Multiobjective Optimization for Optimal Water Resource Allocation Article not Peer Reviewed

Yajnavalkya Bandyopadhyay

October 14, 2024

Abstract

This work investigates the use of multiobjective optimization (MOO) in the distribution of water resources, a crucial problem made worse by rising demand brought on by population expansion, industrialization, and climate change. Conventional unidimensional methods frequently fall short in considering the intricacies of conflicting water requirements in several domains, including domestic consumption, industry, agriculture, and environmental conservation. By striking a balance between these competing goals, MOO provides a more complete solution to guarantee fair, effective, and sustainable water distribution.

A case study of the Chotanagpur Plateau area shows how MOO might be used practically to solve problems with water allocation. The study demonstrates how MOO might lessen resource conflicts and advance sustainability in water-scarce settings by optimizing water allocation across home consumption, industry, agricultural, and ecological needs.

Finally, MOO offers a strong framework for the sustainable management of water resources in an increasingly uncertain future, balancing the interests of the social, economic, and environmental spheres.

Keywords— Optimization, Hydrology, Multiobjective , Chotanagpur Plateau, Water Resource

1 Introduction

Water is one of the most critical resources for human survival, economic development, and environmental sustainability. As populations grow and economies expand, the demand for water increases, exacerbating the challenges related to its distribution, management, and conservation. Competing demands from agriculture, industry, domestic use, and ecosystem maintenance have intensified the pressure on water resource systems, making efficient allocation a critical priority [128, 4, 113, 118, 76, 119, 32, 24, 39]. To address these challenges, multiobjective optimization (MOO) has emerged as a powerful approach for balancing competing objectives, ensuring optimal water resource allocation while considering economic, social, and environmental dimensions [108, 88, 53, 30, 91, 133, 36, 72, 100, 59].

In this paper, we explore the application of multiobjective optimization for water resource allocation, examining the complexity of balancing diverse and often conflicting goals in water distribution systems. The goal is to provide an optimal allocation strategy that meets the demands of various stakeholders, respects environmental limits, and accounts for future uncertainties like climate change, technological advancements, and economic fluctuations.

1.1 Background and Motivation

Water resource allocation typically involves trade-offs between multiple conflicting objectives, such as maximizing water supply reliability, minimizing costs, ensuring equitable access, and preserving ecosystems [31, 66, 105, 92, 135, 138, 81, 65, 108, 23]. Traditional optimization techniques, which often rely on single-objective frameworks, fail to capture the complexity of these interrelated and conflicting priorities. For instance, prioritizing agricultural water use may reduce the availability of water for urban areas or disrupt the ecological balance in a river system.

The need for multiobjective optimization arises from the inherent conflicts and complexities within water resource management. The classical approach of formulating the water allocation problem as a single-objective optimization, where the primary goal is to minimize or maximize a single objective (such as minimizing water shortage or maximizing profit), is often inadequate [9, 84, 18, 95, 101, 82, 75, 83, 26, 37]. Multiobjective optimization allows for a more holistic approach by simultaneously addressing various objectives that are equally important to different stakeholders. This technique enables decision-makers to evaluate trade-offs and generate a set of optimal solutions, commonly referred to as Pareto-optimal solutions, where no single objective can be improved without compromising another [134, 20, 10, 97, 33, 58, 34, 71, 69, 16].

Moreover, the increasing variability in water availability due to climate change further complicates the water resource allocation problem. Frequent droughts, unpredictable rainfall patterns, and extreme weather events place additional stress on water distribution systems. The application of multiobjective optimization can help develop more robust and adaptive allocation strategies that account for such uncertainties, ensuring water security and sustainability in the face of changing climatic conditions [61, 27, 6, 108, 82, 94, 139, 137, 45, 78].

1.2 Water Resource Allocation: A Multiobjective Problem

Water resource allocation involves managing the supply and demand of water across different sectors, including agriculture, urban consumption, industry, and environmental flows. Each sector has its own set of objectives and constraints [98, 74, 21, 108, 35, 89, 80, 77, 129, 7]. For example, farmers may prioritize water availability for irrigation to maximize crop yields, while urban areas may seek to ensure a consistent water supply for domestic consumption. Additionally, environmentalists advocate for maintaining sufficient water flows to preserve aquatic ecosystems, which are often in conflict with human water consumption needs.

These diverse and competing demands highlight the necessity for a multiobjective approach. MOO enables decision-makers to incorporate a variety of objectives, such as:

• Maximizing Water Use Efficiency: Efficient use of water in agriculture,

industry, and urban areas is essential to reduce wastage and make better use of the available resource.

- Ensuring Equitable Water Distribution: Fair distribution of water resources across different sectors and regions, particularly between urban and rural areas, is critical to avoid socio-economic disparities.
- Minimizing Costs: Both the operational costs of water supply systems and the economic costs related to water shortages need to be minimized.
- Sustaining Ecosystems: Environmental considerations, such as maintaining minimum river flows to support aquatic life and ecosystems, are vital for biodiversity and long-term ecological balance.
- Ensuring Water Supply Reliability: Reliable water supply systems are critical to prevent disruptions in water availability due to seasonal variations or infrastructure failures.

1.3 Multiobjective Optimization Methods in Water Resource Allocation

These algorithms, such as the Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA-II)[28] or Multiobjective Particle Swarm Optimization (MOPSO) [96], use populationbased approaches to generate a diverse set of solutions. They are particularly useful for complex, nonlinear water resource allocation problems, as they can explore a wide solution space and handle multiple constraints effectively.

1.4 Application of MOO in Water Resource Allocation

In practical applications, MOO has been successfully implemented in various case studies of water resource allocation. For instance, in river basin management, MOO has been used to balance water distribution among agricultural, urban, and ecological users while considering seasonal fluctuations and environmental constraints. In transboundary water management, MOO helps resolve conflicts between neighboring regions or countries that share a common water source, ensuring that all stakeholders have equitable access to water resources while minimizing the risk of over-extraction [108, 66, 55, 88, 22, 87, 67, 3, 131, 81].

Additionally, MOO has been applied to optimize the operation of multi-reservoir systems, where different reservoirs serve multiple purposes such as hydropower generation, flood control, and irrigation. These systems require sophisticated optimization techniques to ensure that the various objectives are met without compromising system reliability or environmental sustainability [5, 136, 38, 48, 52, 46, 54, 99, 132, 25].

2 Model Overview

This Paper presents a mathematical model for optimal water resource allocation among four sectors: Agriculture, Industry, Domestic, and Geological. The model aims to maximize economic output, minimize costs, ensure sustainability, and meet specific water demands using data from various government agencies and research papers [49, 17, 56, 29, 62, 93, 70, 111, 112, 15, 109, 40, 44, 64, 19, 42, 63, 12, 43, 130, 124].

3 Objective Functions

Let:

- W_a, W_i, W_d, W_g represent water allocated to agriculture, industry, domestic, and geological sectors, respectively.
- C_a, C_i, C_d, C_g represent water demands for these sectors.
- E_a, E_i, E_d, E_g represent the economic value generated by the sectors.
- S_a , S_i , S_d , S_g represent the sustainability factors for each sector.
- P_a , P_i , P_d , P_q represent the priority factors for each sector, where higher values indicate higher priority.

3.1 1. Maximize Agricultural Output (Economic Benefit)

$$
\text{Maximize } Z_1 = E_a(W_a) - \lambda_a (W_a - C_a)^2 \tag{1}
$$

Where $E_a(W_a)$ is the economic value generated from agricultural yield based on allocated water W_a. The penalty term $\lambda_a (W_a - C_a)^2$ captures deviations from the optimal crop water requirement.

3.2 2. Minimize Water Allocation Cost for Industry

$$
Minimize Z_2 = C_i \times P_i - E_i(W_i)
$$
\n
$$
(2)
$$

Where $E_i(W_i)$ is the economic gain from industrial production, and $C_i \times P_i$ captures the cost associated with under- or over-supplying industry needs.

3.3 3. Minimize Domestic Water Shortage

Minimize
$$
Z_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{N_d} \left(\frac{W_d(j) - C_d(j)}{C_d(j)} \right)^2
$$
 (3)

Where $W_d(j)$ and $C_d(j)$ represent the allocated and required water for domestic zone j.

3.4 4. Maximize Geological Sustainability

$$
\text{Maximize } Z_4 = S_g(W_g) - \mu_g(W_g - R_g) \tag{4}
$$

Where $S_g(W_g)$ represents groundwater sustainability, and $\mu_g(W_g - R_g)$ penalizes water extraction exceeding recharge R_g .

4 Constraints

4.1 1. Water Balance Constraint

$$
W_a + W_i + W_d + W_g \le W_{total} \tag{5}
$$

Where W_{total} is the total available water.

4.2 2. Sector-Specific Water Demand Constraints

$$
W_g \ge R_g \quad \text{(Geological Recharge Requirement)} \tag{9}
$$

4.3 3. Minimum and Maximum Water Allocation for Sectors

$$
W_{a,min} \le W_a \le W_{a,max} \tag{10}
$$

$$
W_{i,min} \le W_i \le W_{i,max} \tag{11}
$$

$$
W_{d,min} \le W_d \le W_{d,max} \tag{12}
$$

$$
W_{g,min} \le W_g \le W_{g,max} \tag{13}
$$

5 Case Study : Chotanagpur Platue

5.1 Chotanagpur Plateau's Geographical Factors

The Chotanagpur Plateau is a well-known geographic area in eastern India that crosses the states of Jharkhand, Bihar, West Bengal, and Chhattisgarh. Recognized for its abundant mineral riches, unique geography, and varied ecosystems, it is essential to the region's socioeconomic and environmental elements. The plateau is made up of several sub-plateaus, including the Ranchi, Hazaribagh, and Koderma plateaus, and has an average elevation of 700 to 1,200 meters. Below, we go into great detail about the Chotanagpur Plateau's geographic features [73, 8, 50, 106, 1, 120, 117, 126, 104, 51].

5.2 Area and Size

The Chotanagpur Plateau is located between the latitudes of 22°N to 24°30' N and the longitudes of 83°E to 86°30' E. It covers an approximate area of 65,000 square kilometers. The work has been carried out of the fourteen districts of Jharkhand namely Simdega, Ranchi, Ramgarh, Palamu, Lohardaga, Koderma, Khunti, Hazaribagh, Gumla, Giridih, Garhwa, Dhanbad, Chatra, Bokaro and Puruliya (Purulia) district of West Bengal.

The plateau has a clear geographical boundary formed by the Mahanadi Basin to the south and the Gangetic Plains to the north. The Maikal Hills border the southwest, and the Rajmahal Hills lie to the northeast [40, 73, 117, 106, 51].

5.3 Structure of Geology

The Chotanagpur Plateau is one of the earliest landmasses in India, having formed during the Precambrian period. The plateau is composed of igneous and metamorphic rocks, including quartzite, gneiss, schist, and granite. It is a component of the Peninsular Shield, which is renowned for its rigidity and stability.

Due to the abundance of resources in the area, such as coal, iron ore, manganese, bauxite, copper, and mica, businesses and mining operations have expanded. Because of the presence of important coalfields like Jharia and Bokaro, this area contributes significantly to India's energy industry [102, 123, 121, 90, 125, 73, 122, 8, 2, 47].

5.4 Landforms and Topography

The Chotanagpur Plateau's geography is made up of a number of erratic hills, valleys, and scattered plateaus. Numerous hill ranges, such as the 600 to 1,000 meter-high Ranchi and Hazaribagh hills, define the topography of the plateau. At 1,365 meters, Parasnath Hill is the tallest hill in the area and a spiritual place for the Jain community [73, 1, 8, 117, 126, 122, 50, 90, 121, 14].

There are three primary sub-regions that make up the plateau:

- Ranchi Plateau: This level plateau in the south is renowned for its woodland cover, waterfalls, and visual splendor.
- Hazaribagh Plateau: With an average elevation of 600 meters, it is higher and more untamed than the Ranchi Plateau.
- Koderma Plateau: Slightly lower in elevation and characterized by narrow ridges, this area is situated further north.

Prominent features such as deep canyons, valleys, and scarps contribute to the harsh and picturesque landscape of the plateau. The plateau's eastern side gently dips towards the Ganga Plain, while its western edge features severe escarpments.

5.5 Temperature

The Chotanagpur Plateau experiences primarily tropical weather with distinct wet and dry seasons. The scorching summer months of March through June have highs of between 30°C and 40°C. The cold weather during the winter months of November through February can reach as low as 5°C in certain places[51].

The southwest monsoon is the main source of the 1,000 to 1,500 mm of yearly rainfall that the area receives on average. Rainfall distribution is unequal, with the western areas receiving less than the eastern ones. The climate of the plateau is suitable for a wide range of plants, from arid scrublands to moist deciduous forests[110].

5.6 System of Drainage

The rivers Damodar, Subarnarekha, Koel, and Barakar dominate the plateau's welldefined drainage system. Because of the uneven topography, these rivers frequently generate rapids and waterfalls as they run through gorges and tight valleys. The steep gradient and heavy sediment load of the Damodar River, also referred to as the "Sorrow of Bengal," make it vulnerable to flooding during the monsoon season [122, 50, 90, 121, 14, 110, 68].

The Chotanagpur Plateau's rivers are primarily seasonal, with the dry months seeing a sharp drop in water levels. Nonetheless, they are essential to agriculture since they provide irrigation for the neighboring areas.

5.7 Types of Soils

The weathering of igneous rocks has created the primarily lateritic and red soils of the Chotanagpur Plateau. These soils are less fruitful because they are low in humus and nitrogen but high in iron and aluminum. Nonetheless, some low-lying regions and valleys have reasonably productive soil that is good for growing paddy and other crops.

Cotton and oilseeds are grown in some locations because of the black soil that exists there, especially in the floodplains and basins [41, 115, 85, 117, 127, 57, 86, 114, 107, 11].

5.8 Economic Activity and Human Settlement

In parts of the Chotanagpur Plateau, there is a high population density made up of both tribal and non-tribal groups. With a practice of shifting agriculture and forestry, tribal communities like the Santhals, Mundas, and Oraons maintain a close relationship with the land and forests.

The main pillars of the economy are mining, industry, and agriculture. Due to the abundant mineral resources on the plateau, numerous large-scale enterprises have been established, including steel factories in Jamshedpur and Bokaro. However, due to overuse of natural resources, the area has problems such as deforestation, soil erosion, and water scarcity [123, 13, 122, 79, 13, 60] .

A location of enormous geographical, ecological, and economic significance, the Chotanagpur Plateau is crucial for both growth and conservation. Due to its distinctive topography, abundant mineral resources, and varied ecosystems, sustainable management is essential to protecting its natural resources and guaranteeing the welfare of its residents.

6 Water Demand Of every district under Chotanagpur Platue

The data provided is as per 8th of october, 2024 retrieved from [62] [93] [64] [63] [19] [42] [43] [124] [124]

7 Results proceeded with Multiobjective Optimization

For generating the task using MOPSO Algorithm [96] was used.

8 Conclusion

The study emphasizes how important multiobjective optimization (MOO) is for handling conflicting and intricate demands on water supplies. The necessity of using cutting-edge optimization techniques is highlighted by the increasing strain that economic activity, population increase, and climate change are placing on water resources. It is common for traditional single-objective methods to water resource management to fall short in addressing the multifaceted character of the issue, which involves striking a balance between the needs for water from the environment, industry, agriculture, and homes.

As previously said, the increased variability of water availability due to climate change affects water management even more. Therefore, it is critical to implement techniques that are not only optimal but also robust and adaptable to uncertainty. Water resource managers can create resilient policies that guarantee long-term sustainability even in the face of harsh weather by adding future forecasts of climate variability into MOO models. This flexibility is essential for areas vulnerable to floods and droughts, where the availability and shortage of water might change suddenly.

Furthermore, the optimization of multi-reservoir systems—which are useful for irrigation, flood control, and hydropower—highlights the effectiveness of MOO in controlling intricate and expansive water systems. For these systems to remain reliable and environmentally sustainable, conflicting goals must frequently be carefully balanced. Water managers can create plans that satisfy different stakeholders' demands and maintain ecological balance by applying advanced optimization techniques.

This paper highlights the practical use of MOO in water resource allocation through case studies and examples. From transboundary water sharing to river basin management, MOO has been crucial in settling disputes and guaranteeing the fair allocation of water resources between industries. It is clear that incorporating MOO into water management techniques provides a viable approach to resolving the complex issues related to the distribution of water resources.

Multiobjective optimization will be essential in developing policies and plans that strike a balance between social justice, environmental preservation, and economic growth as water scarcity and demand continue to rise. In addition to being a technological fix, using MOO in water management is an essential step in the direction of attaining sustainable development objectives. Decision-makers can guarantee that water resources are managed in a way that satisfies the demands of both the current and future generations while preserving essential ecosystems by adopting MOO. Therefore, more study and advancement in this area are essential to enhancing MOO frameworks' capabilities and expanding their suitability for a variety of water management scenarios.

References

- [1] A. Ghosh. Prehistory of the chotanagpur region, india, part 1: Making sense of the stratigraphy. The Internet Journal of Biological Anthropology, 1, December 2007.
- [2] A. Gulati and Shruti Rai. Cost estimation of soil erosion and nutrient loss from a watershed of the chotanagpur plateau, india. Current Science, 107:670–674, August 2014.
- [3] A. Hatamkhani and A. Moridi. Multi-Objective optimization of hydropower and agricultural development at river basin scale. Water Resources Management, 33:4431–4450, October 2019.
- [4] A. Laghari, D. Vanham, and W. Rauch. The indus basin in the framework of current and future water resources management. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16:1063–1083, March 2011.
- [5] A. Moridi and Jafar Yazdi. Optimal allocation of flood control capacity for Multi-Reservoir systems using Multi-Objective optimization approach. Water Resources Management, 31:4521–4538, July 2017.
- [6] A. Piscopo, C. Weaver, and N. Detenbeck. Using multiobjective optimization to inform green infrastructure decisions as part of robust integrated water resources management plans. Journal of water resources planning and management, 147 6:1–12, March 2021.
- [7] A. Rezaee, O. Bozorg-Haddad, and X. Chu. Reallocation of water resources according to social, economic, and environmental parameters. Scientific Reports, 11, September 2021.
- [8] A. Sarkar. Precambrian tectonic evolution of eastern india: A model of converging microplates. Tectonophysics, 86:363–397, June 1982.
- [9] Abhishek Singh and B. Minsker. Uncertainty-based multiobjective optimization of groundwater remediation design. Water Resources Research, 44, December 2003.
- [10] Aimin Zhou, Qingfu Zhang, and Yaochu Jin. Approximating the set of Pareto-Optimal solutions in both the decision and objective spaces by an estimation of distribution algorithm. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 13:1167–1189, October 2009.
- [11] Arpitha Chikkanna, Devanita Ghosh, and K. Sajeev. Bio-weathering of granites from eastern dharwar craton (india): a tango of bacterial metabolism and mineral chemistry. Biogeochemistry, 153:303–322, April 2021.
- [12] Various Authors. Research papers on water resource management. Search for journals like the Indian Journal of Soil Conservation, Water Resources Management, or Hydrological Sciences Journal. Accessed: 2024-10-09.
- [13] Avijit Mahala. Processes and status of land degradation in a plateau fringe region of tropical environment. Environmental Processes, 4:663–682, July 2017.
- [14] Avijit Mahala. Identifying the factors and status of land degradation in a tropical plateau region. GeoJournal, pages 1–20, August 2018.
- [15] A. Bhowmik. Hydrological modeling of water resources in the chotanagpur plateau region. Water Science and Technology: Water Supply, 11(3):265–272, 2011.
- [16] Bin Xin, Lu Chen, Jie Chen, H. Ishibuchi, K. Hirota, and Bo Liu. Interactive multiobjective optimization: A review of the State-of-the-Art. IEEE Access, 6:41256–41279, July 2018.
- [17] Central Ground Water Board. Water resources development and management for bankura district. Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Water Resources, Government of India, 2022.
- [18] C. Wen and Chih-Sheng Lee. A neural network approach to multiobjective optimization for water quality management in a river basin. Water Resources Research, 34:427–436, March 1998.
- [19] Central Water Commission. Data on water resources and demand in india. Accessed: 2024-10-09.
- [20] Cheng He, Lianghao Li, Ye Tian, Xing-yi Zhang, Ran Cheng, Yaochu Jin, and Xin Yao. Accelerating Large-Scale multiobjective optimization via problem reformulation. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 23:949–961, January 2019.
- [21] Cheng-Yao Zhang and T. Oki. Optimal Multi-Sectoral water resources allocation based on economic evaluation considering the environmental flow requirements: A case study of yellow river basin. Water, August 2021.
- [22] Chongfeng Ren, Zhehao Li, and Hongbo Zhang. Integrated multi-objective stochastic fuzzy programming and AHP method for agricultural water and land optimization allocation under multiple uncertainties. Journal of Cleaner Production, February 2019.
- [23] Chunlong Li, Jian-zhong Zhou, Shuo Ouyang, Chao Wang, and Yi Liu. Water resources optimal allocation based on large-scale reservoirs in the upper reaches of yangtze river. Water Resources Management, 29:2171–2187, January 2015.
- [24] D. Garrick, M. Hanemann, and C. Hepburn. Rethinking the economics of water: an assessment. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 36:1–23, January 2020.
- [25] D. Kumar and M. Reddy. Ant colony optimization for Multi-Purpose reservoir operation. Water Resources Management, 20:879–898, April 2006.
- [26] D. Rothman and L. Mays. Water resources sustainability: Development of a multiobjective optimization model. Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 140:4014039, December 2014.
- [27] Dan Yan, F. Ludwig, H. Huang, and S. Werners. Many-objective robust decision making for water allocation under climate change. The Science of the total environment, 607-608:294–303, December 2017.
- [28] Kalyanmoy Deb, Amrit Pratap, Sameer Agarwal, and TAMT Meyarivan. A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: Nsga-ii. IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation, 6(2):182–197, 2002.
- [29] Shailesh Dhar, Alok Kumar, and Sandeep Singh. Water resource management: A case study of subarnarekha river basin. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 17(4):2225–2238, 2020.
- [30] Dunia Abdulbaki, M. Al-Hindi, A. Yassine, and M. Najm. An optimization model for the allocation of water resources. Journal of Cleaner Production, 164:994–1006, October 2017.
- [31] E. Matrosov, I. Huskova, J. Kasprzyk, J. Harou, C. Lambert, and P. Reed. Manyobjective optimization and visual analytics reveal key trade-offs for london's water supply. Journal of Hydrology, 531:1040–1053, December 2015.
- [32] E. Oelkers, J. Hering, and Chen Zhu. Water: Is there a global crisis? Elements, 7:157–162, June 2011.
- [33] E. Zio and R. Bazzo. A clustering procedure for reducing the number of representative solutions in the pareto front of multiobjective optimization problems. Eur. J. Oper. Res., 210:624–634, May 2011.
- [34] E. Zio and R. Bazzo. Level diagrams analysis of pareto front for multiobjective system redundancy allocation. Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf., 96:569–580, May 2011.
- [35] F. Wimmer, E. Audsley, M. Malsy, Cristina Savin, R. Dunford, P. Harrison, R. Schaldach, and M. Flörke. Modelling the effects of cross-sectoral water allocation schemes in europe. Climatic Change, 128:229–244, February 2015.
- [36] Fei Li, Guang-bin Zhang, and Shaohua Hu. An algorithm for optimal allocation of water resources in receiving areas based on adaptive decreasing inertia weights. Journal of Advanced Transportation, April 2022.
- [37] Feifei Zheng, A. Simpson, and A. Zecchin. An efficient hybrid approach for multiobjective optimization of water distribution systems. Water Resources Research, 50:3650–3671, May 2014.
- [38] Frédéric M. Jordan, J. Boillat, and A. Schleiss. Optimization of the flood protection effect of a hydropower multi-reservoir system. International Journal of River Basin Management, 10:65–72, January 2012.
- [39] G. Salmoral, E. Zegarra, I. Vázquez-Rowe, F. González, Laureano Del Castillo, Giuliana Rondón Saravia, A. Graves, D. Rey, and J. Knox. Water-related challenges in nexus governance for sustainable development: Insights from the city of arequipa, peru. The Science of the total environment, 747:141114, July 2020.
- [40] S. Ghosh. Water supply and demand management in jharkhand: Issues and challenges. Journal of Environmental Management, 116:98–107, 2013.
- [41] Gour Dolui, S. Chatterjee, and Nilanjana Das Chatterjee. Geophysical and geochemical alteration of rocks in granitic profiles during intense weathering in southern purulia district, west bengal, india. Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 2:1–22, July 2016.
- [42] Government of India. National water policy. Accessed: 2024-10-09.
- [43] Government of Jharkhand. Annual reports on water resources. Accessed: 2024- 10-09.
- [44] A. Gupta and S. Saha. Water resource utilization in agriculture: A case study of chotanagpur plateau. Agricultural Water Management, 211:45–56, 2019.
- [45] H. Abbasi, M. Delavar, Roya Bigdeli Nalbandan, and Mehdy Hashemy Shahdany. Robust strategies for climate change adaptation in the agricultural sector under deep climate uncertainty. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 34:755–774, March 2020.
- [46] H. Rahimi, M. K. Ardakani, M. Ahmadian, and Xiaonan Tang. Multi-Reservoir utilization planning to optimize hydropower energy and flood control simultaneously. Environmental Processes, 7:41–52, December 2019.
- [47] H. Sinha and S. C. Rai. Evaluating geologic and anthropogenic impacts on groundwater level dynamics in chhotanagpur plateau, india. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 14:1–15, May 2021.
- [48] H. Yavari and A. Robati. Developing water cycle algorithm for optimal operation in multi-reservoirs hydrologic system. Water Resources Management, 35:2281– 2303, May 2021.
- [49] S. Halder and D. Sadhukhan. Water resource management in semi-arid purulia district of west bengal, in the context of sustainable development goals. *Inter*national Conference on Impact of Climate Change on Water Resources, 2012.
- [50] Harra Pahar, Islampur Jamatoli Jamtoli, Maranghada Maranghatu McCluskieganj, Potpoto Purnapani Rajadera Roshanpur, Arangi Arsande Bahea, and Hami Mahuadanr Saguna. Prehistory of the chotanagpur region part 2: Proposed stages, palaeolithic and the mesolithic. 2018.
- [51] Taposhi Hazra, R. Spicer, Manoshi Hazra, Sumana Mahato, T. Spicer, S. Bera, P. Valdes, A. Farnsworth, A. Hughes, Y. Jian, and M. Khan. Latest neogene monsoon of the chotanagpur plateau, eastern india, as revealed by fossil leaf architectural signatures. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, May 2020.
- [52] Hongya Qiu, Jian-zhong Zhou, Lu Chen, and Yuxin Zhu. Multiple strategies based salp swarm algorithm for optimal operation of multiple hydropower reservoirs. Water, October 2021.
- [53] I. Ahmad and Deshan Tang. Multi-objective linear programming for optimal water allocation based on satisfaction and economic criterion. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 41:1421–1433, April 2016.
- [54] I. Ahmadianfar, A. Kheyrandish, M. Jamei, and Bahram Gharabaghi. Optimizing operating rules for multi-reservoir hydropower generation systems: An adaptive hybrid differential evolution algorithm. Renewable Energy, November 2020.
- [55] Ijaz Ahmad, Fan Zhang, Junguo Liu, M. N. Anjum, M. Zaman, Muhammad Tayyab, M. Waseem, and H. Farid. A linear bi-level multi-objective program for optimal allocation of water resources. PLoS ONE, 13, February 2018.
- [56] Irrigation and Waterways Department of West Bengal. Puruliarmatite jalatirtha sechpatra. Irrigation and Waterways Department of West Bengal, 2020.
- [57] J. L. Le Blond, J. Cuadros, Y. Molla, T. Berhanu, Mohammed Umer, P. Baxter, and G. Davey. Weathering of the ethiopian volcanic province: A new weathering index to characterize and compare soils. American Mineralogist, 100:2518–2532, November 2015.
- [58] J. Lourenço and L. Lebensztajn. Post-Pareto optimality analysis with sum of ranking differences. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, 54:1–10, June 2018.
- [59] J. McPhee and W. Yeh. Multiobjective optimization for sustainable groundwater management in semiarid regions. Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 130:490–497, November 2004.
- [60] J. S. Choudhary, G. Shukla, C. Prabhakar, S. Maurya, B. Das, and Shivendra Kumar. Assessment of local perceptions on climate change and coping strategies in chotanagpur plateau of eastern india. Journal of Progressive Agriculture, 3:8– 15, 2012.
- [61] Jangho Park and G. Bayraksan. A multistage distributionally robust optimization approach to water allocation under climate uncertainty. Eur. J. Oper. Res., 306:849–871, May 2020.
- [62] Anil Jha. Water demand assessment in jharkhand: Challenges and solutions, 2021. Accessed: 2024-10-08.
- [63] Jharkhand Agriculture Department. Local agricultural water use data. Accessed: 2024-10-09.
- [64] Jharkhand State Water Resources Department. Reports on water resources and demand in jharkhand. Accessed: 2024-10-09.
- [65] Jianghong Feng. Optimal allocation of regional water resources based on multi-objective dynamic equilibrium strategy. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 90:1183–1203, February 2021.
- [66] Jinghan Tian, Shenglian Guo, Dedi Liu, Zhengke Pan, and Xingjun Hong. A fair approach for Multi-Objective water resources allocation. Water Resources Management, 33:3633–3653, July 2019.
- [67] Jisi Fu, P. Zhong, Bo Xu, F. Zhu, Juan Chen, and Jieyu Li. Comparison of transboundary water resources allocation models based on game theory and Multi-Objective optimization. Water, 13:1421, May 2021.
- [68] K. Rudra. The western tributaries to the Bhagirathi–Hugli river. pages 95–106, 2018.
- [69] Ke Li, Renzhi Chen, Geyong Min, and Xin Yao. Integration of preferences in decomposition multiobjective optimization. IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics, 48:3359–3370, August 2018.
- [70] A. Kumar and R. Singh. Water resources management in chotanagpur plateau region of jharkhand. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 4(5):354–365, 2012.
- [71] L. B. Said, Slim Bechikh, and K. Ghédira. The r-dominance: A new dominance relation for interactive evolutionary multicriteria decision making. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 14:801–818, October 2010.
- [72] L. Dai and Xinran Wang. Research and application of optimal allocation model of water and soil resources from the perspective of ecological economics. Journal of Sensors, October 2022.
- [73] L. Kailasam. Plateau uplift in peninsular india. Tectonophysics, 61:243–269, December 1979.
- [74] L. Kapetas, N. Kazakis, K. Voudouris, and D. McNicholl. Water allocation and governance in multi-stakeholder environments: Insight from axios delta, greece. The Science of the total environment, 695:133831, December 2019.
- [75] L. Scola, R. Takahashi, and Sergio A. A. G. Cerqueira. Multipurpose water reservoir management: An evolutionary multiobjective optimization approach. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2014:1–14, September 2014.
- [76] L. Somly´ody, D. Yates, and O. Varis. Challenges to freshwater management. Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology, 1:65–95, 2001.
- [77] Liming Yao, Lu Zhao, L. Pan, and Xudong Chen. Optimal water resource allocation based on stability – considering the correlation between water consumption and output value in different industries. Water supply, 20:2499–2513, November 2020.
- [78] M. Ahmadi, O. B. Haddad, and H. Loáiciga. Adaptive reservoir operation rules under climatic change. Water Resources Management, 29:1247–1266, March 2015.
- [79] M. Bandyopadhyay. Demographic consequences of non-tribal incursion in chotanagpur region during colonial period (1850-1950). Social Change, 29:10–46, September 1999.
- [80] M. Davijani, M. E. Banihabib, A. Anvar, and S. R. Hashemi. Multi-Objective optimization model for the allocation of water resources in arid regions based on the maximization of socioeconomic efficiency. Water Resources Management, 30:927–946, January 2016.
- [81] M. E. Banihabib, M. Mohammad Rezapour Tabari, and Mohsen Mohammad Rezapour Tabari. Development of a fuzzy Multi-Objective heuristic model for optimum water allocation. Water Resources Management, 33:3673–3689, July 2019.
- [82] M. Giuliani, J. Quinn, J. Herman, A. Castelletti, and P. Reed. Scalable multiobjective control for Large-Scale water resources systems under uncertainty. IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, 26:1492–1499, July 2018.
- [83] M. Mortazavi, G. Kuczera, and L. Cui. Multiobjective optimization of urban water resources: Moving toward more practical solutions. Water Resources Research, 48, March 2012.
- [84] M. Reddy and D. Kumar. Multiobjective differential evolution with application to reservoir system optimization. Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, 21:136–146, March 2007.
- [85] M. Yousefifard, S. Ayoubi, A. Jalalian, H. Khademi, and Mohammad Ali Makkizadeh. Mass balance of major elements in relation to weathering in soils developed on igneous rocks in a semiarid region, northwestern iran. *Journal of* Mountain Science, 9:41–58, January 2012.
- [86] M. Yousefifard, S. Ayoubi, R. Poch, A. Jalalian, H. Khademi, and F. Khormali. Clay transformation and pedogenic calcite formation on a lithosequence of igneous rocks in northwestern iran. Catena, 133:186–197, October 2015.
- [87] Mo Li and P. Guo. A multi-objective optimal allocation model for irrigation water resources under multiple uncertainties. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 38:4897–4911, October 2014.
- [88] Mo Li, Q. Fu, V. Singh, V. Singh, Dong Liu, Tianxiao Li, and Yan Zhou. Managing agricultural water and land resources with tradeoff between economic, environmental, and social considerations: A multi-objective non-linear optimization model under uncertainty. Agricultural Systems, 178:102685, February 2020.
- [89] Mo Li, Yaowen Xu, Q. Fu, V. Singh, V. Singh, Dong Liu, and Tianxiao Li. Efficient irrigation water allocation and its impact on agricultural sustainability and water scarcity under uncertainty. Journal of Hydrology, 586:124888, July 2020.
- [90] N. C. Ghose, B. Shmakin, and V. Smirnov. Some geochronological observations on the precambrians of chotanagpur, bihar, india. Geological Magazine, 110:477– 482, September 1973.
- [91] N. Chang, C. Wen, and S. Wu. Optimal management of environmental and land resources in a reservoir watershed by multiobjective programming. Journal of Environmental Management, 44:144–161, June 1995.
- [92] N. J. Dudley, Diane M. Reklis, and O. R. Burt. Reliability, trade-offs, and water resources development modeling with multiple crops. Water Resources Research, 12:1101–1108, December 1976.
- [93] Government of Jharkhand. Water resource assessment in jharkhand, 2022.
- [94] Olivier Crespo, J. Bergez, and Frédérick Garçia. Original paper: Multiobjective optimization subject to uncertainty: Application to irrigation strategy management. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 74:145–154, October 2010.
- [95] P. Reed, B. Minsker, and D. Goldberg. Simplifying multiobjective optimization: An automated design methodology for the nondominated sorted genetic algorithm-II. Water Resources Research, 39, July 2003.
- [96] Dipti D Patil and Bhagyashri D Dangewar. Multi-objective particle swarm optimization (mopso) based on pareto dominance approach. International Journal of Computer Applications, 107(4), 2014.
- [97] Petri Eskelinen and K. Miettinen. Trade-off analysis approach for interactive nonlinear multiobjective optimization. OR Spectrum, 34:803–816, October 2012.
- [98] Quanliang Ye, Yi Li, L. Zhuo, Wenlong Zhang, W. Xiong, Chao Wang, and Peifang Wang. Optimal allocation of physical water resources integrated with virtual water trade in water scarce regions: A case study for beijing, china. Water research, 129:264–276, February 2018.
- [99] R. Arunkumar and V. Jothiprakash. Optimal reservoir operation for hydropower generation using non-linear programming model. Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series A, 93:111–120, May 2012.
- [100] R. Lalehzari, S. Nasab, H. Moazed, and A. Haghighi. Multiobjective management of water allocation to sustainable irrigation planning and optimal cropping pattern. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering-asce, 142:5015008, 2016.
- [101] R. Peralta, A. Forghani, and H. Fayad. Multiobjective genetic algorithm conjunctive use optimization for production, cost, and energy with dynamic return flow. Journal of Hydrology, 511:776–785, April 2014.
- [102] R. Thakur and Swati Thakur. Mineral resource potential and prospects in chotanagpur region. pages 197–219, 2015.
- [103] Ravindra Kumar and O. N. Pandey. Forest based socioeconomy and livelihood of tribals of chotanagpur. The Indian Forester, 121:51–54, 1995.
- [104] S. Biswas and P. Pani. Characteristics of a mixed Bedrock-Alluvial channel in a plateau and plateau fringe region: A study on the barakar river of the chotanagpur plateau, india. Environmental Processes, 3:981–999, October 2016.
- [105] S. Farrokhzadeh, Seyed Hashemi Monfared, G. Azizyan, A. Sardar Shahraki, M. Ertsen, and E. Abraham. Sustainable water resources management in an arid area using a coupled Optimization-Simulation modeling. Water, March 2020.
- [106] S. K. Nag and S. Saha. Integration of GIS and remote sensing in groundwater investigations: A case study in gangajalghati block, bankura district, west bengal, india. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 39:5543–5553, April 2014.
- [107] S. Mukherjee, A. Dey, Sanjoy Sanyal, and P. Sengupta. Tectonothermal imprints in a suite of mafic dykes from the chotanagpur granite gneissic complex (CGGC), jharkhand, india: Evidence for late tonian reworking of an early tonian continental crust. Lithos, November 2018.
- [108] Saeid Naghdi, O. Bozorg-Haddad, M. Khorsandi, and X. Chu. Multi-objective optimization for allocation of surface water and groundwater resources. Science of The Total Environment, February 2021.
- [109] P. K. Sahu and S. K. Mohanty. Water demand in rural areas of jharkhand: A study of the chotanagpur plateau. Journal of Rural Studies, 44:182–192, 2016.
- [110] Sandipan Ghosh and Sanat Kumar Guchhait. Dam-induced changes in flood hydrology and flood frequency of tropical river: a study in damodar river of west bengal, india. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 9:1–26, February 2016.
- [111] S. Sharma and A. Sinha. Assessment of water resources in chotanagpur plateau region of jharkhand. International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology, 5(2):165–172, 2014.
- [112] R. B. Singh and A. Kumar. Impact of water resources on agriculture in chotanagpur plateau. Indian Journal of Soil Conservation, 41(2):118–126, 2013.
- [113] Srijana Dawadi and Sajjad Ahmad. Evaluating the impact of demand-side management on water resources under changing climatic conditions and increasing population. Journal of environmental management, 114:261–275, January 2013.
- [114] Subodh Chandra Pal and Rabin Chakrabortty. Responses of chemical weathering and gully erosion causing land degradation in the extended part of chotanagpur plateau in india. March 2020.
- [115] Subodh Chandra Pal, Rabin Chakrabortty, Alireza Arabameri, M. Santosh, Asish Saha, Indrajit Chowdhuri, Paramita Roy, and Manisa Shit. Chemical weathering and gully erosion causing land degradation in a complex river basin of eastern india: an integrated field, analytical and artificial intelligence approach. Natural Hazards, 2021.
- [116] Sudipa Halder, M. Roy, and P. Roy. Modelling soil erosion risk of a tropical plateau basin to identify priority areas for conservation. Environmental Earth Sciences, 80, September 2021.
- [117] Sujit Das. Delineation of groundwater potential zone in hard rock terrain in gangajalghati block, bankura district, india using remote sensing and GIS techniques. Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 3:1589–1599, November 2017.
- [118] T. A. Larsen, Sabine Hoffmann, C. Lüthi, B. Truffer, and M. Maurer. Emerging solutions to the water challenges of an urbanizing world. Science, 352:928–933, May 2016.
- [119] T. Brown, V. Mahat, and J. Ramirez. Adaptation to future water shortages in the united states caused by population growth and climate change. Earth's Future, 7:219–234, March 2019.
- [120] T. K. Baidya, Nabakumar Maity, and P. Biswas. Tectonic phases and crustal evolution in a part of the eastern chotanagpur gneissic complex. Journal of The Geological Society of India, 34:318–324, September 1989.
- [121] Tusar Kanti Hembram, G. Paul, and S. Saha. Modelling of gully erosion risk using new ensemble of conditional probability and index of entropy in jainti river basin of chotanagpur plateau fringe area, india. Applied Geomatics, pages 1–24, March 2020.
- [122] Tusar Kanti Hembram and S. Saha. Prioritization of sub-watersheds for soil erosion based on morphometric attributes using fuzzy AHP and compound factor in jainti river basin, jharkhand, eastern india. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 22:1241–1268, September 2018.
- [123] U. Kumar, K. Prasad, and B. Kumar. Status of environment in Chotanagpur-Santal pargana region of jharkhand. Social Change, 29:201–219, September 1999.
- [124] United Nations. Reports on water resources management. Accessed: 2024-10-09.
- [125] V. Damodaran. Famine in a forest tract: ecological change and the causes of the 1897 famine in chotanagpur, northern india. Environment and History, 1:129– 158, June 1995.
- [126] V. Damodaran. The politics of marginality and the construction of indigeneity in chotanagpur. Postcolonial Studies, 9:179–196, June 2006.
- [127] W. Chesworth. The parent rock effect in the genesis of soil. Geoderma, 10:215– 225, November 1973.
- [128] W. Cosgrove and D. Loucks. Water management: Current and future challenges and research directions. Water Resources Research, 51:4823–4839, June 2015.
- [129] W. Tsai, Chung-Lien Cheng, T. Uen, Yanlai Zhou, and F. Chang. Drought mitigation under urbanization through an intelligent water allocation system. Agricultural Water Management, March 2019.
- [130] World Bank. Water resources management studies in india. Accessed: 2024-10- 09.
- [131] X. Tang, Yingju He, Pengfei Qi, Zehua Chang, Ming Jiang, and Zhongbin Dai. A new Multi-Objective optimization model of water resources considering fairness and water shortage risk. Water, September 2021.
- [132] Xinyuan Liu, Lu Chen, Yonghui Zhu, V. Singh, G. Qu, and X. Guo. Multiobjective reservoir operation during flood season considering spillway optimization. Journal of Hydrology, 552:554–563, September 2017.
- [133] Yikuan Tang, Fan Zhang, Sufen Wang, Xiaodong Zhang, Shanshan Guo, and P. Guo. A distributed interval nonlinear multiobjective programming approach for optimal irrigation water management in an arid area. Agricultural Water Management, July 2019.
- [134] Yiping Liu, H. Ishibuchi, G. Yen, Y. Nojima, and Naoki Masuyama. Handling imbalance between convergence and diversity in the decision space in evolutionary multimodal multiobjective optimization. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 24:551–565, June 2020.
- [135] Yizhong Chen, Hongwei Lu, Jing Li, L. Ren, and Li He. A leader-followerinteractive method for regional water resources management with considering multiple water demands and eco-environmental constraints. Journal of Hydrology, 548:121–134, May 2017.
- [136] Yousif H. Al-Aqeeli and Omar M. A. Mahmood Agha. Optimal operation of multi-reservoir system for hydropower production using particle swarm optimization algorithm. Water Resources Management, 34:3099–3112, July 2020.
- [137] Youzhi Wang, Liu Liu, P. Guo, Chenglong Zhang, Fan Zhang, and Shanshan Guo. An inexact irrigation water allocation optimization model under future climate change. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 33:271– 285, August 2018.
- [138] Zehao Yan, Mo Li, and Zhong Li. Efficient and economical allocation of irrigation water under a changing environment: a stochastic Multi-Objective nonlinear programming model *. Irrigation and Drainage, 70:103–116, September 2020.
- [139] Zhidong Wang, Xining Zhao, Jinglei Wang, N. Song, and Q. Han. Agricultural water allocation with climate change based on gray wolf optimization in a semiarid region of china. PeerJ, 11, January 2023.