page/05b4a937_ed7b_40b5_a708_a70e98a69e61/2023-11-02-06-56-09f12f8975963d8f91a57987d1aeb411.pdf) and accessed on
- 1007 January 29, 2024. 2022.

- 1008 43. DoE. Bangladesh Standards and Guidelines for Sludge Management. Available at
1009 https://doe.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/doe.portal.gov.bd/publications/2398e6c5_c300_472d_9a0c_0385522748f3/Bangladesh%20Standards%20and%20Guideline%20for%20sludge%20management-%20September%202016.pdf and accessed on January 29, 2024. 2015.
- 1011 44. DoE. The Environment Conservation Rules, 1997. available at
1012 <https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/bgd19918.pdf> and accessed on March 25 2024. 1997.
- 1013 45. DoE. Environment Conservation Rules, 2023 (SRO No. 53). Available at
1014 <https://www.fao.org/faolex/results/details/en/c/LEX-FAOC219240/#:~:text=These%20Rules%20are%20framed%20pursuant,the%20environment%20and%20human%20health>. and accessed on April 21, 2024. . 2023.
- 1015 46. Amin N, Foster T, Hossain MI, Hasan MR, Sarkar S, Rahman A, et al. Inadequate sanitation in
1016 healthcare facilities: A comprehensive evaluation of toilets in major hospitals in Dhaka, Bangladesh.
1017 PLOS ONE. 2024;19(5):e0295879. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0295879.
- 1018 47. WHO. World Health Organization (2023). Water, sanitation, hygiene, waste and electricity
1019 services in health care facilities: progress on the fundamentals. 2023 Global Report. 2023.
- 1020 48. WHO-UNICEF. Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene:
1021 Guidance note to facilitate country consultation on JMP estimates of WASH in health care facilities.
1022 2023.
- 1023 49. WHO-UNICEF. Progress on WASH in health care facilities 2000–2021: special focus on WASH
1024 and infection prevention and control (IPC). Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO) and the
1025 United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF). Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 2022.
- 1026 50. WHO-UNICEF. JMP WASH data: Sanitation. Available at
1027 <https://washdata.org/monitoring/sanitation#:~:text=Sanitation%20ladder-Safely%20managed,or%20removed%20and%20treated%20offsite> and accessed on April 15, 2024.
1028 2024.
- 1029 51. Grangeiro LC, de Almeida SGC, Mello BSd, Fuess LT, Sarti A, Dussán KJ. Chapter 7 - New
1030 trends in biogas production and utilization. In: Rai M, Ingle AP, editors. Sustainable Bioenergy:
1031 Elsevier; 2019. p. 199-223.
- 1032 52. Tchobanoglous G, Burton F, Stensel HD. Wastewater engineering: treatment and reuse.
1033 American Water Works Association Journal. 2003;95(5):201.
- 1034 53. Tilley E, Ulrich L, Lüthi C, Reymond P, Zurbrügg C. Compendium of sanitation systems and
1035 technologies. 2014.
- 1036 54. Chartier Y. World Health Organization: Safe management of wastes from health-care
1037 activities: World Health Organization; 2014.
- 1038 55. Kumari A, Maurya NS, Tiwari B. Hospital wastewater treatment scenario around the globe:
1039 Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 2020:549-70. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819722-6.00015-8. Epub 2020 May 1.; 2020.
- 1040 56. WHO. World Health Organisation (WHO) Safe management of wastes from health-care
1041 activities. WHO Library. 2013;(2):105–124. . 2013.
- 1042 57. Biswal S. Liquid biomedical waste management: An emerging concern for physicians. Muller
1043 Journal of Medical Sciences and Research. 2013;4(2):99-106. doi: 10.4103/0975-9727.118238.
1044 PubMed PMID: 01525534-201304020-00011.
- 1045 58. PWD. PWD health wings. available at http://old.pwd.gov.bd/about/health_wing and
1046 accessed on July 17, 2023. 2016.
- 1047 59. WHO. Report on Assessment of WASH and Healthcare waste management in District Sadar
1048 Hospital, Cox’s Bazar. Available at https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/searo/bangladesh/pdf-reports/year-2014-2015/how-safe-is-our-hospital-sanitation-an-example-from-a-public-hospital--wash-healthcare-2015.pdf?sfvrsn=27d3b6_2 and accessed on
1049 August 30, 2023. 2015.
- 1050 60. MoHFW. Mechanisms for engaging the private sector in planning, delevering the
1051 demonstrating accountability for quality maternal and newborne health service: Evidence for
1052

- 1059 Bangladesh. Available at [https://www.qualityofcarenetwork.org/sites/default/files/2022-](https://www.qualityofcarenetwork.org/sites/default/files/2022-07/Situational%20analysis%20Bangladesh.pdf)
1060 [07/Situational%20analysis%20Bangladesh.pdf](https://www.qualityofcarenetwork.org/sites/default/files/2022-07/Situational%20analysis%20Bangladesh.pdf) and accessed on February 28, 2024. 2021.
- 1061 61. HBRI. Housing and Building Research Institute: BANGLADESH NATIONAL BUILDING CODE-
1062 volume 1 of 3 (part 1-part 5)-Final draft. Available at
1063 https://bsa.com.bd/cms_cpanel/upload/pdf_file_upload_1540152875.pdf and accessed on
1064 September 25 2023. 2015.
- 1065 62. PWD. WORKING ARENA OF Public work department. Available at
1066 http://ss.pwd.gov.bd/about/what_does/ and accessed on September 25 2023 2023.
- 1067 63. Hutchinson S, Purcell J. Managing ward managers for roles in HRM in the NHS: overworked
1068 and under-resourced. Human Resource Management Journal. 2010;20(4):357-74. doi:
1069 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-8583.2010.00141.x>.
- 1070 64. CDC&ICAN. Best Practices for Environmental Cleaning in Healthcare Facilities in Resource-
1071 Limited Settings. Atlanta,
1072 GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; Cape Town, South Africa: Infection Control
1073 Africa Network; 2019.
1074 Available at:
1075 <https://www.cdc.gov/hai/prevent/resource-limited/index.html> and
1076 <http://www.icanetwork.co.za/icanguideline2019/> and accessed on September 25 2023. 2019.
- 1077 65. WHO-UNICEF. WASH data: Monitoring water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) and related
1078 infection prevention and control (IPC) in delivery rooms. 2019.
- 1079 66. GoB. The Building Construction Act, 1952 (East Bengal Act) (ACT NO. II OF 1953). Available at
1080 <http://bdlaws.minlaw.gov.bd/act-254.html> and accessed on June 1, 2024. 1952.
- 1081 67. GoB. Bangladesh-National-Building-Code-2020. Available at [https://mccibd.org/wp-](https://mccibd.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Bangladesh-National-Building-Code-2020.pdf)
1082 [content/uploads/2021/09/Bangladesh-National-Building-Code-2020.pdf](https://mccibd.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Bangladesh-National-Building-Code-2020.pdf) and accessed on June 1,
1083 2024. 2020.
- 1084 68. Alam M-U, Unicomb L, Ahasan SMM, Amin N, Biswas D, Ferdous S, et al. Barriers and
1085 Enabling Factors for Central and Household Level Water Treatment in a Refugee Setting: A Mixed-
1086 Method Study among Rohingyas in Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh. Water. 2020;12(11):3149. PubMed
1087 PMID: doi:10.3390/w12113149.
- 1088 69. WHO. Bangladesh Sanitation Policy and Planning Framework Case Study for Discussion.
1089 Available at [https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/wash-documents/bangladesh-](https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/wash-documents/bangladesh-sanitation-policy-and-planning-framework-case-study-for-discussion.pdf?sfvrsn=40b18f26_6)
1090 [sanitation-policy-and-planning-framework-case-study-for-discussion.pdf?sfvrsn=40b18f26_6](https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/wash-documents/bangladesh-sanitation-policy-and-planning-framework-case-study-for-discussion.pdf?sfvrsn=40b18f26_6) and
1091 accessed on February 1, 2024. 2019.
- 1092 70. PWD. Environment and Public Works Department. Available at
1093 <https://old.pwd.gov.bd/about/environment> and accessed on February 1, 2024. 2023.
- 1094 71. WHO. Environmental health in emergencies and disasters: A practical guide. Available at
1095 <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9241545410> and accessed on May 1, 2024. 2002.
- 1096 72. Wilhemina A, Amedumey P, Raphael GBH. Solid waste management in hospitals: A
1097 comparative assessment in some selected hospitals in Obuasi Municipality of Ghana. Cleaner Waste
1098 Systems. 2022;3:100025. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clwas.2022.100025>.
- 1099 73. Longtin Y, Sax H, Leape LL, Sheridan SE, Donaldson L, Pittet D. Patient Participation: Current
1100 Knowledge and Applicability to Patient Safety. Mayo Clinic Proceedings. 2010;85(1):53-62. doi:
1101 <https://doi.org/10.4065/mcp.2009.0248>.
- 1102 74. Prouty C, Mohebbi S, Zhang Q. Socio-technical strategies and behavior change to increase
1103 the adoption and sustainability of wastewater resource recovery systems. Water Research.
1104 2018;137:107-19. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.009>.
- 1105 75. Panebianco S, Pahl-Wostl C. Modelling socio-technical transformations in wastewater
1106 treatment—a methodological proposal. Technovation. 2006;26(9):1090-100.
- 1107 76. Fuenfschilling L, Truffer B. The interplay of institutions, actors and technologies in socio-
1108 technical systems — An analysis of transformations in the Australian urban water sector.

- 1109 Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2016;103:298-312. doi:
1110 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2015.11.023>.
1111 77. Ashinyo ME, Amegah KE, Dubik SD, Ntow-Kummi G, Adjei MK, Amponsah J, et al. Evaluation
1112 of water, sanitation and hygiene status of COVID-19 healthcare facilities in Ghana using the WASH
1113 FIT approach. *Journal of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*. 2021;11(3):398-404. doi:
1114 10.2166/washdev.2021.254.
1115 78. Kmentt L, Cronk R, Tidwell JB, Rogers E. Water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) in healthcare
1116 facilities of 14 low- and middle-income countries: to what extent is WASH implemented and what
1117 are the 'drivers' of improvement in their service levels? *H2Open Journal*. 2021;4(1):129-37. doi:
1118 10.2166/h2oj.2021.095.
1119 79. Kabir A, Shahnewaz MM, Arif HM, Assefa WB, Haque BATMR, Hayter A, et al. WASH FIT
1120 implementation in Rohingya camps in Cox's Bazar Bangladesh – results after 1 year. *Journal of*
1121 *Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*. 2023;13(11):866-74. doi:
1122 10.2166/washdev.2023.268.
1123 80. Ahmed SM, Hossain MA, RajaChowdhury AM, Bhuiya AU. The health workforce crisis in
1124 Bangladesh: shortage, inappropriate skill-mix and inequitable distribution. *Human Resources for*
1125 *Health*. 2011;9(1):3. doi: 10.1186/1478-4491-9-3.
1126 81. Cullen M, Cadogan C, George S, Murphy S, Freaney S, Fitzpatrick R, et al. Key stakeholders'
1127 views, experiences and expectations of patient and public involvement in healthcare professions'
1128 education: a qualitative study. *BMC Medical Education*. 2022;22(1):305. doi: 10.1186/s12909-022-
1129 03373-z.
1130 82. Sharior F, Alam M-U, Zaqout M, Cawood S, Ferdous S, Shoaib DM, et al. Occupational health
1131 and safety status of waste and sanitation workers: A qualitative exploration during the COVID-19
1132 pandemic across Bangladesh. *PLOS Water*. 2023;2(1):e0000041. doi: 10.1371/journal.pwat.0000041.
1133
1134

1135 **Supporting information captions**

- 1136
1137 Table S1: List of documents reviewed
1138 Table S1: Summary of KII based on the STS components
1139
1140 Fig S1: Mapping drainage network in Hospitals in Dhaka city
1141