Geostatistical characterisation of internal structure of mass-transport deposits from seismic reflection images and borehole logs

Jonathan Ford^{*}, Angelo Camerlenghi

Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale (OGS), Trieste, Italy

July 2019

1 SUMMARY

Seismic reflection images of mass-transport deposits often show apparent chaotic, disor-2 ded or low-reflectivity internal seismic facies. The lack of laterally coherent reflections 3 can prevent horizon-based interpretation of internal structure. This study instead inverts 4 depth-domain seismic reflection images for geostatistical parameters describing the inter-5 nal heterogeneity of mass-transport deposits by forward modelling their idealised spatial 6 power spectra. If the internal heterogeneity approximates an anisotropic von Karman 7 fractal medium these parameters can describe the structural fabric of the imaged mass-8 transport deposit. A Bayesian Monte Carlo Markov Chain inversion is performed to 9 estimate posterior probability distributions for parameters representing the lateral and 10 vertical dominant scale lengths and the Hurst number (roughness) of the P-wave ve-11 locity heterogeneity. The method is demonstrated on a synthetic multi-channel seismic 12 reflection image and on a real data example from Nankai Trough, offshore Japan. To 13 improve the discrimination between vertical and lateral dominant scale lengths, an esti-14 mate of the vertical dominant scale length from a nearby borehole is used as a prior in 15 the inversion. The vertical and lateral dominant scale lengths are estimated with lower 16 uncertainty when the borehole data is included; with the seismic image alone, only the 17

aspect ratio of heterogeneity (ratio between the two dominant scale lengths) is reliably
 estimated.

²⁰ Key words: submarine landslides – fractals and multifractals – statistical methods

21 1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years it has become increasingly common to acquire seismic reflection images of submarine mass-transport deposits (MTDs) (e.g., Berndt et al. 2012; Vanneste et al. 2014; Sun et al. 2017; Bellwald & Planke 2018). In addition, scientific drilling or coring is often performed to estimate geotechnical and petrophysical parameters, such as undrained shear strength and excess pore pressure (Camerlenghi et al. 2007; Strasser et al. 2011; Dugan 2012). A primary research goal of this data acquisition is to better quantify the geohazard potential from submarine mass-movement.

For traditional marine seismic reflection images of MTDs (dominant source frequency on the order of 50 Hz) internal reflectors often appear chaotic or disordered. This can prevent confident horizon-based interpretation of internal structure (Chopra & Marfurt 2016). An alternative approach to provide information on the internal structure is to characterise the geostatistics of heterogeneity within the medium.

The main goal of this study is to demonstrate a method to constrain the internal struc-34 tural fabric of MTDs directly from reflection images. This is achieved by inverting for geo-35 statistical parameters (lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and Hurst number) under 36 the assumption that the MTD can be approximated by an anisotropic von Karman fractal 37 medium (after Irving & Holliger 2010). This approach is suitable even where the reflection 38 image appears chaotic or disordered. A further goal is to demonstrate how to integrate 39 geostatistical information from a vertical borehole log, where available, to better estimate 40 lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths separately. The method is first validated on a syn-41 thetic model representing a typical submarine MTD scenario, with a modelled multi-channel 42

* jford@inogs.it

⁴³ seismic reflection image and a co-located synthetic vertical borehole. Then, the method is
⁴⁴ applied to a real data case study from the Nankai Trough, offshore Japan.

45 1.1 Previous work

There is a long history of using geostatistical techniques to characterise complex geology 46 from geophysical data. This includes seismic imaging of the lower crust (Holliger & Levander 47 1992); investigating partial saturation in freshwater acquifers from ground-penetrating radar 48 images (Irving et al. 2009); modelling random heterogeneities to characterise the seismic re-49 sponse of the crust and mantle at different scales (Carcione et al. 2005) and characterising 50 complex turbidite systems from 3-D seismic reflection volumes (Caers et al. 2001). Some 51 studies have explored the link between the spatial statistics of the geological medium and 52 the power spectrum of the reflected wavefield. Irving & Holliger (2010) show an analytical 53 relationship between band-limited, self-similar random media (generalised anisotropic von 54 Karman random fractal media; Von Karman 1948) and a corresponding idealised reflection 55 image. They demonstrate that it is possible to use this relationship to estimate geostatis-56 tical parameters characterising the P-wave velocity heterogeneity, such as the aspect ratio 57 of lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and the Hurst number (a self-similarity co-58 efficient related to the roughness of the medium). This approach relies on the assumption 59 that the reflection image approximates a so-called primary reflectivity section, an idealised 60 seismic image. Irving et al. (2009) demonstrate that this technique can recover geostatistical 61 parameters for zero-offset ground-penetrating radar images of shallow, partially saturated 62 acquifers. Scholer et al. (2010) use a similar approach to estimate the correlation structure of 63 P-wave velocity heterogeneity in the crystalline crust from seismic reflection images, includ-64 ing a term to compensate for the theoretical lateral resolution limit of migrated reflection 65 images. 66

⁶⁷ 1.2 Internal structure of mass-transport deposits

Many internal structural fabrics for MTDs are documented in the literature. Ogata et al. 68 (2014) report localised internal shear zones, slumping and intact blocks of included substrate 69 in outcrop examples of exhumed fossil MTDs. Jackson (2011) observes internally coherent 70 rafted megablocks emplaced within more chaotic sediments in an MTD imaged by a 3-D 71 seismic reflection volume. These different internal fabrics reflect differing modes of slope 72 failure, sediment properties and slide kinematics. Thus, improving our understanding of the 73 internal structure of MTDs from geophysical data is vital to better constrain slope failure 74 dynamics and to improve understanding of the geohazard potential from submarine slopes. 75 Core and borehole logs can give a high resolution 3-D reconstruction of strain fabric 76 within MTDs (e.g., Strasser et al. 2011), but only for centimeter-scale structure at single 77 point locations. Acoustic reflection techniques (e.g., multi-channel seismic and sub-bottom 78 echosounder) are the only geophysical methods currently available to image the whole extent 79 of submerged or buried MTDs in-situ, including headscarp, translational and toe domains. 80 For this reason, acoustic reflection datasets are routinely acquired for the purpose of geo-81 hazard assessment. 82

83 2 METHODOLOGY

⁸⁴ 2.1 Geostatistics of internal heterogeneity

For this study we make the assumption that the small-scale P-wave velocity heterogeneity 85 of MTDs approximates an anisotropic von Karman fractal medium (Von Karman 1948). 86 We will characterise these apparently chaotic zones in terms of the following geostatistical 87 parameters: the lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths, a_x and a_z , and the Hurst number, 88 γ . The dominant scale lengths (also known as correlation lengths) a_x and a_z describe the 89 upper limit of the self-similar part of a random medium. They control the degree of continuity 90 of the medium in vertical and horizontal directions. For unfailed sediments, one would expect 91 very long lateral dominant scale lengths due to the presence of laterally continuous beds. 92

Geostatistics of MTDs from seismic and borehole data 5

After failure, sediments may become deformed due to shearing and disaggregation, reducing the lateral dominant scale length. Therefore the lateral dominant scale length is a useful structural parameter that can be a proxy for lateral shortening from deformation. The vertical dominant scale length is more closely related to the average thickness of beds, and therefore may be less affected by mass-transport. The Hurst number, $0 \le \gamma \le 1$, is a dimensionless parameter related to the degree of self-similarity, which controls the roughness or texture of the field. The Hurst number is related to the fractal dimension, D, by

$$D = N + 1 - \gamma \tag{1}$$

where N is the Euclidean dimension of the medium (Goff & Jordan 1988).

We can propose candidate models for the internal velocity structure of an MTD by generating parameterised geostatistical models. The relative likelihood of a proposed model can be estimated from the difference between the power spectrum of the observed seismic image and a forward modelled seismic image. This study will use an analytical relationship between the power spectrum of the medium and an idealised seismic image (from Irving & Holliger 2010) to efficiently forward model the power spectrum.

Setting this within a probabilistic inversion framework, we can suggest prior probabil-107 ity density functions (PDFs) for the model parameters, and compute posterior PDFs by 108 sampling the model space using a Monte Carlo approach and estimating their likelihood. 109 With proper sampling we can retrieve joint posterior PDFs for the model parameters. This 110 gives an estimate of the most likely values for each parameter and an estimate of their re-111 spective uncertainties, for the observed data. In addition, a priori geological information 112 can be incorporated when appropriate prior PDFs for the geostatistical parameters can be 113 estimated. 114

Posterior estimates of such geostatistical parameters (with uncertainties) can constrain the possible internal structure of an MTD from the seismic image. This could be used to classify type of slope failure and discriminate between deformational domains (as in Gafeira et al. 2010). This also makes it possible to validate proposed outcrop analogues against a

chaotic seismic image, where the geostatistical properties of the outcrop analogue can also
be estimated.

Because the likelihood function is computed from the power spectrum, this framework can easily accommodate multiple geophysical observations (e.g., 2-D or 3-D depth-domain seismic images with 1-D borehole logs), so long as the power spectrum of the geophysical response can be related to the spatial power spectrum of the medium.

125 2.2 Stochastic random media

¹²⁶ 2.2.1 Spatial power spectra of stochastic media

¹²⁷ A broad aim is to find a small number of parameters which can characterise the range of ¹²⁸ possible internal structure inside MTDs. For this study we generate stochastic models of ¹²⁹ MTDs defined by their 2-D spatial power spectra.

Here the velocity field, v, is represented by two components, a smoothly varying back-¹³⁰ ground component, v_0 , and a zero-mean, small-scale stochastic component, v', such that

$$v(x,z) = v_0(x,z) + v'(x,z)$$
(2)

where $\frac{v'(x,z)}{v_0(x,z)} \ll 1$ (i.e., the stochastic component is small relative to the background). In 132 general terms, the background velocity is that which is well resolved by geophysical tech-133 niques such as velocity tomography. At experimental bandwidths, however, the small-scale 134 stochastic component generates the vast majority of observed reflections in a seismic image. 135 The small-scale stochastic velocity structure is generally poorly resolved by seismic reflection 136 experiments except perhaps by full-waveform modelling techniques, which can require sig-137 nificant acquisition effort, model conditioning and computational power, with little measure 138 of uncertainty in the final result. 139

We make the assumption that the internal heterogeneity (small-scale stochastic structure, v_0) of an MTD can be approximated as a von Karman fractal medium. After Irving & Holliger (2010), the normalised 2-D spatial power spectrum of an anisotropic von Karman fractal 143 medium is

$$P_{v'}(k_x, k_z) = \frac{c}{(k_x^2 a_x^2 + k_z^2 a_z^2 + 1)^{\gamma+1}}$$
(3)

where c is a normalising constant, a_x and a_z are the dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths and γ is the Hurst number.

¹⁴⁶ 2.2.2 Spatial power spectrum of an idealised seismic image

This study will follow the methodology presented in Irving & Holliger (2010) which links the random medium parameters to the 2-D power spectrum of a resulting idealised seismic image, sometimes referred to as a primary reflectivity section. The idealised seismic image is a convolutional, zero-offset, normal-incidence, constant density approximation. The formulation in depth-domain is as follows:

$$s(x,z) \approx r(x,z) * w(z) * h(x) \tag{4}$$

where s(x, z) is the idealised seismic image in depth, r(x, z) is the normal-incidence acoustic reflectivity, w(z) is the source wavelet and h(x) is a horizontal filter to account for the lateral resolution of a migrated seismic section (Scholer et al. 2010). Note that this idealised seismic image depends only on the P-wave velocity heterogeneity.

Assuming i) the only contribution to acoustic reflectivity is P-wave velocity heterogeneity; ii) reflections from the smooth background velocity (v_0) are negligible and iii) the source wavelet is stationary in depth within the analysis window, the idealised seismic response s(x, z) depends only on the stochastic velocity component (v'):

$$s(x,z) \approx \frac{\delta v'(x,z)}{\delta z} * w(z) * h(x).$$
(5)

The spatial power spectrum of the stochastic component can then be related to the power spectrum of the seismic image by the Fourier transform:

$$P_{s}(k_{x},k_{z}) = k_{z}^{2} P_{v'}(k_{x},k_{z}) \cdot P_{w}(k_{z}) \cdot P_{h}(k_{x})$$
(6)

where P_w is the power spectrum of the source wavelet, w, and P_h is the power spectrum of the lateral resolution filter, h. It follows that the power spectrum of the seismic image can

¹⁶⁴ be directly related to the random medium parameters by Eq. 3:

$$P_s(k_x, k_z) = \frac{ck_z^2}{(k_x^2 a_x^2 + k_z^2 a_z^2 + 1)^{\gamma+1}} \cdot P_w(k_z) \cdot P_h(k_x)$$
(7)

Therefore it is possible to forward model an idealised spatial power spectrum which is comparable to a window of an observed seismic image under the following assumptions:

(i) The analysed window of the observed seismic image approximates a noise-free, zerooffset, true-amplitude, convolutional image in depth-domain.

(ii) The stochastic component of P-wave velocity heterogeneity (v') within the analysed window is an anisotropic von Karman fractal medium characterised by a_x , a_z and γ .

(iii) The geostatistical parameters and source wavelet are stationary over the analysed
 window.

Only physically realisable models are considered (i.e., dominant scale lengths are nonnegative and non-zero).

175 2.3 Bayesian Monte Carlo Markov Chain inversion

This study uses a Bayesian Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) approach to obtain probabilistic estimates for each geostatistical parameter (Mosegaard & Tarantola 1995). This approach can invert for multiple parameters with arbitrary priors and unknown correlation between model parameters. Let **m** be a vector containing the model parameters and \mathbf{d}_{obs} be a vector of observations. Bayes' Theorem states

$$P(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{d}_{obs}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{d}_{obs}|\mathbf{m})P(\mathbf{m})}{P(\mathbf{d}_{obs})}$$
(8)

where $P(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{d}_{obs})$ is the posterior probability density function (PDF), $P(\mathbf{d}_{obs}|\mathbf{m})$ is the likelihood function, $P(\mathbf{m})$ is the prior PDF for the model parameters and $P(\mathbf{d}_{obs})$ acts as a normalising constant. Thus

$$P(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{d}_{obs}) \propto P(\mathbf{d}_{obs}|\mathbf{m})P(\mathbf{m}).$$
(9)

This relation allows us to link the posterior probability density function (left) to the posterior probability distributions (right) obtained from the MCMC.

Geostatistics of MTDs from seismic and borehole data 9

A requirement for probabilistic inversion is a suitable likelihood function — the probability that the proposed model parameters can reproduce the observed data, under some assumed observation and model errors. Here we use a simple likelihood function which assumes independent Gaussian errors (Mosegaard & Tarantola 1995):

$$P(\mathbf{d}_{obs}|\mathbf{m}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{d}_{obs}\|^2}{2\sigma^2 \|\mathbf{d}_{obs}\|^2}\right)$$
(10)

where \mathbf{d}_{obs} and $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{m}}$ contain the power spectral density at each wavenumber pair (k_x, k_z) 190 for the observed and modelled data, respectively. $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{m}}$ is obtained by forward modelling the 191 power spectrum of the idealised seismic image for **m** using Eq. 7. If $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{d}_{obs}$ then the 192 modelled data exactly match the observed data and the likelihood will equal 1 (i.e., **m** is the 193 optimal model). σ is a precision parameter which is proportional to the standard deviation 194 of the data noise and modelling errors. For the two scenarios presented in this study the 195 σ parameter is selected by hand such that the MCMC converges (Mosegaard & Tarantola 196 1995). 197

Bayesian MCMC inversion samples the posterior PDF by a random walk through the 198 model space. The Metropolis-Hastings criterion (Hastings 1970) is used to accept or reject 199 new candidate models to the chain. To add a new element to the chain (i.e., a model **m** 200 drawn from the prior PDFs), the likelihood is computed (Eq. 10). The acceptance ratio 201 is the ratio of the likelihood of the proposed model and the likelihood of the previously 202 accepted element of the chain. If the acceptance ratio is greater than 1 (i.e., the proposed 203 model is more likely than the previously accepted one), the proposed model is automatically 204 accepted to the chain. Otherwise, the proposed model is accepted with probability equal to 205 the acceptance ratio. The output from an MCMC is an ensemble (chain) of accepted models. 206 If the chain has converged (after a so-called "burn-in" period) the distribution of models in 207 the ensemble will be proportional to the joint posterior PDF. The marginal distributions 208 will be proportional to the marginal posterior PDFs for each parameter in the model. This 209 allows estimates of most likely values and uncertainties for each parameter from histograms 210 of the accepted models. 211

Irving & Holliger (2010) show that under typical experimental conditions, the two dom-212 inant scale length parameters a_x and a_z are strongly dependent on each other, such that it 213 may be impossible to resolve each one individually from a reflection image alone. However, 214 they show that is possible to reliably estimate the aspect ratio of heterogeneity $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$. 215 With an external estimate of one of the dominant scale lengths, for example a_z from a ver-216 tical borehole log, it should be possible to resolve a_x and a_z individually. For this study, the 217 model parameters considered in the inversion are a_x , a_z and γ . Also presented in the results 218 is a distribution representing $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$. Bayesian approaches have the advantage of using prior 219 PDFs, so prior geological information can be easily incorporated if it can be expressed in 220 terms of the model parameters. 221

222 2.4 Workflow

223 2.4.1 Seismic reflection image inversion

The seismic reflection image should be true-amplitude migrated and in depth-domain. For the chosen chaotic window of the 2-D image (the MTD zone), the analysis proceeds as follows:

(i) Calculate the 2-D spatial power spectrum, $P_{obs}(k_x, k_z)$, of the chaotic window using a 228 2-D Fast Fourier Transform.

(ii) Estimate the power spectrum of the source, $P_w(k_z)$ (e.g., from the waterbottom reflection, for marine data) accounting for the average P-wave velocity within the analysis window.

(iii) Choose a suitable filter, $P_h(k_x)$, to represent the lateral resolution of the migrated reflection image. Often this is based on the dominant source wavelength. This study follows Scholer et al. (2010) in using a Gaussian low-pass filter with width proportional to the dominant wavelength. Clearly this approximation is only valid for source spectra that are approximately Gaussian, often the case for processed marine seismic reflection images. The filter parameters are chosen such that the amplitude of the Gaussian is 1% at half of the ²³⁸ dominant wavelength, λ_{dom} :

$$h(x) = \exp\left(\frac{4x^2 \ln(0.01)}{\lambda_{\rm dom}^2}\right).$$
(11)

For each proposed model $\mathbf{m} = (a_x, a_z, \gamma)$:

(i) Forward model the idealised, zero-offset 2-D spatial power spectrum, $P_s(k_x, k_z)$ (Eq. 7).

(ii) Compute the likelihood of $P_s(k_x, k_z)$ with the non-negative, non-zero wavenumber components (Eq. 10).

(iii) Accept or reject the model to the Markov Chain according to the Metropolis-Hastings
 criterion (Section 2.3).

This procedure is repeated until the desired number of models have been accepted to the 246 ensemble. The total MCMC sample size and length of initial samples to discard (the so-called 247 "burn-in" period) are chosen by producing trace plots of each parameter for each MCMC 248 experiment. For all experiments in this study the MCMCs for each parameter appear to 249 converge to sampling the final posterior distributions after a maximum of several tens of 250 samples, with low observed serial correlation between samples after convergence for chains 251 of length 10000 samples. To ensure that none of the pre-convergence "burn-in" samples are 252 included in the posterior distribution, the first 1000 samples of each MCMC are discarded 253 from the final posterior distributions. 254

²⁵⁵ 2.4.2 Vertical borehole log inversion

Because the probabilistic inversion approach uses prior PDFs as an input, we can alter these prior PDFs to reflect our *a priori* knowledge of the subsurface. For geohazard studies, for example, borehole logs and cores are often acquired to estimate geotechnical or petrophysical information about the MTD. As these logs have spatial power spectra, we can better constrain geostatistical parameters in the direction of the borehole.

Normally, boreholes are approximately vertical, so we can estimate a_z and γ independently from a vertical borehole log alone (e.g., Browaeys & Fomel 2009). The 1-D form of

263 Eq. 3 is

$$P_b(k_z) = \frac{ck_z^2}{(k_z^2 a_z^2 + 1)^{(\gamma+0.5)}}$$
(12)

where the exponent is modified for a field with Euclidean dimension 1 (Eq. 1). As borehole logs generally directly measure physical parameters we do not need to account for the effect of the source wavelet on the geophysical response of the medium.

²⁶⁷ Otherwise, the inversion proceeds as for the seismic reflection image.

268 3 RESULTS

²⁶⁹ 3.1 Synthetic benchmark – buried submarine mass-transport deposit

This synthetic example is designed to benchmark the inversion for a typical marine geohazard survey. The data acquisition simulates a multi-channel, marine, towed-streamer acquisition over a chaotic MTD body buried under a water layer and heterogeneous sediment cover. The aim of this test is to estimate geostatistical parameters from the seismic reflection image with and without an *a priori* estimate of the vertical dominant scale length from a synthetic borehole velocity log.

The model is divided into two layers, a water layer and a sediment layer, both 350 m 276 thick (see Fig. 1a). Background elastic parameters and geostatistical parameters for the 277 small-scale stochastic component are given in Table 1. The sediment layer has linearly in-278 creasing background P- and S-wave velocity and includes a zone with significantly shorter 279 lateral dominant scale length and distinct Hurst number to represent a buried, chaotic MTD. 280 Otherwise, the MTD zone has the same background elastic parameters as the host sediment 281 layer. The random medium zones are realised on a regular (staggered) 2-D mesh according 282 to Ikelle et al. (1993). 283

This synthetic benchmark simulates a typical 2-D multi-channel marine acquisition geometry. Shot spacing is 40 m, with a zero-phase, 40 Hz Ricker source wavelet. The streamer is comprised of 25 receivers, at 20 m spacing with a near-offset of 20 m, giving a maximum offset of 500 m. For this synthetic test we use a pseudo-spectral, isotropic, visco-elastic

Geostatistics of MTDs from seismic and borehole data 13

scheme (Carcione et al. 2005; Carcione 2014) to forward model the seismic reflection re-288 sponse. The mesh is a staggered grid with regular grid spacing 2 m by 2 m. Sources and 289 receivers are located in the first row of grid points (z = 0 m). The modelling timestep is 290 0.125 ms and the maximum time modelled is 1.1 s in order to record reflections from the 291 deepest part of the model at the surface array. For this experiment, attenuation and free 292 surface multiples are not considered. P- and S- wave quality factors are $Q_{\rm P} = Q_{\rm S} = 10000$ 293 (i.e., negligible attenuation at seismic wavelengths) for all grid points; perfectly absorbing 294 boundary conditions are imposed on all four boundaries of the mesh. 295

As the background velocity model is known and does not vary laterally, the seismic processing follows a basic marine imaging flow, with a pre-stack true-amplitude Kirchhoff time migration (to 60° maximum angle), outer angle mute (to eliminate refracted arrivals), stack and time-to-depth conversion using the background P-wave velocity model. The image is cut to the full-fold area, with maximum depth equal to the maximum depth in the synthetic model (Fig. 2a).

302 3.1.1 Borehole log inversion

The synthetic P-wave velocity borehole log is shown in Fig. 2b. The window analysed is the MTD zone between 500 m and 650 m depth. For the inversion, uniform priors are used: $0 < a_z \le 75$ m (half of the window height) and $0 \le \gamma \le 1$. Marginal posterior probability distributions for a_z and γ are shown in Fig. 3a. Both parameters are centered closed to the true values (Table 2): the mean for a_z is 16.6 m (true value 20 m) and the mean for γ is 0.36 (true value 0.25).

309 3.1.2 Seismic image inversion

Two inversions were run on the seismic reflection image, with and without estimates of the vertical scale length a_z from the borehole. The synthetic seismic image is shown in Fig. 2a. The window analysed is the MTD zone highlighted in Fig. 1b.

For the first inversion (without constraint from a borehole), uniform priors are used.

 $0 < a_x \le 1500 \text{ m}, 0 < a_z \le 75 \text{ m}$ and $0 \le \gamma \le 1$. Marginal posterior probability distributions 314 for a_x , a_z and γ are shown in Fig. 3b, alongside a distribution representing $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a}$. As 315 predicted by Irving & Holliger (2010), a_x and a_z are individually poorly constrained and 316 inaccurate (Table 2). The mean for a_x is 340 m (true value 160 m) and the mean for a_z is 38.3 317 m (true value 20 m). It should be noted that the standard deviations for both distributions 318 are on the same order of magnitude as the mean values (222 m and 21.5 m respectively). The 319 distribution of γ is also poorly constrained, with mean 0.23 (true value 0.25) and standard 320 deviation 0.16. However, the distribution representing $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$ is better constrained, with 321 mean 8.6 (true value 8). 322

The second inversion is parameterised as the first, but includes a constraint for a_z and γ . The prior PDFs for a_z and γ are Gaussian, with mean and standard deviation from the results of the borehole-only inversion. The prior for a_x is uniform, as above: $0 < a_x \le 1500$ m. The priors for a_z and γ are Gaussian: for a_z , mean $\mu = 16.61$ m and standard deviation $\sigma = 4.66$ m; for γ , $\mu = 0.36$ m and standard deviation $\sigma = 0.12$ m (Table 2). Marginal posterior probability distributions are shown in Fig. 3c.

With respect to the first inversion (seismic image only) the second inversion (seismic image with constraint from borehole) shows well-constrained marginal distributions for both a_x and a_z , with peaks close to their true values. This is in contrast to the first inversion, where a_x and a_z are poorly constrained with near-uniform marginal distributions. The distribution representing α has similar mean but is slightly better constrained. The marginal distribution for γ is also better constrained and centred on the true value.

335 3.2 Real data case study – Nankai Trough, offshore Japan

The Nankai Trough (offshore southwest Japan) is an oceanic trench formed by the subduction of the Philippine plate under the Eurasian plate. Associated accretion, seismicity and slope-steeping have resulted in significant mass-wasting during the last 3 Ma (Strasser et al. 2011). A large MTD is identified in a 3-D seismic volume (Fig. 4). Here we consider a 2-D

Geostatistics of MTDs from seismic and borehole data 15

³⁴⁰ profile extracted from the 3-D volume, chosen to show the maximum extent and thickness of
the MTD. The body has a chaotic internal character, with little visible coherent structure.
The survey acquisition parameters are documented in Uraki et al. (2009). The sample
interval in depth is 5 m, with a CDP spacing of approximately 18.75 m. The maximum
observed thickness (at the point where the MTD intersects the edge of the seismic volume)
is approximately 180 m (Strasser et al. 2011).

Also available are logging-while-drilling borehole logs from nearby International Ocean Discovery Programme (IODP) borehole C0018B (Henry et al. 2012), which penetrates the MTD (Fig. 4a). No sonic log was acquired, so the gamma ray log is used to estimate the vertical dominant scale length and Hurst number. Whilst the gamma ray log is not a measure of the P-wave velocity, it is sensitive to changes in lithology (specifically shale fraction), which should correlate with the P-wave velocity. It is expected that both gamma ray and sonic velocity logs should have similar geostatistics within a local interval of a 1-D borehole log.

353 3.2.1 Borehole log inversion

The gamma ray log from IODP borehole C0018B is shown in Fig. 4c. The analysis window is the MTD zone between 3235 m and 3295 m. For the inversion, uniform priors are used: $0 < a_z \leq 30$ m and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. Marginal posterior probability distributions for a_z and γ are shown in Fig. 5a.

The marginal distribution for a_z has mean 5.21 m (standard deviation 1.22 m). The marginal distribution for γ has mean 0.41 (standard deviation 0.10) (Table 3).

360 3.2.2 Seismic image inversion

³⁶¹ Two analysis windows are used on the seismic image (Fig. 4b). Both Zone A and Zone B have ³⁶² the same dimensions (1000 m by 60 m). Zone A is located downslope of Zone B, toward the ³⁶³ toe of the MTD. Zone B is located relatively further upslope, in the more proximal middle ³⁶⁴ part of the MTD. Two inversions are run for each zone, with and without estimates of the ³⁶⁵ vertical scale length a_z and Hurst number γ from the borehole log.

For the first inversions (without constraint from a borehole), uniform priors are used: $0 < a_x \leq 350 \text{ m}, 0 < a_z \leq 35 \text{ m} \text{ and } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. Marginal posterior probability distributions for a_x , a_z and γ in both zones are shown in Fig. 5b, alongside a distribution representing $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$.

The second inversions are parameterised as the first, but include a constraint from the borehole inversion results. The prior for a_x is uniform, as above: $0 < a_x \leq 350$ m. The priors for a_z and γ are Gaussian, fit to the marginal posterior probability distributions from the borehole-only inversion: for a_z , mean $\mu = 5.21$ m and standard deviation $\sigma = 1.22$ m; for γ , $\mu = 0.41$ m and standard deviation $\sigma = 0.13$ m (Table 3). Histograms of the final ensemble are shown in Fig. 5c.

With respect to the first inversion (seismic image only), the second inversion (seismic image with constraint from borehole) shows better-constrained marginal distributions for a_x , a_z and γ . This is in contrast to the first inversion, where a_x and a_z are poorly constrained with near-uniform marginal distributions. The marginal distributions for Zone A show a notably smaller mean a_x and α compared to Zone B, while maintaining similar distributions for a_z . The mean for γ decreases slightly from Zone A to Zone B.

382 4 DISCUSSION

This study applies a geostatistical inversion method (after Irving & Holliger 2010) to char-383 acterise the internal structure of MTDs from seismic reflection images, with and without 384 a constraint from a vertical borehole log. We first demonstrate the method on a synthetic 385 model representing a typical buried submarine MTD scenario and then on a real data case 386 study from the Nankai Trough, offshore Japan. The method gives probabilistic estimates 387 of lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and the Hurst number of the internal het-388 erogeneity. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first time that this technique has been 389 validated for multi-channel, stacked seismic reflection data with a synthetic test. This is 390 also the first published example demonstrating how to condition the inversion using priors 391 derived from a vertical borehole log in order to better constrain the individual lateral and 392

vertical dominant scale lengths. We suggest that this technique could be a useful tool to better constrain internal structure of MTDs as it can be applied even to chaotic seismic reflection images of MTDs, which are common but difficult to interpret using traditional horizon-based methods.

³⁹⁷ 4.1 Synthetic inversion results

For the inversion performed on the synthetic seismic image with uniform priors, the estimated 398 aspect ratio of heterogeneity, $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_x}$, is close to the true model value. However the individual 399 lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths, a_x and a_z , and the Hurst number, γ , are poorly 400 constrained (Fig. 3b). This result is expected from previous studies, which suggest that 401 the 2-D power spectrum (equivalently the 2-D autocorrelation function) is most strongly 402 sensitive to the aspect ratio of heterogeneity rather than to the individual dominant scale 403 lengths or the Hurst number (Irving et al. 2009; Scholer et al. 2010; Irving & Holliger 2010). 404 The inversion is repeated using prior PDFs from estimates of a_z and γ from a synthetic 405 borehole. Under the same inversion scheme, the individual lateral and vertical scale lengths 406 and the Hurst number are better constrained and their marginal distributions are centred 407 closer to the true values, compared to using uniform priors. 408

409 4.2 Nankai Trough case study inversion results

For the Nankai Trough experiment we consider two identically-sized data windows, Zone A and Zone B (Fig. 4b). Zone A is located towards the toe of the MTD. Zone B is located further upslope. The seismic character in both windows is chaotic, lacking laterally coherent seismic reflectors.

First, we invert for the geostatistical parameters in both windows with uniform priors (Fig. 5b). In Zone A, the aspect ratio of heterogeneity, α , is significantly smaller than in Zone B. Including priors for a_z and γ based on the nearby IODP borehole C0018B (Fig. 4c), we still see a reduction in α from Zone B to Zone A, but we see the distributions for lateral dominant scale length, a_x , are much better constrained.

MTDs often show extensional structures near the headwall, little deformation in the central translational zone and compressional structures in the toe region, where the flow may be confined (Fig. 6). The observed reduction in lateral dominant scale length from Zone B to Zone A is consistent with this interpretation of the MTD. More compression will result in increased stratal disruption, giving a shorter lateral dominant scale length compared to relatively undeformed sediments. This could explain the reduction in lateral dominant scale length and aspect ratio of heterogeneity.

The velocity heterogeneity within the MTD should be closely related to lithological 426 heterogeneity. For mass-transport scenarios, this heterogeneity could be predominantly due 427 to included megaclasts, intact blocks or intense folding from stratal disruption. For the 428 Nankai Trough case study, we see a reduction in lateral dominant scale length and the aspect 429 ratio of heterogeneity from Zone B to Zone A. This implies a reduction in the horizontal 430 scale of the heterogeneity, possibly linked to increasing compression due to confinement at 431 the toe of the slide. This reduction in lateral scale length is consistent with most conceptual 432 models of the variation in internal structure from proximal to distal within the depositional 433 part of mass-transport deposits (e.g., Bull et al. 2009, see Fig. 6). 434

435 4.3 Internal structure from geostatistical parameters

How should these geostatistical parameters be interpreted in the context of MTDs? These parameters are abstract and set in terms of a statistical model, not in terms of geological structure. We suggest that the dominant scale lengths can be proxies for relative deformation from both mass-transport processes and tectonic stresses. Increasing deformation (e.g., folding from compression, reduction in size of intact blocks due to progressive disaggregation) should reduce the lateral dominant scale length and also the aspect ratio of heterogeneity.

Here we only consider heterogeneity of the P-wave velocity field, as we believe this should capture much of the geological heterogeneity that controls the seismic response. In fact, this method could be used to describe any kind of geological heterogeneity, so long as it can be related to the acoustic impedance (the idealised seismic image approximation only models ⁴⁴⁶ normal-incidence reflections). For the MTD case, for example, one could consider the MTD
⁴⁴⁷ medium as a mixture of two component lithologies with distinct acoustic impedances (e.g.,
⁴⁴⁸ matrix and clasts). Thus estimating the geostatistical parameters can inform the geostatistics
⁴⁴⁹ of the geology directly.

450 4.4 Limits in generalisation

Using a synthetic example we show that an idealised seismic image approximation (Section 451 2.2.2) is valid for one multi-channel marine seismic experiment, with a specific overburden 452 and seismic character. This allows a computationally inexpensive inversion method (on the 453 order of minutes on a standard desktop computer in 2019) to estimate random medium 454 parameters from a window of a reflection image. The validity of the approximation will 455 depend on the local geology and on the seismic imaging performed. Multiple scattering, 456 attenuation and seismic noise will all reduce the validity of the idealised seismic image 457 approximation. 458

The method presented in this study uses the spatial power spectrum to evaluate random 459 media models and to estimate the misfit between a corresponding theoretical and observed 460 seismic reflection image. For a given spatial power spectrum there exist infinite physical 461 realisations of the corresponding random medium. It is important to note that this method 462 only constrains the statistics of the heterogeneity, not the direct medium properties. It is 463 possible that there are better representations, especially for small window sizes which may 464 suffer from edge-effects from the Fast Fourier Transform. Some previous studies have used 465 the autocorrelation function instead (Irving et al. 2009; Scholer et al. 2010). 466

This study only considers 2-D seismic profiles. Mass-transport is an inherently 3-D geological process, so strong lateral heterogeneity observed in the plane of the profile implies that strong heterogeneity perpendicular to the profile is also likely. This 3-D heterogeneity could generate strong out-of-plane reflections. For a chaotic seismic reflection image, it may be impossible to identify or remove these out-of-plane reflections during imaging or inter-

⁴⁷² pretation. It is presently unclear how the results of the inversion may be affected if these ⁴⁷³ spurious reflections contaminate the analysis window.

Is the anisotropic von Karman random fractal medium a suitable statistical representa-474 tion of the internal structure of MTDs? There exist many studies suggesting that geology 475 in general has fractal-like properties (band-limited self-similarity; e.g., Goff & Jordan 1988; 476 Turcotte 1997; Browaeys & Fomel 2009; Nelson et al. 2015). Analysis of MTDs in outcrop 477 is necessary to determine if this could be a useful statistical model. The formulation used in 478 this study (Eq. 3) assumes no dominant dip direction. This could be reasonable for MTDs 479 deposited in the deep ocean, for example, but not if there has been post-depositional defor-480 mation from tectonics. In future work it should be straightforward to include dominant dip 481 direction as an extra parameter in the inversion (see Yuan et al. 2014, for an example). 482

483 4.5 Future development

The technique compares seismic images in the power spectrum domain. This naturally al-484 lows integration of other geophysical data types which can be expressed in terms of their 485 power spectra and of geological information as priors in the inversion. Ultimately, this tech-486 nique should be able to integrate multiple geophysical and geological observations in a joint 487 inversion. For the submarine MTD case, joint inversion of seismic, sub-bottom profiles and 488 borehole logs could be a fruitful direction for geohazard research. Another direction for fu-489 ture work in this area is to apply this method to problems with higher dimensionality, such 490 as 3-D seismic volumes and heterogeneity with a dominant dip direction. 491

492 5 CONCLUSIONS

We show that under certain assumptions it is possible to relate geostatistical parameters (lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths, Hurst number) of an anisotropic von Karman fractal medium to the 2-D power spectrum of the corresponding multi-channel seismic reflection image using Irving & Holliger (2010). We suggest that it is feasible to estimate such ⁴⁹⁷ parameters from chaotic seismic reflection images of submarine MTDs to characterise their
 ⁴⁹⁸ internal structure.

First we validate this technique on a synthetic scenario containing a buried chaotic 499 body, representing a submarine MTD, imaged with a typical multi-channel marine seismic 500 acquisition and penetrated by a synthetic borehole. Estimates of the aspect ratio of lateral 501 and vertical dominant scale lengths from the seismic image agree well with the synthetic 502 model. Previous studies have been unable to separate the individual lateral and vertical 503 dominant scale lengths as the inversion is sensitive mainly to their aspect ratio (Irving & 504 Holliger 2010). We estimate the vertical dominant scale length and Hurst number from the 505 synthetic borehole log, and repeat the seismic inversion using these probabilistic estimates as 506 Gaussian priors. The results including the constraint from the borehole show good agreement 507 for individual lateral and vertical dominant scale lengths and Hurst number. 508

We then apply the technique to a real data case study from Nankai Trough, offshore Japan. The data considered are a seismic reflection profile and the gamma ray log from a borehole which penetrates a large MTD. Considering two analysis windows, one upslope and one downslope, we see a reduction in lateral dominant scale length towards the toe of the MTD. This is consistent with increasing deformation due to compression toward the toe of the slide.

Geostatistical inversion could be a useful tool to aid in constraining the internal structure 515 of MTDs observed in seismic reflection data, even when they show an apparently chaotic 516 internal seismic response. The geostatistical parameters considered in this study can be used 517 to validate conceptual models of internal structure; as a proxy for varying strain or degree 518 of deformation in different parts of the slide and to guide future data acquisition to better 519 image internal structure. We have shown that it is possible to estimate the lateral and ver-520 tical dominant scale lengths separately with an external estimate of vertical dominant scale 521 length, such as from a vertical borehole log. The lateral dominant scale length (alternatively 522 the aspect ratio of heterogeneity) in particular could be a good proxy for the extent of 523 sediment deformation and stratal disruption within an MTD. 524

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 525

Jonathan Ford was supported by a Marie Curie Doctoral Fellowship through the SLATE 526 Innovative Training Network within the European Union Framework Programme for Re-527 search and Innovation Horizon 2020 under Grant Agreement No. 721403. The Kumano 3-D 528 seismic dataset was provided by Greg Moore (SOEST). Seismic processing and imaging was 529 performed using Seismic Un^{*}x (Cohen & Stockwell 2016). Thanks to Philippe Cance and 530 Davide Gei for providing the pseudo-spectral numerical modelling code and for discussion 531 on the topic. The quality of this manuscript was greatly improved thanks to constructive 532 reviews from Umberta Tinavella, José Carcione and Aldo Vesnaver. 533

REFERENCES 534

551

- Bellwald, B. & Planke, S., 2018. Shear margin moraine, mass transport deposits and soft beds 535 revealed by high-resolution P-Cable three-dimensional seismic data in the Hoop area, Barents 536
- Sea, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, p. SP477.29. 537
- Berndt, C., Costa, S., Canals, M., Camerlenghi, A., de Mol, B., & Saunders, M., 2012. Repeated 538
- slope failure linked to fluid migration: The Ana submarine landslide complex, Eivissa Channel, 539
- Western Mediterranean Sea, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, **319-320**, 65–74. 540
- Browaeys, T. J. & Fomel, S., 2009. Fractal heterogeneities in sonic logs and low-frequency scat-541
- tering attenuation, Geophysics, 74(2), WA77–WA92. 542
- Bull, S., Cartwright, J., & Huuse, M., 2009. A review of kinematic indicators from mass-transport 543 complexes using 3D seismic data, Marine and Petroleum Geology, 26(7), 1132–1151. 544
- Caers, J., Avseth, P., & Mukerji, T., 2001. Geostatistical integration of rock physics, seismic 545 amplitudes, and geologic models in North Sea turbidite systems, The Leading Edge, 20(3), 308-546 312. 547
- Camerlenghi, A., Urgeles, R., Ercilla, G., & Brückmann, W., 2007. Scientific ocean drilling behind 548 the assessment of geo-hazards from submarine slides, Scientific Drilling, 4, 45–47. 549
- Carcione, J. M., 2014. Wave Fields in Real Media: Wave Propagation in Anisotropic, Anelastic, 550 Porous and Electromagnetic Media, vol. 38, Elsevier.
- Carcione, J. M., Finetti, I. R., & Gei, D., 2005. Seismic modelling applied to interpretation of a 552
- CROP crustal section in the Adriatic Sea, in CROP Project: Deep Seismic Exploration of the 553
- Central Mediterranean and Italy, vol. 1, p. 794, ed. Finetti, I. R., Elsevier. 554

- ⁵⁵⁵ Chopra, S. & Marfurt, K., 2016. Understanding the seismic disorder attribute and its applications,
 ⁵⁵⁶ The Leading Edge, 35(8), 695–702.
- ⁵⁵⁷ Cohen, J. K. & Stockwell, J. J. W., 2016. CWP/SU: Seismic Un*x Release No. 44R2: an open
- source software package for seismic research and processing, Center for Wave Phenomena, Col orado School of Mines.
- ⁵⁶⁰ Dugan, B., 2012. Petrophysical and consolidation behavior of mass transport deposits from the ⁵⁶¹ northern Gulf of Mexico, IODP Expedition 308, *Marine Geology*, **315-318**, 98–107.
- ⁵⁶² Gafeira, J., Long, D., Scrutton, R., & Evans, D., 2010. 3D seismic evidence of internal structure
- ⁵⁶³ within Tampen Slide deposits on the North Sea Fan: are chaotic deposits that chaotic?, *Journal*
- $_{564}$ of the Geological Society, **167**(3), 605–616.
- ⁵⁶⁵ Goff, J. A. & Jordan, T. H., 1988. Stochastic Modeling of Seafloor Morphology: Inversion of Sea
- ⁵⁶⁶ Beam Data for Second-Order Statistics, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, **93**(B11),
- ⁵⁶⁷ 13589–13608.
- Hastings, W. K., 1970. Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications,
- $_{569}$ Biometrika, 57(1), 97–109.
- Henry, P., Kanamatsu, T., Moe, K., & the Expedition 333 Scientists, 2012. Proceedings of the
- Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Volume 333 Expedition Reports NanTroSEIZE Stage 2:
 Subduction Inputs 2 and Heat Flow, 333, 16.
- ⁵⁷³ Holliger, K. & Levander, A. R., 1992. A stochastic view of lower crustal fabric based on evidence
 ⁵⁷⁴ from the Ivrea Zone, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **19**(11), 1153–1156.
- Ikelle, L. T., Yung, S. K., & Daube, F., 1993. 2-D random media with ellipsoidal autocorrelation
 functions, *Geophysics*, 58(9), 1359–1372.
- 577 Irving, J. & Holliger, K., 2010. Geostatistical inversion of seismic and ground-penetrating radar
- reflection images: What can we actually resolve?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **37**(21).
- ⁵⁷⁹ Irving, J., Knight, R., & Holliger, K., 2009. Estimation of the lateral correlation structure of
- subsurface water content from surface-based ground-penetrating radar reflection images, Water
 Resources Research, 45(12).
- Jackson, C., 2011. Three-dimensional seismic analysis of megaclast deformation within a mass transport deposit; Implications for debris flow kinematics, *Geology*, **39**, 203–206.
- Mosegaard, K. & Tarantola, A., 1995. Monte Carlo sampling of solutions to inverse problems,
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 100(B7), 12431–12447.
- ⁵⁸⁶ Nelson, C. E., Hobbs, R. W., & Rusch, R., 2015. On the Use of Fractal Surfaces to Understand
- Seismic Wave Propagation in Layered Basalt Sequences, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 172(7),
- ⁵⁸⁸ 1879–1892.
- ⁵⁸⁹ Ogata, K., Pogačnik, Z., Pini, G. A., Tunis, G., Festa, A., Camerlenghi, A., & Rebesco, M., 2014.

- The carbonate mass transport deposits of the Paleogene Friuli Basin (Italy/Slovenia): Internal anatomy and inferred genetic processes, *Marine Geology*, **356**, 88–110.
- Scholer, M., Irving, J., & Holliger, K., 2010. Estimation of the correlation structure of crustal
 velocity heterogeneity from seismic reflection data, *Geophysical Journal International*, 183(3),
 1408–1428.
- 595 Strasser, M., Moore, G. F., Kimura, G., Kopf, A. J., Underwood, M. B., Guo, J., & Screaton,
- E. J., 2011. Slumping and mass transport deposition in the Nankai fore arc: Evidence from
- ⁵⁹⁷ IODP drilling and 3-D reflection seismic data, *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics*, *Geosystems*, **12**(5), ⁵⁹⁸ Q0AD13.
- Sun, Q., Alves, T., Xie, X., He, J., Li, W., & Ni, X., 2017. Free gas accumulations in basal shear
 zones of mass-transport deposits (Pearl River Mouth Basin, South China Sea): An important
 geohazard on continental slope basins, *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 81(Supplement C), 17–
 32.
- ⁶⁰³ Turcotte, D. L., 1997. Fractals and chaos in geology and geophysics, Cambridge University Press.
- ⁶⁰⁴ Uraki, S., Kido, Y., Sanada, Y., Kuramoto, S., Okano, T., Saga, H., Park, J., Moore, G., & Taira,
- A., 2009. Kumano-nada 3D seismic data acquisition and processing, *Butsuri Tansa*, **62**, 277–288.
- Vanneste, M., Sultan, N., Garziglia, S., Forsberg, C. F., & L'Heureux, J.-S., 2014. Seafloor
 instabilities and sediment deformation processes: The need for integrated, multi-disciplinary
 investigations, *Marine Geology*, 352, 183–214.
- ⁶⁰⁹ Von Karman, T., 1948. Progress in the Statistical Theory of Turbulence, Proceedings of the
- ⁶¹⁰ National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **34**(11), 530–539.
- Yuan, G., Pei-Min, Z. H. U., Hui, L. I., & Xiao-Yong, L. I., 2014. Estimation of 2d Stationary
- Random Medium Parameters from Post-Stack Seismic Data, Chinese Journal of Geophysics,
- 513 **57**(4), 450–461.



Figure 1. Synthetic buried mass-transport deposit model. a) Geostatistical parameters: lateral and vertical scale lengths $(a_x \text{ and } a_z)$ and Hurst number (γ) for each model zone. The water layer is uniform. Background elastic parameters are given in Table 1. b) P-wave velocity model. The location of the synthetic borehole is shown in solid red.



Figure 2. Synthetic buried mass-transport deposit modelling results. a) Seismic reflection image in depth-domain (pre-stack time migrated and converted to depth using the smooth background P-wave velocity function in Table 1). Location of the synthetic borehole is shown in solid red. The mass-transport deposit zone (dashed red) shows a more disordered, chaotic seismic character compared to the more stratified overburden sediments. b) P-wave velocity log sampled at 0.25 m.



Figure 3. Marginal posterior probability distributions for the synthetic buried mass-transport deposit benchmark for dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths, a_x and a_z , aspect ratio of heterogeneity, $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$, and Hurst number, γ . True values are shown in red. Details of priors are given in the text. a) P-wave velocity log from the synthetic borehole. b) Seismic image (Fig. 2a). c) Seismic image (Fig. 2a) with constraints on a_z and γ from the synthetic borehole log.



Figure 4. Nankai Trough case study data. a) Map showing extent of the Kumano 3-D seismic volume, the thickness of the mass-transport deposit, profile X-X' and IODP borehole C0018B. b) Seismic reflection profile X-X' (from the 3-D volume) showing a buried mass-transport deposit. The body lacks laterally coherent internal reflections compared to the unfailed sediments surrounding it. Zones A and B are indicated alongside the extent of the IODP borehole C0018B (dashed red line; Fig. 4c) when projected onto the profile. c) Logging-while-drilling gamma ray log from IODP borehole C0018B (downsampled to 0.25 m).



Figure 4. (continued)



Figure 5. Marginal posterior probability distributions for the Nankai Trough case study for dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths a_x and a_z , aspect ratio of heterogeneity $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$, and Hurst number γ . See text for details of priors. a) MTD zone of the gamma ray log from IODP borehole C0018B. b) Zones A (downslope) and B (upslope) of the seismic profile (Fig. 4b). c) Zones A (downslope) and B (upslope) of the seismic profile (Fig. 4b) with a constraint on a_z and γ from the borehole log.



Figure 6. a) Schematic diagram showing representative internal structure found within submarine landslides and mass-transport deposits (from Bull et al. 2009). Note increasing deformation due to confinement towards the toe of the slide. b) Illustration of two mechanisms for reducing the lateral dominant scale length by mass-transport – disaggregation of large coherent intact blocks and stratal disruption of soft sediments. In general increased deformation will result in a decrease in lateral dominant scale length (and aspect ratio of heterogeneity). c) Outcrop example of variation in lateral dominant scale length due to a reduction in size of included megaclasts (Vernasso Quarry, NE Italy).

Table 1. Background elastic parameters and geostatistical parameters for each unit in the synthetic model (Fig. 1). z is the depth below the waterbottom, $v_{\rm P}$ and $v_{\rm S}$ are the P- and S-wave velocities, respectively, and ρ is the density.

	Background el	Geostatistical parameters (v')				
	$v_{\mathrm{P}}(z)~\mathrm{[m~s^{-1}]}$	$v_{\rm S}(z)~[{\rm m~s}$ $^{-1}]$	$\rho~[{\rm kg~m}$ ^3]	a_x [m]	a_z [m]	γ []
Water Sediment MTD	$\begin{array}{c} 1500 \\ 1750 + 0.3z \\ 1750 + 0.3z \end{array}$		$ 1000 \\ 1600 \\ 1600 $	$\frac{1200}{160}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$\begin{matrix}\\ 0.75\\ 0.25 \end{matrix}$

Table 2. Summary statistics for the synthetic benchmark marginal posterior probability distributions for dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths, a_x and a_z , aspect ratio of heterogeneity $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$, and Hurst number γ . Mean, μ , and standard deviation, σ for each marginal distribution are shown.

Experiment	a_x [m]	Mea a_z [m]	n, μ $\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$	γ	Sta a_x [m]	andard d a_z [m]	eviation, $a = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$	σ γ
Syntheticmodel(true values)	160	20	8	0.25				
Synthetic borehole Seismic image Seismic image (with borehole)	$\frac{-}{136}$	$16.6 \\ 38.3 \\ 16.7$		$0.36 \\ 0.23 \\ 0.32$	$\frac{1}{222}$ 54	$\begin{array}{c} 4.7 \\ 21.5 \\ 4.6 \end{array}$	$2.6 \\ 2.2$	$0.12 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.10$

Table 3. Summary statistics for the Nankai Trough case study marginal posterior probability distributions for dominant lateral and vertical scale lengths, a_x and a_z , aspect ratio of heterogeneity, α , and Hurst number, γ . Mean, μ , and standard deviation, σ for each marginal distribution are shown.

	Mean, μ				Standard deviation, σ			
Experiment	a_x [m]	a_z [m]	$\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$	γ	a_x [m]	a_z [m]	$\alpha = \frac{a_x}{a_z}$	γ
Borehole C0018B		5.21	_	0.41		1.22	_	0.13
Zone A	19.68	15.06	1.30	0.85	12.08	8.62	0.25	0.10
Zone A (with borehole)	3.46	5.22	0.66	0.57	2.39	1.23	0.42	0.09
Zone B	43.54	14.95	2.90	0.32	31.01	8.59	1.07	0.17
Zone B (with borehole)	12.85	5.22	2.46	0.37	5.65	1.23	0.89	0.11