

Understanding the Li resource of granite hosted geothermal brines using near surface measurements

Corresponding

Andrew

David

Author:

Robinson.

School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, NE1 7RU, England.

Email: a.robinson11@ncl.ac.uk

andrew.d.robinson4@gmail.com

Telephone:

+447713350378

<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-1688-4158>

Authors:

Sanem-Acikalin-Cartigny¹

Gavin Stewart¹

Brendan A. Bishop²

Leslie J. Robbins²

Shannon L Flynn¹

¹: School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, NE1 7RU, England.

²: Department of Geology, University of Regina, 3737 Wascana Parkway, Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 0A2, Canada

Funding Sources:

This paper contains work conducted during a PhD study undertaken as part of the Centre for Doctoral Training (CDT) in Geoscience and the Low Carbon energy Transition and was funded by NeoEnergy Upstream whose support is gratefully acknowledged. The interpretations and analyses were undertaken in the Drummond and Devonshire Buildings at Newcastle University, the underpinning financial and computer support for which is gratefully acknowledged.

Keywords: Lithium, Geothermal, Exploration, Principal Component Analysis, Mine water.

This article is a non-peer reviewed article submitted to EarthArXiv.

Abstract

Unprecedented demand for lithium (Li) is being driven by electric vehicle batteries. Currently, the majority of Li comes from pegmatite mining and salar brines, however, new sources such as geothermal brines will be required to meet future demand. The North Pennines, Northern England has been found to host brines with lithium concentrations exceeding 90 mg/L at depths from 411 to 996 m. However, deep subsurface water chemistry for the region is limited to a single abandoned borehole. This work investigated the potential of surface and near-surface water samples from abandoned mine workings to expand the known geographic extent of the underlying Li brine. Li concentrations were 1.9 to 749 µg/L at the 44 locations sampled. Principal component, cluster, and covariate analysis identified three distinct water chemistry clusters: “deep brine” grouped around Li (conductivity, Mn, F, and lesser relationship with Na, As, Sr, Cl, Ni, Pb, and U), “mine waters” grouped around sulfate (Zn, alkalinity, Sc, Rb, Se, Eu, Mg, Cd, Y, S, K, Ca, and Ba), and a third, smaller grouping negatively related to the mine waters (Al, Fe, Cu, Cr, P, and V). The Cambokeels Mine, 0.5 km from the original borehole had the highest Li concentration. However, the deep brine signature and Li enrichment was found at a cluster of mines 15 km away, significantly expanding the geographical extent of the North Pennine Li brine resource. These findings show that relatively low-cost elemental analysis and statistical analyses could be a promising exploration tool for regions with low subsurface data.

1. Introduction

Lithium (Li) is experiencing unprecedented demand, with 80% driven by lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles (Lindagato et al. 2023). Over the past five years (2019–2023) global Li production has increased from 98,300 to 197,000 Mt (USGS 2024, 2023, 2022, 2021). Li averages 0.18 ppm in seawater and 20 ppm in continental crust, however, there are few environments where Li is enriched naturally (Kamienski et al. 2004) Consequently, greater than 98% of Li production comes from two geologic settings; spodumene bearing pegmatites (>50%) and salar evaporative brines (48%) (Kavanagh et al. 2018).

Currently, spodumene deposits in Australia, China, and Brazil are the world’s largest source of Li (Bowell et al. 2020). However, Li production from spodumene pegmatites requires energy-intensive hard rock mining, with a carbon footprint of 17.11 – 22.33 kg CO₂eq per kg of Li₂CO₃ produced (Mas-Fons et al. 2023). In contrast, Li production from salar brines have 2.5 -3 times lower carbon footprint (Kinch and Holman 2020). Additionally, salar deposits represent over half of the global Li reserve with the majority in just three countries: Bolivia, Argentina, and Chile (Gruber et al. 2011). The formation of salar type deposits is rare due to the required co-occurrence of specific geological and climate conditions.

The formation of Li salar brine depends on the lithology of the surrounding drainage basins (Munk et al. 2018). Li is thought to be sourced from later magmatic granites, ignimbrites, tuffs and/or volcanic ashes. Within the basin, the first evaporites form above the valley bottom and are sinks for major ions such as Na, Ca, Cl, and SO₄, but leave Li in solution (Carmona et al. 2000; Vinante and Alonso 2006; Warren 2010). By the valley bottom, the brines are alkaline, sulphate, and calcium rich with Li concentrations of 1,000 – 5,000 mg/L (Munk et al. 2018). Production of Li from salar brines typically uses large pools utilizing solar concentration. While processing costs are lower than spodumene, it is weather dependent, space intensive, and the focus of environmental concerns such as air and water quality and permanent water loss in arid regions (Vera et al. 2023).

With Li demand predicted to exceed supply by 2030 (Han et al. 2023), additional Li sources are being investigated (Miao et al. 2023).

Alternative Li sources, sometimes called “unconventional”, have gained attention as technological advances have increased their viability. Unconventional Li deposits can be split into mineral deposits (e.g. Li-clays of Nevada USA, and Naomugeng (China) (Li et al. 2021)), and non-evaporitic brine deposits such as oilfield brines of North and South America (Ettehadi et al. 2024), and geothermal waters in Italy, Germany, France, Czech, Hungary, Turkey and the UK (Dini et al. 2022; Sanjuan et al. 2022; Jancsek et al. 2023; Millot, Négrel, and Petelet-Giraud 2007; Karaca et al. 2013). Non-evaporitic brines have a much broader global distribution – and varied chemistry and Li sources – than their evaporitic counterparts. Geothermal and oilfield brines are becoming viable due to technological advancements such as Direct Lithium Extraction (DLE). DLE bypasses evaporation by precipitating Li via the addition of solvents or through adsorption onto ion-selective sorbents (Stringfellow and Dobson 2021). A common strategy suggested to reduce risk and increase the returns on the high initial capital costs is the dual use of wells for geothermal energy and Li extraction (Weinand et al. 2023). Heat would first be extracted for local heating, or direct energy production, with Li extracted before reinjection to recharge the geothermal reservoir (Goldberg et al. 2023; O’Sullivan et al. 2024). Oilfield brines often have high total dissolved solids (TDS) (>100,000 mg/L), with Li concentrations averaging 0-20 mg/L, however, in some locations the Li concentrations can exceed 80 mg/L (Ettehadi et al. 2024). Even in oilfield brines with high Li, the low ratio of Li to TDS can negatively impact the efficacy of DLE, despite this, oilfield brines are still seen as “low cost” due to the well infrastructure being in place.

Non-oilfield brines are growing in commercial and academic interest, particularly in Europe due to a lack of conventional Li resources (Table 1). Broadly, geothermal brines enriched in Li are most commonly associated with intrusive felsic igneous bodies, such as granites and granitic pegmatites, which host primary Li minerals such as biotite micas like zinnwaldite and lepidolite, or in spodumene which does not occur in sufficient quantities or near enough to the surface to be mined directly. Even in igneous bodies without an identified primary Li mineral, such as the Upper Rhine Graben (URG), mica dissolution is still thought to represent the primary Li source for the brine hosted in the overlying sandstone.

The chemical characteristics of geothermal brines differ with the geological setting, though there are characteristics which are broadly shared among enriched Li brines: high alkali metal concentrations, particularly Na and K (>18 g/L), significant Cl (>25 g/L); TDS exceeding tens of thousands of mg/L (Sanjuan et al. 2022) (Table 1). For example, Cesano is enriched in Na, K, Cl, SO_4^{2-} , Rb, and Cs, whereas the URG is similarly enriched in Na, K, Rb, but depleted in SO_4^{2-} . The TDS of geothermal brines is substantially lower compared to oilfield brines, which aids the extraction of Li from these sources.

Table 1 Examples of Li concentration and host lithologies for Li enriched geothermal waters.

Location	Li (mg/L) (Source)	Source of Li
URG (France & Germany)	159-210 (Sanjuan et al. 2016)	Mica dissolution in micaceous sandstone
Cesano (Italy)	380 (Dini et al. 2022)	Plio-quaternary magmatic rocks (Specific mineral and process unknown)
Battonya (Hungary)	36 (Jancsek et al. 2023)	Chloritization of biotite micas

Cornwall (United Kingdom)	220 (Boschetti 2022)	Mica dissolution in granite
North Pennines (United Kingdom)	90 (Manning et al. 2007)	Suspected to be granite (Specific mineral and process unknown)
Tibetan Plateau (South-east Asia)	7.98-239 (Li et al. 2022; WANG et al. 2021)	Degassing of semi-molten magma
Aigueperse (France)	2.8-7.07 (Millot, Négrel, and Petelet-Giraud 2007)	Granite and volcanic (Specific mineral unknown)
Ngawha (New Zealand)	9.7-12.3 (Mroczek, Climo, and Evans 2015)	Unknown – though hydrothermal biotites present
Dieng (Indonesia)	77.31-99.4 (Suprpto and Yuliatin 2020)	Quaternary magmatic rocks (Specific mineral and process unknown)
Ayvacik Tuzla (Turkey)	34.13-38.0 (Karaca et al. 2013)	Unknown

While many Li brines are hosted in granitic systems, Li source and ultimately concentration will vary depending on both the primary Li mineral and host mineralogy, as well as the weathering pathways. In the North Pennines, England, the current knowledge of the Li brine resources is limited to a single borehole that had Li concentrations exceeding 90 mg/L once within the underlying granitic batholith 405-996 m (Manning et al. 2007). Understanding of the weathering processes and source minerals in this granite is limited, and there is very little subsurface data, which makes assessing the potential Li resource difficult and costly. Previous work on establishing background methane levels in groundwater has shown that subsurface mixing of deeper (<1km) fluids with surface and near-surface waters occurs in the near-surface. The vertical migration and mixing of these deeper high TDS waters resulted in detectable changes to surface and near-surface waters (Harkness et al. 2017; Eymold et al. 2018). This suggests that near-surface measurements may improve the understanding of the geographical extent, source, and pathways of the Li resource, in regions with limited subsurface data.

1.1 Geological setting

The North Pennines forms the north part of the larger Carboniferous Pennine Block Band basin Province. The structure encompasses 1500 km² that is bound by Ninety-fathom and Stublick faults (Tyne Valley) to the north, Stainmore Trough to the south, and Pennine Fault to the west. The block is composed of Carboniferous aged cyclothem made up by the limestone-hosted “coal measures”, the Stainmore and Alston formations, the great scar limestone group and below these a series of basal beds which lie unconformably over the early Devonian Aged batholith known as the Weardale Granite. The Carboniferous is host to intrusive igneous sills and Dykes, and is cut north-south by the Burtreeford Disturbance, a compressional monocline which interrupts the regional fault system (Dunham 1990).

The region has extensive hydrothermal metalliferous mineralization composed of primarily ankerite, dolomite, siderite, quartz, fluorite, galena, and sphalerite that was deposited as veins and metasomatic flats. The mineralization is thought to have been emplaced by upwelling hydrothermal fluids related to the Weardale Granite (Bott and Smith 2018). The orebody has an

extensive mining history, with hundreds of mine workings intercepting the veins and flats of the orebody (Colman, Ford, and Laffoley 1989).

The Carboniferous limestone formations and the associated intrusions and mineralisation are well understood due to mine works, however, the underlying Weardale granite is relatively understudied. The granite body has only twice been sampled: first in 1961 at a depth of 390 m in Rookhope, and in 2004 outside Eastgate at a depth of 270 m. The Rookhope borehole is located approximately centre of the Weardale Pluton of granite batholith, drilled with the intention of proving the existence of the granite body following gravity surveys (Dunham et al. 1961). Whereas, the Eastgate borehole targeted a fault, called the Slitt Vein, along which warm salty water with Li concentrations of 40-70 mg/L was found on the 100 m level of the Cambokeels Fluorite Mine (Manning and Strutt 1990). The fault cuts the Carboniferous strata and intersects the underlying granite (Manning et al. 2007). Before encountering the granite both boreholes encountered a sticky white clay weathered top that was presumably kaolinitic. This was interpreted as indicating that the granite was subaerially exposed and therefore must predate the overlying sedimentary strata as well as the source of the metalliferous mineralising fluid (Dunham et al. 1961; Manning et al. 2007). During the drilling of the Eastgate borehole, Li concentrations of 7-50 mg/L were in the upper 335 m, increased to greater than 90 mg/L from 411-995 m (Manning et al. 2007). To date, Li rich water in the Cambokeel mine and Eastgate bore hole are the only Li-enriched fluids identified regionally, and all that is known of the potential subsurface Li resources of the North Pennines.

Currently, the granite is the most likely source of Li as Li concentration was the highest and exceeding 80 mg/L once in the granite. However, there has yet to be mineralogical or elemental evidence establishing the presence or abundance of Li in the granite. The paucity of subsurface chemical data outside the Eastgate borehole makes estimating the Li resource potential in the North Pennines challenging, including determining the regional extent, or approximate volume of Li brine reservoir. In this study we test the potential of near-surface water analysis to detect faint signals of upwelling deeper Li-bearing brines, potentially moving along permeable pathways such as structural geologic features like faults.

2. Materials & Methodology

2.1 Sampling strategy

Between 2019 and 2022, 48 mine outflows were sampled across the North Pennines for a total of 78 samples including repeat visits. Sampling focussed on mine workings with outflows where access was feasible safely and landowner permission could be obtained. 10 mines were further sampled in the subsurface, with locations selected based on safety and accessibility.

2.2 Field and laboratory measurements

Conductivity, pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) and temperature were measured in-situ using a Myron L Ultrameter II. Alkalinity was determined using Hach AL-DT Field Alkalinity Kit, using an auto-titrator with 1.6 M H₂SO₄ cartridge and bromocresol green.

Two samples were collected at each mine outflow, and filtered through a 0.2 µm nylon membrane. Samples for cation analysis were acidified with 6 µl of 70% nitric acid per 10 ml. Cuttings from

the Eastgate Borehole were digested in aqua regia using a CEM MARS 6 Microwave Digestion System and diluted 1:50 before analysis.

Prior to November 2023, major cations were measured by a Varian Vista MPX ICP-OES with simultaneous CCD using a Meinhard Nebulizer and cyclonic spray chamber. Later samples were measured on an Agilent 5800 with Meinhard Nebulizer and cyclonic spray chamber. Trace elements were measured via an Agilent 7700x ICP-MS with a Micromist Nebulizer and a cooled double concentric spray chamber, using He as the collision gas reaction cell. Composite standards for major cations were prepared to cover a range of 0.1 to 150 ppm, and for trace elements covering 0.0001 to 30 ppm. The analytical detection limit for Li in our primary samples was 5 µg/L.

Anions were measured via ion chromatography (IC) using a Dionex ICS-1000 with an AS40 autosampler, using an Ionpac AS14A, 4x250mm analytical column, 25 µL injection loop, and a pump rate of 1 ml/min. The eluent was a solution of 8.0 mM Na₂CO₃ and 1.0 mM NaHCO₃.

2.3 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis and modelling were conducted using version 2023.03.386 of R Studio and the R language (R Core Team, 2023) (Posit Team, 2023). Principal component analysis (PCA) utilised the prcomp package (Becker *et al.* 1988) and plots were generated using the packages ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016), ggbiplot (Vu VQ, 2011), ggfortify (Tang *et al.* 2016), and factoextra (Kassambara *et al.* 2020). Regression modelling was conducted using rpart (Therneau *et al.* 2022) to produce a cluster analysis classification tree which had Li as the outcome variable using the anova method of analysis. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated and plotted using corrplot (Friendly *et al.* 2002).

The rare earth elements were strongly co-correlated in the model outputs (likely due to their similar chemistry) with seventeen near-identical variables resulting in unfeasibly high percentage of the variation explained. To avoid model overfitting, these rare earths were represented by Eu, Sc, and Y, as these had the greatest analytical accuracy.

Average values were not always representative because of outliers below feasible detection limits. Values below the detection limit were replaced with 0.5 * detection limit (Dray and Josse 2015). We used the same approach to derive complete cases where data was unavailable. Analytes which had more replaced than measured values were removed from the analysis (eg. NO₃⁻, Mo). Further reduction of the number of analytes may have produced a model which accounts for a higher percentage of the variance within the samples, but the risk of overfitting the model through biased selection of variables discouraged this.

2.4 Two endmember mixing calculation

The deepest sample from the Eastgate borehole (Manning *et al.* 2007) was used as the end member representing “deep brine” chemistry, and the “near-surface” endmember was the mine water sample which most closely matched reference rainwater (Wilkinson *et al.* 1997), “high Capelcleugh adit”. Both were used in a two-endmember mixing model using Cl as the conservative element where:

$$\text{Eq.1} \quad f_{BH} + f_{NS} = 1 = \text{mine water sample}$$

In which f_{BH} was the contribution from deep brine, and f_{NS} was the contribution from near surface water. Using Cl as the conservative parameter the solute mass-balance equation is:

$$\text{Eq.2} \quad Cl_s = f_{BH} \cdot Cl_{BH} + f_{NS} \cdot Cl_{NS}$$

Where Cl_s is the Cl concentration of the mine water sample, and Cl_{BH} and Cl_{NS} are the Cl concentration of the borehole and near-surface end members, respectively. By rearranging equation 2, the fraction of borehole water in any mine water sample can be calculated as:

$$\text{Eq.3} \quad f_{BH} = \frac{Cl_s - f_{NS} \cdot Cl_{NS}}{Cl_{BH}}$$

2.5 Distribution mapping

Maps were produced using the open-source mapping software QGIS version 3.25.2 (QGIS, 2023), with geology maps from the British Geological Survey, and geology and Ordnance Survey maps obtained from Edina Digimap.

Subsurface maps were inaccessible for most mines sampled at depth, and the coordinates assigned relate with the mine outflows of these mines. The spatial uncertainty introduced by this is less than 200 m for most of the subsurface samples. Sites with more than one sampling instance had those numbers averaged before calculating the average for the field so that each mine had the same weighting.

3. Results

3.1 Lithium concentration of the Weardale Granite and hosted brine

In contrast to the relatively stable aqueous Li concentration in the granite of the Eastgate borehole (~90 mg/L), Li concentration of the granite varied from 14 to 97.9 mg/Kg (Figure 1). The “weathered top” of the granite, a kaolinitic soil regolith encountered around 280 m, had higher Li than the preceding sedimentary strata and subsequent samples at 34.1 mg/kg (280 m) compared to 26.8 (275 m) and 14 mg/kg (300 m), respectively. The deepest sample (995 m) had the highest Li concentration, 99.2 mg/kg, and was one of the two depths (920 m) where the Li in the rock exceeded the concentration in solution.




Figure 1 Lithium concentration in the borehole cuttings (solid line) and water samples (dashed line) from the Eastgate Borehole. Water chemistry and simplified lithological log from Manning et al. 2007. Cutting inventory has an accuracy of +/- 5m. Weathered top of the granite reached at 271 m, open fracture at 410 m. qtz, quartz; fluor, fluorite; gal, galena; py, pyrite; hm, hematite.

*Limestone; layered limestone, sandstone and mudstone cyclothem above the Weardale Granite, through which the Whin Sill and Dyke complex intrudes.

3.2 North Pennines surface and near-surface water chemistry

The mine outflows of the North Pennines had an average Li concentration of 24.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (Figure 2). Before this study, the Cambokeels mine and the Eastgate borehole were the only reported Li concentrations due to the 100 $\mu\text{g/L}$ detection limit for the Environment Agency's data. With a lower Li detection limit (5 $\mu\text{g/L}$) an additional two mine outflows were identified with Li concentration exceeding the regional average, Scraithole Mine in the West Allen Valley (83 $\mu\text{g/L}$) and the Hagg's Level at Nenthead (49 $\mu\text{g/L}$) (Figure 2). The Li concentration at Scraithole Mine water was found to increase within the mine and was 138 $\mu\text{g/L}$, 600 m into the mine. The difference is indicative of a high-level dilution from meteoric water near to the mine portal and may impact other mine outflows.

Samples from Cambokeels mine had Li concentrations >150 $\mu\text{g/L}$, with concentrations ranging from 154 $\mu\text{g/L}$ to 784 $\mu\text{g/L}$, varying with time of year and precipitation. Cambokeels is uniquely highly enriched, but it was the only mine over the central Weardale Pluton of the underlying granite with Li concentrations exceeding the average for the area. Additionally, Cambokeels is the only mine east of the Burtreeford disturbance to exceed the average. All other instances of elevated Li are relatively localised to the northwest of the North Pennines and appear to not be directly related to any of the plutons of the Weardale Granite.




Figure 2 Simplified map of the North Pennines showing the mineralisation zones and major fault features adapted from Dunham 1990. Sampling sites are shown with circles proportional to the

measured Li concentration and villages and mine adits with Li greater than the regional average are labelled.

3.3 Statistical analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) of the sampled mine waters identified an elemental grouping with a positive correlation with Li: conductivity, Mn, and F, with a weaker correlation to Na, As, Sr, Cl, Ni, Pb, and U (Figure 3). A second grouping formed around Zn and SO_4^{2-} , and included alkalinity, Sc, Rb, Se, Eu, Mg, Cd, Y, K, Ca, NO_3^- , and Ba. There was a negative relationship between the Zn grouping and a third, smaller, grouping which included Al, Fe, Cu, Cr, P, and V. Contributions of individual elements to PC1 and PC2 are shown in more detail in Appendix 1. Despite the large number of variables (36) and small sample size (78), 35.8% of variance was explained by PC1 and PC2 (Figure 4).




Figure 3 Loading plot of variables contribution to Principal Component 1 (24.4%) and Principal Component 2 (11.4%) from a principal component analysis of mine water samples. N=78, Variables = 36.




Figure 4 Scree plot of principal component analysis of mine water samples, showing the variance explained by each principal component. 100% variance attained at PC=36.

Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) covariate analysis of the mine water chemistry identified similar variable relationships as the PCA (Figure 5). Li was found to have a positive correlation with Mn, As, conductivity, alkalinity, Ni, Ca, Sr, and Na, with a weaker correlation to Cl, SO_4^{2-} , Zn, S, and Mg. A very weak negative correlation was found between Li and Fe, V, P, and Ba. Conductivity had a positive correlation with all variables except for pH and NO_3^- , with a slight negative correlation for the former, and neutral for the latter. Conductivity was most strongly related to Cl, Ca, and K.




Figure 5 Correlation matrix showing the Pearson correlation coefficient between variables in mine water samples.

ANOVA cluster analysis of the mine water variables identified Mg, F, Na, and conductivity as predictor analytes for Li (Figure 6). Similarly, conductivity, Na, and F were found related to Li by PCA, while the correlation coefficient matrix shows conductivity, Na, and Mg related to Li.




Figure 6 Cluster analysis decision diagram of mine water samples. Cluster method = “ANOVA”, outcome variable = “Li”, predictor variables = all other variables.

Linear extrapolation connecting the two reference endmembers, in this case the 995 m Eastgate borehole sample and the “Capelcleugh high adit” mine water sample (*Figure 76*) allows for the prediction of conservative mixing in the system. Most of the mine samples plot along this mixing line, with Cambokeels and the deep Scraithole sample serving as intermediary points between the more standard mine samples and the Eastgate borehole samples. A number of samples thought to be mine outflows that turned out to be drainage features unrelated to mine workings were removed from the plot, these include the two “hillside culvert” samples, which only flowed following heavy rain, a “high adit” sample near Nenthead which upon a return visit was discovered to be a drainage channel, and a “valley Debrah” sample, originally thought to be related to the Debrah mine.

The fit of this trend line justifies the use of Cl as a conservative element in mixing calculations, described earlier.

3.4 Conservative mixing for determining brine inputs to mine waters

The concentration relationship between the cationic parameters identified as predictors for Li by cluster analysis. The majority of mine samples plot along a Cl/Na mixing line ($R^2 = 0.9959$), with Cambokeels and the deep Scraithole sample serving as intermediary points between the mine samples with deeper brine inputs and the Eastgate borehole samples (*Figure 7*). Samples found to be drainage features unrelated to mine workings have been removed, including the two “hillside culverts”, which only flowed following heavy rain, a “high adit” sample near Nenthead which upon a return visit was discovered to be a drainage channel, and a “Valley Debrah” sample, originally thought to be related to the Debrah mine.

Despite being identified as a predictor variable for Li in the cluster analysis and correlation matrix, there is not a strong relationship between Mg and Li concentrations (*Figure 7d*), there appears to be three linear trends; the borehole samples, Cambokeels Mine samples, and the other mine outflows.




Figure 7: plots of elemental ratios in sampled mine waters and the Eastgate Borehole (Manning *et al.* 2007): Top left (7a) Cl and Na (mmol/L) form a mixing line with $R^2=0.9959$. Top right (7b) Electrical conductivity (uS/cm) and Li (mmol/L). Bottom left (7c) Cl and Li (mmol/L). Bottom right (7d) Mg and Li (mmol/L). Symbology: Reference rainwater (Wilkinson *et al.* 1997) = Black diamond. Scraithole = Red diamonds. Eastgate borehole = Gray triangles. Cambokeels main outflow = Green squares. Cambokeels horse level = Green diamonds. Haggs, Barneyraig, and Coalcleugh mines = black X. All other mines = Blue dot. In figures 7b-7d the hillside culverts are denoted as a red x.

3.5 Prediction of elevated Li concentration in external data

Data from the Environment Agency (EA) WIMS database was ranked in order of variables which were linked to Li in the PCA and cluster analysis. Sites with potential elevated Li concentrations were predicted using ordering by conductivity and Na concentrations, however F was not included as a predictor due to a limited values in the WIMS database (480 of 850) and the lack of F measurements from the Eastgate borehole (*Figure 8*). The mines which ranked highly in both forms of ordering were: Scraithole mine, Barneyraig mine, Coalcleugh mine, Haggs Level at Nenthead, Ripsey Breakout Level, and Lord Carlisle Water Level. Each of these was below the

analytical detection limit in the WIMS database ($100 \mu\text{g/L}$), though the first four of those was sampled during our fieldwork




Figure 8 A simplified map of the North Pennines which shows sampling locations from the WIMS database which are indicated by the PCA and cluster analysis to have elevated Li concentration.

4. Discussion

Before this study, Li resources in the region were solely connected to the Slitt Vein, specifically the Cambokeels mine and Eastgate borehole that intersected it. In analysing a wide range of mine outflows with methodology with a lower detection limit than the Environment Agency, the Scraithole mine ($83 \mu\text{g/L}$) and the Hagg's Level ($49 \mu\text{g/L}$) were mines with above regional average ($>24.2 \mu\text{g/L}$). However, neither Scraithole nor the Hagg's Level are known to interact with the Slitt Vein. It could be that their Li outflows are unrelated to the deeper fluids, or multiple regional structural features are pathways by which the deeper fluids reach the near-surface. A loading plot showing the contribution of each variable to principal components 1 and 2 (35.8% of total variance) in sampled mine waters (Figure 3) shows an association of Li with Na, Cl, F, Sr, and conductivity; a similar elemental profile to the Weardale Granite, supporting the notion that the granite is the source of the Li. There are no known Li minerals in the sedimentary cyclothem that overlie the Weardale Granite (Dunham 1990), or associated with the iron-, lead- and zinc-sulphides of the metal mineralisation (Ixer, Young, and Stanley 1996). The lack of association between Li and SO_4^{2-} , S, Fe, and Zn indicates that Li is unrelated to the mineralisation

The only reported instances of $\text{Li} > 1 \text{ mg/L}$ in groundwater were in the hydrothermal waters of the Eastgate Borehole (Manning et al. 2007) and the Cambokeels Mine (Manning and Strutt 1990), it

is unlikely that the Li in the outflows of Scraithole and Hagg's Level differ in origin from that of Cambokeels outflow. The absence of other sources, and the common relationship between Li and F in micas (e.g. biotite, lepidolite, and zinnwaldite (Sanjuan et al. 2022)) found in granites, the Weardale Granite is most probable source of Li in the geothermal brine of the North Pennines. Notably, the water chemistry reported by (Manning et al. 2007) showed little variation in Li concentrations from 410 to 996 m, 92.4 ± 1.6 mg/L whereas the host granite Li concentrations varied by 89.5 mg/Kg over the same 724 m (271 m) (Figure 1). This is reflective of a well-mixed brine reservoir or more likely due to the failure of the packer used to seal the fracture at 410 m fully (Manning et al. 2007).

The movement of water up through the Weardale Granite has been proposed for the metal mineralising hydrothermal fluids in the North Pennines (Colman, Ford, and Laffoley 1989; Sawkins 1966). Later models including alkali magma associated with the Whin Sill and Dyke Complex as additional heat sources driving convection in the Weardale Granite (Bott and Smith 2018). The mine workings sampled in this study result from this historic fluid movement, and the flow pathways of the mineralising fluid may still locally provide local connectivity from the underlying granite through overlaying sedimentary strata to the near-surface. The spring in the 100 m level of the Cambokeels mine, and elemental similarity to the brine samples from the Weardale Granite in the Eastgate borehole (Manning and Strutt 1990; Manning et al. 2007) are indicative of active potential flow pathways. If such linkages are present, upwelling modern fluids could be expected to have emanative centres and/or flow pathways along structural features similar to the mineralising fluid (Dempsey et al. 2021). Cambokeels Mine intersects the Slitt Vein near the centre of the Weardale Pluton. We hypothesised that mines near the Cambokeels and along the Slitt Vein would have significant contributions from deeper Li brine, and have higher Li concentrations than the regional average. However, the mines central to the Weardale Pluton (White's Level, Governor & Co. Level, West Gate, Widley Level, an unnamed adit near to the Widley Level, and three springs including the Fairy Hole cave outflow in the Eastgate Quarry) were all below the average of $24.2 \mu\text{g/L}$, with the highest of these being Widley Level ($20.8 \pm 9.4 \mu\text{g/L}$). The Horse Level of Cambokeels mine has a Li concentration of $54.2 \mu\text{g/L}$, and is situated uphill from the main level, so the difference in Li concentration is likely a result of higher dilution from meteoric water, with the source of the Li in the outflow remaining the same.

In contrast to our initial hypothesis, except for the Cambokeels Mine, the mine outflows with the highest Li concentrations were restricted to an area in the northwest of the North Pennines, around 8 km west of the furthest extent of the Slitt Vein (Dunham 1990). Additionally, these mines are not directly over plutons of the Weardale Granite. The mines are outside of the central fluorite zone and the proposed high-temperature emanative centres for mineralizing fluids (Dempsey et al. 2021). This indicates a decoupling of the modern brine system and the previous flow pathways for the highest-temperature mineralising fluids.

The majority of sampled mine waters differed chemically from both the borehole water and reference rainwater (Figures 7). The Eastgate Borehole water chemistry has relatively low alkalinity ($55 \text{ mg/L as CaCO}_3$) and SiO_2 (9.6 mg/L), and contains significant Na and K ($10,028 \text{ mg/L}$ and 660 mg/L , respectively), and high Cl ($27,692 \text{ mg/L}$), with trace elements such as Li, F, and B from dissolution of accessory minerals. Besides the low SiO_2 this chemistry is a typical granite-hosted geothermal water (Manning et al. 2007; Manning and Strutt 1990). Interpolation of Na/Cl mixing line from samples from the Eastgate Borehole and mine waters produces a mixing line with $R^2 = 0.9959$ (Fig 7a). The Cambokeels samples are between the borehole and other mine samples, which form a gradient along the mixing line towards reference rainwater (Wilkinson et

al. 1997), likely a result of the higher contribution of deep brine to Cambokeels mine than the other mines.

Two-endmember mixing using these samples and Cl as the conservative species (summarised in Appendix Table 1) gives a relative contribution of geothermal fluids to Cambokeels mine outflow as 0.00577%. Samples taken from Scraithole Mine have a Li concentration of 83 µg/L at the outflow and 138 µg/L at 600 m into the mine, and the same mixing calculation gives a relative contribution from geothermal fluids of 0.00042% and 0.00137%, respectively. The average slope of the level of Scraithole mine that was sampled is <1%, but overburden increases rapidly because the mine is located on the side of a valley. The decrease reflects meteoric water infiltration near the mine portal.

There is an association of Ni with Li, but the Weardale Granite has an average Ni content of only 4.18 ± 5.12 mg/kg. The average is likely elevated by a high Ni sample from 650 m, where a pyrite/hematite vein and the Ni concentration was 24.2 ± 1.37 mg/Kg, 15.3 mg/Kg higher than the next highest depth (Manning et al. 2007). Excluding this point the Ni average was 3.27 ± 2.81 mg/kg, 0.91 mg/Kg lower. The Weardale Granite is not the only potential source of Ni; sulpharsenides of Ni are reported in the orebody and thought related to the Whin Sill intrusion (Young, Styles, and Berridge 1985; Dempsey et al. 2021), and magnetite-bearing ores reported to contain niccolite (Ixer, Young, and Stanley 1996).

The association between Li and Ni in the PCA could be indicative of differences in dilution. Mine outflows with a higher concentration of Li may not necessarily have a greater volume contribution from geothermal brines but a higher level of metal ore inputs and a lower degree of dilution from surface waters. Consequently, there would be a relationship between the concentrations of these elements, despite their different sources. However, if this were the case it would be expected that there is a relationship between Li and other variables related to the orebody such as SO₄, Zn, and Pb, which is not present in our data. As such, relationships between elements in surface and near-surface waters should not be viewed as universally indicative of a shared origin, and must instead be viewed within the context of the surrounding lithology.

To predict Li concentration above the regional average in water samples from the WIMS database lacking Li measurements, samples were ordered by the elemental predictors based on PCA, PCC, and cluster analysis, conductivity, Mg, Na, Ni, and F. Summarised in Figure 8, the locations which were highlighted through this ordering were: Scraithole, Barneycraig, and Coalcleugh mines, Hagg's, Ripsey Breakout and Lord Carlisle Water levels. Of these, those sampled were Scraithole (83 - 138 µg/L), Barneycraig (2.6 - 41.2 µg/L), Coalcleugh (1.7 - 41.6 µg/L) and Hagg's Level (16.9 - 44.1 µg/L), all of which had samples above the regional average of 24.2 µg/L Li.

However, 57.5% sites in the WIMS data base lacked F measurements. In a second attempt using elements with lower predicted correlations but a higher level of occurrence in the data base was used; Na (95% representation), Cl (95.2%), Mg (97%), Sr (86.6%) and conductivity (96.6%). Through this method we can utilise existing databases which either have not measured the analyte of interest or for which the analyte of interest was below the detection limit of the method used.

4.2 Lithium in felsic systems

Although there is circumstantial evidence that the geologic source of the Li in the waters of the North Pennines is the Weardale Granite, there is no evidence for the mineral source of the Li in the granite. Globally, there are several types of Li-enrichments hosted in felsic systems, including

geothermal brines, secondary clay deposits, and ore-grade spodumene (Boschetti 2022; Millot, Négrel, and Petelet-Giraud 2007; Liu et al. 2020).

In the geothermal brines, the mineral source of Li varies but Li enrichment is commonly associated with micas and magmatic rocks rather than ore grade deposits due to the relative insolubility of spodumene. Less commonly, feldspars can contribute Li to geothermal brines, though this is often as a secondary source. Mica need not be associated with emplaced magmatic rocks such as granite and may be hosted in sedimentary strata such as sandstones (Sanjuan et al. 2016; Mroczek, Climo, and Evans 2015), though granites are a primary host.

Despite the close relationship between granites and mineral enrichment, the occurrence of Li brines hosted in granites is relatively rare and the exact conditions required for the Li enriched brine formation are unclear. Characteristics commonly associated with Li-enriched brines, such as high TDS and Rb, are not always present, and may be present in brines without Li.

Estimating the TDS of the Eastgate borehole using the electric conductivity and a conversion ratio of 0.75 for brines (Rusydi 2018), the Weardale Granite brine's TDS is 165,000 ppm. This falls between the URG (99,000 – 107,000 ppm TDS) and Cesano geothermal field (>300,000 ppm TDS). Our statistical analysis identifies conductivity as a potential predictor of elevated Li. Comparing conductivity converted to TDS and the reported Li concentrations of these fields shows that the highest Li concentration (480 mg/L) is reported in the Cesano field which has the highest TDS, though the lowest Li concentration is the Eastgate Borehole which does not have the lowest TDS. Likely, the relationship between TDS and Li extends only as far as hyper-saline brines commonly host higher Li concentrations, and any linearity between the two parameters is specific to each system.

Elevated Rb concentrations has been reported in the Li-enriched geothermal brines of Cornwall, Cesano, and URG (Sanjuan et al. 2016; Sanjuan et al. 2022; Dini et al. 2022). Rb was not measured in the waters of the Eastgate Borehole, and most of the mine water samples were below the detection limit for Rb (1.1 µg/L). Rb in the cuttings from the Eastgate Borehole varied from 34.8 to 86.5 mg/kg, lower than the average for felsic rocks (120 mg/kg) (USGS 2017). In granites, Rb commonly replaces K in K-feldspars, and mica (mainly biotite and muscovite). However, it is possible that in hydrothermally altered sections of the Weardale Granite, Rb was lost during weathering, as evidenced by the higher Rb in the weathered clay-rich portions of the granite (81.95 ± 24.96 mg/kg at 275 m) compared to the average (56.68 ± 17.45 mg/kg), though the 915, 920, 950 and 995 m samples are also significantly higher than the average (61.25 ± 6.74 mg/kg, 74.92 ± 0.40 mg/kg, 86.40 ± 54.27 mg/kg, and 77.74 ± 0.95 mg/kg, respectively).

5. Conclusions

Statistical methods may play an important role in mineral exploration in areas with limited subsurface water chemistry. Compared to intrusive methods such as drilling boreholes, near surface waters have the advantages of low-cost environmental impact, relatively low cost, and require less than 100 sampling locations to produce acceptable results in line with more geochemically involved studies.

Near-surface measurements need not be limited to mine workings. Springs, wells, shallow boreholes, and any area where there is potential for mixing with deeper fluids may be useful. The North Pennines acts as a test for exploration like this; there is an unquantified but confirmed present resource, with prior indication of mixing. Through identification of proxy

minerals and finding signals of the deeper geothermal in a small number of mines not previously identified, a use case is demonstrated for near-surface measurements in the exploration of deeper resources.

- In the North Pennines, the Weardale Granite is the likely source of Li in the geothermal resource.

- The current subsurface fluid movement is likely decoupled from the hydrothermal system responsible for mineralisation of the North Pennines.

- In the mine waters of the North Pennines, PCA identified a relationship of Li with conductivity, Mn, and F, and a weaker correlation to Na, As, Sr, Cl, Ni, Pb, and U. Covariate analysis identified Li has a positive correlation with Mn, As, conductivity, alkalinity, Ni, Ca, Sr, and Na, with a weaker positive correlation to Cl, SO_4^{2-} , Zn, S, and Mg. Cluster analysis identified Mg, F, Na, and conductivity.

6. Acknowledgements

We thank Professor David Manning for providing cuttings from the Eastgate Borehole for destructive analysis. Also Dave Earlyey and Fiona Maclachlan for their technical support in the laboratory, Living Uplands for access to sites on their property, and the GeoNetZero CDT for funding and training support for this work. Finally, Leif, Tony and Nikita for their guidance and support in obtaining samples from within mines across the North Pennines, which would otherwise have been too dangerous.

7. References

- Boschetti, Tiziano. 2022. 'A revision of lithium minerals thermodynamics: Possible implications for fluids geochemistry and geothermometry', *Geothermics*, 98: 102286.
- Bott, Martin H. P., and Frederick W. Smith. 2018. 'The role of the Devonian Weardale Granite in the emplacement of the North Pennine mineralization', *Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society*, 62: 1-15.
- Bowell, Robert J., Laura Lagos, Camilo R. de los Hoyos, and Julien Declercq. 2020. 'Classification and Characteristics of Natural Lithium Resources', *Elements*, 16: 259-64.
- Carmona, V., J. J. Pueyo, C. Taberner, G. Chong, and M. Thirlwall. 2000. 'Solute inputs in the Salar de Atacama (N. Chile)', *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 69-70: 449-52.
- Colman, T. B., T. D. Ford, and N. d'A Laffoley. 1989. 'Metallogeny of Pennine Orefields.' in J. A. Plant and D. G. Jones (eds.), *Metallogenic models and exploration criteria for buried carbonate-hosted ore deposits—a multidisciplinary study in eastern England: British Geological Survey The Institution of Mining and Metallurgy* (Springer US: Boston, MA).
- Dempsey, E. D., R. E. Holdsworth, D. Selby, A. Bird, B. Young, and C. Le Cornu. 2021. 'A revised age, structural model and origin for the North Pennine Orefield in the Alston Block, northern England: intrusion (Whin Sill)-related base metal (Cu–Pb–Zn–F) mineralization', *Journal of the Geological Society*, 178: jgs2020-226.
- Dini, Andrea, Pierfranco Lattanzi, Giovanni Ruggieri, and Eugenio Trumpy. 2022. 'Lithium Occurrence in Italy—An Overview', *Minerals*, 12: 945.
- Dray, Stéphane, and Julie Josse. 2015. 'Principal component analysis with missing values: a comparative survey of methods', *Plant Ecology*, 216: 657-67.
- Dunham, K. C. 1990. *Geology of the Northern Pennine Orefield*.

- Dunham, K. C., G. A. L. Johnson, M. H. P. Bott, and B. L. Hodge. 1961. 'Granite beneath the Northern Pennines', *Nature*, 190: 899-900.
- Ettehadi, Ali, Maksym Chuprin, Mehdi Mokhtari, Daniel Gang, Philip Wortman, and Ezat Heydari. 2024. 'Geological Insights into Exploration and Extraction of Lithium from Oilfield Produced-Water in the USA: A Review', *Energy & Fuels*.
- Eymold, William K., Kelley Swana, Myles T. Moore, Colin J. Whyte, Jennifer S. Harkness, Siep Talma, Ricky Murray, Joachim B. Moortgat, Jodie Miller, Avner Vengosh, and Thomas H. Darrah. 2018. 'Hydrocarbon-Rich Groundwater above Shale-Gas Formations: A Karoo Basin Case Study', *Groundwater*, 56: 204-24.
- Goldberg, Valentin, Ali Dashti, Robert Egert, Binil Benny, Thomas Kohl, and Fabian Nitschke. 2023. 'Challenges and Opportunities for Lithium Extraction from Geothermal Systems in Germany—Part 3: The Return of the Extraction Brine', *Energies*, 16: 5899.
- Gruber, Paul W., Pablo A. Medina, Gregory A. Keoleian, Stephen E. Kesler, Mark P. Everson, and Timothy J. Wallington. 2011. 'Global Lithium Availability', *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 15: 760-75.
- Han, Sun, Meng Zhenghao, Li Meilin, Yang Xiaohui, and Wang Xiaoxue. 2023. 'Global supply sustainability assessment of critical metals for clean energy technology', *Resources Policy*, 85: 103994.
- Harkness, Jennifer S., Thomas H. Darrah, Nathaniel R. Warner, Colin J. Whyte, Myles T. Moore, Romain Millot, Wolfram Kloppmann, Robert B. Jackson, and Avner Vengosh. 2017. 'The geochemistry of naturally occurring methane and saline groundwater in an area of unconventional shale gas development', *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 208: 302-34.
- Ixer, RA, B Young, and CJ Stanley. 1996. 'Bismuth-bearing assemblages from the Northern Pennine Orefield', *Mineralogical Magazine*, 60: 317-24.
- Jancsek, Krisztián, Patrick Janovszky, Gábor Galbács, and Tivadar M. Tóth. 2023. 'Granite alteration as the origin of high lithium content of groundwater in southeast Hungary', *Applied Geochemistry*, 149: 105570.
- Kamienski, Conrad W., Daniel P. McDonald, Marshall W. Stark, and John R. Papcun. 2004. 'Lithium and Lithium Compounds.' in, *Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*.
- Karaca, Z, DŞ Yücel, MA Yücel, and ZS Çetiner. 2013. 'Geothermal Sources and determination of their properties', *Geological Information System*.
- Kavanagh, Laurence, Jerome Keohane, Guiomar Garcia Cabellos, Andrew Lloyd, and John Cleary. 2018. 'Global Lithium Sources—Industrial Use and Future in the Electric Vehicle Industry: A Review', *Resources*, 7: 57.
- Kinch, Diana, and Jacqueline Holman. 2020. "New lithium supply chains could slash sector emissions by nearly a third: Roskill." In, edited by Richard Rubin. S&P Global: S&P Global.
- Li, Chuan, Zilong Li, Tao Wu, Yaqin Luo, Jun Zhao, Xinren Li, Wencai Yang, and Xuegang Chen. 2021. 'Metallogenic characteristics and formation mechanism of Naomugeng clay-type lithium deposit in central inner Mongolia, China', *Minerals*, 11: 238.
- Li, Jiexiang, Xinyi Wang, Chuanxia Ruan, Gideon Sagoe, and Jianlin Li. 2022. 'Enrichment mechanisms of lithium for the geothermal springs in the southern Tibet, China', *Journal of Hydrology*, 612: 128022.
- Lindagato, Philemon, Yongjun Li, Jan Macháček, Gaoxue Yang, Irénée Mungwarakarama, Anastase Ndahimana, and Henri Patrick Kanimba Ntwali. 2023. 'Lithium Metal: The Key to Green Transportation', *Applied Sciences*, 13: 405.
- Liu, Chen, Ru-Cheng Wang, Fu-Yuan Wu, Lei Xie, Xiao-Chi Liu, Xing-Kui Li, Lei Yang, and Xue-Jiao Li. 2020. 'Spodumene pegmatites from the Pusila pluton in the higher Himalaya, South

- Tibet: Lithium mineralization in a highly fractionated leucogranite batholith', *Lithos*, 358-359: 105421.
- Manning, D. A. C., and D. W. Strutt. 1990. 'Metallogenetic significance of a North Pennine springwater', *Mineralogical Magazine*, 54: 629-36.
- Manning, D. A. C., P. L. Younger, F. W. Smith, J. M. Jones, D. J. Dufton, and S. Diskin. 2007. 'A deep geothermal exploration well at Eastgate, Weardale, UK: a novel exploration concept for low-enthalpy resources', *Journal of the Geological Society*, 164: 371-82.
- Mas-Fons, Aina, Rachel Horta Arudin, Philippe Loubet, Tina Pereira, Ashak Mahmud Parvez, and Guido Sonnemann. 2023. "Carbon and Water Footprints of Battery-Grade Lithium Carbonate Produced from Brine and Spodumene: A Study Focused on Process Simulation-Based Life Cycle Inventories." In.: Elsevier BV.
- Miao, Y., L. Liu, K. Xu, and J. Li. 2023. 'High concentration from resources to market heightens risk for power lithium-ion battery supply chains globally', *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*, 30: 65558-71.
- Millot, R., Ph Négrel, and E. Petelet-Giraud. 2007. 'Multi-isotopic (Li, B, Sr, Nd) approach for geothermal reservoir characterization in the Limagne Basin (Massif Central, France)', *Applied Geochemistry*, 22: 2307-25.
- Mroczek, Edward Kazimierz, Melissa Climo, and David William Evans. 2015. *The composition of high temperature geothermal fluids in New Zealand producing geothermal fields* (GNS Science Taupo, New Zealand).
- Munk, Lee Ann, David F. Boutt, Scott A. Hynek, and Brendan J. Moran. 2018. 'Hydrogeochemical fluxes and processes contributing to the formation of lithium-enriched brines in a hyper-arid continental basin', *Chemical Geology*, 493: 37-57.
- O'Sullivan, John, Naod Araya, Joris Popineau, Theo Renaud, Jeremy Riffault, and Mike O'Sullivan. 2024. 'Investigating Reinjection Strategies to Optimise Lithium Production from the Salton Sea Geothermal Field'.
- Rusydi, Anna F. 2018. 'Correlation between conductivity and total dissolved solid in various type of water: A review', *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 118: 012019.
- Sanjuan, B., R. Millot, Ch Innocent, Ch Dezayes, J. Scheiber, and M. Brach. 2016. 'Major geochemical characteristics of geothermal brines from the Upper Rhine Graben granitic basement with constraints on temperature and circulation', *Chemical Geology*, 428: 27-47.
- Sanjuan, Bernard, Blandine Gourcerol, Romain Millot, Detlev Rettenmaier, Elodie Jeandel, and Aurélien Rombaut. 2022. 'Lithium-rich geothermal brines in Europe: An up-date about geochemical characteristics and implications for potential Li resources', *Geothermics*, 101: 102385.
- Sawkins, FJ. 1966. 'Ore genesis in the North Pennine orefield, in the light of fluid inclusion studies', *Economic Geology*, 61: 385-401.
- Stringfellow, William T., and Patrick F. Dobson. 2021. 'Technology for the Recovery of Lithium from Geothermal Brines', *Energies*, 14: 6805.
- Suprpto, Sabtanto Joko, and Umi Yuliatin. 2020. 'POTENSI KANDUNGAN UNSUR KIMIA EKONOMIS PADA LARUTAN PANAS BUMI DENGAN STUDI KASUS DI PLTP DIENG, KABUPATEN WONOSOBO DAN KABUPATEN BANJARNEGARA, PROVINSI JAWA TENGAH', *Buletin Sumber Daya Geologi*, 15: 89-100.
- USGS. 2017. "Rubidium." In, edited by USGS.
- . 2021. 'Mineral Commodity Summaries: Lithium'.
- . 2022. 'Mineral Commodity Summaries: Lithium'.
- . 2023. 'Mineral Commodity Summaries: Lithium'.
- . 2024. "Mineral Commodity Summaries: Lithium." In.

- Vera, María L., Walter R. Torres, Claudia I. Galli, Alexandre Chagnes, and Victoria Flexer. 2023. 'Environmental impact of direct lithium extraction from brines', *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 4: 149-65.
- Vinante, D., and RN Alonso. 2006. 'Evapofacies of the Salar Hombre Muerto, Puna Argentina: distribution and genesis', *Magazine of the Argentine Geological Association*, 61: 286-97.
- WANG, Chenguang, Mianping ZHENG, Xuefei ZHANG, Qian WU, Xifang LIU, Jianhong REN, and Shuangshuang CHEN. 2021. 'Geothermal-type Lithium Resources in Southern Xizang, China', *Acta Geologica Sinica - English Edition*, 95: 860-72.
- Warren, John K. 2010. 'Evaporites through time: Tectonic, climatic and eustatic controls in marine and nonmarine deposits', *Earth-Science Reviews*, 98: 217-68.
- Weinand, Jann Michael, Ganga Vandenberg, Stanley Risch, Johannes Behrens, Noah Pflugradt, Jochen Linßen, and Detlef Stolten. 2023. 'Low-carbon lithium extraction makes deep geothermal plants cost-competitive in future energy systems', *Advances in Applied Energy*, 11: 100148.
- Wilkinson, J., B. Reynolds, C. Neal, S. Hill, M. Neal, and M. Harrow. 1997. 'Major, minor and trace element composition of cloudwater and rainwater at Plynlimon', *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 1: 557-69.
- Young, B., M. T. Styles, and N. G. Berridge. 1985. 'Niccolite-magnetite mineralization from Upper Teesdale, North Pennines', *Mineralogical Magazine*, 49: 555-59.
- Michael Friendly (2002). [Corrgrams: Exploratory displays for correlation matrices](#). *The American Statistician*, 56, 316—324.
- H. Wickham (2016). *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-verlag, New York.
- Kassambara A, Mundt F (2020). *_factoextra: Extract and Visualize the Result of Multivariate Data Analyses_*. R package version 1.0.7, URL: <https://cran.R-project.org/package=factoextra>
- Posit Team (2023). *Rstudio: Integrated Development Environment for R*. Posit Software, PBC, Boston, MA. URL: <http://www.posit.co/>
- QGIS.org, 2023. QGIS Geographic Information System. QGIS Association. <http://www.qgis.org>
- R Core Team (2023). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Therneau T, Atkinson B (2022). *_rpart: Recursive Partitioning and Regression Trees_*. R package version 4.1.19, <<https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rpart>>.
- Vu VQ (2011). *_ggbiplot: A ggplot2 based biplot*. R package version 0.55, URL: <http://github.com/vqv/ggbiplot>
- Yuan Tang, Masaaki Horikoshi, Wenxuan Li (2016). "ggfortify: Unified Interface to Visualize Statistical Result of Popular R Packages." *The R Journal* 8.2, 2016: 478-489.
- Becker, R. A., Chambers, J. M. and Wilks, A. R. (1988) *The New S Language*. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole.

8. Appendix 1 (Extra figures)




Figure 9 Composite element depth profile of cutting from the Eastgate Borehole. Dashed lines are on the lower axis, solid lines are on the upper axis. Elements displayed determined by statistical analysis and understanding of the drill logs from Manning et al. 2007.




Figure 10 Scree plot showing highest contributing variables to both PC1 and PC2 of mine water samples.




Figure 11 Scree plot showing highest contributing variables to PC1 of mine water samples.




Figure 12 Scree plot showing highest contributing variables to PC2 of mine water samples.

Table 1 Two endmember mixing calculation results using Cl as the conservative element and estimated source Li concentration.

Sample	Surface Contribution	Deep Contribution	Calculated Li concentration of source
Coalcleugh	0.999841	0.000159	1123.346
Deborah valley	0.999319	0.000681	278.4263
Barneycraig	0.998875	0.001125	232.8253
Cambokeels (horse)	0.998779	0.001221	324.2918
Hillside culvert	0.957594	0.042406	9.572973
Cambokeels (horse)	0.999598	0.000402	1167.221
New westernhope mine	0.999992	8E-06	59891.21

Eastgate Quarry sumping pool	0.999938	6.2E-05	9170.796
Ashcleugh mine	0.999969	3.1E-05	18847.96
Metal band 2	0.999944	5.6E-05	11862.5
Rippon Level	0.999664	0.000336	2592.733
Rippon Level	0.99965	0.00035	2513.702
Hydrostream	0.999471	0.000529	1683.35
Capelcleugh	0.999655	0.000345	3140.352
Deborah	0.999789	0.000211	5170.616
Deborah lower	0.99978	0.00022	5050
Deborah lower	0.999579	0.000421	2857.536
Calvert	0.999083	0.000917	1313.413
Sir John's	0.999937	6.3E-05	19269.84
Deborah	0.999675	0.000325	3894.14
Whitesike level	0.999892	0.000108	12083.33
Smallcleugh	0.999296	0.000704	1970.739
Widley Level	0.999606	0.000394	3592.085
Whitesike adjacent mine	0.999988	1.2E-05	123750
Cambokeels	0.999495	0.000505	3154.455
Hagg's opposite river	0.999931	6.9E-05	23318.84
Bentyfield Mine Low level	0.999959	4.1E-05	39731.71
Governor and Co. Level	0.999854	0.000146	11184.93
Whites Level (Shaft)	0.999853	0.000147	11217.69
Whites Level	0.999851	0.000149	11926.17
Westernhope 2	0.999744	0.000256	7037.98
Lord Carlisle water level	0.999705	0.000295	6498.535
High Capelcleugh	1	0	#DIV/0!
Capelcleugh	0.999953	4.7E-05	52128.46
Metal band 1	0.999931	6.9E-05	35594.2
Rampgill	0.999679	0.000321	7952.274
Widley Level	0.999493	0.000507	5417.954
Smallcleugh	0.999776	0.000224	13698.21
Middlecleugh	0.998783	0.001217	2550.534
Middlecleugh	0.999529	0.000471	6918.471
Scaleburn	0.999214	0.000786	4198.982
Barneycraig	0.999323	0.000677	6093.936
Coalcleugh	0.999452	0.000548	7594.781
Hagg's Level	0.999743	0.000257	17175.1
Smallcleugh	0.99998	2E-05	247228.9
Scraithole	0.99958	0.00042	19855
Scraithole	0.998629	0.001371	10088.84
Cambokeels (horse)	0.995557	0.004443	3466.126
Cambokeels	0.995532	0.004468	3655.998
Cambokeels	0.99541	0.00459	4068.845
Cambokeels	0.994996	0.005004	4960.546
Cambokeels	0.994654	0.005346	5215.612

Cambokeels	0.995356	0.004644	8986.597
Cambokeels	0.994291	0.005709	13075.25
Cambokeels	0.994226	0.005774	13591.34
Cambokeels	0.994399	0.005601	14013.94
135	0.980044	0.019956	17037.48
152	0.970438	0.029562	12854.34
166	0.972777	0.027223	15795.47
181	0.962847	0.037153	14803.65
192	0.959753	0.040247	14659.48
214.5	0.955867	0.044133	14048.44
234	0.860025	0.139975	11502.05
236.5	0.846822	0.153178	11359.33
266.5	0.732164	0.267836	9371.406
275	0.79113	0.20887	9623.211
300	0.787676	0.212324	9655.055
335	0.658879	0.341121	8999.739
411.5	-0.03418	1.034178	8760.581
485	0.070515	0.929485	9865.678
561	0.029861	0.970139	9606.871
590	0.07699	0.92301	10075.73
674	-0.02734	1.027342	9101.156
725	0.074472	0.925528	10026.71
770	0.075551	0.924449	10254.76
847	-0.02159	1.021586	9230.745
910	-0.07915	1.079149	8460.37
951	-0.12232	1.122321	8134.928