1	The Rainy Continental Snow climate: Global comparison
2	with 40 years of snow cover modeled in the Chic-Chocs,
3	northeastern Appalachians mountains.
4	Francis MELOCHE ^{1,2,3} , Benjamin IMBACH ^{1,2,3} , Jean-Benoît MADORE ^{2,3} , Benjamin
5	REUTER ^{4,5} , Alexandre LANGLOIS 2,3 and Francis GAUTHIER ^{1,2}
6	¹ Laboratoire de Géomorphologie et de gestion des risques en montagnes (LGGRM), Département de
7	Biologie, Chimie et Géographie, Université du Québec à Rimouski, Canada.
8	² Center for Nordic studies, Université Laval, Canada.
9	³ Groupe de Recherche Interdisciplinaire en Milieux Polaire (GRIMP), Département de Géomatique,
10	Université de Sherbrooke, Canada.
11	⁴ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, CNRM, Centre d'Études de la Neige,
12	Grenoble, France.
13	⁵ Météo-France, Direction des opérations pour la prévision, Toulouse, France.
14	Correspondence: Francis Meloche <francis_meloche@uqar.ca></francis_meloche@uqar.ca>
15	ABSTRACT. This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the snow and
16	avalanche climate of the Chic-Chocs region of the Gaspé Peninsula, located
17	in the northeastern Appalachians of eastern Canada. The data revealed two
18	major components of the snow climate: a cold snow cover combined with a
19	maritime influence causing melt/ice layers through rain-on-snow events. The
20	CRCM6-SNOWPACK model chain was good at representing the seasonal
21	mean of climatic indicators, snow grain size and a snow problem type that
22	well represented the snow climate of the study region. The global comparison

shows that the snow climate is different from other areas in western North

America, but similar to Mt. Washington (New Hampshire, USA) and central

Japan. We show a clustering based solely on avalanche problem types, which

showed that the onset date of wet snow problems divided most of the winter

into three clusters. We compare these clusters with the French Alps and show

some similarities, moving away from a traditional snow climate description.
The paper concludes that the use of advanced snow cover modeling combined
with the Reuter and others (2022) method represents a new potential framework to improve our understanding and classification of snow climates, ultimately contributing to improved forecasting and risk management in similar
regions.

34 INTRODUCTION

Snow climate classifications were initially developed to characterize the climate of mountainous regions, 35 often to understand the conditions driving avalanche hazard (Armstrong and Armstrong, 1987; LaChapelle, 36 1965; McClung and Schaerer, 2006; Roch, 1949). In hydrology and climate modeling, the term "snow 37 climate" has been employed to delineate seasonal average snow cover properties, including total depth, 38 presence of depth hoar, ice layers, and snow temperature (Sturm and others, 1995). Within the field 39 of snow avalanche studies, the term "snow climate" specifically denotes the properties of the snow cover 40 that are relevant for the formation of snow avalanches (Hägeli and McClung, 2003). Understanding the 41 snow climate classification of a given mountain region is essential for developing location-specific avalanche 42 mitigation and forecasting programs (e.g. McClung and Schaerer, 2006). 43

The snow climate classification has three primary patterns: Maritime, Continental, and Transitional 44 (LaChapelle, 1965). The Maritime snow climate is characterised by warm temperatures and heavy snowfall, 45 with major instabilities predominantly attributed to recent snow loading in the upper snow cover (Haegeli 46 and McClung, 2007; Mock and Birkeland, 2000). Avalanche forecasting programs in these regions heavily 47 rely on weather observations (McClung and Schaerer, 2006). Conversely, the Continental snow climate is 48 distinguished by cold temperatures and light snowfall, featuring weak persistent layers in the snow cover 49 that necessitate systematic monitoring for forecasting snow avalanches (McClung and Schaerer, 2006). 50 The Transitional snow climate exhibits characteristics of both Maritime and Continental snow climates 51 (Haegeli and McClung, 2007). However, the description of a transitional snow climate is often generalized 52 and has been primarily delineated in western North America, Haegeli and McClung (2007) suggest that 53 other regions experiencing varying degrees of continental and maritime influences should be included to 54 enrich the understanding of this transitional snow climate. 55

Mock and Birkeland (2000) introduced a flowchart aimed at classifying snow climates, outlining snow 56 cover processes pertinent to avalanche hazard assessment. Their approach utilized meteorological data to 57 categorize individual winter seasons into distinct snow climates. However using only meteorological data 58 is insuficient to describe snow instability, as Schweizer and others (2003) demonstrated that the physical 59 properties of slabs and weak layers serve as critical indicators of avalanche formation (Hägeli and McClung, 60 2003). Recognizing this, Haegeli and McClung (2007) emphasized the necessity of incorporating additional 61 snow stratigraphy information to refine the description of snow climates. They proposed expanding the 62 Mock and Birkeland (2000) flowchart to integrate avalanche and snow observations, particularly focusing 63 on persistent weak layer observations, thus introducing the term "snow and avalanche climate" (Haegeli 64 and McClung, 2007). This inclusion provides valuable insights into the percentage of avalanche activity 65 on persistent weak layers and the specific types of persistent weak layers characterizing each snow and 66 avalanche climate zone. This refinement is especially pertinent in delineating Transitional snow climates, 67 where the interplay of Continental and Maritime influences leads to distinctive persistent weaknesses in 68 particular regions. 69

The concept of "avalanche problem types" refers specific weather events and snow cover properties characterizing different types of avalanche problems, such as wind slab or persistent slab avalanche problems Statham and others (2018); EAWS (2019). These avalanche problem types represent the primary concern for avalanche forecasters regarding specific meteorological and snow cover conditions. They are the foundation for various avalanche operational hazard forecasting to communicate the avalanche hazards in North America (Statham and others, 2018), and Europe (Techel and others, 2020).

Building upon this framework, Shandro and Haegeli (2018) integrated avalanche problem data type 76 with the Mock and Birkeland (2000) flowchart to enhance the characterization of snow avalanche hazard in 77 western Canada. While the methodology of Mock and Birkeland (2000) offers a generalized description of 78 snow climate across multiple winter seasons, the incorporation of avalanche problem type data facilitates 79 a more nuanced understanding, addressing daily concerns for forecasters throughout the season. However, 80 building a temporally extensive database of forecast avalanche problem types can be difficult without 81 avalanche forecasting data. To fill this gap and to provide an independent methodology, Reuter and 82 others (2022) proposed a method to derive avalanche problem types from snow cover model output such as 83 SNOWPACK (Lehning and others, 1999) or SURFEX/CROCUS (Vionnet and others, 2012). This method 84 allows to characterise based on weather forecasting reanalysis data and snow cover modeling, for instance, 85

and hence, omitting the use of forecasting data.

Various combinations of the methodologies outlined above have been employed to describe and classify 87 additional regions, utilizing different data types primarily based on data availability. For instance, Ikeda 88 and others (2009) utilized the Mock and Birkeland (2000) flowchart alongside snow cover data to delineate 89 the snow climate of the Japanese Alps. Their findings for the Japanese Coastal mountains exhibited 90 similarities with the Maritime climate zone. However, the Central Japanese Alps, characterised by a thin 91 snow cover, cold temperatures conducive to persistent weakness development, and a significant amount of 92 rainfall, did not align with any of the three main snow climates. Consequently, they introduced the term 93 "Rainy Continental" for the Central Japanese Alps (Ikeda and others, 2009). Similarly, Eckerstorfer and 94 Christiansen (2011) utilized snow profile data to describe the snow climate of Svalbard's main settlement. 95 Longyearbyen. Their analysis highlighted a thin snow cover, persistent weaknesses, and substantial ice 96 layers attributed to maritime influences, which led them to propose the term "High Arctic Maritime" for 97 Central Svalbard (Eckerstorfer and Christiansen, 2011). Recently, Reuter and others (2023) characterized 98 the snow climate of the French alps using two approaches, the snow climate classification algorithm of 99 Mock and Birkeland (2000) and using avalanche problem types based on snow cover simulations. With 100 their approach, they put forward the idea of classifying snow and avalanche climates based on avalanche 101 problem type occurrences. Their comparisons with the standard snow climate classification suggests that 102 in the French alps avalanche problem occurrences provide for a more detailed characterisation. 103

In eastern Canada, The Chic-chocs mountains in the Gaspé Peninsula are prone to snow avalanches. 104 Multiple studies have highlighted the influence of snowstorms and that events on the local snow avalanche 105 dynamic (Fortin and others, 2011; Gauthier and others, 2017; Germain and others, 2009; Hétu, 2010). 106 Despite the Köppen classification indicating a humid continental climate, the region experiences a signif-107 icant maritime influence, complicating the classification of the snow and avalanche climate (Fortin and 108 others, 2011; Gagnon, 1970; Gauthier and others, 2017). While the winter climate of the region has been 109 extensively documented (Fortin and others, 2011; Fortin and Hétu, 2014; Gagnon, 1970; Gauthier and 110 others, 2017), the description primarily relies on seasonal average climate conditions not directly relevant 111 to avalanche formation. Hence, comprehensive analysis integrating snow cover and weather data relevant 112 to avalanche formation holds promise to elucidate the region's snow and avalanche climate. 113

Given the presence of established approaches in snow climatology and the importance to better understand the snow and avalanche climate of the Chic-Chocs mountains, we aim at the following objectives: 1) Describe the snow and avalanche climate for the Chic-Chocs mountains, 2) Compare the dataset ChicChocs region with other mountain ranges such as Mount Washington (New Hampshire, USA), Central
Japan and the French Alps. We conclude the paper by discussing how the current snow climate observed
in the Chic-Chocs could evolve regarding climate change.

120 Study area

This study focuses on the Chic-Chocs mountains, a northern extension of the Appalachian Mountains, 121 which forms an inland massif serving as the backbone of the Gaspé peninsula (Figure 1). This central 122 massif comprises sub-alpine and alpine terrain, ranging in elevation from 800 to 1200 meters above sea 123 level (m a.s.l.), and is encompassed by a lower plateau situated at 400-500 m a.s.l. (Figure 1). The 124 study area is mainly the Avalanche Québec forecasting area. This non-profit organization has been issuing 125 avalanche bulletins for backcountry users in the Chic-Chocs since 2000. Since Avalanche Québec is now 126 part of the Avalanche Canada forecasting program, the organisation will benefit from a snow and avalanche 127 climate to inform hazard forecasting as weell as risk management in the region, while such procedures were 128 established in the climate regions of Western Canada. 129

The Chic-Chocs region receives approximately 800 mm of precipitation annually, while the high plateau 130 of the interior receives around 1,600 mm (Fortin and others, 2011; Gagnon, 1970; Germain and others, 131 2010). Snowfall typically occurs from December to April, accompanied by an average of about 60 mm of 132 rainfall per winter (Fortin and others, 2011). The mean annual temperature, spanning from 1971 to 2010, 133 varies from 3°C along the Gaspé North Coast to -4°C at 1268 m (Mt Jacques-Cartier) (Gray and others, 134 2017). The regional climate exhibits contrasting weather patterns: 1) cold Arctic air masses often bring 135 northwesterly winds with temperatures dropping below -20 $^{\circ}$ C, and 2) continental low-pressure systems, 136 usually accompanied by northeasterly winds, resulting in temperatures near the freezing point and potential 137 rain. These weather systems, commonly referred to as the Alberta Clipper, the Colorado Low, and the 138 Hatteras Low, significantly influence the Gaspé Peninsula's weather, impacting the type of precipitation 139 experienced in the area (Fortin and Hétu, 2014). The interaction of these weather patterns with the 140 peninsula's topographic features creates a snow accumulation pattern conducive to avalanche formation 141 (Germain and others, 2010). Most avalanches in the region are natural releases occurring during storms 142 (Fortin and others, 2011; Gauthier and others, 2017; Germain and others, 2009; Hétu, 2010). 143



Fig. 1. Localisation map of the study inside North America. The input represents different spatial scale of the study area with the different summits around the weather station Ernest-Laforce 630 m.

144 METHODS

¹⁴⁵ Classification strategy

To provide a comprehensive description of the snow and avalanche climate, we used several methodologies drawn from work on snow and avalanche climatology widely used over the past decades (Mock and Birkeland, 2000; Shandro and Haegeli, 2018; Sturm and others, 1995; Reuter and others, 2022). While these methodologies formed the basis of our approach, we adapted them by selectively incorporating relevant aspects tailored to our specific research needs. This approach integrated several types of data relevant to understanding avalanche formation.

We used the Mock and Birkeland (2000) flowchart, which uses meteorological data to outline the general 152 snow climate. We then retrieved from snow cover simulations the distribution of snow grain types for the 153 whole snow cover described by Sturm and others (1995), but also for the critical weak layers. These snow 154 cover data not only clarify the dominant metamorphic processes, but also help to identify which snow grain 155 types characterised the weak layers of the study area. In addition, we have included avalanche problem 156 types to characterize avalanche hazard, inspired by the approach of Shandro and Haegeli (2018). The 157 avalanche problem types were derived from simulations with the SNOWPACK model (Lehning and others, 158 1999), following the framework described in Reuter and others (2022). They characterize snow instability 159 patterns for every day. This type of data serves complements the description of the snow climate. 160

Finally, similarly to Reuter and others (2023), a temporal cluster analysis has been performed over the 40-year period, based on the avalanche problem type. This analysis should show the different types of winters that the region can experience, while providing a different point of view from the classic snow climate classification of Mock and Birkeland (2000). It is important to note that the database includes data representing the winter avalanche regime from December 1 through March 31. Data representing the spring avalanche regime were not included in this analysis.

¹⁶⁷ Meteorological data

Meteorological data were collected at a weather station located in the Chic-Chocs range. The weather station, named Ernest-Laforce weather station (CAELA), is located on the north slope of Mt Ernest-Laforce at 630 m a.s.l. (Figure 4). The data set covers the winter seasons from December 1 to March 31 for the winter seasons 2012-13 to 2021-22. Hourly data for mean air temperature, snow depth, and precipitation

(measured by a weighing precipitation gauge) were used to calculate the meteorological variables required 172 for the Mock and Birkeland (2000) flow chart: daily mean air temperature ($^{\circ}C$), total snowfall (cm), total 173 precipitation (mm), total snow water equivalent (SWE in mm), and mean December temperature gradient 174 $(^{\circ}C/m)$. Rainfall and SWE were derived from total precipitation using a rain/snow threshold of 1.2 $^{\circ}C$ 175 with the hourly mean air temperature. To minimize the misclassification of precipitation events - which 176 could lead to erroneous snow- climate classification - snow events were confirmed by a significant increase 177 (> 2 cm) in snow height within the next two hours following the precipitation event. Rain events were 178 similarly validated by stable or decreasing snow height (0 cm or 1 cm). Snow depth was measured hourly 179 using an ultrasonic snow depth sensor (SR50 from Campbell Scientific) on an automated weather station. 180 Snowfall was processed as the difference between each hour and then summed for the entire season. The 181 mean temperature gradient in December was determined using the mean air temperature and snow depth 182 for December, assuming zero degree Celsius at the snow-soil interface Mock and Birkeland (2000). The 183 observed meteorological indicators used in the Mock and Birkeland (2000) algorithm are used as a basis 184 for comparing the same meteorological indicators derived from the climate simulation presented below. 185

186 Climate simulation data

We choose to use climate simulation data to extend the temporal scope of our study from 1982 to 2022. 187 These climate models represent different components of the climate system, such as the atmosphere, ocean, 188 land surface, ice, and ecosystems, and are integrated to project the climate of a particular region or domain. 189 In this research, we use the sixth generation of the Canadian regional climate model (CRCM6/GEM5.0), 190 which is currently under development at the Centre pour l'Étude et le Simulation du Climat à l'Échelle 191 Régional (ESCER) of the University of Quebec at Montréal (UQAM). Two studies have recently evaluated 192 the performance of this newly developed model in North America (Moreno-Ibáñez and others, 2023; Roberge 193 and others, 2024). The version of CRCM6/GEM5.0 used in this study is based on version 5.0.2 of the 194 Global Environmental Multiscale Model (GEM5) (McTaggart-Cowan and others, 2019; Girard and others, 195 2014), which serves as the operational numerical weather prediction model for the Meteorological Service 196 of Canada. The CRCM6 model uses a 12 km (0.11°) spatial grid based on the Regional Deterministic 197 Prediction System (RDPS) configuration of the 5.0.2 version of the Global Environmental Multiscale model 198 (GEM5) (McTaggart-Cowan and others, 2019; Girard and others, 2014). This model was chosen for its 199 spatial downscaling capabilities and hourly time step, which we selected from 1982 to 2022. 200

To increase the overall representativeness of the modeled data, four grid points were selected around 201 the coordinates of the CAELA weather station and the mean value was extracted. The data were provided 202 and processed by the ESCER. The mean elevation of the four grid points is 679 m, which represents a 203 slight overestimation of the actual weather station, which is at 630 m. Previously, Imbach and others 204 (2024) observed an underestimation of snowfall and snow height in the CRCM6 dataset for the CAELA 205 weather station study site. The underestimation was rate dependent, and the underestimation was greater 206 at higher snow rate precipitation. Their precipitation bias assessment analysis and correction was used to 207 positively correct the observed underestimation. The correction made was based on the correction made 208 at Rogers Pass, Western Canada by (Bellaire and others, 2011). Furthermore, a statistical validation of 209 the CRCM6 against *in-situ* recorded data showed an overall strong representativeness. Their correction 210 was applied to the snow precipitation and snow depth of the CRCM6 outputs. 211

²¹² Meteorological data from other locations

To compare our data with potentially similar locations around the globe and existing snow climate classification, we adapted the boxplot figure from Mock and Birkeland (2000), incorporating each of the climate indicators to visually compare the mentioned regions. We also used data directly from the snow study of Ikeda and others (2009) for the Central Japanese Alps, and data from Mt. Washington in New Hampshire, USA (Meloche, 2019), which is also similar to the Chic-Chocs.

218 Snow cover modeling

The snow cover model SNOWPACK is a multilayer one-dimensional thermodynamic model and was used 219 to simulate the snow cover stratigraphy and properties for each snow season (Lehning and others, 1999). 220 The required meteorological data input were driven from the CRCM6 model, which were air temperature, 221 relative humidity, wind speed and direction, short and long wave radiation (incoming and outgoing), total 222 precipitation, and snow height. In this study, SNOWPACK was run using hourly CRMC6 data with 223 snow height forcing. The model parameters were based on previous work and validation performed by 224 members of the research team for the same study area (Côté and others, 2017) and also in western Canada 225 (Madore and others, 2018, 2022). We chose to use the default SNOWPACK snow/rain threshold of 1.2 226 $^{\circ}$ C, and the main parameterizations (SNOWPACK parameters) used were the BELLAIRE snow density 227 parameterization, the MONTI hardness parameterization, the Bucket water percolation model, and the 228

MO-MICHLMAYR atmospheric stability. The snow height in the simulation was enforced with the snow height predicted by the CRCM6 model, with the corrected precipitation of Imbach and others (2024). The snow cover was simulated every hour from October 1 to May 31, on the flat and also on two 38° virtual slopes on a northern and southern aspect.

233 Snow grain type

The seasonal snow grain type distribution was computed from the snow cover model output by adding the thickness of each layer to a snow grain type class such as precipitation particles (PP), melt forms (MF), or faceted crystals (FC). This process is repeated daily from December 1 to March 31. The frequency distribution is normalized by the sum of all layer thicknesses for both north and south virtual slope during the winter from December to March.

In order to assess the validity of the snow grain type obtained from the snow cover model, we compared it from the snow grain type frequency retrieved from snow profile observations made by the Avalanche Québec, which is responsible for avalanche forecasting in the Chic-Chocs region, for the winter of 2015 to 2018 (Meloche, 2019). The snow profiles were made at different aspects and elevations throughout the region, with approximately 25 snow profiles per winter.

Avalanche problem type

245 Weak layer identification

The avalanche problem type was derived from the output of the SNOWPACK model i.e., from both, north 246 and south-facing slope simulations, following the methodology proposed by Reuter and others (2022). The 247 following section describes the general procedure of the method, for more details please refer to the original 248 paper. This method evaluates potential persistent and non-persistent instabilities on each day, which 249 could be either prone to natural release or artificial triggering. For the purpose of this study, only natural 250 release was considered. The non-persistent weak layer is composed of either precipitation particles (PP). 251 decomposed and fragmented particles (DF), and faceted rounded grains (FCxr). The persistent weak layers 252 are composed of faceted crystals (FC - FCxr), surface hoar crystals (SH) and depth hoar crystals (DH). 253

If a potential weak layer was present the day before or potentially buried, the properties of the slab overlaying this potential weak layer is judged. A minimum slab thickness of 0.18 m and a slab density of at least 100 kg m⁻³ are required for a critical slab-weak layer combination (Reuter and others, 2022). Four

indices were then used to classify all potential slab-weak layer combinations in view of natural release. The S_N (natural) index was computed for each layer within the snowpack, defined by a ratio of the gravitational shear stress τ_g induced by the weight of the overlying slab and the shear strength of the weak layer:

$$S_N = \frac{\tau_g}{\tau_p},\tag{1}$$

where $\tau_g = \rho g h \sin \psi$ is defined by the slab density ρ , the gravitational acceleration g, the slab height h, and the slope angle ψ . The time to failure t_f was also used to determine the natural stability of the layers, developed by Conway and Wilbour (1999). The time to failure is the time derivative of S_N :

$$t_f = \frac{S_N(t) - 1}{\frac{dS_N}{dt}}.$$
(2)

A second stability indicator is the critical crack propagation length a_c , which is the length required for crack propagation to begin. (Richter and others, 2019) proposed a method to derive the critical crack length from the SNOWPACK simulation based on stress and strength approach (Gaume and others, 2017) instead of using the weak layer fracture energy (Heierli and others, 2008). The method was also adapted with an empirically fitted F_{wl} parameter to improve the predictive performance with the SNOWPACK model. The critical crack length was calculated using the following expression, which was coded in the SNOWPACK module from Gaume and others (2017):

$$a_c = \Lambda \left[\frac{-\tau + \sqrt{\tau + 2\sigma(\tau_p - \tau)}}{\sigma} \right], \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma = \rho g D \cos \psi$ and λ is a characteristic length of the system defined by:

$$\Lambda = \sqrt{E' DF_{wl}},\tag{4}$$

where $E' = E/(1 - v^2)$, v is the Poisson ratio set to 0.3, F_{wl} is the fitted parameter developed by Richter and others (2019). All two stability indices S_N and a_c mentioned above are already available as output variables in the SNOWPACK code (v3.6). The time to failure t_f was coded in Python based on the time derivative of S_N .

²⁵⁸ Based on these three indices, we classified each potential layer as an unstable weak layer using the ²⁵⁹ thresholds determined by Reuter and others (2022). A weak layer was classified as critical for natural release if $S_N < 3.6$ and $t_f < 18$ h, and $a_c < 0.32$ m. Then, for each unstable weak layer, we classified it as a persistent or non-persistent weak layer depending on the weak layer grain type. The snow grain types of each critical weak layer were counted to get a frequency of weak layer snow grain type of the simulated 40-year period.

264 Assigning Avalanche problem

The following avalanche problem types were derived from the SNOWPACK model output: new snow 265 (NAP), wind slab (WSAP), persistent (PAP), and wet (WAP), based on the methodology developed by 266 Reuter and others (2022). On each day, after classifying the critical persistent and non-persistent weak 267 layers, we look at the concurrent snow load modeled in SNOWPACK. A non-persistent weak layer within 268 a 24-hour snowfall (HN24) greater than 5 cm is classified as a new snow problem (NAP). If a persistent 269 critical weak layer is loaded by a precipitation rate greater than 0.05 m/24h, the algorithm will classify it 270 as a persistent avalanche problem (PAP) and a new snow avalanche problem (NAP). The same procedure 271 is used for a wind slab avalanche problem (WSAP) with a 24h wind transport (wind trans24) greater 272 than 0.4 m/24h and a non-persistent weak layer. A WSAP is also possible if the wind trans24 is above 273 the threshold and soft snow is present on the surface within three days. The algorithm will classify both 274 a PAP and WSAP when the wind transport threshold is reached with an unstable persistent weak layer. 275 The assessment of the wet-snow avalanche problem is based on the liquid water content index developed 276 by Mitterer and Schweizer (2013) along with the number of days since isothermal conditions were reached 277 (Baggi and Schweizer, 2009). This index measures the liquid water per snow volume for each SNOWPACK 278 layer, with an averaging process that considers the thickness of these layers to determine the total liquid 279 water content of the snow cover. The index compares the total water content of the snow cover to a critical 280 threshold of 1% water by ice volume (Mitterer and others, 2016). A liquid water content index of 1 indicates 281 the onset of natural wet-snow avalanches, then, the snow cover returns to a stable state after four days of 282 sustained isothermal conditions (Baggi and Schweizer, 2009). We assign the avalanche problem for both the 283 virtual north and south face slope of every winter of the 40-year period. We used the find aps.py function 284 to find all avalanche problem types from the SNOWPACK outputs based on the methodology of Reuter 285 and others (2022), in AVAPRO available in the package the *snowpacktools* from the public repository of 286 the Avalanche Warning Service Operational Meteo Environment AWSOME (AWSOME Core Team, 2024). 287 In order to assess the validity of the avalanche problem type derived from the SNOWPACK modeling, 288

we compared it with the forecasted avalanche problem type from Avalanche Québec for the winter of 2012 to 2018 (Meloche, 2019). The predicted avalanche problem types are the forecaster's assessment for 2011 the upcoming forecast period based on meteorological observations, snow cover observations, and weather 2022 forecasts. The forecast period was two days for winters 2013 to 2015 and daily for winters 2016 to 2018.

²⁹³ Clustering

Finally, we performed a k-means cluster analysis to explore a different classification of the avalanche 294 characteristics of the study area. The k-means is a clustering analysis that uses the proximity to a geometric 295 position in the feature coordinate space (Macqueen, 1967). The k-means was run with data from 40 winters, 296 including north- and south-facing slope simulations for the avalanche problem type. We neglected the 297 climate indicators and the snow grain type to reduce dimensionality and to replicate the same method as 298 Reuter and others (2023). In addition, the avalanche problem type integrates the weather context and snow 299 grain type from the critical weak layer. To select the ideal number of clusters, we computed the silhouette 300 score and the Calinski-Harabasz score for clusters ranging from 2 to 10. We selected the number of clusters 301 with the maximum values of Silhouette score per number of cluster, and Calinski-Harabasz score. The 302 number of clusters when one of the individual clusters were below the average score was not considered. 303 We also performed principal component analysis on the dataset to explore linearity between variables and 304 to ease visualization of our clustering results. The result of the clustering analysis will be compared to the 305 French alps were a similar analysis is available for comparison Reuter and others (2023). 306

307 **RESULTS**

308 Snow Climate classification

309 10 years of meteorological data

As a first result, we present 10 years (2013-2022) of meteorological data recorded at the Mt Ernest-Laforce weather station and data simulated by the climate model CRCM6. The Chic-Chocs study areas generally exhibited cold average winter temperatures (meanTA $< -7^{\circ}$ C) and limited total winter snow precipitation (Snow < 450 mm SWE). The winters of 2016 and 2021 showed warmer conditions, but only the winter of 2021 showed significant rain during the winter season (Table 1). The winters of 2013 and 2020 were also warmer, but less than 2016 and 2021, with a significant amount of Rain (67.8 and 77.0 mm, respectively).

Table 1.	Results of the Mock and Birkeland (2000) classification with weather station Mt Ernest-Laforce and the
CRCM6 cl	limate model. The year in the column winter represent the month of January, indicating that the winter
of the pres	sent year includes December of the prior year.

	Rain (mm)		meanTA ($^{\circ}C$)		meanDEC (°C m ^{-1})		SWE (mm)		Snow (cm)	
Winter	CAELA	CRCM6	CAELA	CRCM6	CAELA	CRCM6	CAELA	CRCM6	CAELA	CRCM6
2013	67.8	117.6	-10.0	-10.5		19.1	489.4	470.1	713.8	328.8
2014	6.0	15.5	-13.8	-15.3		35.0	474.4	465.8	689.3	318.8
2015	48.0	34.1	-14.7	-14.5			426.4	425.5	446.7	277.8
2016	42.3	37.6	-9.5	-10.7	NA		422.3	453.4	NA	314.9
2017	15.7	36.8	-11.0	-12.4	21.7		475.9	516.6	725.1	374.3
2018	50.7	37.4	-10.7	-12.4			405.6	491.9	516.6	368.2
2019	15.5	52.0	-12.6	-14.0			211.4	512.8	493.6	341.6
2020	77.0	54.6	-10.7	-12.1			444.3	441.2	437.0	303.7
2021	93.6	106.1	-8.6	-9.7	16.1	22.3	502.3	425.3	546.4	339.3
2022	15.7	43.7	-12.2	-13.3	10.9	16.5	509.4	570.0	535.4	419.4

The meanTA fell below -7° C, and the meanDEC was consistently above 10° C m⁻¹. This combination of cold mean air temperatures and sparse snow cover likely contributed to the pronounced temperature gradients observed (Table 1).

Figure 2 shows the difference between the CAELA weather station and the CRCM6 model. The SWE 319 estimation with the CRCM6 model are good with the exception of the winter of 2019. We suspected 320 a problem with the precipitation gauge during this winter, so the error may not be from the CRCM6 321 model. However, despite the precipitation correction and snow height forcing in SNOWPACK, the snow 322 height (Hs) and snowfall were underestimated by the CRCM6 model. Figure 2 shows that the CRCM6 323 model simulated colder temperatures compared to the weather observations. However, this colder bias did 324 not translate into a systematic underestimation of precipitation, which has no clear systematic bias with 325 some winters precipitation being underestimated and others overestimated. Finally, the mean December 326 temperature gradient was slightly overestimated by CRCM6 with less snow height. 327

The results of the snow climate classification derived from Mock and Birkeland (2000) flowchart indicated a predominantly continental climate for 8 winters over 10, and maritime classification for the remaining two winters (Table 1). The winter 2013 had a continental classification at the weather station, but a maritime classification with the CRCM6 model. The key determinant in classifying most winter sea-



Fig. 2. Estimation of the climatic indicators used in Mock and Birkeland (2000) algorithm by the CRCM6 model, except for the snow height Hs. The estimation is compared to the weather observations at the CAELA station. The positive difference represents an overestimation (orange) of the CRCM6 model, and the negative difference represents an underestimation (blue) of the CRCM6 model.

³³² sons was the mean December temperature gradient (meanDEC), which exceeded 10°C/m for a continental ³³³ climate and rain amounts exceeding 80 mm for a maritime climate (Table 1). The algorithm never met ³³⁴ the "snow accumulation" criterion for classification into maritime and transitional snow climates during ³³⁵ the classification process for both weather data (weather station and CRCM6).

336 40 years snow climate classification

Figure 3 shows a time series of the rain and mean air temperature for the last 40-winter simulations from the CRCM6 model. The classification results is also shown by the background color for each year where blue is for continental and red for maritime, as the transitional snow climate was never classified for the 40 winters. The rain indicator was the only indicator that classified some winter as maritime (above the dashed line in Figure 3). Most of the winters (33/40) were classified as continental based on the mean December temperature (meanDEC). The mean air temperature is relatively cold and never exceeds -8°C, which is



Fig. 3. Time series of the mean air temperature and total rain for the winter 1982 to 2022. The result of the Mock and Birkeland (2000) classification is shown with background color for each winter: the blue color is a continental classification, red is for maritime, transitional was never present). The mean air temperature is shown in dark blue and the total rain during the winter is shown in dark red. The black dashed line the 80 mm rain threshold for the maritime classification.

far from the -3° C threshold for a maritime winter. Some winters have been classified as maritime (7/40), and these winters are spread over the entire 40-year period. Despite the generally cold temperatures, rain events occur almost systematically every winter. Rain on snow event during the winter, combined with cold air temperature (meanTA < -7° C) are the two main characteristics that define the region's snow climate.

347 Global Comparison

To compare our data with potentially similar locations around the globe, we adapted the boxplot figure from 348 Mock and Birkeland (2000). First, we look at the two critical criteria used by the Mock and Birkeland (2000) 349 algorithm for classification, which were mean DEC above 10° C m⁻¹ (continental) and Rain above 80 mm 350 (maritime) (Mock and Birkeland, 2000). These two criteria were in similar ranges to those for the Chic-351 Chocs, Central Japan, and Mt. Washington (Figure 4). The SWE, snowfall, and December temperature 352 gradient for Central Japan were more comparable to the Chic-Chocs. The amount of precipitation was 353 similar in all areas: Chic-Chocs, Central Japan, and Mt. Washington (Figure 4). We also compared all 354 three areas to the three classic snow climates of the western United States (Mock and Birkeland, 2000). 355 Snow-related parameters such as SWE, snow depth, and December temperature gradient were within the 356



Fig. 4. Box plot with all the Mock and Birkeland (2000) climate classification for a global comparison with the Chic-Chocs dataset, Mt Washington from Meloche (2019), Central Japan from Ikeda and others (2009).

range for a continental snow climate (Figure 4). Air temperature was also within the range for a continental climate, with the Chic-Chocs and Mt. Washington at the colder end and Central Japan at the warmer end (Figure 4). Precipitation was the only determinant that fell within the Maritime snow climate range for all regions. These results indicate that all regions, Chic-Chocs, Mt. Washington, and Central Japan, were similar to the continental snow climate, except for precipitation, where they were similar to a maritime snow climate (Figure 4).

363 Snow grain type

We compared the frequency of the grain types simulated in SNOWPACK using CRCM6 model with snow profile observations from 2015 to 2018. Figure 5 shows a systematic discrepancy between observations and the simulated data. SNOWPACK tends to simulate melt forms (MF) more frequently than they are observed. Conversely, the simulation results seem to under-represent decomposing and fragmented particles (DF). The presence of rounded grains (RG) and precipitation particles (PP) is similar between



Fig. 5. Comparison of the observations vs the simulated (CRCM6/SNOWPACK) for a) snow grain type distribution, and b) Avalanche problem frequency. The left barplot is the observations from Avalanche Québec and the right barplot is the climate simulation CRCM6 dataset. The avalanche problem type are the following : New snow avalanche problem (NAP), wind slab avalanche problem (WSAP), persistent avalanche problem (PAP), Deep persistent avalanche problem (DAP), and wet avalanche problem (WAP).

the simulation from the model chain CRCM6/SNOWPACK and the observations. The faceted crystals (FC) are more often observed in the snow profiles, but the faceted rounded grains (FCxr) are more frequent in the simulation from the model chain CRCM6/SNOWPACK. However, these grain types are similar and represent a similar transformation process in the snow cover. Finally, depth hoar (DH) was more frequent in the snow profiles. Despite the small difference between the simulations and the observations, the model chain CRCM6/SNOWPACK is relevant to retrieve the seasonal snow grain type distribution.

The snow grain type distribution was retrieved from the 40-year SNOWPACK model to get an overview 375 of the temporal variability in metamorphic process of the study area. First, the snow grain type shows that 376 melt forms (MF) are predominant in the snow cover from December to the end of March (Figure 6-a). The 377 second most frequent grain type are rounding faceted grains (FC). However, Figure 6-a shows that there is 378 a temporal variability between winters, with some winters having more FC than MF. The third and fourth 379 most abundant grain types were faceted crystals (FCxr) and rounded grains (RG). The presence of these 380 two grain types was quite variable between winters, sometimes with more FCxr than RG and sometimes 381 vice versa (Figure 6-a). Surface hoar was not present in the snow cover during the entire 40-year period. 382 Overall, the 40-years of seasonal grain type distribution demonstrated different dominant metamorphic 383 processes that should impact the dominance of specific avalanche problem types (i.e. persistent vs wet 384



Fig. 6. Snow grain type distribution over the 40 winters period with a) snow grain type distribution of the whole snow cover each winter from December to the end of March, and b) the snow grain type distribution of the weak layer assessment for each winter (natural instability).

³⁸⁵ avalanche problem type).

The snow grain type distributions are different if looking at critical weak layers from the avalanche 386 problem assessment (Figure 6-b). The three most common weak layer grain types are precipitation particles 387 (PP), decomposing and fragmented particles (DF), and faceted crystals (FC). Like the overall grain type 388 assessment, the most frequent weak layer grain type was not the same from winter to winter, where 389 sometimes DF and PP were more frequent over FC, and some other winters the opposite occurs where 390 FC was more frequent. It is important to note that this assessment is based on a weak layer with natural 391 instabilities, and the frequency might change with including skier triggering. Some winters also had the 392 FCxr in the weak layer assessment and two winters had few weak layers with RG as grain type. It is 393 important to note that during the simulated 40-year period neither DH nor SH were present in the critical 394 weak layers. 395

To explore the "typical" stratigraphy of the study area, two examples of simulated snow profiles (38 ³⁹⁷ ° north facing slope) for Maritime and Continental winters are presented in Figure 7. The 'continental' ³⁹⁸ winter of 2018 included a large rain event on 13 January (35 mm), which initiated a wet instability cycle

for the next 10 days (Figure 7-a). After this event, however, colder conditions returned, with snow depths 399 continuing to increase with several layers of FC, up to a maximum snow depth of 240 cm. These cold 400 conditions persisted until the end of March. The "maritime" winter of 2021 had a large rain event (25 401 mm), which occurred on 25 December with a thinner snow cover (43 cm) and caused the snow cover to 402 melt almost completely (Figure 7-b). The rain event delayed snow accumulation, resulting in a shallower 403 snow cover compared to the continental winter of 2018. Despite the difference in amount and timing of 404 the rain event in both winters, the resulting stratigraphy was quite similar and more representative of a 405 continental snow cover with a thick melt-freeze crust in the basal layers, with FC above and DF/PP at 406 the surface. The rains at the end of March were the main cause of this so-called maritime winter. This 407 sequence of meteorological and different layers leads to a specific type of avalanche problem during the 408 winter. In the following section, the winters of 2018 and 2021 are described in more detail in terms of 409 avalanche problem type. 410

411 Avalanche problem type

412 Continental vs Maritime winter

Figure 7 shows the timing of avalanche problem types during the continental winter of 2018 and the 413 maritime winter of 2021. The continental winter of 2018 had more natural instabilities compared to the 414 maritime winter of 2021, with more significant storms producing more NAP, WSAP, PAP and DAP. The 415 persistent problems (PAP/DAP) were more concentrated at the beginning of the winter, and the rain 416 event of 13 January had removed the persistent weak layers. Surprisingly, the continental winter had 417 more wet-snow instabilities (WAP) despite having less total rainfall during the winter (50 mm) compared 418 to the maritime winter (93 mm). The persistent problems were concentrated towards the end of the 419 winter in January, February and March. Regarding the avalanche problem type, the difference between 420 the "maritime" and the "continental" winter was not significant and does not correspond to the definition 421 of a maritime winter (more precipitation, less or no PAP/DAP). 422

423 Observations vs Simulations

We compared the seasonal frequency of predicted avalanche problem types from Avalanche Québec with those derived from snow cover modeling. In both cases, the most common avalanche problem type was wind slab avalanche problem (WSAP). Avalanche Québec generated slightly more WSAPs than the simulation



Fig. 7. Seasonal stratigraphy and avalanche problem type from the snow cover model output for a) an example Continental winter in 2018, and b) an example of a stratigraphy during the Maritime winter of 2021. New snow avalanche problem (NAP), wind slab avalanche problem (WSAP), persistent avalanche problem (PAP), Deep persistent avalanche problem (DAP), and wet avalanche problem (WAP).

from the CRMC6/SNOWPACK model chain. New snow problems (NAP) were more frequent compared 427 to the simulation expected for the winter of 2015. Conversely, the persistent problem type (PAP) was 428 also more frequent in the simulation compared to the Avalanche Québec forecast. Thus, NAP and WSAP 429 were underestimated and PAP/DAP were overestimated by the CRCM6/SNOWPACK model chain. The 430 winters of 2016 and 2017 were the most different between the simulation and the forecasts of Avalanche 431 Québec, with no PAP/DAP and WAP (Avalanche Québec) compared to more PAP/DAP and almost no 432 WAP (CRCM6/SNOWPACK). The (WAP) was the most variable between simulation and forecast. The 433 deep persistent problem type (DAP) was never forecast by Avalanche Québec. These results show the 434 systematic error or difference between the simulation and the forecast of the avalanche problem type, but 435 we have to keep in mind that the significant differences could be related to the difference between the 436 forecast guidelines (Avalanche Québec) and the numerical model (CRCM6/SNOWPACK). 437

438 40-year period

Figure 8-a shows the distribution of natural avalanche problem types that have occurred in our study area 439 over the last 40 years. Four different avalanche problem types were present in the region, with the wind 440 slab avalanche problem type (WSAP) being the most prevalent in the region. The second most frequent 441 problem type was the persistent problem type (PAP) with an average of 13 days per winter and the deep 442 persistent problem type (DAP) with an average of 3 days per winter. The wet avalanche problem type 443 (WAP) was not present every winter with an average of 3.5 days/winter on a virtual northern aspect and 444 4.1 days/winter on a virtual southern aspect (Figure 7). The less frequent problem type was the new snow 445 problem type with an average of 7 days per winter. 446

Figure 8-b shows anomaly over the 40-year period, with the colored background representing the clas-447 sification by the Mock and Birkeland (2000) algorithm. The distribution of avalanche problems does not 448 seem to be different for the maritime winter. The winters of 1991, 2011, and 2018 had the most WAP 449 anomalies of the dataset, but the winters of 1991 and 2011 were classified as maritime and the winter 450 of 2018 was classified as continental. However, other maritime winters appear to be the same as other 451 continental winters without specific anomalies, such as winter (1996, 2013 and 2021) (Figure 8-b). These 452 results indicate a possible limitation of the Mock and Birkeland (2000) algorithm and that the frequency of 453 the seasonal avalanche problem type can give a different perspective on what could be a "maritime" winter. 454



Fig. 8. Avalanche problem distribution for the winter 1982 to 2022, with the north face virtual slope on the left barplot and the south face on the right barplot. a) number of days where the problem type was issued, and b) the anomaly from the mean of the 40-year period. The blue colored background are winter classified as continental and the red is maritime. The avalanche problem type are the following : New snow avalanche problem (NAP), wind slab avalanche problem (WSAP), persistent avalanche problem (PAP), Deep persistent avalanche problem (DAP), and wet avalanche problem (WAP).

455 Clustering analysis

To get a new perspective on snow climate classification, we decide to look the clustering of the avalanche 456 problem types. The result of the silhouette analysis shows that two clusters were the most significant for 457 classifying the northern and southern simulation for the 40 winters, with an average silhouette score of 458 0.25 and a Calinski-Harasbasz score of 27.3. In close second, three clusters were also significant, with a 459 silhouette score of 0.24 and a Calinski-Harasbasz score of 25.9. The remaining number of clusters (4,5,6..10) 460 had decreasing Silhouette and Calinski-Harasbasz scores. Figure 9 shows the two and three clusters on a 461 transformed dataset using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to visually represent the clustering. The 462 two clusters can be compared to a maritime and continental winters of the Mock and Birkeland (2000) 463 algorithm. However, the seven maritime winters were in both cluster (2 in the blue and 5 in the red) 464 (Figure 9). According to the vector variables of the PCA in Figure 9-c, the red cluster was characterised 465 by more WAP and early WAP onset date (Decembre and January). By opposition, the blue cluster had 466 more instabilities with all dry avalanche problem types and a late WAP onset date in April or later. These 467 two clusters were quite different from the classic maritime/continental, with the blue cluster had more dry 468 avalanche problem (NAP,WSAP, PAP/DAP). The only major difference between north and south aspect 469 was that more NAP were simulated on northern aspect, and surprisingly, there was no significant difference 470 in WAP or WAP onset date between aspect. 471

The three clusters that resulted from the analysis are presented in Figure 9-bd). The first cluster (red) was characterised by more WAP and early WAP onset date mostly in December. The second cluster (pink) was characterised by lowest NAP, WSAP and PAP/DAP with early to mid WAP onset date (January). The third cluster (turquoise) had the latest WAP onset date (April), and the lowest WAP. However, the maximum and the minimum values presented were relative to our dataset.

To compare our clusters with an other region, we present in Figure 10 our three clusters compared 477 to the data of Reuter and others (2023), who cluster the avalanche problem type of the French alps. We 478 compared the three clusters centroids of this present study with the four centroids founded in the French 470 Alps. Two clusters had similar centroids between both studies, which were the pink clusters (cluster 1 480 and 5) and and the turquoise-green clusters (cluster 3 and 8) (Figure 10. The pink cluster in both studies 481 had mid-season WAP onset date around February with a relatively low number of days with a persistent 482 avalanche problem with 10 or less, and around 5 days of new snow problems, and the lowest days of wind 483 slab problem. This cluster was observed, in the study of Reuter and others (2023), in the front ranges of 484



Fig. 9. K-means clustering with two and three clusters. The clusters are shown in relation to the principal component 1 (WAP onset date 31 %), principal component 2 (WSAP day 29 %), and the principal component 3 (NAP 19 %). The clustering with two clusters a) and c) demonstrates a new classification were winters were classified with a thick snow cover and unstable conditions, and other winters with shallow snow cover and stable conditions. The clustering with three clusters b) and d) demonstrates a different classification with snowy unstable winters, wet unstable winters and shallow snow cover and stable conditions.



Fig. 10. Three clusters of this studies presented in comparison with the cluster centroids (cross) and the data in transparency of the study of Reuter and others (2023). The pink cluster of Reuter and others (2023) represents a cluster with low NAP, low PAP and a early WAP onset date before March. The green cluster of Reuter and others (2023) represents a cluster with high NAP, mid PAP and late WAP onset date after April. The yellow cluster of Reuter and others (2023) represents a cluster with high NAP, low PAP and late WAP onset date after April. The yellow cluster of Reuter and others (2023) represents a cluster with high NAP, low PAP and mid WAP onset date around April. The purple cluster of Reuter and others (2023) represents a cluster with high NAP, low PAP and mid WAP onset date around April. The purple cluster of Reuter and others (2023) represents a cluster with low NAP, high PAP and late WAP onset date around April.

the French Alps, in regions like Vercors and Chartreuse, who classify mostly as "maritime" according to the Mock and Birkeland (2000) algorithm. The remaining cluster of this study (cluster 2 in red) does not fit with the other clusters from the Alps. Figure 10-bc shows the red cluster with a WAP onset date early during the season in December, which no cluster had such a early WAP onset date in the Alps. In terms of NAP and PAP days, the red cluster from our study was similar to the green cluster of Reuter and others (2023).

⁴⁹² Can simulation data be used to classify snow climate?

This research provides an in-depth analysis of the snow and avalanche climate of the Chic-Chocs region, 493 located in the northeastern Appalachian range in Canada. Through the use of climate indicators, snow grain 494 types, and avalanche problem types, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of snow processes 495 leading to avalanches in the region. Our dataset, derived from 40 years of CRCM6 climate simulation over 496 North America, serves as a robust basis for simulating snow stratigraphy and avalanche problem types over 497 this time period. This approach identifies snow cover characteristics relevant for avalanche situations. The 498 use of snow cover modeling provides a new perspective on snow and avalanche climates in the region and 499 complements the data available for snow and avalanche climatology. 500

Despite providing a significant temporal perspective, the model chain CRCM6-SNOWPACK simula-501 tions we show have inherent uncertainties stemming from the climate data or the snow cover simulations. 502 To evaluate the performance of the CRCM6-SNOWPACK model chain, we present a comparison between 503 observations and the simulation for the climate indicators (Table 1), snow grain types (Figure 5-a), and 504 avalanche problem types (Figure 5-b). The uncertainties in the climate indicators and their classification, 505 as described by Mock and Birkeland (2000), are mainly due to the classification of precipitation as rain or 506 snow in both meteorological observations and CRCM6/SNOWPACK simulation. For example, the winter 507 of 2013 was classified as continental in the meteorological observations but as maritime using the CRCM6 508 simulations, highlighting the discrepancies between the observations and the simulations with respect to 509 precipitation events. Additional uncertainties arise from the precipitation gauge at the weather station, 510 where snow accumulation on top of the gauge can prevent accurate measurement during rain. 511

The SNOWPACK model, in the current settings used in this study, has limitations that could affect 512 the stratigraphy and thus the resulting uncertainty for avalanche problem types. As discussed in the 513 previous section, the classification between rain and snow is also a limitation of the threshold used in the 514 SNOWPACK model. We choose to use the default rain/snow threshold of 1.2 $^{\circ}$ C, which was empirically 515 determined based on measurements in Switzerland. Bellaire and Jamieson (2013) simulated the snow cover 516 in western Canada using numerical weather prediction of 15 km spatial grid, and tested different rain/snow 517 thresholds to detect melt-freeze crust formation in Rogers Pass, Canada. The default threshold of 1.2 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ 518 had the lowest probability of detection compared to other thresholds closer to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, which had a higher 519

probability of detecting melt-freeze crusts. However, Madore and others (2022) simulated the snow cover 520 in Roger Pass based on meteorological station and demonstrated that a threshold of 1.4 $^{\circ}C$ was better at 521 simulating both melt-freeze crusts while a accurate estimation of the snow height. They also point out 522 that this threshold was only found for the winter of 2018-2019, and that different winters could have a 523 different threshold based on a different meteorological event (i.e., thermal inversion) or even different snow 524 climate (Bellaire and Jamieson, 2013). This contrast between the results of Bellaire and Jamieson (2013) 525 and Madore and others (2022) supports the argument that this threshold could be different depending on 526 the meteorological context. Future work should focus on an effective way to find a adaptive rain threshold 527 to simulate melt event and melt-freeze layer. 528

The second limitation is related to a snow density problem in both CRCM6 and SNOWPACK. With 529 the correction of Imbach and others (2024), the estimation of SWE with average error of 54.5 mm, but with 530 an underestimation of snow height. The relatively good estimation of SWE but underestimation of snow 531 height could indicate a problem with the density of the new snow or the densification of the entire snow 532 cover. We used Bellaire's new snow density parameterization, which is an empirical fit of new snow density 533 based on several weather variables such as air temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity (Lehning and 534 others, 1999). This parameterization is an empirical fit based on measurements in Switzerland, but may 535 not be applicable in eastern Canada. Future work should investigate a different or new parameterization 536 of new snow density that is better suited to the snow climate of eastern Canada. Despite introducing 537 uncertainty in individual winter events, the CRCM6-SNOWPACK model chain was in good agreement at 538 representing the seasonal average of climatic indicators, snow grain type, and avalanche problem type that 539 represent well the snow climate of the region. 540

541 Snow and avalanche climatology

We applied the Mock and Birkeland (2000) algorithm to 40 winter using climatic indicators derived from the CRCM6/SNOWPACK model chain. 33 of the 40 winters were classified as continental and the remaining 7 winters as maritime. (Shandro and Haegeli, 2018) apply the (Mock and Birkeland, 2000) algorithm to three area in western Canada: The Coastal mountains (i.e. Whistler), the Columbia's mountains (i.e. Revelstoke) and the Rocky mountains (i.e. Banff). Comparing our snow climate classification results with the three areas in western Canada (Shandro and Haegeli, 2018), each of these three areas never had continental and maritime winters classified in the same area. The Coastal mountains only had maritime

and transitional winter. The Columbia's mountains mostly transitional winters with some continental and 549 maritime winters. The Rocky mountains only had continental winters and some transitional winters. Our 550 study area is not similar to western Canada with had continental winters with some maritime winters. From 551 the perspective of seasonal avalanche problem frequency, the Chic-Chocs region exhibits a distribution with 552 around 10% of wet-snow problem types, around 10-20 % and the remaining is mostly wind slab and new 553 snow problem type. This seasonal avalanche problem type frequency was similar to the Coastal Mountains 554 (mostly maritime winters) and the Columbia Mountains (mostly transitional winters). Surprisingly, the 555 Rocky mountains had mostly Continental winters like our study area, but the persistent problem type was 556 more present around 60-70%, compared to 10-20% in the Chic-Chocs. 557

If we compared the climatic indicators of Mock and Birkeland (2000) algorithm with the three classic 558 western region in the United-States, our study area shares similarities with continental regions for all 559 meteorological variables except rain (Figure 4). Other regions of the world, such as Mt. Washington and 560 the central Japanese Alps, exhibit the same pattern of low snowfall, cold air temperatures, and significant 561 precipitation during winter (Figure 4). This suggests that the Chic-Chocs are also influenced by climate 562 factors typical of the continental and maritime snow climates, resulting in snow climate characteristics 563 that do not fit neatly into established classifications of western North America. The sequence from cold 564 temperatures to significant rain is a distinguishing feature that sets these regions apart from classic snow 565 climates of western North America. This dual influence results in snow cover that exhibit characteristics 566 of both continental and maritime climates, such as the presence of faceted crystals and layers of ice due to 567 rain-on-snow events. These mixed characteristics between a continental and maritime winters defined the 568 specific climatic and snow coverconditions of regions such as the Chic-Chocs, Mt. Washington, and the 569 Central Japanese Alps. 570

The snow grain type distribution and climatic conditions of the study area can be compared with those 571 studied in Svalbard, Norway (Eckerstorfer and Christiansen, 2011). Both snow cover are cold and relatively 572 thin (\approx 1-1.5 m), dominated by temperature gradient metamorphism processes. These regions experience 573 basal instability and faceted crystals due to cold winter temperatures, and are also affected by maritime 574 depressions that bring warm air and rain, causing ice/melt freeze stratification in the snow cover. Similar 575 to Svalbard, our results showed that the Chic-Chocs region has snow grain types characteristic of both a 576 continental climate (facet and depth hoar) and a maritime climate (ice/melt-freeze layering). Snow and 577 climate data revealed two major snow climate components: a cold snow cover combined with a maritime 578

⁵⁷⁹ influence causing rain-on-snow events.

Ikeda and others (2009) described two study areas in the Japanese Alps: the Japanese Coastal Moun-580 tains (Northern Japanese Alps) and the Central Japanese Alps. Their research shows similarities between 581 the Central Japanese Alps and the Chic-Chocs region. Both regions obtained similar snow climate re-582 sults using the Mock and Birkeland (2000) flow chart: primarily continental winters with some maritime 583 winters (Ikeda and others, 2009). The criteria used for classification are also similar, with a continental 584 winter characterised by a mean December temperature gradient (meanDEC $>10^{\circ}$ C) and a maritime winter 585 characterised by rainfall (> 80 mm) (Ikeda and others, 2009). The climatic conditions are similar, with 586 cold air temperatures, low snowfall, and significant precipitation (Figure 4). The snow cover structures 587 are comparable, showing a strong prevalence of faceted crystals and melt forms (Ikeda and others, 2009). 588 The authors found that these characteristics did not fit any of the three major snow climate classifications, 589 leading them to propose a new classification for the Central Japanese Alps: the Rainy Continental snow 590 climate. This new classification is defined by the following specific characteristics (Ikeda and others, 2009): 591

⁵⁹² 1) A relatively thin snow cover and cold air temperatures, similar to continental snow climate regions.

⁵⁹³ 2) Heavy rainfall, comparable to or exceeding that of maritime snow climate regions.

⁵⁹⁴ 3) Persistent structural weakness caused by faceted crystals and depth hoar, similar to continental
 ⁵⁹⁵ snow climate regions.

⁵⁹⁶ 4) The dominance of both faceted crystals and wet grains.

Similar to Ikeda and others (2009), our results suggest that the snow climate of the Chic-Chocs does not fit into the three traditional snow climate classifications. Historically, the Chic-Chocs region has been classified as a maritime snow climate according to the Sturm and others (1995) global classification, which is based solely on climatic variables such as temperature and precipitation without considering snow cover or avalanche regimes (Sturm and others, 1995). Other authors have used the term Cold Maritime to describe the region (Fortin and others, 2011; Gauthier and others, 2017).

The Chic-Chocs region shares similarities with several regions around the world, such as Mt. Washington and the Central Japanese Alps. All of these regions are influenced by cold air masses from the continent and low-pressure cells from the ocean. These specific influences of both continental and maritime low-pressure cells have previously been observed for the northeastern coast of the United States (Karmosky, 2007; Perry and others, 2010). This contrasts with the coastal mountain ranges of the northwestern United States, which are primarily influenced by maritime low-pressure cells. The four characteristics mentioned above for the Rainy Continental classification of the Central Japanese Alps are identical to those observed for the Chic-Chocs. However, the term "Rainy Continental" proposed by Ikeda and others (2009), expresses both continental and maritime influences, similar to a transitional snow climate. However, the term Rainy Continental could be a better fit for insular, peninsular, or northeastern continental regions than any of the three major snow climates developed for the larger mountain ranges of the western United States.

Recently, Reuter and others (2023) characterised snow avalanche climate regions in the French Alps 614 by occurrences of avalanche problem types relevant for natural release. They applied the traditional snow 615 climate classification of Mock and Birkeland (2000) and compared the results with a snow avalanche cli-616 matology based on a clustering analysis of avalanche problem type occurrences. Their analysis revealed 617 4 clusters defined by the number of days with persistent problems, the number of days with new snow 618 problems and the onset date of wet-snow problems. These three factors lead to a combination of 7 possi-619 bilities, 4 of which they observed in the French Alps, with potentially three more based on their criteria. 620 Based on our clustering analysis, two of our clusters were similar to two of the clusters observed in the 621 French Alps. One cluster was similar to the one in the French Alps and has an average wet-snow activity 622 onset date around February with a relatively low frequency of persistent weak layers (of around 8 days 623 per season) and about 6 days with new snow problems. This cluster was observed in front-range regions 624 on the western flank of the French Alps. A second cluster, similar to the Mont Blanc or the Beaufortain 625 range in the French Alps, had a late wet-snow onset date around the end of April or later, around 13 days 626 with persistent weak layers and 10 days with new snow problems per season. Our study revealed another 627 cluster with a very early wet-snow onset date in December, but with similar frequencies of persistent and 628 new snow problems. 629

Regarding climate change, Eckert and others (2024) reviewed the past and projected effects of climate 630 change on avalanche activity. They found a significant decrease in dry snow avalanches relative to an 631 increase in wet snow avalanches. Currently, more winters are characterised by dry snow situations, such as 632 new snow, wind slabs, and persistent problem types, compared to wet-snow problem types. However, as 633 shown by Eckert and others (2024), these proportions could change towards more situations with wet-snow 634 relative to dry-snow avalanches problems. Giacona and others (2021) observed an upslope shift of avalanche 635 activity, where low altitude mountains saw a reduction in the number and the period of avalanches. This 636 finding suggests that clusters with late onset dates (April) of wet-snow avalanche problems are likely to 637

⁶³⁸ be affected or disappear in favour of the other two clusters with a mid-season (February) and early wet-⁶³⁹ snow onset date (December). Today's Chic-Choc snow climate may correspond to the projection of snow ⁶⁴⁰ climates in other regions, as the Rainy Continental may be the new Continental.

641 Perspective

Building on the framework developed by Reuter and others (2022, 2023), this study details and charac-642 terizes the snow and avalanche climate of the Chic-Chocs Range, located in the northeastern Appalachian 643 Mountains of North America. The implementation of the avalanche problem type, derived from 40 winters 644 of SNOWPACK simulations, provided a unique perspective to describe the snow and avalanche climate of 645 the area. As suggested by Shandro and Haegeli (2018) and Reuter and others (2023), using the avalanche 646 problem type introduces a new perspective to propose new classifications for regions that differ from the 647 three conventional snow climates found in western North America. Unlike the geographic clustering study 648 of Reuter and others (2023), our approach was temporal, aiming to identify different "types" of winters that 649 the region may experience. Figure 9-bd illustrates a clustering into three categories over the 40 winters, 650 differing from the continental and maritime 'types' of winters by primarily using the avalanche problem 651 type. This type of research opens the possibility to characterize the snow and avalanche climate where 652 field data are not available. The ERA5 climate model of the European Center for Medium-Range Weather 653 Forecasts (ECMWF), coupled with the SNOWPACK simulation and the method of Reuter and others 654 (2022), represents a new potential framework to analyze new regions that aim to create an historic of 655 potential avalanche problem types to develop a forecasting system based on their climate. 656

657 CONCLUSION

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the snow and avalanche climate in the Chic-Chocs region of the Gaspé Peninsula, as part of the northeastern Appalachians in eastern Canada. Using a variety of methods and data sources, including meteorological observations, snow grain type distributions, and avalanche problem types, we provide a detailed characterization of the region's specific snow and avalanche climate.

The snow climate classification results, based on the Mock and Birkeland (2000) flowchart, indicate a predominantly continental climate with occasional maritime winters. This finding contrasts with the more traditional snow climate observed in western North America, highlighting the specificity of the Chic-

Chocs region. Our comparison with similar regions around the world, such as Mt. Washington and 666 the central Japanese Alps, revealed patterns of low snowfall, cold air temperatures, and significant rain 667 precipitation. This similarity suggests that the Chic-Chocs, like these other regions, do not fit neatly into 668 traditional classifications of continental, maritime, or transitional snow climates. Furthermore, comparison 669 with Svalbard, Norway, underscored the presence of cold, thin snow cover dominated by faceted crystals and 670 basal instability, influenced by both cold winter temperatures and maritime depressions. These conditions 671 result in a snow cover structure characterised by both continental and maritime elements, such as faceted 672 crystals and ice/melt freeze layers. 673

The inclusion of avalanche problem types derived from 40 winters of snow cover simulations (CRCM6-674 SNOWPACK) provided seasonal patterns of natural snow instability mostly dependent on the month 675 where the wet-snow problem type occurs. We were able to compare our results with another study in the 676 French Alps and discuss a classification/cluster exclusively around avalanche problem type, shifting from 677 the traditional climate-based description. This study highlights the potential of snow cover modeling and 678 avalanche problem type methodology to improve our understanding and classification of snow climates, 679 ultimately contributing to improved avalanche forecasting and risk management in regions with similar 680 complex dynamics. Finally, in our broader perspective of climate change, where rain and wet-snow problem 681 type may become more common for continental regions around the world, the Rainy Continental of the 682 Chic-chocs may be the new Continental around the world. 683

684 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dominic Boucher, Julie Leblanc and Jean-Pierre Gagnon from Avalanche Québec for providing us their meteorological and forecast dataset. We also want to acknowledge them for all the discussion based on their 20 years of expertise of the Chic-Chocs area.

688 **REFERENCES**

- Armstrong RL and Armstrong BR (1987) Snow and avalanche climates of the western United States: a comparison
 of maritime, intermountain and continental conditions. *IAHS publication*, 162, 281–294
- AWSOME Core Team (2024) AWSOME: Avalanche Warning Service Operational Meteo Environment (doi:
 https://gitlab.com/avalanche-warning)
- Baggi S and Schweizer J (2009) Characteristics of wet-snow avalanche activity: 20 years of observations from a high

- alpine valley (Dischma, Switzerland). Natural Hazards, 50(1), 97–108, ISSN 0921-030X (doi: 10.1007/s11069-008 9322-7)
- Bellaire S and Jamieson B (2013) Forecasting the formation of critical snow layers using a coupled
 snow cover and weather model. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 94, 37–44, ISSN 0165232X (doi:
 10.1016/j.coldregions.2013.06.007)
- Bellaire S, Jamieson JB and Fierz C (2011) Forcing the snow-cover model SNOWPACK with forecasted weather
 data. Cryosphere, 5(4), 1115–1125, ISSN 19940416 (doi: 10.5194/tc-5-1115-2011)
- Conway H and Wilbour C (1999) Evolution of snow slope stability during storms. Cold Regions Science and Technology, **30**(1-3), 67–77, ISSN 0165232X (doi: 10.1016/S0165-232X(99)00009-9)
- Côté K, Madore JB and Langlois A (2017) Uncertainties in the SNOWPACK multilayer snow model for a Canadian
 avalanche context: sensitivity to climatic forcing data. *Physical Geography*, 38(2), 124–142, ISSN 02723646 (doi:
 10.1080/02723646.2016.1277935)
- ⁷⁰⁶ EAWS (2019) Avalanche Problems, EAWS European Avalanche Warning Services.
- Eckerstorfer M and Christiansen H (2011) The "high arctic maritime snow climate" in Central svalbard. Arctic,
 Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 43(1), 11–21, ISSN 15230430 (doi: 10.1657/1938-4246-43.1.11)
- Eckert N, Corona C, Giacona F, Gaume J, Mayer S, van Herwijnen A, Hagenmuller P and Stoffel M (2024) Climate
 change impacts on snow avalanche activity and related risks. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 5(5), 369–389,
- ISSN 2662-138X (doi: 10.1038/s43017-024-00540-2)
 - Fortin G and Hétu B (2014) Estimating winter trends in climatic variables in the Chic-Chocs Mountains, Canada
 (1970-2009). International Journal of Climatology, 34(10), 3078–3088, ISSN 10970088 (doi: 10.1002/joc.3895)
 - Fortin G, Hétu B and Germain D (2011) Climat Hivernal et Régimes avalanches dans le corridors routier de la
 gaspésie septentrionale (Québec, Canada). *Climatologie*, 8 (doi: 10.4267/climatologie.202)
 - Gagnon RM (1970) Le climat des Chic-Chocs. Technical report, Ministere des Richesses naturelles du Québec, Service
 de la météorologie
 - Gaume J, Van Herwijnen A, Chambon G, Wever N and Schweizer J (2017) Snow fracture in relation to slab
 avalanche release: Critical state for the onset of crack propagation. *Cryosphere*, 11(1), 217–228, ISSN 19940424
 (doi: 10.5194/tc-11-217-2017)
 - Gauthier F, Germain D and Hétu B (2017) Logistic models as a forecasting tool for snow avalanches in a cold
 maritime climate: northern Gaspésie, Québec, Canada. Natural Hazards, 89(1), 201–232, ISSN 0921-030X (doi:
 10.1007/s11069-017-2959-3)

- 724 Germain D, Filion L and Hétu B (2009) Snow avalanche regime and climatic conditions in the Chic-Choc Range,
- rzs eastern Canada. Climatic Change, 92(1-2), 141–167, ISSN 0165-0009 (doi: 10.1007/s10584-008-9439-4)
- Germain D, Hétu B and Fillion L (2010) Tree-ring based reconstruction of past snow avalanche events and risk
 assessment in Northern Gaspé Peninsula (Québec, Canada). In *Tree Rings and Natural Hazards: A State-of-Art*,
 51–73, Springer
- ⁷²⁹ Giacona F, Eckert N, Corona C, Mainieri R, Morin S, Stoffel M, Martin B and Naaim M (2021) Upslope migration
- of snow avalanches in a warming climate. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 731 America, **118**(44), ISSN 10916490 (doi: 10.1073/PNAS.2107306118/FORMAT/EPUB)
- Girard C, Plante A, Desgagné M, McTaggart-Cowan R, Côté J, Charron M, Gravel S, Lee V, Patoine A, Qaddouri
 A, Roch M, Spacek L, Tanguay M, Vaillancourt PA and Zadra A (2014) Staggered Vertical Discretization of the
 Canadian Environmental Multiscale (GEM) Model Using a Coordinate of the Log-Hydrostatic-Pressure Type.
 Monthly Weather Review, 142(3), 1183–1196, ISSN 0027-0644 (doi: 10.1175/MWR-D-13-00255.1)
- Gray J, Davesne G, Fortier D and Godin E (2017) The Thermal Regime of Mountain Permafrost at the Summit
 of Mont Jacques-Cartier in the Gaspé Peninsula, Québec, Canada: A 37 Year Record of Fluctuations showing an Overall Warming Trend. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 28(1), 266–274, ISSN 10456740 (doi:
 10.1002/ppp.1903)
- Haegeli P and McClung DM (2007) Expanding the snow-climate classification with avalanche-relevant information:
 Initial description of avalanche winter regimes for southwestern Canada. *Journal of Glaciology*, 53(181), 266–276,
 ISSN 00221430 (doi: 10.3189/172756507782202801)
- Hägeli P and McClung DM (2003) Avalanche characteristics of a transitional snow climate-Columbia Mountains, British Columbia, Canada. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 37(3), 255–276, ISSN 0165232X (doi:
 10.1016/S0165-232X(03)00069-7)
- Heierli J, Gumbsch P and Zaiser M (2008) Anticrack nucleation as triggering mechanism for snow slab avalanches. *Science*, **321**(5886), 240–243, ISSN 00368075 (doi: 10.1126/science.1153948)
- Hétu B (2010) Les conditions météorologiques propices au déclenchement des avalanches de neige dans les corridors
 routiers du nord de la Gaspésie, Québec, Canada. Géographie physique et Quaternaire, 61(2-3), 165–180, ISSN
 1492-143X (doi: 10.7202/038990ar)
- ⁷⁵¹ Ikeda S, Wakabayashi R, Izumi K and Kawashima K (2009) Study of snow climate in the Japanese Alps:
 ⁷⁵² Comparison to snow climate in North America. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, **59**, 119–125 (doi: 10.1016/j.coldregions.2009.09.004)

- ⁷⁵⁴ Imbach B, Gauthier F and Langlois A (2024) What are the impacts of climate change on the properties and stability
- 755 of the snowpack? In Colloque annuel du Centre d'Étude Nordique CEN, Québec
- Karmosky C (2007) Synoptic climatology of snowfall in the northeastern United States: an analysis of snowfall
 amounts from diverse synoptic weather types
- ⁷⁵⁸ LaChapelle ER (1965) Avalanche forecasting-a modern synthesis. Technical report, US Department of Agriculture
- Lehning M, Bartelt P, Brown B, Russi T, Stockli U and Zimmerli M (1999) SNOWPACK model calculations for
 avalanche warning based upon a new network of weather and snow stations. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*,
 30, 145–157
- Macqueen J (1967) Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations. In Proceedings of the
 Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Statistics
- Madore JB, Langlois A and Côté K (2018) Evaluation of the SNOWPACK model's metamorphism and
 microstructure in Canada: a case study. *Physical Geography*, **39**(5), 406–427, ISSN 02723646 (doi:
 10.1080/02723646.2018.1472984)
- Madore JB, Fierz C and Langlois A (2022) Investigation into percolation and liquid water content in a multi-layered
 snow model for wet snow instabilities in Glacier National Park, Canada. Frontiers in Earth Science, 10, ISSN
 2296-6463 (doi: 10.3389/feart.2022.898980)
- ⁷⁷⁰ McClung D and Schaerer P (2006) The avalanche Hanbook. The Mountaineers Books
- McTaggart-Cowan R, Vaillancourt PA, Zadra A, Chamberland S, Charron M, Corvec S, Milbrandt JA, Paquin-Ricard
 D, Patoine A, Roch M, Separovic L and Yang J (2019) Modernization of Atmospheric Physics Parameterization
 in Canadian NWP. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(11), 3593–3635, ISSN 19422466 (doi:
 10.1029/2019MS001781)
- Meloche F (2019) Variabilité spatio-temporelle des propriétés du manteau neigeux dans un contexte opérationnel de
 prévision des avalanches, Gaspésie, Canada
- Mitterer C and Schweizer J (2013) Analysis of the snow-atmosphere energy balance during wet-snow instabilities and
 implications for avalanche prediction. *The Cryosphere*, 7(1), 205–216, ISSN 1994-0424 (doi: 10.5194/tc-7-205-2013)
- Mitterer C, Heilig A, Schmid L, Van Herwijnen A, Eisen O and Schweizer J (2016) Comparison of measured and
 modeled snow cover liquid water content to improve local wet-snow avalanche prediction. In International Snow
 Science Workshop, Breckenridge, Colorado

- Mock CJ and Birkeland KW (2000) Snow Avalanche Climatology of the Western United States Mountain
 Ranges. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 81(10), 2367–2392 (doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520 0477(2000)081<2367:SACOTW>2.3.CO;2)
- Moreno-Ibáñez M, Laprise R and Gachon P (2023) Assessment of simulations of a polar low with the Canadian
 Regional Climate Model. *PLOS ONE*, 18(10), e0292250, ISSN 1932-6203 (doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0292250)
- Perry LB, Konrad CE, Hotz DG and Lee LG (2010) Synoptic Classification of Snowfall Events in the Great Smoky
 Mountains, USA. *Physical Geography*, **31**(2), 156–171, ISSN 0272-3646 (doi: 10.2747/0272-3646.31.2.156)
- Reuter B, Viallon-Galinier L, Horton S, Van Herwijnen A, Mayer S, Hagenmuller P and Morin S (2022) Characterizing
 snow instability with avalanche problem types derived from snow cover simulations. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, **194**, 103462 (doi: 10.1016/j.coldregions.2021.103462)
- Reuter B, Hagenmuller P and Eckert N (2023) Snow and avalanche climates in the French Alps using avalanche
 problem frequencies. *Journal of Glaciology*, 69, ISSN 1292-1304 (doi: 10.1017/jog.2023.23)
- Richter B, Schweizer J, Rotach M and van Herwijnen A (2019) Validating modeled critical crack length for crack
 propagation in the snow cover model SNOWPACK. *The Cryosphere*, **13**(June), 3353–3366 (doi: 10.5194/tc-2019 97)
- Roberge F, Luca AD, Laprise R, Lucas-Picher P and Thériault J (2024) Spatial spin-up of precipitation in limited area convection-permitting simulations over North America using the CRCM6/GEM5.0 model. *Geosci. Model Dev*,
 17, 1497–1510 (doi: 10.5194/gmd-17-1497-2024)
- Roch A (1949) Report on snow and avalanche conditions in U.S.A Western ski resorts. Technical report, Swissfeder alInstituteforSnowandAvalanche, Davos
- Schweizer J, Jamieson JB and Schneebeli M (2003) Snow avalanche formation. Reviews of Geophysics, 41(4), 3–5,
 ISSN 87551209 (doi: 10.1029/2002RG000123)
- Shandro B and Haegeli P (2018) Characterizing the nature and variability of avalanche hazard in western Canada.
 Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 18(4), 1141–1158, ISSN 16849981 (doi: 10.5194/nhess-18-1141-2018)
- Statham G, Haegeli P, Greene E, Birkeland K, Israelson C, Tremper B, Stethem C, McMahon B, White B and
 Kelly J (2018) A conceptual model of avalanche hazard. *Natural Hazards*, 90(2), 663–691, ISSN 15730840 (doi:
 10.1007/s11069-017-3070-5)
- Sturm M, Holmgren J and Liston GE (1995) A Seasonal Snow Cover Classification System for Local to Global Applications. *Journal of Climate*, $\mathbf{8}(5)$, 1261–1283, ISSN 0894-8755 (doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(1995)008<1261:ASSCCS>2.0.CO;2)

- ⁸¹² Techel F, Karsten M and Schweizer J (2020) On the importance of snowpack stability, the frequency distribution
- of snowpack stability, and avalanche size in assessing the avalanche danger level. Cryosphere, 14(10), 3503–3521,
- 814 ISSN 19940424 (doi: 10.5194/TC-14-3503-2020)
- ⁸¹⁵ Vionnet V, Brun E, Morin S, Boone A, Faroux S, Le Moigne P, Martin E and Willemet JM (2012) The detailed
- snowpack scheme Crocus and its implementation in SURFEX v7.2. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(3), 773–
 791, ISSN 1991-9603 (doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-773-2012)