# Geostatistical characterisation of internal structure of mass-transport deposits from seismic reflection images and borehole logs

This manuscript is a pre-print submitted to EarthArXiv. The manuscript has been formally accepted for publication in Geophysical Journal International.

Please feel free to contact any of the authors, we welcome feedback:

Jonathan Ford (jford@inogs.it) Angelo Camerlenghi (acamerlenghi@inogs.it)

Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale (OGS), Trieste, Italy

## Geostatistical characterisation of internal structure of mass-transport deposits from seismic reflection images and borehole logs

## Jonathan Ford\*, Angelo Camerlenghi

Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale (OGS), Trieste, Italy

December 2019

#### SUMMARY

Seismic reflection images of mass-transport deposits often show apparently chaotic, disorded 2 or low-reflectivity internal seismic facies. The lack of laterally coherent reflections can pre-3 vent horizon-based interpretation of internal structure. This study instead inverts for geostatistical parameters which characterise the internal heterogeneity of mass-transport deposits from 5 depth-domain seismic reflection images. A Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo inversion 6 is performed to estimate posterior probability distributions for each geostatistical parameter. 7 If the internal heterogeneity approximates an anisotropic von Kármán random medium these 8 parameters can describe the structural fabric of the imaged mass-transport deposit in terms of 9 lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and the Hurst number (roughness). To improve the 10 discrimination between vertical and lateral dominant scale lengths, an estimate of the vertical 11 dominant scale length from a borehole is used as a prior in the inversion. The method is first 12 demonstrated on a synthetic multi-channel seismic reflection image. The vertical and lateral 13 dominant scale lengths are estimated with lower uncertainty when data from a synthetic bore-14 hole data are included. We then apply the method to a real data example from Nankai Trough, 15 offshore Japan, where a large mass-transport deposit is imaged in a seismic profile and pene-16 trated by a borehole. The results of the inversion show a downslope shortening in lateral scale 17 length, consistent with progressive down-slope disaggregation of the mass-flow during trans-18

port. The dominant scale lengths can be used as a proxy for strain history, which can improve
 understanding of post-failure dynamics and emplacement of subacqueous mass-movements,
 important for constraining the geohazard potential from future slope failure.

<sup>22</sup> Key words: submarine landslides – fractals and multifractals – statistical methods

#### **23 1 INTRODUCTION**

Subacqueous mass-movements such as slides, slumps and debris flows are capable of rapidly mobilising and transporting large volumes of sediment in marine and lacustrine slope environments. They represent a significant geohazard to seafloor infrastructure (Piper et al. 1999; Carter et al. 2014) and to shoreline populations from slide-induced tsunami (Assier-Rzadkieaicz et al. 2000; Satake 2012). Such events are preserved in the geological record as mass-transport deposits.

One focus of geohazard research is to characterise the internal structure of mass-transport de-29 posits to better understand the failure dynamics and emplacement of subacqueous mass-movements. 30 Outcrop studies of exhumed "fossil" mass-transport deposits identify a wide variety of internal 31 structural fabrics, often showing complex and intense deformation (Pini et al. 2012). Lucente & 32 Pini (2003) document low-angle thrusting, recumbent folding and progressive down-flow defor-33 mation within mass-wasting deposits outcropping in the Marnoso-Arenacea Formation in central 34 Italy. They also identify kinematic indicators such as asymmetric folding and imbricated duplexes. 35 Ogata et al. (2014) report soft-sediment deformation structures, slumping and intact blocks of sub-36 strate, ripped up and incorporated into the flow during sliding in mass-transport deposits caused 37 by the collapse of carbonate platforms. These different internal fabrics reflect differing modes of 38 slope failure, sediment properties and flow dynamics. Internal structure informs strain history and 39 can thus characterise different flow regimes, enabling division of mass-transport deposits into, for 40 example, headscarp (extensional), translational and toe (compressive) domains. It can also con-41 strain flow kinematics such as run-out distance and flow acceleration, which play a large role in 42 governing the geohazard potential of an event. 43

\* jford@inogs.it

#### Geostatistics of MTDs from seismic and borehole data 3

Acoustic reflection techniques are currently the only geophysical methods able to image sub-44 marine mass-transport deposits in-situ. In recent years it has become increasingly common to 45 study mass-transport deposits using seismic reflection imaging (Martinez et al. 2005; Moscardelli 46 & Wood 2008; Berndt et al. 2012; Sun et al. 2017). Scientific drilling and coring is also com-47 monly performed to estimate geotechnical and petrophysical parameters, such as undrained shear 48 strength and excess pore pressure (Camerlenghi et al. 2007; Sawyer et al. 2009; Strasser et al. 49 2011; Dugan 2012). Sediment cores can give a high resolution 3-D reconstruction of strain fabric 50 within mass-transport deposits (e.g., Strasser et al. 2011), but only for centimeter-scale structure 51 at single point locations. 52

<sup>53</sup> Bull et al. (2009) catalogue a variety of internal structures seen in mass-transport deposits and <sup>54</sup> mass-transport complexes from 3-D seismic reflection data. Jackson (2011) documents internally <sup>55</sup> coherent rafted megablocks emplaced within more chaotic sediments in a mass-transport deposit <sup>56</sup> from a seismic survey in the Santos Basin, offshore Brazil. Steventon et al. (2019) estimate the <sup>57</sup> overall strain distribution within a mass-transport complex offshore Uruguay from 3-D seismic <sup>58</sup> data by identifying individual seismic reflectors and using a backstripping approach.

Evidently conventional seismic interpretation techniques (horizon tracking) can be used to in-59 terpret internal structure of mass-transport deposits. But this is only possible when i) the deposit 60 is well-imaged; ii) there is sufficient internal reflectivity to generate seismic reflections and iii) the 61 scale of internal structure is above the seismic resolution. Very often, however, internal reflectors 62 can appear chaotic, disordered or low-amplitude (e.g., Diviacco et al. 2006; Moscardelli & Wood 63 2008; Badhani et al. 2019). In fact, identifying apparently chaotic or transparent seismic facies is a 64 common way to discriminate failed from unfailed sediments. Many studies use seismic attributes 65 which are sensitive to discontinuous reflectors, for example the coherence attribute or the chaos at-66 tribute (Chopra & Marfurt 2016) to identify mass-transport deposits (Martinez et al. 2005; Gafeira 67 et al. 2010). 68

This common lack of laterally continuous reflectors within mass-transport deposits makes conventional interpretation of internal structure difficult. Instead, this study aims to characterise internal structure from seismic reflection data using a geostatistical approach. This avoids the sub-

<sup>72</sup> jectivity inherent to approaches such as horizon tracking and is applicable even when the chaotic
<sup>73</sup> nature of a deposit changes laterally, such as a progressive down-slope loss of horizon continu<sup>74</sup> ity (e.g., Badhani et al. 2019). The goal is to go beyond using non-dimensional seismic attributes
<sup>75</sup> such as the chaos attribute (Chopra & Marfurt 2016) and estimate geostatistical parameters that
<sup>76</sup> are quantitative and physically meaningful.

Numerous studies have shown evidence that heterogeneous geology can be described as a 77 band-limited, self-similar medium (sometimes referred to as having fractal characteristics). Ex-78 amples include i) seafloor bathymetry from multi-beam measurements (Goff & Jordan 1988); ii) 79 exhumed lower continental crust analysed from geological maps (Holliger & Levander 1992); iii) 80 acoustic and elastic numerical modelling of teleseismic waves recorded by earthquake seismology 81 arrays (Frankel & Clayton 1986) and iv) analysis of borehole logs from the upper crust (Holliger 82 1996; Dolan & Bean 1997; Browaeys & Fomel 2009; Cheraghi et al. 2013). Self-similarity means 83 that the statistical properties of the medium do not change with scale. Specifically, medium prop-84 erties in power-spectral domain will follow an inverse power-law (Dolan & Bean 1997). In this 85 context, band-limited means that there exists a so-called dominant scale length, the scale above 86 which the medium stops showing self-similar characteristics. 87

There is also a long history of characterising self-similarity in complex geology using geo-88 physical reflection images. These include i) investigating partial saturation in freshwater acquifers 89 from ground-penetrating radar images (Irving et al. 2009); ii) modelling random media hetero-90 geneities to characterise the seismic response of the crust and mantle at different scales (Carcione 91 et al. 2005) and iii) characterising the geostatistics of complex turbidite systems from 3-D seismic 92 reflection volumes (Caers et al. 2001). Some studies have explored the link between the spatial 93 statistics of the geological medium and the power spectrum of the reflected wavefield. Irving & 94 Holliger (2010) present an analytical relationship between band-limited, self-similar random me-95 dia and a corresponding idealised reflection image. They demonstrate that it is possible to use this 96 relationship to estimate geostatistical parameters characterising the P-wave velocity heterogene-97 ity, such as the aspect ratio of lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and the Hurst number 98 (a self-similarity coefficient related to the roughness of the medium). This approach relies on the

#### *Geostatistics of MTDs from seismic and borehole data* 5

assumption that the reflection image approximates a so-called primary reflectivity section, an idealised seismic image in depth. Irving et al. (2009) demonstrate that this relationship can recover geostatistical parameters for zero-offset ground-penetrating radar images of shallow, partially saturated acquifers. Scholer et al. (2010) use a similar approach to estimate the correlation structure of P-wave velocity heterogeneity in the crystalline crust from seismic reflection images, including a term to compensate for the theoretical lateral resolution limit of migrated reflection images.

There is currently little published literature investigating the self-similar characteristics of the 106 internal structure of mass-transport deposits. Micallef et al. (2008) document scale invariant char-107 acteristics of the Storegga Slide, a retrogressive "megaslide" from the mid-Norwegian margin. 108 They use multi-beam bathymetry data to perform a statistical analysis of sub-bodies within the 109 slide and infer that the slide exhibits self-organised critical behaviour. They observe an inverse 110 power-law scaling in their frequency-area distribution and find that headwalls are self-similar from 111 small to large scales. The authors hypothesise that the fractal statistics of submarine landslides 112 could be related to the physics of slope failure. 113

This study represents the internal structure of mass-transport deposits as a specific type of band-limited, self-similar medium, an anisotropic von Kármán random medium (Von Kármán 1948). In two dimensions the random medium can be characterised by three geostatistical parameters: lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and the Hurst exponent (roughness). The dominant scale lengths describe the degree of continuity of the medium in horizontal and vertical directions respectively. The Hurst number is a dimensionless parameter related to the degree of self-similarity, which characterises the roughness or texture of the medium (Section 2.1).

The aim of this study is to demonstrate a method to constrain the geostatistics of the internal structure of mass-transport deposits directly from seismic reflection images (after Irving & Holliger 2010). A further goal is to integrate information from a vertical borehole log, where available. The method is first validated on a synthetic model representing a typical submarine mass-transport deposit scenario, with a synthetic multi-channel seismic reflection image and a co-located synthetic vertical borehole. Then, the method is applied to a real data case study from the Nankai Trough, offshore Japan.

#### 128 2 METHODOLOGY

This method inverts seismic reflection images of mass-transport deposits for geostatistical param-129 eters which can characterise their internal structural fabric. This is achieved by assuming the ve-130 locity heterogeneity within the mass-transport deposit is a random field defined by an anisotropic 131 von Kármán random medium. Under this assumption it is straightforward to forward model the 132 spatial power spectrum of a corresponding idealised seismic reflection image for a given seismic 133 source spectrum. A likelihood function is defined from the residual between the forward modelled 134 and observed power spectra. Then, a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) inversion is 135 used to estimate the posterior probability distribution (expected value and uncertainty) for each 136 geostatistical parameter. When borehole log information is available, a constraint on the vertical 137 dominant scale length and Hurst number can be included in the inversion as a prior. 138

#### 139 2.1 Spatial power spectrum of a random field

Here the velocity field, v, is represented by two components, a smoothly varying background component,  $v_0$ , and a zero-mean, small-scale stochastic component, v', such that

$$v(x,z) = v_0(x,z) + v'(x,z)$$
(1)

where  $\frac{v'(x,z)}{v_0(x,z)} \ll 1$  (i.e., the stochastic component is small relative to the background). In gen-142 eral terms, the background velocity is well resolved by geophysical techniques such as travel-time 143 tomography. At the bandwidth of conventional marine seismic data (approximately 10-100 Hz), 144 however, the small-scale stochastic component generates the vast majority of observed reflec-145 tions in a seismic image. The small-scale stochastic velocity structure is generally poorly resolved 146 by seismic reflection experiments except perhaps by full-waveform modelling techniques, which 147 can require significant acquisition effort, model conditioning and computational power, with little 148 measure of uncertainty in the final result. 149

We make the assumption that the internal heterogeneity (small-scale stochastic structure, v') of a mass-transport deposit can be approximated as an anisotropic von Kármán random medium. The normalised 2-D spatial power spectrum of an anisotropic von Kármán random medium 153 (Eq. A.1) is

$$P_{v'}(k_x, k_z) = \frac{c}{(k_x^2 a_x^2 + k_z^2 a_z^2 + 1)^{\gamma+1}}$$
(2)

where c is a normalising constant,  $k_x$  and  $k_z$  are the horizontal and vertical spatial wavenumbers,  $a_x$ and  $a_z$  are the dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths and  $\gamma$  is the Hurst number (see Appendix A).

#### 157 2.2 Forward modelling spatial power spectra

#### 158 2.2.1 Migrated seismic image

This section follows the methodology presented in Irving & Holliger (2010) which links the random medium parameters to the 2-D power spectrum of a resulting idealised seismic image, sometimes referred to as a primary reflectivity section (Irving et al. 2009; Scholer et al. 2010). The idealised seismic image is a convolutional, zero-offset, normal-incidence, constant density approximation. The formulation in depth-domain is:

$$s(x,z) \approx r(x,z) * w(z) * h(x)$$
(3)

where s(x, z) is the idealised seismic image in depth, r(x, z) is the normal-incidence acoustic reflectivity, w(z) is the source wavelet in depth and h(x) is a horizontal filter to account for the lateral resolution of a migrated seismic section (Scholer et al. 2010).

The choice of the lateral resolution operator h(x) is arbitrary but should reflect the lateral resolution of the analysed reflection image, which after proper migration is on the order of the dominant wavelength of the seismic source (Chen & Schuster 1999). This study follows Scholer et al. (2010) in using a Gaussian low-pass filter with width (distance between the two points where the filter is 1% of the maximum value) equal to the dominant wavelength:

$$h(x) = \exp\left(\frac{4x^2\log(0.01)}{\lambda_{\rm dom}^2}\right).$$
(4)

Assuming that variation in density is small relative to velocity, the normal-incidence reflectivity can be approximated as the derivative of the velocity field:

$$r(x,z) \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial z} v(x,z).$$
 (5)

If reflections from the smooth background velocity,  $v_0$ , are negligible (i.e., the only contribution to acoustic reflectivity is the small-scale stochastic component of P-wave velocity) and the source wavelet is stationary in depth within the analysis window, the idealised seismic response s(x, z)depends only on the stochastic velocity component, v':

$$s(x,z) \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial z} v'(x,z) * w(z) * h(x).$$
 (6)

The power spectrum of the seismic image can then be related to the spatial power spectrum of the stochastic component by the Fourier transform (Irving & Holliger 2010):

$$P_s(k_x, k_z) = k_z^2 P_{v'}(k_x, k_z) \cdot P_w(k_z) \cdot P_h(k_x)$$
(7)

where  $P_w$  is the power spectrum of the source wavelet, w, and  $P_h$  is the power spectrum of the lateral resolution filter, h. It follows that the power spectrum of the seismic image can be directly related to the random medium parameters by Eq. 2:

$$P_s(k_x, k_z) = \frac{ck_z^2}{(k_x^2 a_x^2 + k_z^2 a_z^2 + 1)^{\gamma+1}} \cdot P_w(k_z) \cdot P_h(k_x)$$
(8)

Therefore it is possible to forward model an idealised spatial power spectrum which is comparable to a window of an observed seismic image under the following assumptions:

(i) The analysed window of the observed seismic image approximates a noise-free, zero-offset,
 true-amplitude, convolutional image in depth-domain.

(ii) The stochastic component of P-wave velocity heterogeneity, v', within the analysed window is an anisotropic von Kármán random medium parameterised by  $a_x$ ,  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$ .

(iii) The geostatistical parameters and source wavelet are stationary over the analysed window.

<sup>190</sup> Only physically realisable models are considered (i.e., dominant scale lengths are non-negative <sup>191</sup> and non-zero).

#### <sup>192</sup> 2.2.2 Borehole log

For geohazard studies, for example, borehole logs and cores are often acquired to estimate geotechnical or petrophysical information about the mass-transport deposit (Strasser et al. 2011; Dugan <sup>195</sup> 2012). As these logs have spatial power spectra, we can better constrain geostatistical parameters
 <sup>196</sup> in the direction of the borehole.

<sup>197</sup> Normally, boreholes are approximately vertical, so we can estimate  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  independently <sup>198</sup> from a vertical borehole log alone (Browaeys & Fomel 2009). As borehole logs generally directly <sup>199</sup> measure physical parameters we do not need to account for the effect of the seismic source wavelet <sup>200</sup> on the geophysical response of the medium. The 1-D form of Eq. 2 is

$$P_b(k_z) = \frac{c}{(k_z^2 a_z^2 + 1)^{\gamma + 0.5}}$$
(9)

where the exponent is modified for a field with Euclidean dimension N = 1 (see Appendix A).

#### 202 2.3 Inversion for geostatistical parameters

This study uses a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach to invert for the geostatistical parameters. The output of the method is a chain of "accepted" models whose joint distribution is proportional to the posterior probability density of the model. The chain is sampled using the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm (detailed in Appendix B). Bayesian approaches have the advantage of using prior probability density functions, so prior geological information can be easily incorporated if it can be expressed in terms of the model parameters.

The likelihood function assumes Laplacian errors (double-exponential distribution) for each observation (Mosegaard & Tarantola 1995):

$$L(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{2^N \sigma^N} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{|g_i(\mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{d}_{obs,i}|}{\sigma}\right)$$
(10)

where  $g_i(\mathbf{m})$  represents the forward modelled power spectrum at a given wavenumber,  $\sigma$  is a parameter proportional to the magnitude of the combined modelling and observation error, N is the number of observations (total number of points in the observed power spectrum) and  $\mathbf{d}_{obs,i}$  is the observed power spectral density for a given wavenumber  $\mathbf{k}_i$ .

For this study, the model parameters considered are the geostatistical parameters (lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and the Hurst number) and the error parameter. Multiple parallel chains are run to measure convergence and ensure that individual chains are well-mixed and have truly converged (not simply sampling a low-probability area). For this study, convergence is

<sup>219</sup> measured using the Gelman-Rubin statistic (Brooks & Gelman 1998),  $\hat{R}$ , and the weighted mean <sup>220</sup> absolute error (WMAE) (Pirot et al. 2017). Details of the convergence measures are given in Ap-<sup>221</sup> pendix B. Chains are generally assumed to have converged when  $\hat{R} < 1.2$  for all parameters <sup>222</sup> (Brooks & Gelman 1998). The weighted mean absolute error should oscillate around 1 when the <sup>223</sup> chain is sampling the posterior distribution. To ensure that none of the pre-convergence "burn-in" <sup>224</sup> samples are included in the posterior distribution, the first half of each chain is discarded from the <sup>225</sup> final posterior distributions.

#### 226 2.3.1 Seismic reflection image

For the chosen window of the 2-D image (the chaotic mass-transport deposit zone), calculate the following:

•  $P_{obs}(k_x, k_z)$ , the 2-D spatial power spectrum of the chaotic window (using a 2-D Fast Fourier Transform).

•  $P_w(k_z)$ , the power spectrum of the seismic source wavelet.

•  $P_h(k_x)$ , the power spectrum of the lateral resolution filter (Eq. 4).

Each iteration of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm proposes a new candidate model,  $\mathbf{m}' = [a'_x, a'_z, \gamma', \sigma']$ . For each proposal, forward model the idealised 2-D spatial power spectrum (Eq. 8); compute the likelihood of the proposal given  $d_{obs} = P_{obs}(k_x, k_z)$  (Eq. 10) and accept or reject the model according to the acceptance criterion (Appendix B).

#### 237 2.3.2 Borehole log

Borehole logs generally attempt to directly measure a physical property of the subsurface. Specifically for a sonic log, the measured velocity (or slowness) will include both the background velocity trend,  $v_0$ , and the small-scale stochastic component, v' (Eq. 1). The background trend must be removed prior to estimation of the dominant scale lengths and Hurst number (Cheraghi et al. 2013). The choice of method for de-trending is arbitrary and depends on the complexity of the geology. As this study uses relatively small windows of data from borehole logs, we use a simply remove the first-order background trend by finding a straight line of best-fit and subtracting it. Borehole logs from different geology may instead require de-trending with a more sophisticated approach such as subtracting a low-order best-fit polynomial. The resulting de-trended log should be approximately zero-mean and contain only information from the small-scale stochastic component. As for the seismic inversion, the power spectrum of the de-trended borehole log,  $P_b(k_z)$ , should be computed using a Fast Fourier Transform.

Each iteration of the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm proposes a new candidate model,  $\mathbf{m}' = [a'_z, \gamma', \sigma']$ . For each proposal, forward model the idealised 1-D spatial power spectrum (Eq. 9); compute the likelihood of the proposal given  $d_{obs} = P_b(k_z)$  (Eq. 10) and accept or reject the model according to the acceptance criterion (Appendix B).

#### 254 2.3.3 Seismic image and borehole log

Irving & Holliger (2010) show that under typical experimental conditions, the two dominant scale length parameters  $a_x$  and  $a_z$  are strongly dependent on each other, such that it may not be possible to resolve each parameter individually from conventional reflection images. However, they show analytically that is possible to reliably estimate the aspect ratio of heterogeneity  $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$ . With an external estimate of one of the dominant scale lengths, for example  $a_z$  from a vertical borehole log, it should be possible to resolve  $a_x$  and  $a_z$  individually.

Because the probabilistic inversion approach uses prior probability density functions as an input, we can alter these prior probability density functions to reflect our *a priori* knowledge of the subsurface. For this inversion, prior probability density functions for the dominant vertical scale length,  $a_z$ , and Hurst number,  $\gamma$ , are chosen to be Gaussian, with mean and standard deviation calculated from the marginal posterior distributions from the borehole log inversion. The inversion proceeds as for the seismic reflection image.

#### 267 3 RESULTS

#### 268 3.1 Synthetic benchmark – buried submarine mass-transport deposit

<sup>269</sup> This synthetic example is designed to benchmark the inversion for a typical marine geohazard <sup>270</sup> survey. The data acquisition simulates a multi-channel, marine, towed-streamer acquisition over

a chaotic mass-transport deposit body buried under a water layer and heterogeneous sediment
overburden. The aim of this test is to estimate geostatistical parameters from the seismic reflection
image with and without an *a priori* estimate of the vertical dominant scale length from a synthetic
borehole velocity log.

The model is divided into two layers, a water layer and a sediment layer, both 350 m thick (see 275 Fig. 1). Background elastic parameters and geostatistical parameters for the small-scale stochastic 276 component are given in Table 1. The sediment layer has linearly increasing background P- and 277 S-wave velocity to approximate the effect of increasing compaction with depth on the seismic 278 velocities. It includes a zone with significantly shorter lateral dominant scale length and distinct 279 Hurst number to represent a buried, chaotic mass-transport deposit. Otherwise, the mass-transport 280 deposit zone has the same background elastic parameters as the host sediment layer. The random 281 medium zones are realised on a regular (staggered) 2-D mesh (Ikelle et al. 1993). 282

This synthetic benchmark simulates a typical 2-D multi-channel marine acquisition geometry. 283 The modelled source wavelet is a 40 Hz Ricker. For this synthetic test we use a pseudo-spectral, 284 isotropic, visco-elastic scheme (Carcione et al. 2005; Carcione 2014) to forward model the seismic 285 reflection response. Sources and receivers are located in the first row of grid points (z = 0 m). For 286 this experiment free surface multiples are not modelled; perfectly absorbing boundary conditions 287 are imposed on all four boundaries of the mesh. P- and S-wave quality factors are set to  $Q_{
m P}$  = 288  $Q_{\rm S} = 10000$  (i.e., negligible attenuation at seismic wavelengths) for all grid points. Full details 289 of the acquisition and modelling parameters are given in Table 2. In total, 50 shots are modelled 290 which required 25 hours computation time on a quad-core Intel<sup>®</sup> Core<sup>TM</sup> i7-6700 3.40 GHz CPU. 291 As the background velocity model is known and does not vary laterally, the seismic processing 292 follows a basic marine imaging flow, with a pre-stack true-amplitude Kirchhoff time migration (to 293 60° maximum angle), outer angle mute (to eliminate refracted arrivals), stack and time-to-depth 294 conversion using the background P-wave velocity model. The image is cut to the full-fold area, 295 with maximum depth equal to the maximum depth in the synthetic model (Fig. 2).

#### 297 3.1.1 Borehole log inversion

The synthetic P-wave velocity borehole log is shown in Fig. 2. The window analysed is the masstransport deposit zone between 500 m and 650 m depth. For the inversion, uniform priors are used:  $0 < a_z \le 50 \text{ m}, 0 \le \gamma \le 1 \text{ and } 0 < \sigma \le 2.$ 

The MCMC is run with 12 parallel chains until  $1 \times 10^4$  samples are accepted to the chain (Table 6). The final Gelman-Rubin statistic  $\hat{R} < 1.02$  for all parameters. The WMAE begins to oscillate around 1 after approximately 200 accepted samples.

Marginal posterior probability distributions for  $a_z$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are shown in Fig. 3. Summary statistics of the distributions are shown in Table 3. Both geostatistical parameters are centered closed to their true values.

#### 307 3.1.2 Seismic image inversion

Two inversions were run on the seismic reflection image, with and without estimates of the vertical scale length Hurst number from the borehole as priors. The synthetic seismic image is shown in Fig. 2. The window analysed is the mass-transport deposit zone highlighted in Fig. 1.

For the first inversion (seismic image only), uniform priors are used for all parameters.  $0 < a_x \le 500 \text{ m}, 0 < a_z \le 50 \text{ m}, 0 \le \gamma \le 1 \text{ and } 0 < \sigma \le 2.$ 

The second inversion (seismic image with borehole) is parameterised as the first, but includes a constraint for  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  from the borehole inversion results. The prior probability density functions for  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  are Gaussian, with mean and standard deviation from the results of the borehole-only inversion (Table 3). The priors for  $a_x$  and  $\sigma$  are uniform, as above:  $0 < a_x \le 500$  m,  $0 < \sigma \le 2$ . The priors for  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  are truncated Gaussians: for  $a_z$ , mean 15.9 m and standard deviation 3.5 m (truncated at  $0 < a_x \le 50$  m); for  $\gamma$ , mean 0.37 m and standard deviation 0.09 m (truncated at  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ ).

Both MCMCs are run with 12 parallel chains until  $1 \times 10^4$  samples are accepted to the chain (Table 6). For the first inversion, the final Gelman-Rubin statistic  $\hat{R} < 1.01$  for all parameters. For the second inversion, the final Gelman-Rubin statistic  $\hat{R} < 1.02$  for all parameters. For both inversions the WMAE begins to oscillate around 1 after approximately 100 accepted samples.

Marginal posterior probability distributions for  $a_x$ ,  $a_z$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$ , alongside a distribution representing the aspect ratio of heterogeneity,  $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$ , are shown in Fig. 3. Summary statistics of the distributions are shown in Table 3.

With respect to the first inversion (seismic image only) the second inversion (seismic image with constraint from borehole) shows marginal posterior distributions that are closer to the true values.

#### 330 3.2 Real data case study – Nankai Trough, offshore Japan

The Nankai Trough (offshore southwest Japan) is an oceanic trench formed by the subduction of the Philippine plate under the Eurasian plate. Associated accretion, seismicity and slope-steeping have resulted in significant mass-wasting during the last 3 Ma (Strasser et al. 2011; Lackey et al. 2018). A large mass-transport deposit is identified in a 3-D seismic volume (Fig. 4). Here we consider a 2-D profile extracted from the 3-D volume, chosen to show the maximum extent and thickness of the mass-transport deposit. The body has a chaotic internal seismic character, with little visible coherent structure.

The survey acquisition parameters are documented in Table 4 (Uraki et al. 2009). The maximum observed thickness (at the point where the mass-transport deposit intersects the edge of the seismic volume) is approximately 180 m (Strasser et al. 2011).

Also available are logging-while-drilling borehole logs from nearby International Ocean Discovery Programme (IODP) borehole C0018B (Expedition 338, Henry et al. 2012), which penetrates the mass-transport deposit (Fig. 4). No sonic log was acquired, so the gamma ray log is used to estimate the vertical dominant scale length and Hurst number. Whilst the gamma ray log is not a measure of the P-wave velocity, it is sensitive to changes in lithology (specifically shale fraction), which should correlate well with the P-wave velocity. It is expected that both gamma ray and sonic velocity logs should have similar geostatistics within a local interval of a 1-D borehole log.

#### 348 3.2.1 Borehole log inversion

The gamma ray log from IODP borehole C0018B is shown in Fig. 4. The analysis window is the mass-transport deposit zone between 3235 m and 3295 m. For the inversion, uniform priors are used:  $0 < a_z \le 50$  m,  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$  and  $0 < \sigma \le 2$ .

The MCMC is run with 12 parallel chains until 5  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> samples are accepted to the chain (Table 6). The final Gelman-Rubin statistic  $\hat{R} < 1.01$  for all parameters. The WMAE begins to oscillate around 1 after approximately 50 accepted samples.

Marginal posterior probability distributions for  $a_z$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are shown in Fig. 5. Summary statistics of the distributions are shown in Table 5.

#### 357 3.2.2 Seismic image inversion

Two analysis windows are used on the seismic image, in the down-slope and mid-slope parts of the mass-transport deposit (Fig. 4). Both windows have the same dimensions (1000 m by 60 m). The down-slope window is located toward the toe of the mass-transport deposit. The mid-slope window is located relatively further up-slope, in the more proximal part of the mass-transport deposit. Two inversions are run for each window, with and without estimates of the vertical scale length  $a_z$  and Hurst number  $\gamma$  from the borehole log.

For the first inversions (seismic image only), uniform priors are used:  $0 < a_x \le 500$  m,  $0 < a_z \le 50$  m,  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$  and  $0 < \sigma \le 2$ .

The second inversions (seismic image with borehole) are parameterised as the first, but include a constraint from the borehole log inversion results (Table 5). The prior for  $a_x$  is uniform, as above:  $0 < a_x \le 500$  m. The priors for  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  are Gaussian, fit to the marginal posterior probability distributions from the borehole-only inversion: for  $a_z$ , mean 5.3 m and standard deviation 1.3 m; for  $\gamma$ , mean 0.41 m and standard deviation 0.13 m.

Marginal posterior probability distributions for  $a_x$ ,  $a_z$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$ , alongside a distribution representing the aspect ratio of heterogeneity  $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$ , are shown in Fig. 6 for both zones. Summary statistics of the distributions are shown in Table 5.

With respect to the first inversion (seismic image only), the second inversion (seismic image

with borehole) shows better-constrained (lower standard deviation) marginal distributions for  $a_x$ ,  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$ . The marginal distributions for the down-slope zone show a notably smaller mean  $a_x$  and  $\alpha$  compared to the mid-slope zone, while maintaining similar distributions for  $a_z$ .

#### 378 4 DISCUSSION

This study applies a geostatistical inversion to characterise the internal structure of mass-transport 379 deposits from seismic reflection images, with and without a constraint from a borehole log. We 380 first demonstrate the method on a synthetic model representing a typical buried submarine mass-381 transport deposit scenario and then on a real data case study from the Nankai Trough, offshore 382 Japan. The method gives probabilistic estimates of lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and 383 the Hurst number of the internal heterogeneity. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first time that 384 this technique has been validated with a synthetic test on multi-channel, stacked seismic reflection 385 data. This is also the first published example demonstrating how to condition the inversion using 386 priors derived from a vertical borehole log in order to better constrain the lateral and vertical 387 dominant scale lengths. We suggest that this technique could be a useful tool to better constrain 388 internal structure of mass-transport deposits as it can be applied even to chaotic seismic reflection 389 images of mass-transport deposits, which are common but difficult to interpret using conventional 390 horizon-tracking methods. 391

#### **392 4.1** Synthetic inversion results

For the inversion performed on the synthetic seismic image with uniform priors, the estimated 393 aspect ratio of heterogeneity,  $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$ , is close to the true model value (Fig. 3). This result is ex-394 pected from previous studies, which suggest that the 2-D power spectrum (equivalently the 2-D 395 autocorrelation function) is strongly sensitive to the aspect ratio of heterogeneity rather than to 396 the individual dominant scale lengths or the Hurst number (Irving et al. 2009; Scholer et al. 2010; 397 Irving & Holliger 2010). This synthetic test, however, shows relatively good resolution of separate 398 lateral and vertical scale lengths from the seismic image alone. The Hurst number is still poorly 399 constrained. Repeating the inversion with priors for vertical scale length and Hurst number esti-400

#### Geostatistics of MTDs from seismic and borehole data 17

mated from a synthetic borehole log improves the result, but only slightly. This is in constrast to 401 the conclusions of Irving & Holliger (2010), who predict that the 2-D power spectrum should be 402 sensitive only to the aspect ratio of heterogeneity. Our result is likely because the bandwidth of 403 the seismic source overlaps both the "white noise" and self-similar parts of the random medium 404 in power-spectral domain for this test. Another contributing factor is that this is a synthetic ex-405 periment. Seismic images created from field data contain noise from i) environmental noise ii) 406 instrument noise iii) multiple arrivals and iv) processing artefacts. Future studies should investi-407 gate the reliability of this method to discriminate lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths under 408 a range of noise conditions and source bandwidths, with respect to the spatial power spectrum of 409 the medium. 410

#### 411 4.2 Nankai Trough case study inversion results

For the Nankai Trough experiment we consider two identically-sized data windows, a down-slope zone and a mid-slope zone (Fig. 4). The down-slope zone is located towards the toe of the masstransport deposit. The mid-slope zone is more proximal, located toward the middle of the deposit. The seismic character in both windows is chaotic, lacking laterally coherent seismic reflectors.

First, we invert for the geostatistical parameters in both windows with uniform priors (Fig. 6). In the down-slope zone, the aspect ratio of heterogeneity,  $\alpha$ , is significantly smaller than in the mid-slope zone. Including priors for  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  based on the nearby IODP borehole C0018B (Fig. 4), we still see a reduction in  $\alpha$  from mid-slope to down-slope, but we see the distributions for lateral are vertical dominant scale lengths,  $a_x$  and  $a_z$ , are much better constrained.

Mass-transport deposits often show extensional structures near the headwall, little deformation in the central translational zone and compressional structures in the toe region, where the flow may be confined (Fig. 7). The observed reduction in lateral dominant scale length from mid-slope to down-slope is consistent with this interpretation of the mass-transport deposit. More compression will result in increased stratal disruption, giving a shorter lateral dominant scale length compared to relatively undeformed sediments. Alternatively, the reduction is lateral dominant scale length could be due to progressive down-slope deformation of the mass-flow (Lucente & Pini 2003). Both

models could explain the reduction in lateral dominant scale length and aspect ratio of heterogene ity.

The velocity heterogeneity within the mass-transport deposit should be closely related to lithological heterogeneity. For mass-transport scenarios, this heterogeneity could be predominantly due to included megaclasts, intact blocks or intense folding from stratal disruption. The observed reduction in lateral scale length is consistent with most conceptual models of the variation in internal structure from proximal to distal within the depositional part of mass-transport deposits (e.g., Bull et al. 2009, see Fig. 7).

#### **436 4.3** Internal structure from geostatistical parameters

How should these geostatistical parameters be interpreted in the context of mass-transport deposits? These parameters are abstract and set in terms of a statistical model, not in terms of geological structure. We suggest that the dominant scale lengths can be proxies for relative deformation from both mass-transport processes and tectonic stresses. Increasing deformation (e.g., folding from compression, reduction in size of intact blocks due to progressive disaggregation) should reduce the lateral dominant scale length and also the aspect ratio of heterogeneity.

Here we only consider heterogeneity of the P-wave velocity field, as we believe this should cap-443 ture much of the geological heterogeneity that controls the seismic response. In fact, this method 444 could be used to constrain any kind of geological heterogeneity, so long as it can be related to the 445 acoustic impedance (the idealised seismic image approximation only models normal-incidence 446 reflections). For the mass-transport deposit case, for example, one could consider the geological 447 medium as a mixture of two component lithologies with distinct acoustic impedances (e.g., ma-448 trix and clasts). Thus estimating the geostatistical parameters can inform the geostatistics of the 449 geology directly. 450

For unfailed sediments, one would expect very long lateral dominant scale lengths due to the presence of laterally continuous beds. After failure, sediments may become deformed due to shearing and disaggregation, reducing the lateral dominant scale length. Therefore the lateral dominant scale length is a useful structural parameter that can be a proxy for lateral shortening from deformation. The vertical dominant scale length is more closely related to the average thickness of
beds, and therefore may be less affected by mass-transport.

#### 457 4.4 Limits in generalisation

Using a synthetic example we show that an idealised seismic image approximation (Section 2.2.1) 458 is valid for one multi-channel marine seismic experiment, with a specific overburden and seismic 459 character. This allows a computationally inexpensive inversion method (Table 6) to estimate ran-460 dom medium parameters from a window of a reflection image. The validity of the approximation 461 will depend on the local geology and on the seismic imaging performed. Multiple scattering, atten-462 uation and seismic noise will all reduce the validity of the idealised seismic image approximation. 463 The method presented in this study uses the spatial power spectrum to evaluate random me-464 dia models and to estimate the misfit between a corresponding theoretical and observed seismic 465 reflection image. For a given spatial power spectrum there exist infinite physical realisations of 466 the corresponding random medium. It is important to note that this method only constrains the 467 statistics of the heterogeneity, not the medium properties directly. It is possible that there are better 468 statistical representations, especially for small window sizes which may suffer from edge-effects 469 from the Fast Fourier Transform when calculating the power spectrum. Some previous studies 470 have used the autocorrelation function instead (e.g., Irving et al. 2009; Scholer et al. 2010). 471

This study only considers 2-D seismic profiles. Mass-transport is an inherently 3-D geological 472 process, so strong lateral heterogeneity observed in the plane of the profile implies that strong 473 heterogeneity perpendicular to the profile is also likely. This 3-D heterogeneity could generate 474 strong out-of-plane reflections. For a chaotic seismic reflection image, it may be impossible to 475 identify or remove these out-of-plane reflections during imaging or interpretation. It is presently 476 unclear how the results of the inversion may be affected if these spurious reflections contaminate 477 the analysis window. This topic could be addressed in a future 3-D numerical modelling study by 478 performing geostatistical inversion on 2-D profiles which include out-of-plane reflections. 479

Is the anisotropic von Kármán random medium a suitable statistical representation of the in ternal structure of mass-transport deposits? There exist many studies suggesting that geology in

general has fractal-like properties (band-limited self-similarity; e.g., Goff & Jordan 1988; Turcotte
1997; Browaeys & Fomel 2009; Nelson et al. 2015). There exist, however, few studies investigating
the fractal properties of internal structure of mass-transport deposits (Micallef et al. 2008). Analysis of mass-transport deposits in outcrop is necessary to determine an anisotropic von Kármán
random medium could be a broadly applicable geostatistical model.

The formulation used in this study (Eq. 2) assumes no dominant dip direction. This could be reasonable for mass-transport deposits deposited in the deep ocean, for example, but not if there has been post-depositional deformation from tectonics. In future work it should be straightforward to include dominant dip direction as an extra parameter in the inversion (see Yuan et al. 2014, for an example).

#### 492 5 CONCLUSIONS

In this study we demonstrate a method to invert for geostatistical parameters (lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and Hurst number) which can describe the internal structure of masstransport deposits from chaotic multi-channel seismic reflection images and borehole logs. This approach assumes that the internal structure can be approximated as an anisotropic von Kármán random medium (Irving & Holliger 2010). The results are probability distributions which provide the expected value and uncertainty of each geostatistical parameter.

The method is first validated on a synthetic scenario containing a buried chaotic body, representing a submarine mass-transport deposit, imaged with a typical multi-channel marine seismic acquisition and penetrated by a synthetic borehole. When the seismic image is inverted with a constraint from the borehole, lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and Hurst number can be recovered.

The method is then applied to a real data case study from Nankai Trough (offshore Japan). The data considered are a seismic reflection profile and the gamma ray log from a borehole which penetrates a thick mass-transport deposit. We see a reduction in lateral dominant scale length from mid-slope to down-slope part of the mass-transport deposit. This is consistent with progressively increasing deformation due to disaggregation or compression towards the toe of the slide.

#### Geostatistics of MTDs from seismic and borehole data 21

Geostatistical inversion is a useful new tool to constrain the internal structure of mass-transport deposits from seismic reflection data. The geostatistical parameters can be used to validate conceptual models of internal structure and as a proxy for varying strain or degree of deformation in different domains of the slide, even when the seismic image appears chaotic or reflections lack the continuity required for horizon-tracking approaches. The lateral dominant scale length in particular could be a good proxy for strain history, as it is strongly related to the degree of sediment deformation and stratal disruption.

#### 516 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The quality of this manuscript was greatly improved thanks to constructive comments from the 517 reviewers Guilliame Pirot and Jules Browaeys and the Editor, Frederik Simons. We thank Umberta 518 Tinavella, José Carcione and Aldo Vesnaver for feedback on an early version of this manuscript. 519 Thanks to Philippe Cance and Davide Gei for providing the pseudo-spectral numerical modelling 520 code and for discussion on the topic and to Greg Moore (SOEST) for providing the Kumano 3-521 D seismic dataset. Jonathan Ford was supported by a Marie Curie Doctoral Fellowship through 522 the SLATE Innovative Training Network within the European Union Framework Programme for 523 Research and Innovation Horizon 2020 under Grant Agreement No. 721403. 524

#### 525 **REFERENCES**

- Assier-Rzadkieaicz, S., Heinrich, P., Sabatier, P. C., Savoye, B., & Bourillet, J. F., 2000. Numerical Mod-
- elling of a Landslide-generated Tsunami: The 1979 Nice Event, *pure and applied geophysics*, **157**(10), 1707–1727.
- Badhani, S., Cattaneo, A., Dennielou, B., Leroux, E., Colin, F., Thomas, Y., Jouet, G., Rabineau, M., &
- <sup>530</sup> Droz, L., 2019. Morphology of retrogressive failures in the Eastern Rhone Interfluve during the Last <sup>531</sup> Glacial Maximum (Gulf of Lions, Western Mediterranean), *Geomorphology*, p. 106894.
- Berndt, C., Costa, S., Canals, M., Camerlenghi, A., de Mol, B., & Saunders, M., 2012. Repeated slope fail-
- <sup>533</sup> ure linked to fluid migration: The Ana submarine landslide complex, Eivissa Channel, Western Mediter-
- ranean Sea, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **319-320**, 65–74.
- <sup>535</sup> Brooks, S. P. & Gelman, A., 1998. General Methods for Monitoring Convergence of Iterative Simulations,
- Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 7(4), 434–455.

- Browaeys, T. J. & Fomel, S., 2009. Fractal heterogeneities in sonic logs and low-frequency scattering attenuation, *Geophysics*, **74**(2), WA77–WA92.
- <sup>539</sup> Bull, S., Cartwright, J., & Huuse, M., 2009. A review of kinematic indicators from mass-transport com-<sup>540</sup> plexes using 3D seismic data, *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **26**(7), 1132–1151.
- Caers, J., Avseth, P., & Mukerji, T., 2001. Geostatistical integration of rock physics, seismic amplitudes,
   and geologic models in North Sea turbidite systems, *The Leading Edge*, **20**(3), 308–312.
- <sup>543</sup> Camerlenghi, A., Urgeles, R., Ercilla, G., & Brückmann, W., 2007. Scientific ocean drilling behind the
   <sup>544</sup> assessment of geo-hazards from submarine slides, *Scientific Drilling*, 4, 45–47.
- 545 Carcione, J. M., 2014. Wave Fields in Real Media: Wave Propagation in Anisotropic, Anelastic, Porous
- <sup>546</sup> and Electromagnetic Media, vol. 38, Elsevier.
- <sup>547</sup> Carcione, J. M., Finetti, I. R., & Gei, D., 2005. Seismic modelling applied to interpretation of a CROP
- crustal section in the Adriatic Sea, in CROP Project: Deep Seismic Exploration of the Central Mediter-
- ranean and Italy, vol. 1, p. 794, ed. Finetti, I. R., Elsevier.
- <sup>550</sup> Carter, L., Gavey, R., Talling, P., & Liu, J., 2014. Insights into Submarine Geohazards from Breaks in
   <sup>551</sup> Subsea Telecommunication Cables, *Oceanography*, 27(2), 58–67.
- <sup>552</sup> Chen, J. & Schuster, G. T., 1999. Resolution limits of migrated images, *GEOPHYSICS*, **64**(4), 1046–1053.
- <sup>553</sup> Cheraghi, S., Malehmir, A., Bellefleur, G., Bongajum, E., & Bastani, M., 2013. Scaling behavior and the <sup>554</sup> effects of heterogeneity on shallow seismic imaging of mineral deposits: A case study from Brunswick
- No. 6 mining area, Canada, *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, **90**, 1–18.
- <sup>556</sup> Chopra, S. & Marfurt, K., 2016. Understanding the seismic disorder attribute and its applications, *The* <sup>557</sup> Leading Edge, **35**(8), 695–702.
- <sup>558</sup> Diviacco, P., Rebesco, M., & Camerlenghi, A., 2006. Late Pliocene Mega Debris Flow Deposit and
- Related Fluid Escapes Identified on the Antarctic Peninsula Continental Margin by Seismic Reflection
- <sup>560</sup> Data Analysis, *Marine Geophysical Researches*, **27**(2), 109–128.
- <sup>561</sup> Dolan, S. S. & Bean, C. J., 1997. Some remarks on the estimation of fractal scaling parameters from <sup>562</sup> borehole wire-line logs, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **24**(10), 1271–1274.
- <sup>563</sup> Dugan, B., 2012. Petrophysical and consolidation behavior of mass transport deposits from the northern
- <sup>564</sup> Gulf of Mexico, IODP Expedition 308, *Marine Geology*, **315-318**, 98–107.
- <sup>565</sup> Frankel, A. & Clayton, R. W., 1986. Finite difference simulations of seismic scattering: Implications for
- the propagation of short-period seismic waves in the crust and models of crustal heterogeneity, *Journal* of *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, **91**(B6), 6465–6489.
- Gafeira, J., Long, D., Scrutton, R., & Evans, D., 2010. 3D seismic evidence of internal structure within
- Tampen Slide deposits on the North Sea Fan: are chaotic deposits that chaotic?, *Journal of the Geological*
- *Society*, **167**(3), 605–616.
- <sup>571</sup> Goff, J. A. & Jordan, T. H., 1988. Stochastic Modeling of Seafloor Morphology: Inversion of Sea Beam

- <sup>572</sup> Data for Second-Order Statistics, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, **93**(B11), 13589–13608.
- Hastings, W. K., 1970. Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications,
   *Biometrika*, 57(1), 97–109.
- Henry, P., Kanamatsu, T., Moe, K., & the Expedition 333 Scientists, 2012. Proceedings of the Integrated
- Ocean Drilling Program Volume 333 Expedition Reports NanTroSEIZE Stage 2: Subduction Inputs 2
- <sup>577</sup> and Heat Flow, **333**, 16.
- Holliger, K., 1996. Upper-crustal seismic velocity heterogeneity as derived from a variety of *P* -wave
   sonic logs, *Geophysical Journal International*, **125**(3), 813–829.
- Holliger, K. & Levander, A. R., 1992. A stochastic view of lower crustal fabric based on evidence from
- the Ivrea Zone, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **19**(11), 1153–1156.
- Ikelle, L. T., Yung, S. K., & Daube, F., 1993. 2-D random media with ellipsoidal autocorrelation functions,
   *Geophysics*, 58(9), 1359–1372.
- <sup>584</sup> Irving, J. & Holliger, K., 2010. Geostatistical inversion of seismic and ground-penetrating radar reflection
- <sup>585</sup> images: What can we actually resolve?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **37**(21).
- <sup>586</sup> Irving, J., Knight, R., & Holliger, K., 2009. Estimation of the lateral correlation structure of subsurface
- water content from surface-based ground-penetrating radar reflection images, *Water Resources Research*,
  45(12).
- Jackson, C., 2011. Three-dimensional seismic analysis of megaclast deformation within a mass transport deposit; Implications for debris flow kinematics, *Geology*, **39**, 203–206.
- Lackey, J., Moore, G., & Strasser, M., 2018. Three-dimensional mapping and kinematic characterization
- of mass transport deposits along the outer Kumano Basin and Nankai accretionary wedge, southwest
- <sup>593</sup> Japan, *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science*, **5**(1), 65.
- <sup>594</sup> Lucente, C. C. & Pini, G. A., 2003. Anatomy and emplacement mechanism of a large submarine slide
- within a Miocene foredeep in the northern Apennines, Italy: A field perspective, *American Journal of Science*, **303**(7), 565–602.
- <sup>597</sup> Mandelbrot, B. B., 1983. *The fractal geometry of nature*, vol. 173, WH freeman New York.
- Martinez, J. F., Cartwright, J., & Hall, B., 2005. 3d seismic interpretation of slump complexes: examples
- <sup>599</sup> from the continental margin of Israel, *Basin Research*, **17**(1), 83–108.
- Micallef, A., Berndt, C., Masson, D. G., & Stow, D. A. V., 2008. Scale invariant characteristics of the
   Storegga Slide and implications for large-scale submarine mass movements, *Marine Geology*, 247(1),
   46–60.
- Moscardelli, L. & Wood, L., 2008. New classification system for mass transport complexes in offshore
- <sup>604</sup> Trinidad, *Basin Research*, **20**(1), 73–98.
- Mosegaard, K. & Tarantola, A., 1995. Monte Carlo sampling of solutions to inverse problems, Journal of
- <sup>606</sup> *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, **100**(B7), 12431–12447.

- Nelson, C. E., Hobbs, R. W., & Rusch, R., 2015. On the Use of Fractal Surfaces to Understand Seismic
   Wave Propagation in Layered Basalt Sequences, *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, **172**(7), 1879–1892.
- Ogata, K., Pogačnik, <sup>~</sup>Z., Pini, G. A., Tunis, G., Festa, A., Camerlenghi, A., & Rebesco, M., 2014. The
- carbonate mass transport deposits of the Paleogene Friuli Basin (Italy/Slovenia): Internal anatomy and
   inferred genetic processes, *Marine Geology*, **356**, 88–110.
- Pini, G. A., Ogata, K., Camerlenghi, A., Festa, A., Lucente, C. C., & Codegone, G., 2012. Sedimen-
- tary Mélanges and Fossil Mass-Transport Complexes: A Key for Better Understanding Submarine Mass
- <sup>614</sup> Movements?, in Submarine Mass Movements and Their Consequences, pp. 585–594, eds Yamada, Y.,
- Kawamura, K., Ikehara, K., Ogawa, Y., Urgeles, R., Mosher, D., Chaytor, J., & Strasser, M., Springer
- 616 Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- Piper, D. J. W., Cochonat, P., & Morrison, M. L., 1999. The sequence of events around the epicentre of
- the 1929 Grand Banks earthquake: initiation of debris flows and turbidity current inferred from sidescan
- <sup>619</sup> sonar, *Sedimentology*, **46**(1), 79–97.
- Pirot, G., Linde, N., Mariethoz, G., & Bradford, J. H., 2017. Probabilistic inversion with graph cuts:
   Application to the Boise Hydrogeophysical Research Site, *Water Resources Research*, 53(2), 1231–1250.
- Satake, K., 2012. Tsunamis Generated by Submarine Landslides, in Submarine Mass Movements and
- Their Consequences, pp. 475–484, eds Yamada, Y., Kawamura, K., Ikehara, K., Ogawa, Y., Urgeles, R.,
   Mosher, D., Chaytor, J., & Strasser, M., Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- Sawyer, D. E., Flemings, P. B., Dugan, B., & Germaine, J. T., 2009. Retrogressive failures recorded in
- mass transport deposits in the Ursa Basin, Northern Gulf of Mexico, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, **114**(B10).
- Scholer, M., Irving, J., & Holliger, K., 2010. Estimation of the correlation structure of crustal velocity
   heterogeneity from seismic reflection data, *Geophysical Journal International*, 183(3), 1408–1428.
- Steventon, M. J., Jackson, C. A.-L., Hodgson, D. M., & Johnson, H. D., 2019. Strain analysis of a seismi cally imaged mass-transport complex, offshore Uruguay, *Basin Research*, **31**(3), 600–620.
- Strasser, M., Moore, G. F., Kimura, G., Kopf, A. J., Underwood, M. B., Guo, J., & Screaton, E. J., 2011.
- Slumping and mass transport deposition in the Nankai fore arc: Evidence from IODP drilling and 3-D
   reflection seismic data, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 12(5), Q0AD13.
- Sun, Q., Alves, T., Xie, X., He, J., Li, W., & Ni, X., 2017. Free gas accumulations in basal shear zones
   of mass-transport deposits (Pearl River Mouth Basin, South China Sea): An important geohazard on
- continental slope basins, *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **81**(Supplement C), 17–32.
- <sup>638</sup> Turcotte, D. L., 1997. *Fractals and chaos in geology and geophysics*, Cambridge University Press.
- Uraki, S., Kido, Y., Sanada, Y., Kuramoto, S., Okano, T., Saga, H., Park, J., Moore, G., & Taira, A., 2009.
- <sup>640</sup> Kumano-nada 3D seismic data acquisition and processing, *Butsuri Tansa*, **62**, 277–288.
- <sup>641</sup> Von Kármán, T., 1948. Progress in the Statistical Theory of Turbulence, *Proceedings of the National*

- Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **34**(11), 530–539.
- Yuan, G., Pei-Min, Z. H. U., Hui, L. I., & Xiao-Yong, L. I., 2014. Estimation of 2d Stationary Random
- <sup>644</sup> Medium Parameters from Post-Stack Seismic Data, *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, **57**(4), 450–461.

## 645 APPENDIX A: VON KÁRMÁN RANDOM MEDIA

The power spectrum of a two-dimensional anisotropic von Kármán random medium is given by
Goff & Jordan (1988) as

$$P(k_x, k_z) = \frac{4\pi\gamma H^2}{K_v(0)} \frac{a_x a_z}{(k_x^2 a_x^2 + k_z^2 a_z^2 + 1)^{\gamma+1}},$$
(A.1)

where  $a_x$  and  $a_z$  are the horizontal and vertical dominant scale lengths,  $\gamma$  is the Hurst number,  $K_{\nu}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order  $\nu = \gamma$ ,  $k_x$  and  $k_z$  are the horizontal and vertical wavenumbers and H is the variance of the random field.

The Hurst number,  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ , describes the roughness of the random field.  $\gamma = 0$  corresponds to a smoothly varying medium.  $\gamma = 1$  corresponds to a rough medium. For  $\gamma = 0.5$  the anisotropic von Kármán random medium becomes equivalent to a random field with exponential autocorrelation (Holliger & Levander 1992). The Hurst number is related to the fractal dimension, *D*, by

$$D = N + 1 - \gamma \tag{A.2}$$

where N is the Euclidean dimension of the medium (Mandelbrot 1983). This is important when comparing e.g., a borehole log (1-D: N = 1;  $1 \le D \le 2$ ) to a seismic profile (2-D: N = 2;  $2 \le D \le 3$ ). As such, the power spectrum of a one-dimensional von Kármán random medium becomes

$$P(k_z) = \frac{4\pi\gamma H^2}{K_v(0)} \frac{a_z}{(k_z^2 a_z^2 + 1)^{\gamma + 0.5}}.$$
(A.3)

#### **APPENDIX B: BAYESIAN MARKOV CHAIN MONTE CARLO INVERSION**

The goal of the inversion is to estimate a model  $\mathbf{m} = [a_x, a_z, \gamma, \sigma]$  which describes the geostatistical properties of the medium and the observation and modelling errors. This study uses a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach to obtain probabilistic estimates for each geostatistical parameter. MCMC methods simulate a random walk through the parameter space of the model to sample the joint posterior probability distribution.

 $Let d_{obs}$  be a vector of observations (for this study the power spectral density at each wavenum-

667 ber). Bayes' Theorem states

$$p(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{d}_{obs}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{d}_{obs}|\mathbf{m})p(\mathbf{m})}{p(\mathbf{d}_{obs})}$$
(B.1)

where  $p(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{d}_{obs})$  is the posterior probability density function,  $p(\mathbf{d}_{obs}|\mathbf{m})$  is the likelihood function (the product of the likelihoods of each observation),  $p(\mathbf{m})$  is the prior probability density function for the model parameters and  $p(\mathbf{d}_{obs})$  acts as a normalising constant. Thus

$$p(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{d}_{obs}) \propto p(\mathbf{d}_{obs}|\mathbf{m})p(\mathbf{m}).$$
 (B.2)

Therefore the posterior probability density function (left) is proportional to the posterior probability distribution (right).

If the chain has converged (after a so-called "burn-in" period) the distribution of models in the ensemble will be proportional to the joint posterior probability density function. The marginal distributions will be proportional to the marginal posterior probability density functions for each parameter in the model. This allows estimates of most likely values and uncertainties for each parameter from histograms of the accepted models.

For convenience when finding the product of multiple exponential functions, and to avoid numerical underflow when dealing with floating-point numbers close to zero, this study uses the logarithm of the probability. Maximising a log-likelihood is equivalent to maximising the likelihood.

#### **B1** Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

The Metropolis-Hastings algorithm is a common MCMC method. The method relies on defining a "target" posterior distribution (product of the prior probability density function and the likelihood function, Eq. B.2) and an arbitrary proposal distribution, q, which is used to propose candidate additions to the chain, conditional on the last accepted sample.

<sup>687</sup> The acceptance ratio is the ratio of the candidate posterior to the previously accepted posterior <sup>688</sup> (Hastings 1970). If acceptance ratio is greater than 1, the candidate is automatically accepted. If <sup>689</sup> not, the candidate is accepted with probability equal to the acceptance ratio.

For this study the proposal distribution, q, is chosen to be a truncated Gaussian, centered on

- the m and truncated at the minimum and maximum of the uniform distribution for each parameter
- given in Section 2.3. The variance is 1 for the dominant scale length parameters ( $a_x$  and  $a_z$ ), 0.1
- for the Hurst number ( $\gamma$ ) and 0.01 for the error ( $\sigma$ ) parameter.

#### Algorithm 1 Metropolis-Hastings algorithm for Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Draw an initial model from the proposal distribution,  $\mathbf{m}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{m})$ 

Compute the likelihood of the initial model,  $L(\mathbf{m}_0)$ 

Set n = 1

while  $n < n_{\max} \operatorname{do}$ 

Draw parameters for a new candidate model,  $\mathbf{m}' \sim q(\mathbf{m}_{n-1})$ 

Compute the candidate likelihood  $L(\mathbf{m}')$ 

Compute the acceptance probability  $\alpha = \min\left(1, \frac{L(\mathbf{m}')p(\mathbf{m}')q(\mathbf{m}_{n-1}|\mathbf{m}')}{L(\mathbf{m}_0)p(\mathbf{m}_{n-1})q(\mathbf{m}'|\mathbf{m}_{n-1})}\right)$ 

Draw a random number from uniform distribution  $x \sim U(0, 1)$ 

if  $\alpha \geq x$  then

Accept proposal to chain:  $\mathbf{m}_n = \mathbf{m}'$ 

n = n + 1

end if

end while

#### 694 **B2** Convergence criteria

The Markov Chain is guaranteed to sample the posterior distribution once the chain has converged (after the so-called "burn-in" period). One problem with MCMC methods is determining when the "burn-in" period has finished, i.e, after which point to start considering samples as part of the posterior distribution. Estimating convergence is important as oversampling the chain increases the computation time, whilst undersampling the chain may bias the chain towards the starting values and not properly sample low probability regions of the posterior.

For this study, the Gelman-Rubin statistic (sometimes called the scale-reduction factor), R, is calculated (Brooks & Gelman 1998). This involves running several chains in parallel and comparing the in-chain variance to the between-chain variance for each parameter in the model. For m <sup>704</sup> chains of length 2n accepted samples, W is the mean variance each chain, B is the variance of the <sup>705</sup> mean of each chain,  $V_h = B + \frac{W(n-1)}{n}$ ,  $\hat{R} = \sqrt{\frac{V_h}{W}}$ .

It is commonly considered that chains have converged for a parameter when  $\hat{R} < 1.2$  (Brooks & Gelman 1998).

<sup>708</sup> The weighted mean absolute error (WMAE) is given as

WMAE = 
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|g_i(\mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{d}_{obs}|}{\sigma}$$
 (B.3)

where N is the number of observations (number of wavenumber pairs). The WMAE should oscil-

<sup>710</sup> late around 1 when the chain is properly sampling the posterior distribution (Pirot et al. 2017).



**Figure 1.** Synthetic buried mass-transport deposit (MTD) model. a) Geostatistical parameters: lateral and vertical scale lengths ( $a_x$  and  $a_z$ ) and Hurst number ( $\gamma$ ) for each model zone. The water layer is uniform. Background elastic parameters are given in Table 1. b) P-wave velocity model. The location of the synthetic borehole is shown in red.



**Figure 2.** Synthetic buried mass-transport deposit modelling results. a) Seismic reflection image in depthdomain (pre-stack time migrated and converted to depth using the smooth background P-wave velocity function in Table 1). Location of the synthetic borehole is shown in solid red. The mass-transport deposit zone (dashed red outline) shows a more disordered, chaotic seismic character compared to the more stratified unfailed sediments. b) P-wave velocity log sampled at 0.25 m.



**Figure 3.** Marginal posterior probability distributions for the synthetic buried mass-transport deposit benchmark for dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths,  $a_x$  and  $a_z$ , aspect ratio of heterogeneity,  $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$ , Hurst number,  $\gamma$ , and error parameter,  $\sigma$ . True values are shown in red where applicable. Details of priors are given in the text. Convergence measures (WMAE and Gelman-Rubin statistic,  $\hat{R}$ ) are shown for each experiment. a) P-wave velocity log from the synthetic borehole. b) Seismic image. c) Seismic image with constraints on  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  from the synthetic borehole log.



**Figure 4.** Nankai Trough case study data. a) Map showing extent of the Kumano 3-D seismic volume, the thickness of the mass-transport deposit, profile X-X' and IODP borehole C0018B. b) Logging-while-drilling gamma ray log from IODP borehole C0018B, downsampled to 0.25 m, mass-transport deposit (MTD) zone highlighted. c) Seismic reflection profile X-X' (from the 3-D volume) showing a buried mass-transport deposit. The body lacks laterally coherent internal reflections compared to the unfailed sediments surrounding it. Mid-slope and down-slope zones are indicated alongside the extent of the IODP borehole C0018B (dashed red line) when projected onto the profile.

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 5.** Marginal posterior probability distributions for mass-transport deposit (MTD) zone of the gamma ray log from IODP borehole C0018B (Fig. 4) for dominant vertical scale length,  $a_z$ , Hurst number,  $\gamma$ , and error parameter,  $\sigma$ . Details of priors are given in the text. Convergence measures (WMAE and Gelman-Rubin statistic,  $\hat{R}$ ) are shown.

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 6.** Marginal posterior probability distributions for the Nankai Trough case study for dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths,  $a_x$  and  $a_z$ , aspect ratio of heterogeneity,  $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$ , Hurst number,  $\gamma$ , and error parameter,  $\sigma$ . Details of priors are given in the text. Convergence measures (WMAE and Gelman-Rubin statistic,  $\hat{R}$ ) are shown for each experiment. a) Mid-slope zone. b) Down-slope zone. c) Mid-slope zone with constraints on  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  from the borehole log (Fig. 5) d) Down-slope zone with constraints on  $a_z$  and  $\gamma$  from the borehole log (Fig. 5).

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 7.** a) Schematic diagram showing representative internal structure found within submarine landslides and mass-transport deposits (from Bull et al. 2009). Note increasing deformation due to confinement towards the toe of the slide. b) Illustration of two mechanisms for reducing the lateral dominant scale length by mass-transport – disaggregation of large coherent intact blocks and stratal disruption of soft sediments. In general increased deformation will result in a decrease in lateral dominant scale length (and aspect ratio of heterogeneity). c) Outcrop example of variation in lateral dominant scale length due to a reduction in size of included megaclasts (Vernasso Quarry, NE Italy).

**Table 1.** Background elastic parameters and geostatistical parameters for each unit in the synthetic model (Fig. 1). z is the depth below the waterbottom,  $v_{\rm P}$  and  $v_{\rm S}$  are the P- and S-wave velocities, respectively, and  $\rho$  is the density.

	Background ela	Geostatistical parameters $(v')$				
	$v_{ m P}(z)$ [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$v_{ m S}(z)$ [m s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\rho$ [kg m $^{\text{-3}}$ ]	$a_x$ [m]	$a_z$ [m]	$\gamma$ []
Water Unfailed sediment MTD	$1500 \\ 1750 + 0.3z \\ 1750 + 0.3z$	$ \frac{-}{875 + 0.15z} \\ 875 + 0.15z $	1000 1600 1600	1200 160	$\overline{\frac{20}{20}}$	 0.75 0.25

 Table 2. Synthetic marine multi-channel seismic reflection experiment acquisition and modelling parameters

Synthetic acquisition parameters	
Acquisition geometry	2-D towed streamer
Water depth	350 m
Source wavelet	40 Hz Ricker
Shot inverval	40 m
Receiver interval	20 m
Near-offset	25 m
Far-offset	550 m
Nominal midpoint inverval	10 m
Fold	
Sampling interval	1 m
Synthetic modelling parameters	
Modelling scheme	Pseudo-spectral (isotropic, visco-elastic)
Boundary conditions	Perfectly absorbing boundaries
Timestep	0.125 ms
Grid spacing	$2 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ m} \text{ (staggered)}$

**Table 3.** Summary statistics for the synthetic benchmark marginal posterior probability distributions for dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths,  $a_x$  and  $a_z$ , aspect ratio of heterogeneity  $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$ , and Hurst number  $\gamma$ . Mean,  $\mu$ , and standard deviation,  $\sigma$  for each marginal distribution are shown.

		Mean				Standard deviation				
Experiment	$a_x$ [m]	$a_z$ [m]	$\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$	$\gamma$	σ	$a_x$ [m]	$a_z$ [m]	$\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$	$\gamma$	$\sigma$
Synthetic model (true values)	160	20	8	0.25						
Synthetic borehole Seismic image Seismic image (with syn- thetic borehole)	$\frac{126}{144}$	$15.9 \\ 16.9 \\ 19.3$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.37 \\ 0.91 \\ 0.62 \end{array}$	$0.70 \\ 0.78 \\ 0.78$	$\overline{\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 6\end{array}}$	$3.5 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.9$	$\overline{6.1} \\ 6.5$	$0.09 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.07$	$0.05 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01$

**Table 4.** Kumano 3-D marine multi-channel seismic reflection experiment acquisition parameters (Uraki et al. 2009)

Hz)
Hz

<b>Table 5.</b> Summary statistics for the Nankai Trough case study marginal posterior probability distributions
for dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths, $a_x$ and $a_z$ , aspect ratio of heterogeneity, $\alpha$ , and Hurst number,
$\gamma$ . Mean, $\mu$ , and standard deviation, $\sigma$ for each marginal distribution are shown.

	Mean				Standard deviation					
Experiment	$a_x$ [m]	$a_z$ [m]	$\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$	$\gamma$	$\sigma$	$a_x$ [m]	$a_z$ [m]	$\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$	$\gamma$	$\sigma$
Borehole (C0018B) Mid-slope zone	03	5.3 38.4	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.41	0.72	$\frac{1}{22}$	1.3	 3 0	0.13	0.07
Mid-slope zone (with borehole)	53	8.4	6.4	$0.40 \\ 0.50$	1.00	12	1.3	8.6	$0.21 \\ 0.13$	0.08
Down-slope zone	42	47.2	0.9	0.93	0.79	11	2.0	5.4	0.06	0.06
Down-slope zone (with borehole)	34	8.6	4.0	0.51	1.20	12	1.4	8.5	0.14	0.10

Table 6. Computational cost of each MCMC run. All runs were performed on a quad-core In	itel <sup>®</sup>	Core <sup>TM</sup>
i7-6700 3.40 ĜHz CPU.		

Experiment		Parallel chains	Chain length $(n_{max})$	Mean accep- tance rate	Execution time
	Borehole	12	$1 \times 10^4$	29%	19 mins
Synthetic	Seismic image	12	$1 \times 10^4$	13%	46 mins
	Seismic image (with borehole)	12	$1 \times 10^{4}$	10%	60 mins
	Borehole	12	$5  imes 10^4$	48%	23 mins
Nankai Trough case study	Mid-slope zone	12	$5  imes 10^4$	76%	21 mins
	Mid-slope zone (with borehole)	12	$5 \times 10^4$	64%	24 mins
	Down-slope zone	12	$5  imes 10^4$	55%	28 mins
	Down-slope zone (with borehole)	12	$5 \times 10^4$	65%	24 mins