1	This paper is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv
2	
3	
4	Title:
5	Inferring Long-Term Tectonic Uplift Patterns from Bayesian Inversion of Fluvially-Incised
6	Landscapes
7 8	Bar Oryan ^{1*} , Boris Gailleton ² , Jean-Arthur Olive ³ , Luca C. Malatesta ⁴ and Romain Jolivet ^{3,5}
9	bar oryan , bons dameton, sean Arthar onve, Laca e. Malatesta - and Komain solver
10	Affiliations:
11	(1)Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093,USA
12	(2)Univ. Rennes, Géosciences Rennes, UMR 6118, 35000 Rennes, France.
13	(3)Laboratoire de Géologie, École normale supérieure – PSL, CNRS UMR 8538, Paris, France.
14	(4)Earth Surface Process Modelling, GFZ German Research Center for Geosciences, Potsdam,
15	Germany.
16	(5)Institut Universitaire de France, 1 rue Descartes, 75006 Paris.
17	
18 19	Corresponding author: Bar Oryan (bar.oryan@columbia.edu)

20

21 Abstract

22 Earth surface processes encode the combined forcing of tectonics and climate in 23 topography. Separating their contributions is essential for using landscapes as quantitative 24 records of crustal deformation. Here, we develop a method for inverting spatially-variable fields 25 of long-term rock uplift and rock erodibility from fluvially-incised landscapes, while accounting 26 for climatic variability. Our approach operates in the χ reference frame and uses B-spline 27 interpolating functions to represent spatial heterogeneities in key geomorphological parameters. 28 Upon inverting 170 synthetically-generated landscapes, we demonstrate that our method 29 accurately recovers the spatial variability of key geomorphic agents, even when applied to 30 settings that deviate from the ideal model of equilibrated detachment-limited channels, which 31 underpins the χ -space framework. We subsequently apply our inversion to five natural 32 landscapes shaped by normal faults (half-grabens), and to a 200-km wide region of the Himalayas. 33 We show that our inversion can resolve the effect of climate and lithology while extracting uplift 34 fields that are consistent with patterns expected from upper crustal flexure and previous 35 estimates derived from geomorphological markers. The success of our method in recovering 36 uplift patterns, isolated from the effects of climate and erodibility, highlights its applicability to 37 settings where long-term uplift trends are unknown, paving the path to deciphering time-38 averaged tectonic fingerprints recorded in landscapes over tens of thousands of years.

39

40

41

43 Plain Language Summary

44 Earth's topography is uniquely shaped by both deep tectonic activity and the erosive processes 45 that sculpt its surface. Utilizing these landscapes to deduce tectonic activity presents valuable insights, albeit elusive. In this study, we introduce a mathematical inversion method utilizing 46 47 geomorphic indices to extract tectonic uplift patterns from landscapes. We assess this method's 48 effectiveness on simulated synthetic landscapes that include a variety of surface processes. Our 49 findings confirm that the method can accurately retrieve uplift rate patterns, even in landscapes 50 not solely governed by steady state detachment-limited erosion—the assumption underlying our 51 inversion technique. Applying this method to natural landscapes shaped by normal faults and the 52 Himalayas, we demonstrate that our extracted uplift patterns align with expected patterns of 53 tectonic warping. This approach sets the stage for using landscapes to decipher tectonic signals 54 accumulated over tens of thousands of years.

55

56 Key Points

- New method infers unknown uplift patterns and variable erodibility from fluvial
 landscapes using a Bayesian approach.
- Synthetic tests reveal the broad applicability of our method, even when deviating from
 the steady-state detachment-limited incision.
- Inverting six natural landscapes yields uplift fields consistent with previous estimates, or
 patterns expected from upper crustal warping

64 1 Introduction

Earth's topography reflects a delicate balance between tectonic forcing and climatemodulated surface processes. The first induces vertical motion of the surface through processes such as faulting, dynamic topography and isostasy (e.g., Faccenna et al., 2019; King et al., 1988; Watts, 2001) while the latter level relief by eroding bedrock and transporting/ depositing the resulting sediments (e.g., Merritt et al., 2003). Thus, the shape of landscapes represents a snapshot of the ever-evolving competition of these two processes (Kirby & Whipple, 2012; Molnar & England, 1990; Willgoose et al., 1991).

72 Disentangling the contributions of surface processes and tectonic forces (Fig. 1) is crucial for 73 deriving insights into tectonic activities, which is a core goal of tectonic geomorphology (e.g., 74 Armijo et al., 1996; Lavé & Avouac, 2001; Malatesta et al., 2021). Extracting spatial patterns of 75 rock uplift rates from landscapes is particularly important as it provides direct quantitative 76 constraints on the underlying tectonic mechanisms and their persistence over geological times. 77 For instance, in landscapes shaped by normal faults, spatially-varying vertical rock uplift are used 78 to estimate the effective elastic thickness of the lithosphere (Armijo et al., 1996). The shape of 79 uplift recorded along fault scarps offers insights into the slip behavior of the fault (e.g, Holtmann 80 et al., 2023). Perhaps even more critically, variations in rock uplift rates across subduction zone 81 forearcs may be used to infer the pattern of interseismic locking on the megathrust. This is 82 because the latter modulates the accumulation of inelastic strain over multiple seismic cycles, 83 which is ultimately encoded in forearc landscapes (Cattin & Avouac, 2000; Dublanchet & Olive, 84 2024; Jolivet et al., 2020; Malatesta et al., 2021; Meade, 2010; Oryan et al., 2024).

85 Nonetheless, extracting uplift fields from landscapes is challenging especially in the absence of 86 thermochronological data or geomorphological markers. Current approaches (e.g., Castillo et al., 87 2014; Densmore et al., 2007; Ponza et al., 2010; Su et al., 2017) often rely on the stream power 88 incision model (Howard & Kirby, 1983) utilizing a landscape metric called the steepness index, 89 k_{sn} (Wobus et al., 2006, See section 2 for definition). While useful, k_{sn} expresses the ratio of rock 90 erodibility to rock uplift and may be strongly skewed by spatial variations in rock erodibility, a 91 quantity that is difficult to constrain. Furthermore, it depends on point measurements of surface 92 slopes, which can be noisy (Boris Gailleton et al., 2021). The χ metric, which integrates upstream

changes in drainage area normalized by the concavity index across entire river networks, provides a quantitative alternative to recover spatial variations in uplift rates from landscapes (Perron & Royden, 2013). Previous work has employed the χ metric for landscape inversion focusing on uplift rate history, while neglecting or prescribing variations in uplift shape (Croissant & Braun, 2014; Fox et al., 2014; Goren et al., 2014; Goren et al., 2022; Pritchard et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2024).

99 Here we extend the χ coordinate framework and invert landscapes for an unknown (steady) field of rock uplift rate and variable spatial erodibility while including precipitation 100 101 patterns. To that end, we use a Bayesian quasi-Newton inversion scheme which optimizes 102 erodibility and uplift shapes parameterized by B-spline interpolation functions in a manner that 103 minimizes the misfit between measured and inverted elevation (Fig. 1). We test the strengths 104 and limitations of our method using synthetic landscapes and demonstrate its ability to recover 105 uplift shapes and erodibility coefficients while accounting for climatic effects. Subsequently, we 106 apply our method to six natural landscapes shaped by divergent and convergent tectonics to 107 demonstrate its effectiveness in real-world scenarios.

108

109 2 Inferring tectonic uplift from landscapes within the stream power

- 110 framework
- **111** 2.1 The detachment-limited stream power model

The stream power incision model posits that the erosion rate of a riverbed at a certain point is linked to water flux (captured by proxy with drainage area *A*), channel slope $\left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)$ and the erodibility of the material (*K*) (Hack, 1973; Howard & Kerby, 1983). To maintain a uniform rate of erosion, the river gradient diminishes downstream as drainage area increases, resulting in a familiar concave river profile. According to this model, the change in elevation over time *t*, of a river eroding at rate, *E*, under rock uplift, *U*, is described as follows:

119 1.
$$\frac{\partial z(x,y,t)}{\partial t} = U(x,y,t) - E(x,y,t) = U(x,y,t) - K(x,y,t)A(x,y,t)^m \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^n$$

120

121

122 Where *m* and *n* are constants and (x, y) is position, hereafter denoted as \vec{x} for concision.

123 The velocity at which a change in uplift rate travels upstream as a knickpoint is linked to local

124 erodibility, drainage area and topographic gradient (Rosenbloom & Anderson, 1994; Whipple &

125 Tucker, 1999):

126

127

2. $c(\vec{x}) = k(\vec{x})A(\vec{x}) \left(\frac{dz(\vec{x})}{dx}\right)^{n-1}$

128 The time for a perturbation to travel from the river base upstream to point x_s is defined as follows 129 (Whipple & Tucker, 1999):

130

131

3.
$$\tau(x_s) = \int_0^{x_s} \frac{dx}{c(\vec{x})} = \int_0^{x_s} \frac{dx}{k(\vec{x})A(\vec{x})\left(\frac{dz(\vec{x})}{dx}\right)^{n-1}}$$

132

When erosion and uplift rates are balanced, the steady-state equation describes the equilibrium
slope of the river with an inverse power-law relationship between channel slope and drainage
area:

136

137 $4. \quad \frac{dz}{dx} = k_{sn} A(\vec{x})^{-\frac{m}{n}}$

138

139 Where $k_{sn} = \left(\frac{U(\vec{x})}{K(\vec{x})}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ a quantity often normalized with respect to regional concavity value, 140 $\theta_{ref}(=\frac{m}{n})$ and used as a proxy of uplift to erosion ratio.

141

142 2.2 The integral approach: river profiles in χ -space

143

Upstream integration of equation 4 from an arbitrary base level x_b results in (Perron &
Royden, 2013):

146 5. $z(\vec{x}) = z(x_b) + a_s \cdot \chi(\vec{x})$

147 Where,

148 6.
$$\chi = \int_{x_b}^x \frac{dx}{A^*(\vec{x})^{\frac{m}{n}}}; a_s = \left(\frac{U_0}{K_0 A_o^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

149 and A_o is a constant reference drainage area such that $A^*(x) = \frac{A(x)}{A_0}$ is dimensionless. The 150 integral along x here denotes an upstream path to a connected network of tributaries.

This coordinate transformation allows us to describe river profiles in terms of χ and z (Fig. 1). In the case of spatially uniform U and K, stream profiles in χ -space will exhibit a linear relationship between the two variables, characterized by a slope a_S . In landscapes where erodibility and uplift vary spatially, the definition of χ can be amended as (Olive et al., 2022; Perron & Royden, 2013) :

156

158

159 In this case, U_0 and K_0 are reference values so the trailing terms are dimensionless ($U^* = \frac{U}{U_0}$, 160 $K^* = \frac{K}{K_0}$). $\chi_{u,k}$ denotes a version of χ corrected for known spatial variations in uplift rate and 161 erodibility. If $U^*(\vec{x})$ and $K^*(\vec{x})$ are properly accounted for, the steady state landscape should verify 162 equation (5) and elevation correlate linearly with $\chi_{u,k}$ (Fig. 1).

163

164 3 Inverting uplift shapes from river incised landscapes

- 165 3.1 Forward model
- **166** 3.1.1 Parameter space, data space and cost function

167

168 The detachment-limited stream power model in χ -space provides a robust framework to 169 invert uplift shape from river incised landscapes. Let us begin by outlining the direct (forward) 170 problem of river profiles in χ -space, from knowledge of the parameters $m, n, a_s, U^*(\vec{x})$ and 171 $K^*(\vec{x})$. This is done by computing $\chi_{u,k}$ (eq. 7), and modeled river elevation, z_m , using eq. 5, as: 172

173	8. $z_m = z_b + a_s \cdot \chi_{u,k}(m, n, U^*, K^*) = g(a_s, m, n, U^*, K^*)$
174	
175	We estimate the robustness of our direct model, expressed through the function g , by computing
176	the difference between modeled elevation, z_m , and measured elevation, z , using the cost
177	function, ϕ , using the L2 norm:
178	
179	9. $\phi(m, n, a_s, U^*, K^*) = g(a_s, m, n, U^*, K^*) - z _2$
180	
181	Where <i>z</i> is the elevation data, typically obtained from a digital elevation model (DEM).
182	
183	3.1.2 Parameterizing uplift patterns using B-spline functions
184	
185	We parameterize the spatial variability of uplift, $U^*(ec{x})$, using B-spline functions (De Boor,
186	1978; Piegl & Tiller, 1997). Constructed from a series of piecewise polynomial basis functions and
187	defined between a grid of control points known as knots, B-splines serve as interpolating
188	functions where a coefficient, Q, at each knot controls the shape of the uplift pattern (See Text
189	S1). This approach provides the flexibility to modify uplift patterns by simply adjusting Q values
190	without being restricted to a predetermined functional form, thus ensuring a smooth and
191	continuous representation of spatial variability in rock uplift. It is important to highlight that we
192	solve for the uplift pattern rather than the absolute uplift rate, as we cannot independently
193	determine the value of the normalization constant U_{0} (eq. 7).
194	
195	3.1.3 Parameterizing spatial Erodibility
196	
197	Spatial variations in erodibility are typically driven by contrasts in lithology (Campforts et
198	al., 2020; Ellis & Barnes, 2015; B. Gailleton et al., 2021; Harel et al., 2016), often marked by the
199	occurrence of major faults. Thus, using continuous mathematical functions, such as B-splines,
200	polynomials, or Gaussians, to represent variations in erodibility would misrepresent the

201 inherently piece-wise nature of this field. We instead delineate lithological units (e.g., from

geological maps) and invert for their piece-wise uniform erodibility k_i across various lithological domains (numbered by *i*). As for the uplift pattern, it should be noted that we invert for relative erodibility K^* rather than for absolute erodibility.

205

206 3.1.4 Parameterizing climatic modulation of erosion

207

We account for climate-driven variations in stream power incision by weighting the drainage area with precipitation rates and computing an effective volumetric discharge, $A_Q(x)$. This method is commonly employed in fluvial topographic analysis to assess the impacts of variable precipitations, both spatially and temporally (Babault et al., 2018; Leonard et al., 2023; Leonard & Whipple, 2021). The adjusted discharge, $A_Q(x)$, at point x is defined by integrating the drainage area, A, weighted by the precipitation rate, P, from the river source, x_s , downstream to the base:

- 215
- 216

10. $A_Q(x) = \int_{x_h}^{x_s} P(x) A(x) dx$

11. $p_{l+1} = p_l + \mu (G_n^{t} C_D^{-1} G_n + C_M^{-1})^{-1} (G_n^{t} C_D^{-1} (z_m - z_{obs}) + C_M^{-1} (p_l - p_0))$

217

218 3.2 Inversion scheme

219

To identify plausible combinations of a_s , m, n, U^* and K^* , we minimize the misfit between the modeled and measured elevation (eq. 9) using a Bayesian quasi-Newton scheme (Tarantola, 2005) in an iterative fashion:

223

225

226 Where p_l is a vector comprising all model parameters at iteration l. G_n is the Jacobian 227 matrix determined using centered finite difference such that:

230 z_{obs} is a vector of observations consisting of measured elevation z, z_m is the modeled elevation 231 of rivers computed using $g(p_l)$, C_M is the a priori covariance matrix, C_D is the observation 232 covariance matrix, and μ is a constant between 0 and 1. We employ an initial guess, p_o , assuming 233 m=0.5, n=1, $a_s = 0.1$ as well as B-spline and erodibility coefficients that describe uniform uplift 234 and erodibility patterns.

We configure the covariance matrix C_m with diagonal terms equal to 0.01 (standard deviation of 0.1) for the entries corresponding to m, n, and a_s , and 1 for B-spline weights and dimensionless erodibility coefficients, reflecting a lack of a priori knowledge about spatial variability in the uplift and erodibility patterns. We consider a solution m_l satisfactory when $\frac{\phi(p_{l+1})-\phi(p_l)}{\phi(p_o)} < 0.01.$

Upon reaching an optimal solution, we can use the recovered B-spline parameters to describe the uplift pattern along rivers used in the inversion as well as across the entire rectangular domain bounded by the river network (Text S1). However, the geometry of the river network may leave some B-spline knots poorly constrained due to the absence of nearby rivers. To address this, we compute uplift only within catchments feeding the rivers used in our analysis and ensure that the employed knots have non-negligible values based on the sensitivity parameter computed using the diagonal of the product of $(G_n^t \cdot G_n)$.

247

248 4 Application to synthetic landscapes

249

We assess the reliability of our methodology, which inherently assumes steady-state incision of channels, across a range of synthetic landscapes. These artificial terrains exhibit varying degrees of deviation from the stream power law and include hillslope diffusion, sediment deposition, orographic effects, spatial changes in erodibility, and temporal shifts in uplift rates (e.g., Leonard & Whipple, 2021; Merritt et al., 2003; Roering et al., 1999, 2001; Whipple, 2009).

255

256 4.1 Generating synthetic landscapes

We model synthetic terrains, incorporating both fluvial and hillslope erosion along with deposition dynamics based on the CIDRE model framework defined by (Carretier et al., 2016). In this framework, elevation *z* varies in time such as

13. $\frac{dz}{dt} = \dot{d_f} - \dot{e_f} + \dot{d_h} - \dot{e_h} + U(x, y)$

- 261
- 262
- 263

where \dot{d}_f is the fluvial deposition rate, \dot{e}_f the fluvial incision rate, \dot{d}_h the hillslope diffusion flux, \dot{e}_h the hillslope erosion rates and $U(\vec{x})$ is the imposed tectonic uplift. The fluvial component relies on a formulation originally developed by Davy & Lague (2009) where erosion and sediment entrainment are functions of stream power and sediment length deposition. The hillslope laws are a hybrid between linear and non-linear landscape diffusion models, reproducing both endmembers (see Carretier et al., 2016 for full details).

We use an explicit finite difference numerical scheme to solve equation (13) where spatial 270 271 discretization is done along a 100 X 100 km regular 2D grid with 400 m spacing in the x and y 272 directions. We use different graph theory algorithms to organize our nodes into an upstream to 273 downstream topological order (see Gailleton et al., 2024 where full method description is given) 274 and use the carving algorithm of Cordonnier et al., (2019) to resolve local minima. We employ a 275 time step of 500 years and run synthetic models over 5 million years to ensure the landscape 276 reaches a topographic steady state, resulting in negligible elevation variations over time. Lastly, we use n = 1, m = 0.45 and rock erodibility, k, of $2 \cdot 10^{-5} m^{(0.9)} \cdot yr^{-1}$. We parameterize the 277 278 imposed tectonic uplift field using an asymmetrical 2D Gaussian- function:

279

280 14.
$$U(x, y) = u_0 \cdot exp \left[-a(x - x_0) + 2b(x - x_0)(y - y_0) + c(y - y_0)^2 \right]$$

281

282 Where $a = \frac{\cos^{2(\theta)}}{2\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\sin^{2(\theta)}}{2\sigma_y^2}$, $b = -\frac{\sin(2\theta)}{4\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{4\sigma_y^2}$, $c = \frac{\sin^{2(\theta)}}{2\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\cos^{2(\theta)}}{2\sigma_y^2}$, θ is the azimuth of the 283 long-axis of the Gaussian, x_o , σ_x and y_0 , σ_y are the center and width of the gaussian along the *x* 284 and *y* directions, respectively. Lastly, we assume a characteristic uplift rate, u_0 , of 1.2 mm ·

285 yr^{-1} (Fig. 2).

286

287 4

4.2 Inversion of synthetic landscapes

288 We apply our inversion scheme on simulated synthetic landscapes and select the 8000 289 most downstream nodes from the largest catchments to guarantee our inversion outputs are not 290 secondarily influenced by the number of observations (z_{obs}) . To mimic the uncertainty in real 291 elevation data we add noise using randomly sampled values from a normal distribution centered 292 around 0 with standard deviation, ε , of 10 m. We then invert the resulting landscapes using two 293 different schemes. The first solves for 84 parameters including m, n, a_s and the control points for 294 spatially-varying uplift with a 2D cubic B-spline function along 6 knots in the y and x direction. 295 The second assumes a uniform uplift pattern and fits landscape constants m, n and a_s only (eq. 296 6). We estimate how well the inversions perform by comparing recovered uplift and elevation 297 with synthetic modeled elevation and imposed uplift using the root mean square (RMS) metric: 298

299

15.
$$RMS = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N}(q_i^r - q_i^m)^2}$$

300 Where q_i^r is recovered value *i*, q_i^m imposed value *i* and *N* total number of measurements 301 in the dataset.

302

- **303** 4.3 Results
- **304** 4.3.1 Detachment-limited scenario

305 We produce a synthetic landscape subject to an ellipsoidal uplift function (Table S1; Fig. S1) 306 where erosion is exclusively detachment-limited (Fig. 2A). Once at steady state, we measure the 307 landscape's drainage area, flow direction, and the distance between river nodes required for 308 computing χ . We then use these landscape properties and apply two inversion mechanisms: (1) 309 solving for uplift pattern, and (2) assuming uniform uplift.

310 Our first inversion performs well, retrieving outputs that are almost identical to those imposed. 311 The RMS value for uplift is 0.01, indicating that the inverted uplift for the 8,000 river nodes used 312 closely matches the imposed tectonic uplift (Fig. 2). Additionally, our inverted elevation closely 313 mirrors the measured elevation, with discrepancies reflecting the introduced noise, ε , leading to an RMS value of 10 meters. This accuracy is illustrated nicely by the linear shape of the final river elevation profiles in χ -space, a_s , where the scatter reflects the noise (Fig. 2C). In contrast, the inversion assuming uniform uplift returns RMS values that are 7 times higher and fails to accurately determine landscape constant m, n and a_s (Fig. 2C).

Once we have established that our inversion can accurately recover landscape properties in this idealized case, we proceed to test its limitations by challenging the assumptions it relies on.

321

322 4.3.2 Scenarios deviating from the Detachment-limited endmember

323 *4.3.2.1 Sediment transport length*

We apply our inversion scheme to synthetic landscapes featuring varying degrees of 324 sediment deposition, hillslope diffusion, orographic effects, spatial variations in erodibility, and 325 326 temporal changes in uplift rates. For the sediment deposition case, we generate 20 identical 327 landscapes, differing only in the value of the characteristic sediment transport length (e.g., 328 Carretier et al., 2016; Merritt et al., 2003). For transport lengths longer than 1 km, our inversion 329 accurately recovers landscape parameters with RMS elevation and uplift values comparable to 330 the noise we added, ε (Figs. 3A1 & 3B1). Landscapes characterized by transport length shorter 331 than 1 km generate greater relief owing to the additional sediment deposition. Consequently, 332 inverting these models yields less accurate inversion results, with RMS values 5 to 30 times higher 333 for both elevation and uplift (Figs. 3A1 & 3B1). Interestingly, even as the landscape deviates significantly from the detachment-limited case, the inversion aims to maintain the imposed $\frac{m}{n}$ 334 335 ratio, capturing this "detachment-limited property" of the landscape (Fig. S2).

336

337 *4.3.2.2 Diffusion*

338

To test the effect of hillslope diffusion on our inversion, we model and invert 50 landscapes, each employing a distinct diffusion parameters k_d controlling topographic dispersion across the landscapes (Carretier et al., 2016). For k_d smaller than $10^{-2} m \cdot yr^{-1}$ the inversion outputs almost perfectly retrieved the parameters of the landscape (Figs. 3A2 & 3B2). For higher diffusion values of $10^{-2} - 10^{-1}m \cdot yr^{-1}$, the retrieved uplift function exhibits pronounced uncertainties but can still capture the original signal (Fig. S3). For $k_d > 10^{-2} m \cdot yr^{-1}$, the river network ceases to represent a typical mountain range drainage system (Fig. 3B2). This is reflected in the poor performance of the inversion showing RMS values 10-30 times higher than the best retrieval values, partly due to the lack of river nodes in the center of domain(Fig. 3A2).

348 4.3.2.3 Precipitation

349 Spatial variability in climatic conditions can also significantly influence landscapes (e.g., Molnar & England, 1990), particularly in mountain ranges with orographic precipitation on the 350 351 windward flanks and drier conditions on the leeward sides (e.g., Bookhagen & Burbank, 2010). 352 To incorporate this effect into the evaluation of our synthetic models, we index precipitation on elevation using the equation $p(z) = \alpha_0 e^{-\frac{z}{h_0}}$, where α_0 is precipitation at sea level, Z elevation, 353 354 and h_0 a reference elevation (Hergarten & Robl, 2022). To reflect reduced rainfall along the lee 355 side of the landscape we reduce the α_o value there, effectively generating uneven precipitation 356 p(x,z) (e.g., Figs. 3B3, S3D1 and S3D2). We then simulate 50 landscapes using the effective 357 volumetric discharge A_0 (eq. 10), modulated by precipitation p(x,z) with each terrain 358 characterized by a distinct h_0 .

359 Our inversion assuming that water discharge simply scales with only drainage area (A) 360 accurately recovers landscape parameters for $h_0 < 0.5$ km. For h_0 values above 0.5 km, retrieval inaccuracies increase, worsening with larger values (Figs. 3A3 & 2B3). However, when we use A₀ 361 362 (eq. 10) in our inversion, it accurately retrieves the correct landscape parameters, effectively 363 determining elevation, uplift (Fig. 3A3), and m, n and a_s (Fig. S3). The ability of our inversion to accurately retrieve landscape parameters is particularly noteworthy given that A_Q undergoes 364 365 significant changes as the landscape evolves with time and we use the values from the final 366 timestep.

367 *4.3.2.4 Lithology*

Lithology is an additional spatially variable parameter influencing landscape evolution. We explore its significance by modeling 50 landscapes each featuring a 20 km wide zone with low erodibility, k_s , varying by up to an order of magnitude from the background erodibility, k_w , 2 · $10^{-5}m^{\wedge}(0.9) \cdot yr^{-1}$. The sharp change in erodibility results in landscapes with two distinct topographic highs: one aligned with the imposed uplift pattern and another associated with the low erodibility zone where the ratio of altitudes between these peaks is linked to $\frac{k_w}{k_s}$ (e.g., Figs. 374 3B4, S5D1 and S5D2).

For $\frac{k_w}{k_z} > 0.5$ our standard inversion performs well, almost unaffected by the addition of 375 a stronger rock section (Fig. 3A4). However, for $\frac{k_w}{k_c} < 0.5$, the standard inversion scheme 376 struggles to accurately capture the current properties of the landscape, and the retrieved uplift 377 378 values reflect the region of lower erodible domain rather than the imposed uplift shape (Fig. 3A4). However, when we invert for erodibility (see section 3.1.3) as well as U^* , m, n and a_s the 379 380 inversion scheme excels in accounting for elevation and uplift pattern (Figs. 3A4 and S5). The 381 recovered and imposed erodibility ratio are in remarkably good agreement (Fig. 3A4) suggesting 382 that our inversion scheme is capable of accounting for spatial changes in rock erodibility.

383 *4.3.2.5 Rock uplift rate*

To investigate the impact of time-varying tectonic forcing, we bring a detachment-limited landscape to a steady state and then instantaneously increase the uplift rate by a factor of three, similar to observed changes in uplift history along normal fault systems (e.g., Goren et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2024). We proceed to simulate the landscape for an additional 1.6 million years until it reaches a new equilibrium (calculated using Equation (3) ;Fig. S6) and invert landscapes snapshots retained at intervals of 0.1 million years.,

390 Our inversion responds to the step change in uplift rate with a minor increase in RMS 391 values for the retrieved elevation. Conversely, the inversion shows greater deviations in the 392 recovered uplift pattern and in the m, n and a_s values than in elevation (Figs. 3A5, 3B5 & S7). This 393 is because the inversion effectively compensates with adjustments in other parameters to return 394 accurate elevation values. This illustrates the challenge of determining whether a natural 395 landscape is in steady state based solely on elevation errors. After about half the time needed to 396 reach equilibrium, the inversion returns values that align well with the imposed parameters (Fig. 397 3A5). This stabilization in parameter retrieval is clearly illustrated by a_s values (incorporating the updated u_0 value) which reach their new steady-state levels approximately 0.8 million years after 398 399 the step change. We attribute the inversion's ability to retrieve the imposed values before the entire landscape reaches steady state to the fact that a significant portion of the landscape is
already in equilibrium, with only the upstream sections of rivers still in transition. This is
evidenced by the large misfit values at the river tips, which, unlike in steady-state conditions, are
more evenly distributed across the landscape (Fig. S8). We note that we observe a similar pattern
in landscapes subjected to temporal changes in uplift pattern over a given time period (Text S2
& Fig. S8).

- 406
- 407 5 Application to natural landscapes
- 408 5.1 Selection of sites
- 409

To test the real-world applicability of our inversion scheme, we apply it to both divergent and convergent tectonic settings. For the divergent setting, we analyze five landscapes shaped by normal faults, where our understanding of the crust's flexural response to faulting provides a reliable test bed for comparing our inverted uplift patterns. For the convergent setting, we focus on a well-studied, approximately 200 km-wide section of the Himalayas and compare our results to previous uplift estimates derived from geomorphological markers.

416

417 5.1.1 Landscapes shaped by normal faults

418

419 We apply our inversion methodology to natural landscapes shaped by half-graben border 420 faults where fault offsets on the order of several km flex the brittle upper crust, yielding a 1-D 421 rock uplift field that decreases with across-strike distance from the fault (Fig. S10; Weissel & 422 Karner, 1989). Thicker and stronger faulted layers typically produce longer uplift decay lengths, 423 extending further into the footwall. This relatively simple pattern makes it an appealing 424 benchmark case, and has been leveraged in previous geomorphological tectonic studies (e.g., 425 Goren et al., 2014; Ellis & Barnes 2015). Recovering systematic trends in the uplift shape 426 consistent with flexural properties of several landscape would provide additional constraints on 427 the validity of our inversion.

428 To this end, we study five landscapes with varying faulted layer thicknesses (Table S2; Olive 429 et al., 2022): The Paeroa Range (Paeroa fault ,New Zealand), Sandia Mountains (New Mexico, 430 USA), Wassuk Range (Nevada, USA), Lehmi Range (Lehmi Fault, Idaho, USA), and Kipengere Range 431 (Livingstone Fault, Lake Malawi, Tanzania). We analyze river sections located far from fault tips (Densmore 2007; Ellis & Barnes, 2015), ensuring that uplift is predominantly a function of 432 433 distance from the fault, allowing us to use the faster 1D inversion. However, to demonstrate the 434 applicability of our 2-D inversion scheme, we apply it to the Lemhi range where we specifically 435 focus on the southern section near the fault tip because its uplift pattern is well-documented and 436 has been shown to diminish southward (Fig. S10; Densmore et al., 2007).

437 We include erodibility variations for the N-S striking Sandia mountains, as they feature two 438 clear and distinct lithological domains comprising predominantly limestone on the Eastern side 439 and granite on the Western side (Williams & Cole, 2007), which typically show different erosional 440 properties (Fig. 4C2). We assume uniform erodibility in other studied landscapes as these exhibits 441 relatively uniform lithology. We do not account for spatial changes in precipitation here. The 442 Kipengere Range shows little evidence of a correlation between precipitation and altitude in 443 documented rainfall trends in the past 23 years (Fig. S11; Global Precipitation Measurement; 444 GPM; Huffman et al., 2015) despite its 1.5 km relief and an expected strong orographic effect. 445 This suggests that orographic effects may be even less important in the other gentler landscapes. 446

- **447** 5.1.2 The Himalayas
- 448

We apply our inversion scheme to a well-studied, approximately 200 km-wide section of the Himalayas, where previous studies have identified high uplift rates occurring around 100 km from the main Himalayan thrust, with slower uplift rates observed farther away (Dal Zilio et al., 2021; Godard et al., 2014; Lavé & Avouac, 2001). We exclude the Siwalik Hills from our analysis as rivers in this region are not predominantly detachment-limited. We also omit catchments north of the Himalayan water divide extending to the Tibetan Plateau, as these require separate, higher base levels, which would limit the spatial extent of our analysis. Our inversion accounts for four distinct erodibility sections, delineated by the main lithological units in the area (Fig. 5C; Carosi et al., 2018). To incorporate the pronounced climatic patterns in the Himalayas (e.g., Bookhagen & Burbank, 2010), we compute A_Q using eq (10), based on the average spatial distribution of the past 23 years of satellite-based precipitation data (Fig. 5D; Huffman et al., 2015).

- 461
- 462

5.2 Inversion of natural landscapes

463

464 We use 30 m-DEM of landscapes obtained by the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (Farr 465 et al., 2007). We extract nodes (pixels) corresponding to major rivers, defined as those draining 466 areas larger than a set threshold and above a set base level elevation (Table S2). These thresholds 467 are carefully selected to balance computational efficiency for the inversion calculations with an 468 accurate representation of the landscape's fluvial sections. For landscapes shaped by normal 469 faults, our aim is to include river nodes that cover the entire decay length of the fault-induced 470 uplift. However, this is often complicated by river nodes near the fault, which are typically located 471 on hanging wall-facing cliffs that drain small areas or lie underwater. Consequently, we calculate 472 the rivers' distance from the outlet, drainage area, and elevation (O'Callaghan & Mark, 1984), 473 and rotate their geographical coordinates to align with an along-fault strike and across-fault 474 strike coordinate system. We estimate their connectivity and flow path using the steepest 475 descent algorithm (O'Callaghan & Mark, 1984).

476 We compute multiple inversion scenarios for each landscape, varying the number of B-477 spline nodes, ensuring the distance between B-spline nodes is at least 5km (Text S1). We report 478 the inversion that minimizes the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1974; Bishop, 2006). 479 The AIC includes a penalty term to prevent potential overfitting caused by the addition of 480 superfluous parameters to the model (Text S3). We also assume an elevation uncertainty of 30 481 meters, a value that has been deliberately increased from the reported SRTM dataset 482 uncertainty. This additive inflation addresses our model's limitations in capturing detailed terrain 483 features, as highlighted in the synthetic inversion cases. Employing such an approach is common 484 practice across various parameterizations in physical modeling, aiming to better represent the

inherent uncertainties without exhausting every detail (e.g., Anderson, 2007). Lastly, we note that for the Malawi landscape case, we set the covariance matrix to values of 10^{-4} (standard deviation of 10^{-2}) for *m* and *n*. This adjustment was necessary to avoid inverted *m* and *n* values that produced unrealistically long knickpoint travel times (eq. 3).

489

490 5.3 Results

- **491** 5.3.1 Landscapes shaped by normal faults
- 492

493 Our 1D inversions consistently reveal an uplift pattern that decreases with greater 494 distances from the fault along the footwall (Fig. 4A-D). The recorded wavelength correlates with 495 the thickness of the brittle faulted layer constrained by the maximum depth of recorded 496 earthquakes (Olive et al., 2022; Table S2; Figs. 4A1-A4) where the Paeroa Range (Fig. 4A1) exhibits 497 the narrowest uplift wavelength followed by the Sandia (Fig. 4A2), Wassuk (Fig. 4A3), and 498 Kipengere (Fig. 4A4) ranges.

499 For the Sandia Mountains, inversions assuming both uniform and variable erodibility yield 500 nearly identical uplift wavelengths. However, the former yields an unrealistic peak in the uplift 501 field 8 km from the fault (Fig. 4A2), which could be an artifact of spatially-variable erodibility. An 502 inversion that accounts for a different erodibility in the Western and Eastern sides of the range 503 indeed yields a more straightforward uplift field that continuously decays with distance to the 504 fault. It also produces less scatter in χ values (Fig. 4B2) and determines that Sandia granite (West 505 side) is 2.2 times more erodible than the Madera formation limestone (East side, Fig. 4C2). This 506 is consistent with the notion that high infiltration rates over carbonate landscapes deprive rivers 507 from water and therefore erosive power, while much greater surface runoff enhances granite 508 denudation. This result underscores the importance of considering variable erodibility when 509 inferring tectonic uplift fields.

510 We highlight that our inversion method is designed to recover the coefficients controlling the B-511 spline knots (see Figs. S12-S15 for the posterior distributions of all inverted parameters), which 512 can be used to describe uplift not only along the rivers utilized in the inversion but also across all 513 catchments feeding those rivers (see section 3.5.1). While this capability is clearly demonstrated in the 1D inversion cases (Figs. 4C1-4), its true strength lies in capturing complex spatial attributes across two dimensions. For example, our 2-D inversion for the Lemhi landscape effectively captures the spatial variations in uplift expected near the tip of a normal fault within the Lemhi Range. It shows diminishing uplift within 10 km to the fault tip (Fig. 4A5), aligning with previously documented k_{sn} values in the region (Densmore et al., 2007), and a general decrease in uplift with increasing distance from the fault axis (Fig. 4C5). These observations demonstrate our model's ability to accurately infer two-dimensional variations in uplift.

521 Similar to our synthetic landscapes (Figs. 2C, S2-5), inverting for uplift patterns yields RMS 522 values that are 2-3 times better than those assuming a uniform uplift pattern. This is visually 523 supported by the tight alignment of χ values around the recovered $a_{\rm s}$ particularly in the Wassuk 524 range case where χ values that do not account for uplift gradients form three distinct branches 525 in contrast to the neatly aligned χ values for the inversion that accounts for uplift variations (Fig. 526 4B3). Additionally, the average recovered m/n ratio is closer to θ = 0.45, a value considered 527 typical for natural landscapes (Gailleton et al., 2021; Mudd et al., 2014; Snyder et al., 2000). The 528 Wassuk Range shows relatively large deviation with an m/n ratio of 0.22. However, when we 529 invert the landscape while fixing n=1 and m=0.45 we recover an uplift pattern that closely 530 resembles the original with an RMS value larger by 1.4 (Fig. S16).

We note that the Malawi landscape exhibits the highest RMS value compared to other landscapes shaped by normal faults (Fig. 4). The steep, incised topography of the Kipengere Ridge indicates strong fluvial incision driven by detachment-limited processes near the fault. However, fluvial incision driven by the Livingstone fault system extend into smoother, sediment-filled valleys about 40 km away, where hillslope diffusion and sediment deposition contribute to elevation misfits. These contrasting landscape features likely explain the larger misfits in Malawi compared to other landscapes with smaller RMS values.

538

539 5.3.2 The Himalayas

540

541 Our inversion results for the Himalayan section reveal a distinct region of uplift 542 approximately 100 km N-NE of the main frontal thrust, extending from the eastern to the western end of the study area (Fig. 5A). This finding aligns well with previous estimates (Fig. 5G) derived from fluvial incision rates observed in terraces, channel geometry (Lavé & Avouac, 2001), ^{10}Be concentrations in detrital sediments (Godard et al., 2014), 1-D river profile analysis (Meade, 2010) and k_{sn} values (Clubb et al., 2023). Additionally, we identify a second uplift peak closer to the frontal thrust on the southwestern end. The uncertainty associated with this peak is larger (Fig. 5C) due to the sparse river network in the region, which limits the constraints on the B-spline coefficients and reduces our confidence in interpreting this feature.

550 In contrast to the Sandia Mountains (Fig. 4A2), where erodibility values exhibited 551 significant contrast and strongly influenced the inverted uplift patterns, the recovered erodibility 552 values in the Himalayas (e.g., Fig. 5D) are relatively uniform, with values within one standard 553 deviation of each other (Table S3). This suggests that spatial variations in erodibility does not play 554 a major role in shaping the landscape in this section of the Himalayas.

To assess the influence of climate patterns, we performed an additional inversion that excluded the effects of variable precipitation. Although this inversion resulted in RMS values that were higher by a factor of 1.3 (Fig. 5B), it revealed similar overall features, including an uplift peak extending from east to west (Fig. 5F), indicating that the impact of climate on this section of the Himalayas may be negligible (e.g., Godard et al., 2014).

560 6 Discussion

561 6.1 Applicability and limits of the methods: Insights from synthetic landscapes

562

563 By examining synthetic landscapes we show that pronounced hillslope diffusion and 564 sediment transport lead to reduced accuracy of recovered landscape properties. Significant 565 sedimentation in mountain ranges depart from the detachment-limited models we use, leading 566 to discrepancy between inverted and imposed uplift (Fig. 3B1). Satellite imagery offers a reliable 567 method to identify regions with pronounced sediment cover, allowing us to focus on basins with 568 predominantly bedrock rivers (e.g., Perron & Royden, 2013; Wobus et al., 2006).

569 The impact of hillslope diffusion is more uniform across the landscape and thus more 570 challenging to circumvent. However, our synthetic landscape analyses suggest that only in case 571 of exceptionally pronounced hillslope diffusion do our recovered uplift patterns starkly diverge 572 from the imposed uplift (see Litwin et al., in rev. for a corrective solution). Such high values of 573 hillslope diffusion should form natural landscapes with smooth features that are easy to identify 574 and avoid (e.g., Fig. 3B2). We note that our synthetic hillslope diffusion model does not account 575 for changes in diffusion rates across landscapes (e.g., Auzet & Ambroise, 1996; Bontemps et al., 576 2020; Matsuoka, 1998). Additionally, our underlying assumption is that channel width is a power-577 law function of discharge manifested as a change in the effective exponent m. In reality, however, river channels width may vary locally, with narrower channels increasing erosion (Lavé & Avouac, 578 579 2001; Yanites et al., 2010), which in our case would likely result in unrealistic high inverted uplift 580 pattern.

581 Our study of synthetic landscapes adjusting to a change in uplift rates and patterns reveals 582 that if more than half the required time to reach a new equilibrium has passed, our inversion 583 accurately recovers the uplift signal (Fig. 3A5). In our simulations, temporal changes are modeled 584 as instantaneous steps while in natural settings, these variations may unfold over extended 585 periods. For example, Smith et al. (2024) used river profiles along the normal fault-bound 586 Wasatch Range, demonstrating that uplift rates fluctuate temporally up to threefold within as 587 little as 400 ky suggesting that the landscape may never achieve quasi steady state. Similarly, 588 when we model changes in uplift rates over comparable durations, our inversion method 589 successfully recovers uplift patterns closely resembling the imposed ones (Text S3; Fig. S17), 590 despite the landscapes being far from steady state. This echoes our findings from instantaneous 591 step changes experiment (Fig. 3A5), confirming that even when landscapes are not in steady 592 state, our inversion can retrieve uplift patterns that mirror the imposed ones. This indicates that 593 when we apply our inversion to natural landscapes, we likely extract a value of a_s that reflects a 594 time-averaged window and an uplift pattern that shows minor deviation from the time-averaged tectonic uplift. This is partly because working in the χ framework lets us treat the river network 595 596 as a cohesive system, integrating the contributions of all river nodes, as opposed to local 597 approaches such as k_{sn} .

598 In contrast, temporal variations in spatial uplift pattern are typically slower and less 599 frequent. Adjustments in fault orientation or dip angle, which can alter uplift patterns, are either slow and progressive (e.g., Olive & Behn, 2014; Oryan & Buck, 2020) or result in the formation of new faults rather than modifying existing ones (e.g., Taylor & Switzer, 2001). These new faults should form far enough from the original faults and may not significantly impact the associated uplift pattern. Our synthetic landscape experiments exploring the effects of gradual temporal changes in uplift patterns demonstrate that, as long as the imposed changes are slow enough, our method accurately extracts uplift patterns that closely resemble the original ones (Text S4; Fig. S18).

607 Our synthetic landscape analyses also demonstrate that spatial variations in erodibility and 608 precipitation can significantly alter the recovered uplift pattern with discrepancy amounting to 609 RMS values of 10-5 times the original signal (Fig. 3A4). Nevertheless, we demonstrate that the 610 inversion is capable of accounting for those. This is crucial as current methods to extract uplift 611 patterns from landscapes often rely on k_{sn} (e.g., Castillo et al., 2014; Densmore et al., 2007; 612 Ponza et al., 2010; Su et al., 2017) which cannot directly distinguish between erodibility and uplift 613 given spatial varying erodibility. Our method offers a way to discern the two provided that we 614 can predefine regions with different erodibility levels based on lithological maps.

615

616 6.2 Performance on natural landscapes

617

618 Our analysis of natural landscapes further highlights the effectiveness of our inversion 619 method. For landscapes shaped by normal faults, we demonstrate that the decay length of the 620 uplift field away from the fault is directly linked to the thickness of the brittle upper crust (Figs. 621 4A1-4), consistent with standard models of normal fault-induced flexure, where a thicker elastic 622 layer typically produces a broader uplift profile (e.g., Goren et al., 2014; Nadai, 1963; Weissel & 623 Karner, 1989). We show that our method can robustly extract this signal, even when it is 624 interwoven with spatial variations in erodibility (Fig. 4A2). Additionally, we retrieve smaller uplift 625 rates around the southern Lemhi fault tip (Fig. 4A5), aligning with previous uplift estimates 626 (Densmore et al., 2007) and the notion that slip vanishes over a short distance near fault tips 627 (Ellis & Barnes, 2015; Roberts & Michetti, 2004). Our analysis of the Himalayan landscape (Fig. 5) 628 further demonstrate the success of our method in retrieving realistic uplift patterns while

accounting for climatic variations, showing strong alignment with previous estimates based on
 geomorphological markers (Fig. 5G). This consistency across different tectonic settings
 underscores the robustness of our inversion approach in accurately recovering uplift patterns
 from natural landscapes.

633 That said, pinpointing which aspects of the retrieved signal are tied to temporal changes 634 presents an intriguing challenge. Fortunately, the Himalayas have been widely studied and offer 635 a wealth of geomorphological markers that measure uplift and denudation rates across various 636 timescales, enabling us to qualitatively assess whether the landscape is in a quasi-steady state. 637 These markers include rock-uplift rate estimates from river-profile analyses (Lavé & Avouac, 638 2001), ¹⁰Be concentrations in fluvial sediments (Godard et al., 2014), apatite fission-track 639 cooling ages (Robert et al., 2009) and thermochronological data (Herman et al., 2010), capturing 640 processes operating over time scales ranging from thousands to millions of years. These 641 geomorphological markers consistently indicate a peak in uplift rate at approximately 100 km 642 from the main frontal thrust (Fig. 5G). This alignment of spatial patterns across different temporal 643 scales underscores the persistence of tectonic signals and suggests that at least our section of 644 the Himalayan landscape may be approaching a steady state.

645 Unfortunately, the landscapes shaped by normal faults used in our analysis have not been 646 extensively studied, and we are unaware of denudation rates measurements. However, as 647 mentioned above our analysis of synthetic landscapes demonstrates that we can recover uplift 648 patterns closely matching the imposed ones (Fig. 2B5), even when the landscape experiences a 649 fivefold fluctuation in uplift rate over as little as 400 kyr (Text S3; Figs. S17). Natural variations in 650 tectonic uplift, which could skew recovered uplift patterns, are likely slow enough to significantly 651 biasing our inverted uplift pattern (Section 6.1; Text S5; Fig. S19; Table S2). Additionally, most 652 landscapes have likely had sufficient time for the signal associated with fault formation to reach 653 a steady state (Text S5; Fig. S19; Table S2), indicating that our recovered uplift patterns likely 654 reflect current trends. We may overestimate or underestimate our values of a_s , but translating these into absolute uplift rates is challenging and requires precise knowledge of k_0 and A_0 , two 655 656 parameters that are difficult to constrain accurately.

658 6.3 Future applications of our method

659

660 The success of our method in recovering uplift patterns while discerning climatic, 661 lithological and tectonic drivers in synthetic and natural landscapes suggests that it could be 662 applied to other tectonic settings where knowledge of long-term uplift rates is limited.

663 One exciting application of our method is its ability to untangle climatic and tectonic signals, 664 shedding light on the long-standing question of the relative roles of climate and tectonic forcing 665 in the evolution of orogenic regions such as the Andes and Himalayas (e.g., Leonard et al., 2023; 666 Montgomery et al., 2001; Whipple, 2009; Molnar & England, 1990). A second use of our method 667 is its ability to recover long-term uplift trends to help constrain seismic hazards along subduction 668 zones, which produce the most destructive earthquakes on Earth. Recent evidence demonstrate 669 that geodetically locked areas of subduction megathrusts (e.g., Lindsey et al., 2018; Oryan et al., 670 2023; Steckler et al., 2016), which produce short-term interseismic surface uplift systematically 671 correlations with long-term uplift patterns shaped over thousands of years (Jolivet et al., 2020; 672 Madella & Ehlers, 2021; Malatesta et al., 2021; Meade, 2010; Saillard et al., 2017). This 673 correlation is observed in the Himalayan section we studied (Fig. 5G;Godard et al., 2014; Jackson 674 & Bilham, 1994; Lavé & Avouac, 2001; Sreejith et al., 2018) as well as in Cascadia and Chile 675 subduction zones and is attributed to the accumulation of irreversible strain during the 676 interseismic period, generating a spatially variable, permanent uplift field recorded by the 677 landscape over many seismic cycles (Oryan et al., 2024). Our inversion method opens the door 678 to leveraging these time-averaged signals captured in landscapes over tens of thousands of years 679 and hundreds of earthquake cycles, offering valuable insights into persistent plate coupling and 680 the associated seismic hazards over extended timescales.

7 Figures



Figure 1 – Illustration of a fluvially-incised landscape and its river networks. Left: Panels depict
the tectonic, lithological, and climatic factors shaping the landscape. Right: The river network
incising the landscape is described using three geomorphological frameworks, with the lower
panel showing the framework used in our approach.







690 Figure 2 – Inverted detachment limited synthetic landscape. A – Landscape terrain. Blue dots 691 show 8000 river nodes used to constrain the inversion with dot size proportional to the drainage 692 area. Marginal plots show average uplift along axis. Imposed uplift is shown in red curve and 500 693 samples randomly drawn from the inverted uplift posterior distribution and extrapolated to the 694 domain are shown in grey. B – Imposed uplift function used during the simulation of the 695 landscape. Dots show river nodes used in the inversion. C - Points show measured elevation 696 (z_{obs}) for 8000 river nodes and χ values derived from best inverted solution. Blue and grey 697 denote inversion results including and excluding uplift, respectively. D - Best inverted uplift 698 solution extrapolated for the entire domain. Dots mark river nodes used to constrain the 699 inversion.





702	Figure 3 – Inverted synthetic landscapes deviating from the detachment limited model showing
703	varying degrees of hillslope diffusion (1), sediment deposition(2), orographic effects(3), spatial
704	variations in erodibility(4), and temporal changes in uplift rates(5). A - RMS values for elevation
705	and uplift and normalized with respect to value obtained for the detachment limited landscape
706	(Fig 1). ε denote error we introduced amounting to 10m (See section 4.2). Grey vertical line shows
707	an example landscape described in panel B. B -Landscape Elevation. Blue dots show 8000 river
708	nodes used for the inversion with dot size proportional to the drainage area. Marginal plots show
709	average uplift along axis. Imposed uplift is shown in red curve and 500 samples randomly drawn
710	from the inverted uplift posterior distribution and extrapolated to the domain are shown in grey
711	and orange colors. Panels A4 and A5 show the inverted and imposed parameters ${k_w}/{k_s}$ and a_s
712	in magenta and dashed black line, respectively. The x-axis in Panel A5 displays time in million
713	years (top) and as a fraction of the time it takes for the landscape to reach steady state(bottom).
714	
715	
716	
717	
718	
719	
720	
721	
722	
723	
724	
725	

Inverted landscapes shaped by normal faults



729

727

730

Figure 4 – 1D (1-4) and 2D (5) inversions of five natural landscapes shaped by normal faults. A 731 732 - Best-fitting uplift pattern as a function of distance from the fault is represented by colored 733 curves, with 500 uplift solutions randomly sampled from the posterior distribution shown as grey 734 lines. In A5, the uplift is displayed along strike, following the dashed black line shown in C5. Red 735 markers indicate k_{sn} values computed by Densmore et al. (2007). B - Colored dots represent the 736 χ values for the best-fitting solution for river nodes used in the inversion. Black line marks the 737 inverted slope a_s . Elevation indicates the relief from the base level. The parameter θ denotes the 738 ratio of the inverted m/n values. In A2, k_r shows the erodibility ratio for two inverted rock 739 sections in Sandia. C - The uplift pattern is displayed within the catchments feeding the rivers 740 used in the inversion, highlighted in light white. The fault position is indicated by a red line. In C2, the positions of two lithological sections are shown to the right and left of the ridge line, which 741

742 is marked by a black line. D – Uplift standard deviation, represented by the colormap, is calculated

- 743 by evaluating the uplift at each pixel using 500 samples randomly drawn from the posterior
- 744 distribution. E map showing landscapes locations.

Inverted Himalaya landscape



747 Figure 5 – Inversion results for Himalaya landscape. A – Best-fitting uplift pattern for the 748 inversion including climate effect is displayed within the catchments feeding the rivers used in 749 the inversion, highlighted by light white does. White dashed line shows the profile used to plot 750 uplift in panel F. B - Colored dots represent the χ values for the best-fitting solution for river nodes 751 used in the inversion including (blue) and excluding (red) climate effects. Black line marks the best fitting inverted slope a_s . Elevation indicates the relief from the base level. The parameter θ 752 753 denotes the ratio of the inverted m/n values. C- Uplift standard deviation is calculated by 754 evaluating the uplift at each pixel using 500 samples randomly drawn from the posterior 755 distribution. D - Four distinct lithological sections (Carosi et al., 2018) used to constrain the 756 spatial variability of four inverted erodibility values. River nodes used in the inversion are marked by white dots. E – Average climate pattern used to constrain the climate drainage area, A_0 757 (section 3.1.4). River nodes used in the inversion are shown by gray dots. F – best fitting uplift 758

pattern for the inversion excluding climate effects. G– Gray curves represent 500 uplift patterns
randomly drawn from the posterior distribution along a line perpendicular to the main frontal
thrust. Long-term (Godard et al., 2014; Lavé & Avouac, 2001) rates and short-term (Jackson &
Bilham, 1994; Sreejith et al., 2018) uplift recorded during the interseismic period are indicated
by orange and magenta colors, respectively.

....

766 8 Funding

767

This work was funded by the Emergence(s)–Ville de Paris Program Project "Inelasticity in the Subduction earthquake cycle" (J.-A.O.); the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) grant GeoSigMA (J.-A.O.), the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's horizon 2020 research and innovation program, Geo-4d project, grant agreement 758210 (R.J.); institut Universitaire de France (R.J.); h2020 european Research council, grant no. 803721 (B.G.); Chateaubriand Fellowship Program ,Green Postdoctoral Scholarship from IGPP at SIO and national Science Foundation, grant no. nSF-OAc- 2311208 (B.O.)

775

776 9 Open Research

777

778 The Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) utilized in this study were sourced from the Shuttle Radar 779 Topography Mission (Farr al., 2007) and freely available et are at 780 https://www.opentopography.org/. Precipitation data were obtained from the NASA Global 781 Precipitation Measurement mission (Huffman et al., 2015), accessible at 782 https://gpm.nasa.gov/data. The specific DEM, precipitation data, and code used for the inversion 783 in this paper are freely accessible at https://zenodo.org/records/14029506. Synthetic landscapes 784 were generated using CHONK (Gailleton et al., 2024). Figures were produced using GMT (Wessel 785 2019), et al., Matplotlib (Caswell et al., 2021) and Adobe Illustrator 786 (https://www.adobe.com/products/illustrator.html).

788 10 References

- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, *19*(6), 716–723. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705
- 791 Anderson, J. L. (2007). An adaptive covariance inflation error correction algorithm for ensemble
- filters. *Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, *59*(2), 210.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2006.00216.x
- Armijo, R., Meyer, B., King, G. C. P., Rigo, A., & Papanastassiou, D. (1996). Quaternary evolution
 of the Corinth Rift and its implications for the Late Cenozoic evolution of the Aegean. *Geophysical Journal International*, *126*(1), 11–53. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365246X.1996.tb05264.x
- Auzet, A.-V., & Ambroise, B. (1996). Soil Creep Dynamics, Soil Moisture and Temperature
 Conditions on a Forested Slope in the Granitic Vosges Mountains, France. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 21*(6), 531–542. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096 9837(199606)21:6<531::AID-ESP606>3.0.CO;2-B

5657(155666)21.0<551..AID E51 666/5.0.00,2 D

- 802 Babault, J., Viaplana-Muzas, M., Legrand, X., Van Den Driessche, J., González-Quijano, M., &
- 803 Mudd, S. M. (2018). Source-to-sink constraints on tectonic and sedimentary evolution of
- the western Central Range and Cenderawasih Bay (Indonesia). Journal of Asian Earth
- 805 Sciences, 156, 265–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2018.02.004
- Bishop, C. M. (2006). *Pattern recognition and machine learning* (Vol. 4). Springer. Retrieved from
- 807 https://link.springer.com/book/9780387310732

808 Bontemps, N., Lacroix, P., Larose, E., Jara, J., & Taipe, E. (2020). Rain and small earthquakes 809 maintain a slow-moving landslide in a persistent critical state. *Nature Communications*,

810 *11*(1), 780. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14445-3

- 811 Bookhagen, B., & Burbank, D. W. (2010). Toward a complete Himalayan hydrological budget:
- 812 Spatiotemporal distribution of snowmelt and rainfall and their impact on river discharge.
- 813 Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 115(F3).
 814 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001426
- 815 Campforts, B., Vanacker, V., Herman, F., Vanmaercke, M., Schwanghart, W., Tenorio, G. E., et al.
- 816 (2020). Parameterization of river incision models requires accounting for environmental
- heterogeneity: insights from the tropical Andes. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 8(2), 447–470.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-8-447-2020
- 819 Carosi, R., Montomoli, C., & laccarino, S. (2018). 20 years of geological mapping of the
- 820 metamorphic core across Central and Eastern Himalayas. Earth-Science Reviews, 177,

821 124–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.11.006

- 822 Carretier, S., Martinod, P., Reich, M., & Godderis, Y. (2016). Modelling sediment clasts transport
- 823 during landscape evolution. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 4(1), 237–251.
 824 https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-4-237-2016
- Castillo, M., Muñoz-Salinas, E., & Ferrari, L. (2014). Response of a landscape to tectonics using
 channel steepness indices (*k*sn) and OSL: A case of study from the Jalisco Block, Western
 Mexico. *Geomorphology*, 221, 204–214.
- 828 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.06.017
- 829 Caswell, T. A., Droettboom, M., Lee, A., Andrade, E. S. de, Hoffmann, T., Hunter, J., et al. (2021,
- August 13). matplotlib/matplotlib: REL: v3.4.3. Zenodo.
 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5194481
- Cattin, R., & Avouac, J. P. (2000). Modeling mountain building and the seismic cycle in the
 Himalaya of Nepal. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *105*(B6), 13389–13407.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900032
- 835 Clubb, F. J., Mudd, S. M., Schildgen, T. F., van der Beek, P. A., Devrani, R., & Sinclair, H. D. (2023).
- 836 Himalayan valley-floor widths controlled by tectonically driven exhumation. *Nature*
- 837 *Geoscience*, 16(8), 739–746. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01238-8
- Cordonnier, G., Bovy, B., & Braun, J. (2019). A versatile, linear complexity algorithm for flow
 routing in topographies with depressions. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 7(2), 549–562.
- 840 https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-7-549-2019
- 841 Croissant, T., & Braun, J. (2014). Constraining the stream power law: a novel approach combining
- a landscape evolution model and an inversion method. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 2(1),
- 843 155–166. https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2-155-2014
- Dal Zilio, L., Hetényi, G., Hubbard, J., & Bollinger, L. (2021). Building the Himalaya from tectonic
 to earthquake scales. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 2(4), 251–268.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00143-1
- 847 Davy, P., & Lague, D. (2009). Fluvial erosion/transport equation of landscape evolution models
- revisited. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 114(F3).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JF001146

- 850 Densmore, A. L., Gupta, S., Allen, P. A., & Dawers, N. H. (2007). Transient landscapes at fault tips.
- 851 Journal of Geophysical Research, 112(F3), F03S08.
 852 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000560
- 853 Dublanchet, P., & Olive, J.-A. (2024). Inelastic deformation accrued over multiple seismic cycles:
- 854 Insights from an elastic-plastic slider-and-springboard model. *Seismica*, 3(2).
 855 https://doi.org/10.26443/seismica.v3i2.1345
- Ellis, M. A., & Barnes, J. B. (2015). A global perspective on the topographic response to fault
 growth. *Geosphere*, *11*(4), 1008–1023. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES01156.1
- 858 Faccenna, C., Glišović, P., Forte, A., Becker, T. W., Garzanti, E., Sembroni, A., & Gvirtzman, Z.
- 859 (2019). Role of dynamic topography in sustaining the Nile River over 30 million years.
 860 *Nature Geoscience*, *12*(12), 1012–1017. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0472-x
- 861 Farr, T. G., Rosen, P. A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., et al. (2007). The Shuttle
- Radar Topography Mission. *Reviews of Geophysics, 45*(2).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005RG000183
- 864 Fox, M., Goren, L., May, D. A., & Willett, S. D. (2014). Inversion of fluvial channels for paleorock
- uplift rates in Taiwan. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *119*(9), 1853–1875.
- 866 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003196
- Gailleton, B., Sinclair, H. D., Mudd, S. M., Graf, E. L. S., & Maţenco, L. C. (2021). Isolating Lithologic
- 868 Versus Tectonic Signals of River Profiles to Test Orogenic Models for the Eastern and
- 869 Southeastern Carpathians. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 126(8),
- 870 e2020JF005970. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JF005970

- Gailleton, Boris, Mudd, S. M., Clubb, F. J., Grieve, S. W. D., & Hurst, M. D. (2021). Impact of
 Changing Concavity Indices on Channel Steepness and Divide Migration Metrics. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 126*(10), e2020JF006060.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JF006060
- Gailleton, Boris, Malatesta, L. C., Cordonnier, G., & Braun, J. (2024). CHONK 1.0: landscape
 evolution framework: cellular automata meets graph theory. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *17*(1), 71–90. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-17-71-2024
- 878 Godard, V., Bourles, D. L., Spinabella, F., Burbank, D. W., Bookhagen, B., Fisher, G. B., et al. (2014).
- 879 Dominance of tectonics over climate in Himalayan denudation. *Geology*, 42(3), 243–246.
 880 https://doi.org/10.1130/G35342.1
- Goren, L., Fox, M., & Willett, S. D. (2014). Tectonics from fluvial topography using formal linear inversion: Theory and applications to the Inyo Mountains, California. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 119*(8), 1651–1681.
- 884 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003079
- Goren, Liran, Fox, M., & Willett, S. D. (2022). Linear Inversion of Fluvial Long Profiles to Infer
 Tectonic Uplift Histories. In *Treatise on Geomorphology* (pp. 225–248). Elsevier.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818234-5.00075-4
- Hack, J. T. (1973). *Stream-profile analysis and stream-gradient index*. U.S. Geological Survey.
- 889 Harel, M.-A., Mudd, S. M., & Attal, M. (2016). Global analysis of the stream power law parameters
- based on worldwide 10Be denudation rates. *Geomorphology*, 268, 184–196.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.05.035

Hergarten, S., & Robl, J. (2022). The linear feedback precipitation model (LFPM 1.0) – a simple
and efficient model for orographic precipitation in the context of landform evolution
modeling. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 15(5), 2063–2084.
https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-2063-2022

- Herman, F., Copeland, P., Avouac, J.-P., Bollinger, L., Mahéo, G., Le Fort, P., et al. (2010).
 Exhumation, crustal deformation, and thermal structure of the Nepal Himalaya derived
 from the inversion of thermochronological and thermobarometric data and modeling of
 the topography. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 115*(B6).
- 900 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB006126
- Holtmann, R., Cattin, R., Simoes, M., & Steer, P. (2023). Revealing the hidden signature of fault
 slip history in the morphology of degrading scarps. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1), 3856.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-30772-z
- 904 Howard, A. D., & Kerby, G. (1983). Channel changes in badlands. *GSA Bulletin*, *94*(6), 739–752.
 905 https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1983)94<739:CCIB>2.0.CO;2
- 906 Huffman, G. J., Bolvin, D. T., Braithwaite, D., Hsu, K., Joyce, R., Xie, P., & Yoo, S.-H. (2015). NASA
- global precipitation measurement (GPM) integrated multi-satellite retrievals for GPM
 (IMERG). Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) Version, 4(26), 30.
- Jackson, M., & Bilham, R. (1994). Constraints on Himalayan deformation inferred from vertical
 velocity fields in Nepal and Tibet. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *99*(B7),
- 911 13897–13912. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB00714

- 912 Jolivet, R., Simons, M., Duputel, Z., Olive, J., Bhat, H. S., & Bletery, Q. (2020). Interseismic loading
- 913 of subduction megathrust drives long term uplift in northern Chile, 1–21.
 914 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085377
- 915 King, G. C. P., Stein, R. S., & Rundle, J. B. (1988). The Growth of Geological Structures by Repeated
- 916 Earthquakes 1. Conceptual Framework. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
 917 93(B11), 13307–13318. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB093iB11p13307
- 918 Kirby, E., & Whipple, K. X. (2012). Expression of active tectonics in erosional landscapes. *Journal*919 of Structural Geology, 44, 54–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2012.07.009
- 920 Lavé, J., & Avouac, J. P. (2001). Fluvial incision and tectonic uplift across the Himalayas of central
- 921 Nepal. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 106(B11), 26561–26591.
 922 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000359
- 923 Leonard, J. S., & Whipple, K. X. (2021). Influence of Spatial Rainfall Gradients on River Longitudinal
- 924 Profiles and the Topographic Expression of Spatially and Temporally Variable Climates in
- 925 Mountain Landscapes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 126(12),

926 e2021JF006183. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006183

- Leonard, J. S., Whipple, K. X., & Heimsath, A. M. (2023). Isolating climatic, tectonic, and lithologic
 controls on mountain landscape evolution. *Science Advances*, *9*(3), eadd8915.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.add8915
- 930 Lindsey, E. O., Almeida, R., Mallick, R., Hubbard, J., Bradley, K., Tsang, L. L. H., et al. (2018).
- 931 Structural Control on Downdip Locking Extent of the Himalayan Megathrust. Journal of
- 932 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 123(6), 5265–5278.
- 933 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB015868

- Madella, A., & Ehlers, T. A. (2021). Contribution of background seismicity to forearc uplift. *Nature Geoscience*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00779-0
- 936 Malatesta, L. C., Bruhat, L., Finnegan, N. J., & Olive, J.-A. L. (2021). Co-location of the Downdip
- 937 End of Seismic Coupling and the Continental Shelf Break. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*

938 Solid Earth, 126(1), e2020JB019589. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB019589

- 939 Matsuoka, N. (1998). The relationship between frost heave and downslope soil movement: field
- 940 measurements in the Japanese Alps. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, *9*(2), 121–133.
- 941 https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1530(199804/06)9:2<121::AID-PPP281>3.0.CO;2-C
- Meade, B. J. (2010). The signature of an unbalanced earthquake cycle in Himalayan topography? *Geology*, 38(11), 987–990. https://doi.org/10.1130/G31439.1
- Merritt, W. S., Letcher, R. A., & Jakeman, A. J. (2003). A review of erosion and sediment transport
 models. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 18(8), 761–799.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-8152(03)00078-1
- 947 Molnar, P., & England, P. (1990). Late Cenozoic uplift of mountain ranges and global climate 948 change: chicken or egg? *Nature*, *346*(6279), 29–34. https://doi.org/10.1038/346029a0
- 949 Mudd, S. M., Attal, M., Milodowski, D. T., Grieve, S. W. D., & Valters, D. A. (2014). A statistical
- 950 framework to quantify spatial variation in channel gradients using the integral method of
- 951 channel profile analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 119*(2), 138–152.
- 952 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JF002981
- 953 Nadai, A. (1963). Theory of flow and fracture of solids. *New York, NY: McGraw-Hill*. Retrieved
 954 from https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1130282270243960576

- O'Callaghan, J. F., & Mark, D. M. (1984). The extraction of drainage networks from digital
 elevation data. *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing, 28*(3), 323–344.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-189X(84)80011-0
- Olive, J.-A., & Behn, M. D. (2014). Rapid rotation of normal faults due to flexural stresses: An
 explanation for the global distribution of normal fault dips. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *119*(4), 3722–3739. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010512
- 961 Olive, J.-A., Malatesta, L. C., Behn, M. D., & Buck, W. R. (2022). Sensitivity of rift tectonics to global
 962 variability in the efficiency of river erosion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 963 Sciences, 119(13), e2115077119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115077119
- Oryan, B., & Buck, W. R. (2020). Larger tsunamis from megathrust earthquakes where slab dip is
 reduced. *Nature Geoscience*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0553-x
- 966 Oryan, B., Betka, P. M., Steckler, M. S., Nooner, S. L., Lindsey, E. O., Mondal, D., et al. (2023). New
- 967 GNSS and Geological Data From the Indo-Burman Subduction Zone Indicate Active
- 968 Convergence on Both a Locked Megathrust and the Kabaw Fault. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 969 *Research: Solid Earth, 128*(4), e2022JB025550. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JB025550
- Oryan, B., Olive, J.-A., Jolivet, R., Malatesta, L. C., Gailleton, B., & Bruhat, L. (2024). Megathrust
 locking encoded in subduction landscapes. *Science Advances*, *10*(17), eadl4286.
- 972 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adl4286
- 973 Perron, J. T., & Royden, L. (2013). An integral approach to bedrock river profile analysis. *Earth*
- 974 *Surface Processes and Landforms, 38*(6), 570–576. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3302

- 975 Ponza, A., Pazzaglia, F. J., & Picotti, V. (2010). Thrust-fold activity at the mountain front of the
- 976 Northern Apennines (Italy) from quantitative landscape analysis. *Geomorphology*, *123*(3),
 977 211–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.06.008
- 978 Pritchard, D., Roberts, G. G., White, N. J., & Richardson, C. N. (2009). Uplift histories from river
- 979 profiles. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*(24). https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040928
- 980 Robert, X., van der Beek, P., Braun, J., Perry, C., Dubille, M., & Mugnier, J.-L. (2009). Assessing
- 981 Quaternary reactivation of the Main Central thrust zone (central Nepal Himalaya): New
- 982 thermochronologic data and numerical modeling. *Geology*, *37*(8), 731–734.
- 983 https://doi.org/10.1130/G25736A.1
- Roberts, G. P., & Michetti, A. M. (2004). Spatial and temporal variations in growth rates along
 active normal fault systems: an example from The Lazio–Abruzzo Apennines, central Italy. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 26(2), 339–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/S01918141(03)00103-2
- Roering, J. J., Kirchner, J. W., & Dietrich, W. E. (1999). Evidence for nonlinear, diffusive sediment
 transport on hillslopes and implications for landscape morphology. *Water Resources Research*, 35(3), 853–870. https://doi.org/10.1029/1998WR900090
- 891 Roering, J. J., Kirchner, J. W., Sklar, L. S., & Dietrich, W. E. (2001). Hillslope evolution by nonlinear
- 992 creep and landsliding: An experimental study. *Geology*, 29(2), 143.
 993 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<0143:HEBNCA>2.0.CO;2
- 994 Rosenbloom, N. A., & Anderson, R. S. (1994). Hillslope and channel evolution in a marine terraced
- 995 landscape, Santa Cruz, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 99(B7),
- 996 14013–14029. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB00048

- Saillard, M., Audin, L., Rousset, B., Avouac, J.-P., Chlieh, M., Hall, S. R., et al. (2017). From the
 seismic cycle to long-term deformation: linking seismic coupling and Quaternary coastal
 geomorphology along the Andean megathrust. *Tectonics*, *36*(2), 241–256.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016TC004156
- 1001 Smith, A. G. G., Fox, M., Moore, J. R., Miller, S. R., Goren, L., Morriss, M. C., & Carter, A. (2024).
- One Million Years of Climate-Driven Rock Uplift Rate Variation on the Wasatch Fault
 Revealed by Fluvial Topography. *American Journal of Science, 324*.
 https://doi.org/10.2475/001c.92194
- Snyder, N. P., Whipple, K. X., Tucker, G. E., & Merritts, D. J. (2000). Landscape response to tectonic
 forcing: Digital elevation model analysis of stream profiles in the Mendocino triple
 junction region, northern California. *GSA Bulletin*, *112*(8), 1250–1263.
 https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2000)112<1250:LRTTFD>2.0.CO;2
- 1009 Sreejith, K. M., Sunil, P. S., Agrawal, R., Saji, A. P., Rajawat, A. S., & Ramesh, D. S. (2018). Audit of
- 1010 stored strain energy and extent of future earthquake rupture in central Himalaya.
- 1011 Scientific Reports, 8(1), 16697. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35025-y
- Steckler, M. S., Mondal, D. R., Akhter, S. H., Seeber, L., Feng, L., Gale, J., et al. (2016). Locked and
 loading megathrust linked to active subduction beneath the Indo-Burman Ranges. *Nature Geoscience*, 9(8), 615–618. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2760
- 1015 Su, Q., Xie, H., Yuan, D.-Y., & Zhang, H.-P. (2017). Along-strike topographic variation of Qinghai
- 1016 Nanshan and its significance for landscape evolution in the northeastern Tibetan Plateau.
- 1017
 Journal
 of
 Asian
 Earth
 Sciences,
 147,
 226–239.

 1018
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2017.07.019

 </td

1019 Tarantola, A. (2005). Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation. 1020 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. 1021 https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9780898717921 1022 Taylor, W. J., & Switzer, D. D. (2001). Temporal changes in fault strike (to 90°) and extension 1023 directions during multiple episodes of extension: An example from eastern Nevada. GSA 1024 Bulletin, https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-113(6), 743–759.

- 1025 7606(2001)113<0743:TCIFST>2.0.CO;2
- 1026 Watts, A. B. (2001). *Isostasy and Flexure of the Lithosphere*. Cambridge University Press.
- 1027 Retrieved from https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=QlUgBqJ6m-

1028 MC&oi=fnd&pg=PR11&dq=isostasy&ots=KMIwT-K-82&sig=EEBil2c-910Do0N-

- 1029 5fBlxEyOtq8
- 1030 Weissel, J. K., & Karner, G. D. (1989). Flexural uplift of rift flanks due to mechanical unloading of
- 1031 the lithosphere during extension. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 94*(B10),
- 1032 13919–13950. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB094iB10p13919
- 1033 Wessel, P., Luis, J. F., Uieda, L., Scharroo, R., Wobbe, F., Smith, W. H. F., & Tian, D. (2019). The
- 1034 Generic Mapping Tools Version 6. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(11), 5556–
- 1035 5564. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008515
- 1036 Whipple, K. X. (2009). The influence of climate on the tectonic evolution of mountain belts.
- 1037 *Nature Geoscience*, 2(2), 97–104. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo413
- 1038 Whipple, K. X., & Tucker, G. E. (1999). Dynamics of the stream-power river incision model:
- 1039 Implications for height limits of mountain ranges, landscape response timescales, and

1040 research needs. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 104(B8), 17661–17674.
 1041 https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JB900120

1042 Willgoose, G., Bras, R. L., & Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. (1991). A physical explanation of an observed link

- 1043 area-slope relationship. *Water Resources Research*, 27(7), 1697–1702.
 1044 https://doi.org/10.1029/91WR00937
- 1045 Williams, P. L., & Cole, J. C. (2007). Geologic Map of the Albuquerque 30' X 60' Quadrangle, North-
- 1046 *central New Mexico* (Vol. 2946). US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey.

from

- 1047 Retrieved
- 1048 https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=SmHuAAAAMAAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq
- 1049 =Geologic+Map+of+the+Albuquerque+30%E2%80%99+x+60%E2%80%99+Quadrangle,+
- 1050 North-Central+New+Mexico&ots=XjNI3pAW57&sig=zlxdaGlePxXrpviuYPt1dkoxExo
- 1051 Wobus, C., Whipple, K. X., Kirby, E., Snyder, N., Johnson, J., Spyropolou, K., et al. (2006). Tectonics
- 1052 from topography: Procedures, promise, and pitfalls. In S. D. Willett, N. Hovius, M. T.

1053 Brandon, & D. M. Fisher (Eds.), *Tectonics, Climate, and Landscape Evolution* (Vol. 398, p.

1054 0). Geological Society of America. https://doi.org/10.1130/2006.2398(04)

1055 Yanites, B. J., Tucker, G. E., Mueller, K. J., Chen, Y.-G., Wilcox, T., Huang, S.-Y., & Shi, K.-W. (2010).

1056 Incision and channel morphology across active structures along the Peikang River, central

- 1057 Taiwan: Implications for the importance of channel width. GSA Bulletin, 122(7–8), 1192–
- 1058 1208. https://doi.org/10.1130/B30035.1

1059

1061	Supplementary information for
1062	Inferring Long-Term Tectonic Uplift Patterns from Bayesian Inversion of Fluvially-Incised
1063	Landscapes
1064 1065 1066	Bar Oryan ^{1*} , Boris Gailleton ² , Jean-Arthur Olive ³ , Luca C. Malatesta ⁴ and Romain Jolivet ^{3,5}
1067	Affiliations:
1068	(1)Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UC San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093,USA
1069	(2)Univ. Rennes, Géosciences Rennes, UMR 6118, 35000 Rennes, France.
1070	(3)Laboratoire de Géologie, École normale supérieure – PSL, CNRS UMR 8538, Paris, France.
1071	(4)Earth Surface Process Modelling, GFZ German Research Center for Geosciences, Potsdam,
1072	Germany.
1073	(5)Institut Universitaire de France, 1 rue Descartes, 75006 Paris.
1074	
1075	Contents of this file
1076	
1077	Text S1 to S4
1078	Figures S1 to S19
1079	Tables S1 to S3
1080	
1081	Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)
1082	
1083	Code and DEMs used in this manuscript are available at
1084	https://zenodo.org/records/14029506
1085	
1086	

1087 1088 Text S1 – B Splines 1089 1090 The B-spline function we used in parametrizing the uplift are described as follow (De Boor, 1978; Piegl & Tiller, 1997): 1091 1. $U(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{i+d} Q_i B_{i,d}(x)$ 1092 Where Q_i is the spline coefficient controlling the behavior of the B-spline basis function of 1093 1094 order d, $B_{i,d}$ (x), defined recursively in the following way: 2. $B_{i,0}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, x \in [t_i, t_{i+1}] \\ 0, elsewhere \end{cases}$ 1095 $B_{i,d}(x) = \frac{x - t_i}{t_{i+d} - t_i} B_{i,d-1}(x) + \frac{t_{i+d+1} - x}{t_{i+d+1} - t_{i+1}} B_{i+1,d-1}(x)$ 1096 1097 1098 t_i is the position of node *i*. 1099 1100 To describe a two-dimensional uplift patterns we rely on a convolution of B-spline basis function to describe a surface (De Boor, 1978; Piegl & Tiller, 1997): 1101 1102 3. $U(x, y) = \sum_{i}^{n+d} \sum_{j}^{j+d} Q_{i,j} B_{i,d}(x) B_{j,d}(y)$ 1103 1104 1105 To compute our uplift function, we distribute nodes along a rectangle uniform grid with 1106 constant spacing along the x and y axis. This enables us to adopt a simpler and computationally efficient form of B-spline basis (Agrapart & Batailly, 2020). For 1D cubic solution where uplift 1107 1108 varies along the x-axis we use: 1109 4. $U(x) = \frac{1}{6} [u_i^3 u_i^2 u_i 1] \cdot R \cdot \begin{bmatrix} Q_i \\ Q_{i+1} \\ Q_{i+2} \end{bmatrix}$ 1110

1111

1112 For the 2D case where uplift pattern is a function of *x* and *y* we use:

1114 5.
$$U(x,y) = \frac{1}{36} \begin{bmatrix} v_j^3 v_j^2 v_j 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot R \cdot \begin{bmatrix} Q_{i,j} & Q_{i+1,j} & Q_{i+2,j} & Q_{i+3,j} \\ Q_{i,j+1} & Q_{i+1,j+1} & Q_{i+2,j+1} & Q_{i+3,j+1} \\ Q_{i,j+2} & Q_{i+1,j+2} & Q_{i+2,j+2} & Q_{i+3,j+2} \\ Q_{i,j+3} & Q_{i+1,j+3} & Q_{i+2,j+3} & Q_{i+3,j+3} \end{bmatrix} R^t \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i^3 \\ \mu_i^2 \\ \mu_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

1115

1116 Where
$$\mu_i = \frac{x - t_i}{t_{i+1} - t_i}$$
, $v_j = \frac{y - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j}$ and $R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & -3 & 1\\ 3 & -6 & 3 & 0\\ -3 & 0 & 3 & 0\\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

1117

1118 We note that the numbers of parameters needed is nodes+d(=3) and as we are only interested 1119 in the shape of uplift and normalize our uplift solution between 0 and 1.

Finally, we highlight that our recovered uplift is constrained only by river nodes within our rectangular domain defining the b-spline surface. Nonetheless, we can extrapolate the uplift surface across the entire B-spline domain using these parameters. We consider that the recovered uplift applies only to the basins that feed our selected river nodes, as the water flowing through these influence the information they provide.

1	126	
-	120	

Cases	<i>x</i> ₀	y_0	σ_x	σ_y	θ	Illustration
	[km]	[km]	[km]	[km]	[]	Fig.
Detachment limited, Sediment transportation, Hillslope diffusion (Fig. 1 ,2 and 3)	50	50	30	20	45	1,S2A
Temporal uplift shape (South ridge uplift function ; Fig 5)	50	70	100	40	0	S2B
Temporal change (North ridge uplift function ; Fig 5)	50	30	100	40	0	S2C
Climatic effect & Temporal uplift rate (Figs. 5 & 3)	50	50	1000	20	0	S2D
Erodibility ratio (Fig 6)	50	50	40	25	0	S2E

1128Table S1 – Imposed tectonic uplift used in synthetic landscape. Uplift functions illustrations are1129shown in Fig. S1.





Inverted synthetic landscapes with various degrees of sediment transportation and deposition

1135 Figure S2 – Inverted synthetic landscapes with various degrees of sediment transportation and 1136 deposition. Panels A and B show comparison between imposed and recovered landscape 1137 1138 properties for inversions of 50 synthetic landscapes, each characterized by a distinct simulated 1139 deposition value. A – RMS values for elevation and uplift and normalized with respect to value 1140 obtained for the landscape with the weakest deposition. ε denote error we introduced 1141 amounting to 10m (See section 4.2). B – Comparison between imposed (black dash curve) and mean inverted and m, n and a_s values. Continuous and dashed curves denote inversion results 1142 1143 including and excluding uplift, respectively. Grey vertical lines show two landscapes described in 1144 panels C and D. C – Points show elevation for 8000 river nodes and χ values derived from best inverted solution. Blue and grey denote inversion results including and excluding uplift, 1145 1146 respectively. D - Landscapes Elevation. Blue dots show 8000 river nodes used for the inversion 1147 with dot size proportional to the drainage area. Marginal plots show average uplift along axis. 1148 Imposed uplift is shown in red curve and 500 samples randomly drawn from the inverted uplift 1149 posterior distribution and extrapolated to the domain are shown in grey.

Inverted synthetic landscapes with various degrees of hillslope diffusion



1151

1152Figure S3 – Inverted synthetic landscapes with various degrees of hillslope diffusion.1153Panels A,A*,B and B* show comparison between imposed and recovered landscape properties1154for inversions of 50 synthetic landscapes, each characterized by a distinct h_o (See section 4.3.5).1155Panels with and without an * show outputs for inversions including and excluding the effect of1156orographic perception on drainage area, respectively. Blue curves in marginal plots in panels D11157and D2 show the averaged perception along the x axis where 1 and 0 indicate large and negligible

perception, respectively. See Fig. S2 for complete figure description.

- 1158 1159
- 1160



Inverted landscapes with various degrees of orography



1162

1163Figure S4 – Inverted synthetic landscapes with various degrees of orographic effect.1164Panels A,A*,B and B* show comparison between imposed and recovered landscape properties1165for inversions of 50 synthetic landscapes, each characterized by a distinct h_o (See section 4.3.5).1166Panels with and without an * show outputs for inversions including and excluding the effect of1167orographic perception on drainage area, respectively. Blue curves in marginal plots in panels D11168and D2 show the averaged perception along the x axis where 1 and 0 indicate large and negligible1169perception, respectively. See Fig. S2 for complete figure description.

- 1170
- 1171



Inverted landscapes with various degrees of rock erodibility



1174Figure S5 – Inverted synthetic landscapes with various degrees of rock erodibility. Panels A,A*,B1175and B* show comparison between imposed and recovered landscape properties for inversions1176of 50 synthetic landscapes, each characterized by a 20km wide section with a distinct erodibility1177value k_s . White dash line in D1 and D2 mark section characterized by erodibility of k_s . Panels1178with and without an * show outputs for inversions including and excluding erodibility,1179respectively.See Fig. S2 for complete figure description.





Fig S6– knickpoint travel time from base level to river node.



Inverted landscape following a step change in uplift rate





Fig S8 – Elevation misfit for two synthetic landscapes. The largest misfit values for the transient
 landscape are concentrated upstream around the river tips, which have not yet reached
 equilibrium. In contrast misfits are almost evenly distributed across steady state landscape.

1201 Text S2 – Synthetic landscape subject to temporal changes in uplift pattern

1202

For completeness we examine the effect of temporal changes in uplift pattern (under constant uplift rate) and simulate a detachment-limited landscape in equilibrium, characterized by a well-formed east-west mountain range along the southern end of the domain (Fig. 5; Table S1; Fig. S1). We then introduce a step change in the uplift pattern, resulting in a ~30 km slow migration of the mountain ridge towards the north (Fig. S9; Table S1; Fig. S1). Following this instantaneous change, we continue simulating the landscape for an additional 10 million years, performing inversions on landscape snapshots recorded at intervals of 0.1 million years.

Due to the nonlinearity and complexity of the signal we introduce (Royden & Taylor Perron, 2013; Steer, 2021), we estimate the time for the landscape to reach a new equilibrium by computing the mean of the absolute differences in topographic height across successive timesteps (green curve, Fig. S9A). Approximately 10 million years following the step change, the ridge stabilizes at its final position, with mean topographic change diminishing to about 1% of its maximum value post-change (Fig. S9A).

1216 The inverted and recorded elevations align almost perfectly, while other landscape 1217 properties show more pronounced errors (Figs. S9A & S9B). This consistency in elevation retrieval 1218 suggests that the inversion effectively compensates with adjustments in other parameters to 1219 return accurate elevation values. This is because the transient signals are primarily driven by 1220 detachment-limited processes, in contrast to sediment deposition and hillslope diffusion. This 1221 illustrates the challenge of determining whether a natural landscape, lacking direct constraints 1222 on uplift and landscape constants, is in steady state based solely on elevation errors. Additional 1223 similarity with scenario (1) is that the recovered uplift almost perfectly matches the imposed 1224 uplift by about half the dimensionless time, significantly earlier than when the landscape reaches 1225 its final equilibrium. This is particularly notable given that the ridge still needs to migrate 1226 approximately 10 km before reaching its steady state position (Figs. S9D1 & S9D2).



Inverted landscape following a step change in uplift shape



1239 Table S2 – Properties of natural landscapes. *Olive et al., 2022 and references therein. **Ellis &

1240 Barnes, 2015 and references therein. ^ See text S5.

	Base altitud e for χ [m]	Min drainage area [km^2]	Master fault UTM coordinates (x1, y1) and (x2, y2) (m) + UTM zone *	Knots Used for invers ion	Brittle layer thickne ss[km]*	$u_0[\frac{mm}{yr}]$	Age of onset [Myr]
Paeroa Range, New Zealand (A)	400	2.5	(4.3843e5, 5.7567e6) (4.3115e5, 5.7487e6) UTM 60H	1	6-8	1.5**	1-0.9**
Sandia Mountains, New Mexico, USA (B)	2100	2	(3.6423e5, 3.8973e6) (3.6452e5, 3.8866e6) UTM 13N	1	7-10	0.14^	22^
Wassuk Range, Nevada, USA (C)	1500	1	(3.4679e5,4.2762e6) (3.4620e5,4.2968e6) UTM 11S	4	11-14	0.6**	15**
Kipengere Range / N.E. shores of Lake Malawi, Tanzania (D)	550	1	(6.1128e5, 8.9515e6) (6.6862e5, 8.8871e6) UTM 36L	7	32-37	0.12^	23^
Lemhi Range, Idaho, USA (E)	2200	3	(2.6519e5, 4.9486e6) (2.875e5, 4.9305e6) UTM 12T	Kx=2 ky=3	12-16	0.5**	6.5**
Himalayas	550	10	UTM 45N	Kx=9; ky=9			

1243 Text S2 - Akaike Information Criterion

- 1244
- 1245 The Akaike Information Criterion is a method used in statistics to determine the relative quality
- 1246 of statistical models for a given set of data. It is calculated using the formula:
- 1247 $AIC = 2(k \ln(L))$
- 1248 where k is the number of parameters in the model and L is the maximum value of the likelihood
- 1249 function for the model.
- 1250



- 1254 Figure S10 Illustration showing the deflation of the lithosphere and resulting landscape due to
- 1255 offset accommodated along a half graben normal fault system.



Fig S11 – Upper panel – Standard deviation of precipitation divided by the average precipitation
 per pixel for rainfall data collected over 23 years from November 1, 2000, by the GPM mission
 (Huffman et al., 2015). The red line indicates the position of the Livingston normal fault (Fig S8).
 Lower panel - Elevation and average precipitation for 418 data points corresponding to the
 rainfall data shown in the upper panel.









NZ



1269

Figure S13 - Pair plots for the Sandia landscape. Variables 4-5 and 6-9 indicate parameters controlling the erodibility and b-spline functions, respectively. These were estimated using 500

1272 samples randomly drawn from the posterior distribution.



1273 m n a_s Variable 4 Variable 5 Variable 6 Variable 7 Variable 8 Variable 9 Variable 10
1274 Figure S14 - Pair plots for the Wassuk landscape. Variables 4-10 indicate parameters controlling
1275 the b-spline functions. These were estimated using 500 samples randomly drawn from the
1276 posterior distribution.



m n a_s Variable 4 Variable 5 Variable 6 Variable 7 Variable 8 Variable 9 Variable 10 Variable 10 Variable 11 Variable 12 Variable 13
 Figure S15 - Pair plots for the Malwai landscape. Variable 4-13 indicate parameters controlling
 the b-spline functions. These were estimated using 500 samples randomly drawn from the
 posterior distribution.



Fig S16 – Comparison of uplift solutions for Wassuk Range for the case the inversion is fixed at m=0.45 and n=1. Colored curve show 500 uplift solutions randomly sampled from our posterior

distributions.

1286

	Tethyan	Upper Greater	Lesser	Lower Greater	
	Sedimentary	Himalayan	Himalayan	Himalayan	
	Sequence (TTS)	ce (TTS) Sequence (UGS) Sequence (LF		Sequence	
				(LGHS)	
Relative	0.88 ± 0.40	1.19 <u>+</u> 0.54	1.01 <u>+</u> 0.46	0.87 ± 0.39	
erodibility value					

1289 Table S3 – Best-fitting and standard deviation of relative erodibility values for the Himalayan

1290 inversion including the climate effect.

1292 Text S3 – Furter exploration of temporally varying uplift rates

1294 To investigate the impact of variable uplift rates, we modeled 29 landscapes, each initially 1295 at steady state under a uniform uplift rate of 1.2 mm/year. We then simulated each landscape 1296 over an additional 400K years, during which uplift rates linearly adjusted to final values between 1297 12 and 0.12 mm/year (Fig. S12). This 400K-year period is designed to reflect the fastest changes 1298 in uplift rate recorded along Utah's Wasatch Fault (Smith et al., 2024). Throughout this time 1299 interval, we retained and inverted 12 landscape snapshots, allowing us to assess the temporal 1300 variation in landscape response. The results we present are averaged from these 12 landscape 1301 analyses.

1302 Our inversion reveals that greater contrasts in uplift rates lead to pronounced deviations 1303 from the imposed landscape properties. For instance, a tenfold increase in uplift rate results in 1304 RMS values ranging from 3 to 7 times larger than the baseline (Fig. S12). Notably, landscapes 1305 experiencing an increase in uplift rate exhibit RMS values approximately twice as large as those 1306 undergoing a decrease (Fig. S12A). This difference likely stems from the landscape's delayed 1307 response in adjusting to reduced rock removal at lower uplift rates. The erodibility of the rock 1308 affects this asymmetry, with higher erodibility potentially reversing the trend. Despite less 1309 precision with significant uplift increases, the inversion still accurately captures the uplift pattern, 1310 albeit with a slight, consistent deviation from the imposed configuration (Figs. S12D1 & S12D2).

1311

1293



Inverted synthetic landscapes with various degrees of uplift rate change

1315Figure S17 – Inverted synthetic landscapes with varying degrees of temporal changes in1316imposed tectonic uplift rate. Panels A and B show comparison between imposed and recovered1317landscape properties for inversions of 50 synthetic landscapes, each characterized by a distinct1318final uplift rate value employed in simulating the landscape. Values shown in panels A and B are1319averaged for 12 snapshots of the landscape during the 400K years over which the change in rate1320occurred. Panels C & D show the results for the last time step of the tectonic rate change. See1321Fig. 2 for complete figure description.

1325 **Text S4 – Furter exploration of temporally varying uplift shape**

1326 1327

We modeled 48 landscapes that initially reach a topographic steady state, featuring an uplifting domain along the southern edge of the model (Table S1; Fig. S1). We then reduce uplift rate along the southern edge while commensurably increasing it along the northern edge, causing the mountain range to migrate north (e.g., Fig S13D1). Each landscape is associated with a distinct migration period ranging 120K to 12 M years (Figs. S13 & S1; Table S1). We report the average results for retained 12 snapshots of each landscape intervals during this migration process.

1335 The inversion results in realistic inversion outputs with elevation RMS values only a few 1336 meters higher than ε when the timescale of tectonic changes is ≥ 6 Myr (Figs. S13A, S13B & 1337 S13D1). In contrast, faster temporal changes, which build synthetic topography at rate of at least 1338 0.17 $mm \cdot yr^{-1}$ results in inverted uplift showing increasingly larger deviation from imposed 1339 uplift (Figs S13A & S13B).





Figure S18 – Inverted synthetic landscapes subject to varying temporal changes in the imposed
tectonic uplift pattern. Panels A and B show comparison between imposed and recovered
landscape properties for inversions of 50 synthetic landscapes, each characterized by a distinct
duration of north migrating uplift signal value. Values shown in panels A&B are averaged for 12
snapshots of the landscape during the migration processes while panels C & D show the results
for the last time step of the tectonic migration. See Fig. 2 for complete figure description.

1351 Text S5 – Estimating k_0 and knickpoint travel time

We use our inverted m, n, a_s and previous estimations of u_o (Table S2; Ellis & Barnes, 2015) to retrieve k_0 using $k_0 = \frac{u_0}{a_s^n A_0^m}$. For Lake Malawi and Sandia landscapes, where direct uplift rate estimations are unavailable, we follow Ellis & Barnes (2015) and estimate the minimum uplift rate using timing of fault initiation and a linear scaling relationship between fault displacement and length (Schlische et al., 1996)

1357 Lake Malawi and the Kipengere Range, known as the Livingstone Mountains, have formed 1358 due to flexural-isostatic rebound in response to localized extension at the southern end of the 1359 East African Rift. High-resolution seismic imaging of sediments deposited in the northern basin 1360 of Lake Malawi along the ~80km long Livingstone Fault, the focus of our analysis, suggests a fault 1361 displacement (throw) of between 6.6 and 7.4 km. (Accardo et al., 2018). Apatite 1362 thermochronology along the Livingstone fault system indicates that regional cooling, associated with the onset of Cenozoic rifting, started approximately 23 million years ago (Mortimer et al., 1363 2016). This results in uplift rate of 0.12 $mm \cdot yr^{-1}$. 1364

The Sandia fault delineates the steep western face of the Sandia Mountains and marks the eastern boundary of the Albuquerque basin part of the Rio Grande Rift. Apatite fission track (AFT) and (U-Th)/He data from the Sandia Mountains indicate fault activity and rapid cooling 22-17Ma (House et al., 2003). Using fault length of 100km (McCalpin & Harrison, 2006) we estimate minimum uplift rate of 0.14 $mm \cdot yr^{-1}$.

Finally, we use equation (3) to compute knickpoint travel time from the base level(Fig. S13). We would like to note that we calculate the drainage pattern assuming a uniform precipitation rate of $1 m \cdot yr^{-1}$, which is generally a reasonable value except for the Sandia and Wassuk regions where rainfall is lower. However, we disregard this effect as these landscapes are in a steady state, and lowering the uniform precipitation rate would reduce A_0 , leading to even faster travel



1377Fig S19 – Travel time in million years for the five natural landscapes used in the study. Colormap1378shows travel time from river base. k_0 shows 10^{-6} erodibility values.

-

1383 **References**

1384

- 1385 Accardo, N. J., Shillington, D. J., Gaherty, J. B., Scholz, C. A., Nyblade, A. A., Chindandali, P. R. N.,
- 1386 et al. (2018). Constraints on Rift Basin Structure and Border Fault Growth in the Northern
- 1387 Malawi Rift From 3-D Seismic Refraction Imaging. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*

1388 *Earth*, *123*(11), 10,003-10,025. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016504

- Agrapart, Q., & Batailly, A. (2020). Cubic and bicubic spline interpolation in Python. *École Polytechnique de Montréal.*, 52. https://doi.org/ffhal-03017566v2
- 1391 De Boor, C. (1978). A practical guide to splines (Vol. 27). springer-verlag New York. Retrieved from
- 1392 https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Carl-De-
- Boor/publication/200744645_A_Practical_Guide_to_Spline/links/02e7e51700ff6094540
 00000/A-Practical-Guide-to-Spline.pdf
- 1395 Ellis, M. A., & Barnes, J. B. (2015). A global perspective on the topographic response to fault 1396 growth. *Geosphere*, *11*(4), 1008–1023. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES01156.1
- 1397 House, M. A., Kelley, S. A., & Roy, M. (2003). Refining the footwall cooling history of a rift flank
- 1398
 uplift,
 Rio
 Grande
 rift,
 New
 Mexico.
 Tectonics,
 22(5).

 1399
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002TC001418
- McCalpin, J., & Harrison, J. B. J. (2006). *Paleoseismicity of the Sandia Fault Zone, Albuquerque, New Mexico*. GEO-HAZ Consulting Crestone, Colorado. Retrieved from
 https://geohaz.com/downloads/CONTRACT%20RPTs/2006%20Sandia%20fault%20NM%
 20LowRes.pdf
- Mortimer, E., Kirstein, L. A., Stuart, F. M., & Strecker, M. R. (2016). Spatio-temporal trends in
 normal-fault segmentation recorded by low-temperature thermochronology: Livingstone

- 1406 fault scarp, Malawi Rift, East African Rift System. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 455,
- 1407 62–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.08.040
- 1408 Piegl, L., & Tiller, W. (1997). *The NURBS Book*. Springer-Verlag.
- 1409 Schlische, R. W., Young, S. S., Ackermann, R. V., & Gupta, A. (1996). Geometry and scaling
- 1410 relations of a population of very small rift-related normal faults. *Geology*, 24(8), 683.
- 1411 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1996)024<0683:GASROA>2.3.CO;2