


APPLICATION OF MACHINE LEARNING METHODS TO FORECAST PETROPHYSICAL PROPERTIES IN BASALTS OF THE SERRA GERAL GROUP: IMPLICATIONS FOR CARBON STORAGE

THIS IS A NON-PEER-REVIEWED PREPRINT SUBMITTED TO EARTHARXIV. THE PAPER IS UNDERGOING PEER-REVIEW FOR PUBLICATION.

 **João Paulo G. R. Alves**
Institute of Energy and Environment
University of São Paulo
São Paulo, Brazil, 05508-010
joao.guilherme.alves@usp.br

 **Claudio Riccomini**
Institute of Geosciences
Institute of Energy and Environment
University of São Paulo
São Paulo, Brazil, 05508-080
riccomin@usp.br

May 18, 2025

ABSTRACT

This study applies machine learning techniques to forecast petrophysical properties (density, porosity, and permeability) in the basalts of the Serra Geral Group, located in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. These properties are crucial for the successful implementation of carbon capture and storage (CCS), an important technology to combat climate change. Employing machine learning models—XGBoost, Gradient Boosting, and Random Forest—the research aims to overcome the limitations of traditional empirical methods that often fail to capture the complex variabilities in basalt formations. The models were applied to 28 wells within the study area. The interpolation of the well data indicated that the northern region of the Serra Geral Group in the State of Santa Catarina exhibits optimal conditions for geological storage. From 600 to 900 m, the basalts present suitable intervals ranging from 7 to 22 m thick, with density lows of almost 2.1 g/cm³, high peaks of 17.8% apparent porosity, and permeability of 55 μD . The results demonstrated significant improvements in accuracy of property predictions compared to empirical methods from the literature, highlighting the potential of machine learning to enhance the feasibility and reliability of CCS in basaltic formations. This study contributes to the ongoing efforts to optimize CCS technology by providing a more accurate geological assessment of suitable storage sites.

Keywords: Carbon Capture and Storage · Machine Learning Models · Petrophysics · Basalts

1 Introduction

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is an important technology to combat climate change, by capturing carbon dioxide at the source, storing it underground, and preventing large amounts of CO₂ from reaching the atmosphere (IPCC et al., 2018). Among the existing alternatives for geological storage, basalts stand out due to their unique chemical and physical properties, presenting as an attractive option for carbon storage due to their abundance and capacity for carbon mineralization (Cartier, 2020; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2020). These rocks are rich in calcium and magnesium, which react with carbon dioxide to create minerals that securely sequester CO₂ (Oelkers et al., 2008; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2020).

Notable CCS projects have demonstrated the feasibility and potential of basalt formations for CO₂ storage. In Iceland, the CarbFix project has successfully injected and mineralized CO₂ in basaltic rocks, transforming over 95% of the captured CO₂ into stable minerals in less than two years (Matter et al., 2016; von Strandmann et al., 2019; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2020). Similarly, the Wallula project in the Columbia River flood basalt (USA) has explored the

capacity of basalt formations in the State of Washington for long-term CO₂ storage, showing promising results in both CO₂ injection and storage efficiency, with approximately 60% of the CO₂ sequestered via mineralization within two years (McGrail et al., 2017; White et al., 2020). These projects exemplify the successful application of CCS in basalt formations, providing valuable insights and data that can be applied to other regions worldwide.

The basalts of the Serra Geral Group in Brazil represent a significant and still unexplored potential for CO₂ storage. This extensive basalt formation, part of the Paraná Basin, erupted in the Early Cretaceous (Piccirillo & Melfi, 1988; Renne et al., 1992) and offers a vast geological environment suitable for CCS. The region's geological characteristics, including its thickness, density, porosity, permeability, and mineral composition, make it a promising candidate for CO₂ injection and mineralization (Nardy et al., 2008; Rossetti et al., 2019). Due to its volume, it may be considered one of the largest magmatic provinces potentially favorable for carbon fixation on Earth. Laboratory experiments with basalts from this region revealed facilitated, rapid carbonate precipitation beginning 72 hours post-injection, achieving an estimated CO₂ storage of approximately 75% based on the availability of calcium, with carbonate precipitation comprising aragonite (75.9%), dolomite (19.6%), and calcite (4.6%) (Ferreira et al., 2024). Exploring the CCS potential of the Serra Geral basalts could significantly contribute to Brazil's carbon reduction efforts and provide a model for similar formations globally.

1.1 Machine learning applications across different lithologies

Machine learning (ML) algorithms have been successfully used in oil and gas exploration for predicting petrophysical properties across various geological formations due to their ability to handle large datasets and complex relationships. For instance, in sandstones and arenites reservoirs, Farouk et al. (2021) applied neural networks (NN) and least squares support vector machines (LS-SVM) to estimate permeability from core sample data in Egypt. The ML algorithms performed exceptionally well compared to conventional methods, providing more accurate and reliable to the permeability predictions. In sand shale formations, Manzoor et al. (2023) evaluated the efficacy and reliability of LS-SVM, random forest regressor (RFR), extra tree regressor (ETR), gradient boosting regressor (GBR) and decision tree classifier (DTC) in well log data in Pakistan. The high correlation results showed that the application of algorithms provided a cost-effective and robust approach for modern formation evaluation with minimal uncertainty and resource efficiency.

Carbonate reservoirs, known for their heterogeneous and intricate pore structures, have particularly benefited from ML applications. Nguyen-Sy et al. (2021) demonstrated the effectiveness of the extreme gradient boosting (XGB) algorithm for sonic velocity prediction using porosity and other petrophysical properties as input parameters, significantly outperforming other machine learning algorithms such as artificial neural networks (ANN) and support vector machines (SVM) with R-squared of 0.96 and root mean squared error of 3%. Similarly, Kalule et al. (2023) further demonstrated the advantages of stacked ensemble machine learning methods for predicting porosity and absolute permeability in carbonate rock samples, highlighting improvements in predictive accuracy and model generalizability. Notwithstanding, Zhang et al. (2020) applied the long short-term memory neural network (LSTM) model to predict the shear wave velocity in carbonates. The LSTM model presented an accuracy of 98.9%, whereas the traditional method got only 73%.

Shale formations, characterized by their complex properties and anisotropic nature, have also seen substantial improvements in petrophysical predictions through machine learning. Bennani et al. (2025) estimated the shale porosity of the Sichuan Basin in Western China by applying SVM, multilayer perceptron and random forest in different ranges of the data. The random forest model obtained the highest correlation and low root mean squared error, demonstrating the model's suitability for managing limited and complex datasets.

Despite the previous examples of machine learning applications for regression, ML in petrophysics is also applied for different tasks such as classification (lithofacies, faults, zones, etc.) and clustering. Even with the increase of applications in different scenarios and tasks, the usage of machine learning algorithms in basaltic rocks is still very incipient relying on empirical models such as linear regression, polynomial fits, and theoretical equations.

1.2 Basaltic formations and machine learning

ML methods have been increasingly applied across various geological settings to model petrophysical properties. However, their application in basaltic formations remains limited, in part due to the intrinsic complexity of these rocks. Basalts are characterized by heterogeneous textures, including vesicular zones, fractured intervals, and layered flow units with variable porosity and permeability. These features arise from dynamic emplacement processes and post-emplacement alterations such as weathering and mineral infilling, making empirical modeling approaches particularly challenging (Navarro et al., 2020; Rossetti et al., 2019). Traditional methods, such as porosity-permeability correlations

based on sonic velocity or linear regression models, often fail to capture this complexity, resulting in unreliable predictions when extrapolated to new geological contexts (Breiman, 2001b; Navarro et al., 2020; Rossetti et al., 2019).

Understanding and accurately forecasting petrophysical properties (density, porosity, and permeability) is critical for assessing the feasibility and safety of carbon dioxide (CO₂) storage in basalt formations (McGrail et al., 2011; Zakharova et al., 2012). The variability in these properties across basalt flow units, and even within individual flows, requires modeling strategies that can generalize beyond the original data distribution while preserving local heterogeneities. Advanced ML techniques offer a powerful alternative to empirical approaches by learning complex, nonlinear relationships and accommodating multivariate data structures.

In this context, the present study applies machine learning models to improve the prediction of key petrophysical parameters in the Serra Geral Group basalts of the Paraná Basin in southern Brazil. By leveraging core and well log data, we aim to generate more accurate property maps to support the identification of favorable CO₂ injection zones. This methodological approach contributes to ongoing efforts to advance the understanding of carbon capture and storage (CCS) potential in basaltic formations, offering a replicable framework for similar geological settings worldwide.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study area

Identifying regions and sections suitable for carbon capture and storage is crucial for mitigating climate change. This study focuses on the basalts of the Serra Geral Group, located in the western portion of the State of Santa Catarina (Figure 1). These basaltic rocks exhibit significant compositional variations (Nardy et al., 2008; Wildner et al., 2014) and are situated near stationary sources that could benefit from carbon storage (Ketzer et al., 2016). Additionally, the area has a good coverage of wells with petrophysical data (ANP-SGB, 2023), making it an ideal candidate for prospective research.




Figure 1: Location map of the study area. The focus is the basaltic rocks in the Serra Geral Group area at the Paraná Basin in the State of Santa Catarina.

However, due to the intrinsic heterogeneity and variability of petrophysical properties of basalts, empirical equations used to predict the petrophysical properties of basalts have considerable limitations. They typically use a single property as input and can be less flexible than machine learning approaches. These equations may perform poorly with new, unseen data, especially if it deviates from the assumed data distribution and comes from different regions (Breiman, 2001b).

In the following subsections, we describe the application of machine learning techniques to predict density, porosity, and permeability in the study area's basaltic rocks.

2.2 Model Selection and Comparison

To identify the most suitable algorithms for predicting petrophysical properties in basalts, we tested ten machine learning models using consistent evaluation metrics and cross-validation procedures. The comparative analysis included a selection of common regression algorithms, chosen for their ability to handle various data complexities and relationships: Linear Regression, Ridge Regression, Lasso Regression, Support Vector Regression (SVR), Decision Tree Regressor, Random Forest Regressor, Gradient Boosting Regressor, XGBoost Regressor, Bagging Regressor, and Extra Trees Regressor. The evaluation framework employed a train-test split (80-20) and k-fold cross-validation to ensure robust performance assessment. We used Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and coefficient of determination (R^2) as evaluation metrics. Each model underwent hyperparameter optimization, performing consistent cross-validation folds to certify a fair comparison.

For density prediction, XGBoost achieved the highest accuracy with an RMSE of 0.047, MAE of 0.030, and R^2 of 0.940, closely followed by Gradient Boosting with an RMSE of 0.047, MAE of 0.031, and R^2 of 0.939. In contrast, traditional approaches such as Linear Regression ($R^2 = 0.790$) and Lasso Regression ($R^2 = 0.515$) demonstrated considerably lower performance, thereby showing the complexity of the density-property relationships in basalts. The porosity prediction

models showed different relative performances, with Gradient Boosting demonstrating optimal results (RMSE = 0.153, MAE = 0.111, $R^2 = 0.844$). SVR and Linear Regression displayed comparable effectiveness with R^2 values of 0.816 and 0.812, respectively. This pattern suggests that porosity relationships may follow more linear trends compared to density.

For permeability prediction, Random Forest demonstrated the most robust performance among the tested algorithms. Due to the inherent complexity and heterogeneity of permeability in basalts, the model achieved moderate but consistent results with an RMSE of 0.380, MAE of 0.295, and R^2 of 0.672. The performance metrics reflect the challenging nature of permeability prediction in basaltic formations, where microscale features, and fracture networks influence fluid flow properties.

The effectiveness of tree-based ensemble methods in this context stems from their capacity to capture complex patterns in heterogeneous basalt formations. These algorithms can model non-linear relationships and feature interactions, essential characteristics when dealing with geological data. The differing performance patterns in density, porosity, and permeability predictions justified our approach of selecting specific algorithms for each property instead of using a one-size-fits-all solution.

2.3 Density model

Previous works applied p-wave velocity (V_p) to predict density, employing linear to second-degree equations (e.g. X. Chen et al. (2015); Rossetti et al. (2019); Vedanti et al. (2018)). In this work, we applied four variables as input to predict density: sonic velocity (DT or V_p), gamma ray (GR), spontaneous potential (SP), and induction log deep resistivity (ILD). The XGBoost model was used due to its robust performance in handling various data characteristics and complexities.

XGBoost, or Extreme Gradient Boosting, is an advanced implementation of gradient boosting algorithms designed for speed and performance. It constructs an ensemble of decision trees sequentially, where each subsequent tree is built to correct the errors of the previous trees. This is achieved through an additive model, where new trees are added to minimize a loss function. XGBoost incorporates regularization terms to prevent overfitting and uses decision tree pruning and parallel processing to enhance efficiency. This makes it particularly well-suited for handling large datasets with complex relationships and managing linear and nonlinear interactions among the input features (T. Chen & Guestrin, 2016).

To supply the model, we used freely available data from the REATE database (ANP-SGB, 2023). Data from 3 wells (14463 samples) containing the curves of DT, GR, SP, ILD, and density (RHOB) were used (Figure 2). Subsequently, the model was applied to 25 additional wells in the study area.

To ensure the reliability of our model, several preprocessing and validation steps were implemented (Figure 3). Outliers were identified and treated to prevent skewed predictions. The dataset was split into training and testing sets, with 20% of the data reserved for testing to evaluate model performance (Geron, 2019). We applied scaling to stabilize variance and make the data more Gaussian-like. Model validation was conducted using K-fold cross-validation ($k=5$) to ensure the model generalizes well to unseen data (Anguita et al., 2009; James et al., 2023). Hyperparameter tuning was performed using a randomized search over a parameter grid (Bergstra & Bengio, 2012; Pedregosa et al., 2011), including 'n_estimators,' 'learning_rate,' 'max_depth,' 'subsample,' 'min_child_weight,' and 'gamma,' to optimize model performance.

2.4 Porosity model

Previous works used the relationship between V_p or density to predict porosity, employing linear to exponential equations (e.g. Al-Harthi et al. (1999); X. Chen et al. (2015); Navarro et al. (2020); Rossetti et al. (2019); Vedanti et al. (2018)). Based on tests with bagging and boosting models, we used the Gradient Boosting model to predict porosity using V_p and density as inputs.

Gradient boosting is an ensemble learning technique that builds a model sequentially. It trains a series of weak learners, such as decision trees, where each new tree corrects the errors of the previous ones. The process starts with an initial prediction, and subsequent models are fitted to the residuals of the previous predictions, gradually improving the overall model performance. This results in a robust predictive model that captures complex patterns in the data (Friedman, 2001; Geron, 2019; James et al., 2023).

The porosity model was supplied with data (175 samples) containing V_p , density, and porosity from Rossetti et al. (2019), Goulart (2019), and Famelli (2020). Subsequently, the model was applied to 28 wells in the study area. The preprocessing and validation steps were similar to those applied to the density model (Figure 3). Hyperparameter tuning




Figure 2: Location map of the 28 wells where the machine learning models were applied to predict the petrophysical properties of basalts and then used to create the interpolated maps. The wells in yellow were used to train-test the density models. The yellow wells are the only ones in the area with density curves.

was conducted using a randomized search over a defined parameter grid (Bergstra & Bengio, 2012; Pedregosa et al., 2011), including 'n_estimators,' 'learning_rate,' 'max_depth,' 'min_samples_split,' and 'min_samples_leaf.'

2.5 Permeability model

For permeability, previous empirical equations explored exponential relationships between porosity and permeability to predict data (e.g. Lamur et al. (2017); Mueller et al. (2005); Navarro et al. (2020); Yokoyama and Takeuchi (2009)). Based on the tests, we used the Random Forest model to predict permeability, using Vp, density, and porosity, and a feature was created using Vp multiplied by density squared as inputs.

Random Forest is an ensemble learning method that constructs multiple decision trees during training and outputs the mean prediction of the individual trees. Each tree is built from a random subset of the training data using a bootstrap aggregating or bagging technique. Additionally, random forests select random subsets of features at each split in the decision tree. This randomization reduces the variance of the model, leading to better generalization of unseen data. Aggregating multiple trees helps mitigate overfitting and improves the model's robustness (Breiman, 2001a; Geron, 2019; James et al., 2023).

The permeability model was supplied with Vp, density, porosity, and permeability data (130 samples) from Rossetti et al. (2019) and Famelli (2020). Subsequently, the model was applied to 28 wells in the study area.

Further preprocessing and validation steps were applied, including cross-validation ($k=3$), which provided a balanced assessment of the model's generalizability and performance stability across different subsets of the data (Figure 3) (Geron, 2019; James et al., 2023). Hyperparameter tuning was performed using a grid search strategy over a defined parameter grid (Bergstra & Bengio, 2012; Pedregosa et al., 2011), including 'n_estimators,' 'max_features,' 'max_depth,' 'min_samples_split,' and 'min_samples_leaf.' This systematic search allowed us to identify the optimal combination of parameters, maximizing the model's predictive accuracy for permeability.




Figure 3: Flowchart used to predict density, porosity, and permeability of basalts in 28 wells of the Paraná Basin.

2.6 Models' performance evaluation

To evaluate the performance of the regression models, we used three metrics: R^2 , Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). SHAP values (SHapley Additive exPlanations) were used to interpret the predictions made by the machine learning models. SHAP uses a game-theoretic approach to measure each input variable's contribution to the outcome (Lundberg & Lee, 2017). Additionally, residual plots were created to evaluate the quality of the model's outputs.

2.7 Models' application

To identify the most suitable sections in the basalts of the Serra Geral Group for carbon storage, the models were applied to the 28 wells in the study area. All well-logging curves were plotted together, focusing on areas with low density and high porosity and permeability. To delineate the most suitable areas, the average values of each petrophysical property were interpolated using regularized splines with tension in GRASS GIS (Cell size = 500 m, Tension = 20) (Team, 2023; Mitášová & Mitáš, 1993; Mitášová & Hofierka, 1993).

3 Results

3.1 Performance of the machine learning models

This study employs advanced machine learning models to analyze key geological parameters critical for carbon capture and storage in the Serra Geral Group basalts at the Paraná Basin. The models evaluated include XGBoost, Gradient Boosting, and Random Forest, each tested for their predictive accuracy and influence of input features.

Figure 4 presents the results of the XGBoost model applied to estimate the density. The bar graph illustrates the mean SHAP values, quantifying the impact of the features on the model's predictions. The P-Wave velocity (Vp) shows the highest mean SHAP value of 0.15, indicating its significant influence on the model's output. Vp had three times more impact on the model's output than the other inputs combined, suggesting that Vp is a critical predictor in the geological dataset.

In Figure 4b, the high value of the Pearson correlation coefficient (R^2) of 0.94, along with low values of RMSE (0.05) and MAE (0.03), indicates that the model achieves an excellent level of accuracy in predicting the density of the basalts. The residuals plot in Figure 4c helps assess the prediction variance, showing a cloud of points centered around the zero line (dashed orange). This distribution suggests that the residuals are relatively small and randomly dispersed, implying that the model's predictions are consistent across different data points without systematic errors.

The application of the equations proposed by X. Chen et al. (2015), Rossetti et al. (2019), and Vedanti et al. (2018) to the test set yielded lower results than those achieved by the XGBoost model. The R^2 values from the empirical equations ranged from -0.63 to 0.65. Meanwhile, the RMSE and MAE varied from 0.11 to 0.25 and from 0.09 to 0.21, respectively.




Figure 4: Performance of the XGBoost model used to predict the density of the basalts from Serra Geral Group. (a) Illustrates the mean SHAP values of input variables. (b) Illustrates the correlation between the predicted and actual values. (c) Residuals plot to assess the variance in predictions.

Figure 5 details the performance outcomes of the Gradient Boosting model applied to predict porosity. As in the previous model, the Vp represents the highest impact (SHAP value of 0.25), while the density has a lower impact with a SHAP value of 0.08 (Figure 5a). Although slightly lower than the XGBoost model, the Gradient Boosting model maintains a consistent accuracy, as reflected in the regression metrics (Figure 5b). In Figure 5c, the even distribution of residuals around zero suggests that the model's errors are random rather than systematic, validating the model's generalization ability across various data points.

The application of the equations proposed by Al-Harathi et al. (1999), X. Chen et al. (2015), Navarro et al. (2020), Rossetti et al. (2019), and Vedanti et al. (2018) to the test set yielded lower results than those achieved by the Gradient Boosting model. The R^2 values from the empirical equations ranged from -47.11 to 0.57. Meanwhile, the RMSE and MAE varied from 4.51 to 47.61 and from 3.86 to 46.31, respectively.

Figure 6 details the performance of the Random Forest model applied to predict permeability in basalts. Unlike previous models, all four input features significantly influence the model's output. The interaction term between Vp and density has the lowest impact (0.03), indicating that while the individual features are influential, their interaction provides additional but lesser predictive power.




Figure 5: Performance of the Gradient Boosting model used to predict the porosity of the basalts from Serra Geral Group. (a) Mean SHAP values of input variables. (b) Predicted versus actual values graph. (c) Residuals plot to assess the variance in predictions.

The scatter plot (Figure 6b) indicates a moderate correlation between predicted and actual values, as reflected in its metrics, making this a model with weaker predictive power when compared to previous models. The residuals plot (Figure 6c) shows a concentration of residuals around zero, albeit with a wider spread than observed in the earlier figures. These results are due to the intrinsic difficulty in modeling permeability in basalts and the quality and quantity of samples available in the literature that were used to train the model. An improvement in these points can possibly help in modeling the complexity of this problem and result in greater predictive power.

The application of the equations proposed by Lamur et al. (2017), Mueller et al. (2005), Navarro et al. (2020) and Yokoyama and Takeuchi (2009) to the test set yielded significantly poorer results than those achieved by the Random Forest model. The R^2 values from the empirical equations ranged from -1.54×10^6 to -8.28×10^2 . Meanwhile, the RMSE and MAE were both 0 in all cases.




Figure 6: Performance of the Random Forest model used to predict the permeability of the basalts from Serra Geral Group. (a) Mean SHAP values of input variables. (b) Predicted versus actual values graph. (c) Residuals plot to assess the variance in predictions.

The results of the three machine learning models show that the P-wave velocity (Vp) is the most impactful input feature, especially for the density and porosity models. The permeability model got moderate results due to the complex nature

of the problem. The porosity model got fewer input features but still performed well. The density model performed the best among the models due to its direct correlation with V_p and a large amount of data for data training.

3.2 Interpolated maps

The machine learning models were applied to 28 exploratory wells available in the area. Figure 7 presents the interpolated maps of the density, porosity, and permeability of the basalts from the Serra Geral Group in Santa Catarina's State, Brazil.

In Figure 7a, the density map demonstrates notable density gradients, particularly in the southern part of the area, indicating possible subsurface heterogeneities—the areas with high density in the southern part correlate to areas with low porosity and permeability. Maps in Figure 7b and 7c indicate areas of higher porosity and permeability in the northern part, suggesting more permeable zones with higher carbon storage capacity.




Figure 7: Interpolated maps of petrophysical properties of basalts generated from the application of machine learning models in 28 exploratory wells. (a) Density map. (b) Porosity map. (c) Permeability map. All three maps were interpolated using regularized splines with tension (Cell size = 500 m, $T = 20$).

3.3 Logging curves sections

The basaltic rocks from the Serra Geral Group present variations in their properties and internal fractures, generating sections more suitable for geological storage than others. Figure 8 presents the well logging curves from the exploratory well located in the high porosity and permeability part of the maps presented previously. The well log identified as 1-RCH-1-SC contains a basalt section from the Serra Geral group with 978 m thickness, and with average values of 2.69 g/cm³ for density, 7.23% for porosity, and 6.51 μD for permeability. The section from 600 to 900 m deep was plotted below.

The highlighted sections in grey represent intervals deemed most suitable for carbon capture and storage based on integrating these log data. From 600 to 900 meters, the most suitable intervals vary from 7 to 22 m thick, with density lows of almost 2.1 g/cm³, high peaks of 17.8% apparent porosity, and 55 μD permeability. These intervals exhibit a combination of favorable porosity, permeability, and other geophysical properties, suggesting they are optimal targets for further investigation and potential implementation of carbon storage solutions.




Figure 8: Borehole curves of 1-RCH-1-SC located in a region with high porosity and permeability basalts. Curve names: GR – Gamma Ray, SP - Spontaneous Potential, ILD – Induction Log Deep resistivity, DT – Sonic Velocity, RHOB – Bulk Density, PORO – Porosity and PERM – Permeability. The most suitable sections for geological storage are highlighted in grey.

4 Discussion

By applying more robust solutions (more input features, advanced algorithms, train-test split, and cross-validation) and training the models only with data from basaltic rocks from Serra Geral Group, the models presented more satisfactory results than other solutions available in the literature that use more classical statistical solutions and data from different places in the world. The significant improvement in prediction accuracy, particularly evident in the density model ($R^2 = 0.94$) compared to previous empirical approaches (R^2 ranging from -0.63 to 0.65), demonstrates the advantage of using region-specific data and machine learning techniques for petrophysical property prediction.

The consistent dominance of P-wave velocity (V_p) as a predictor across all models, indicated by SHAP values of 0.15, 0.25, and 0.16 for density, porosity, and permeability respectively, aligns with the physical relationships between these properties in basaltic rocks. This relationship suggests that seismic data could serve as a cost-effective preliminary screening tool for identifying potential storage zones in future projects, reducing the need for extensive initial drilling campaigns.

The northern region of Santa Catarina's State has the best petrophysical properties for geological storage in basalts; however, due to the low population density in the area (most of the population of the state is concentrated in the coastal

region), the area may not have enough stationary sources to make a project of this magnitude economically feasible (Ketzer et al., 2016). The optimal zones identified in our study, with density lows of 2.1 g/cm^3 , porosity peaks of 17.8%, and permeability of 55 micro-Darcy, are comparable to successful CCS projects in basalts worldwide. For instance, these values align favorably with the CarbFix project in Iceland, where similar properties led to over 95% CO_2 mineralization within two years.

The logging curves display a cyclic pattern that correlates with different flow characteristics. Specifically, they show low density, high porosity, and high permeability in the top and bottom flows, which can be considered potential reservoirs. In contrast, the interiors of massive flows exhibit high density and low porosity-permeability, making them potential caprocks. Similar patterns are observed in the Columbia River flood basalts (Zakharova et al., 2012). The top and bottom flows are typically associated with vesicular and fractured basalts, whereas the interior flows are characterized by massive, less fractured basalts (Guidicini & de Oliveira Campos, 1968).

The thickness variation of suitable intervals (7-22 m) identified in our study presents both opportunities and challenges for CCS implementation. While these intervals provide sufficient volume for storage, their variability necessitates careful injection strategy planning. The cyclic nature of the flows, evident in the well log data (Figure 8), suggests that multiple storage-seal pairs exist within the same vertical sequence, potentially allowing for staged injection approaches that could optimize storage capacity while maintaining security.

Economic feasibility analysis for CCS projects in the region would need to consider several factors beyond just the technical storage potential. These include transportation infrastructure development costs, proximity to CO_2 sources, monitoring requirements, and potential carbon credit revenues. Future studies should focus on detailed economic modeling incorporating these factors, as well as investigating potential synergies with other industrial activities in the region to improve project viability.

5 Conclusions

The success of carbon sequestration in basalts depends heavily on accessing regions with favorable physical properties for mineralization to occur. Predicting basaltic rocks' density, porosity, and permeability is complex, considering their nonlinear relationships and the challenge of obtaining representative data from specific regions.

The three machine learning models developed in this study demonstrated exceptional predictive capabilities, with the density model achieving an R^2 of 0.94, the porosity model reaching 0.84, and the permeability model attaining 0.67. These results significantly surpass the performance of traditional empirical equations, validating our approach of using region-specific data and advanced algorithms. The strong influence of P-wave velocity across all models suggests its potential as a primary screening tool for future storage site assessment.

The northern region of Santa Catarina's State presented optimal predicted properties, with density lows of 2.1 g/cm^3 , porosities up to 17.8%, and permeabilities reaching 55 micro-Darcy. These characteristics, comparable to successful international CCS projects, indicate promising storage potential. However, the southeast region, despite its proximity to stationary sources, showed less favorable conditions. The identified cyclic pattern of seal-reservoir pairs in the basalt flows provides multiple storage options within single well locations, offering flexibility for injection strategies.

The maps and borehole profiles presented here provide a foundation for future CCS project planning in Brazil, potentially reducing exploration costs and timeline. The developed methodology and models demonstrate broader applicability beyond carbon storage, offering valuable tools for hydrogeological studies and resource exploration throughout the Serra Geral Group at the Paraná Basin. Future work should focus on economic feasibility studies incorporating infrastructure development costs and potential carbon credit revenues to advance CCS implementation in the region.

6 Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the financial support from the Human Resources Program of the National Agency of Petroleum, Natural Gas, and Biofuels (PRH-ANP), supported with resources coming from the investment of qualified oil companies in the R&D Clause of ANP Resolution No. 918/2023 (PRH 33.1 - Regarding the announcement No. 1/2018/PRH-ANP; FINEP/FUSP/USP Agreement Ref. 0443/19). C. Riccomini is funded by the Research Productivity Grant #307471/2022-5 of CNPq-Brazil.

7 Code availability

The code for the machine learning models, along with the data used for training, testing, and map interpolation, is available on GitHub and Zenodo. This repository, titled “Application of machine learning methods to forecast petrophysical properties in basalts of the Serra Geral Group: Implications for carbon storage”, is licensed under the MIT License and was developed by João Paulo Alves. For inquiries, please contact João Paulo Alves at joao.guilherme.alves@usp.br. The repository was first made available in 2024 and requires a platform to run a Jupyter Notebook, as the code is written in Python. The GitHub repository is available at https://github.com/jp-alves/ml_basalts_ccs, and the Zenodo repository can be accessed at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13821458>.

References

- Al-Harathi, A. A., Al-Amri, R. M., & Shehata, W. M. (1999). *The porosity and engineering properties of vesicular basalt in saudi arabia* (Vol. 54).
- Anguita, D., Ghio, A., Ridella, S., & Sterpi, D. (2009). K-fold cross validation for error rate estimate in support vector machines. In *International conference on data mining*. Retrieved from <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:11101468>
- ANP-SGB. (2023, 10). *Programa de revitalização da atividade de exploração e produção de petróleo e gás natural em Áreas terrestres*.
- Bennani, R., Wang, M., Wang, X., & Li, T. (2025). Porosity estimation using machine learning approaches for shale reservoirs: A case study of the lianggaoshan formation, sichuan basin, western china. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 237, 105702. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2025.105702> doi: doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2025.105702
- Bergstra, J., & Bengio, Y. (2012). *Random search for hyper-parameter optimization* (Vol. 13). Retrieved from <http://scikit-learn.sourceforge.net>.
- Breiman, L. (2001a). Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45, 5-32. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324> doi: doi:10.1023/A:1010933404324
- Breiman, L. (2001b). *Statistical modeling: The two cultures* (Vol. 16).
- Cartier, K. (2020). Basalts turn carbon into stone for permanent storage. *Eos*, 101.
- Chen, T., & Guestrin, C. (2016, 8). Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system. In *Proceedings of the acm sigkdd international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining* (p. 785-794). Association for Computing Machinery. doi: doi:10.1145/2939672.2939785
- Chen, X., Schmitt, D. R., Kessler, J. A., Evans, J., Coordinated, R. K. A., Schmitt, D., & Boroumand, N. (2015, 5). Empirical relations between ultrasonic p-wave velocity, porosity and uniaxial compressive strength. *RECORDER*, 40. Retrieved from <https://csegrecorder.com/articles/view/empirical-relations-between-ultrasonic-p-wave-velocity-porosity-and-uniaxia>
- Famelli, N. (2020). *Associação de litofácies, interação lava-sedimento e caracterização sísmica do magmatismo serra geral na região de uberlândia e araguari (mg)* (Doctoral dissertation, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul). Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/10183/223773>
- Farouk, S., Sen, S., Ganguli, S. S., Abuseda, H., & Debnath, A. (2021). Petrophysical assessment and permeability modeling utilizing core data and machine learning approaches – a study from the badr el din-1 field, egypt. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 133, 105265. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2021.105265> doi: doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2021.105265
- Ferreira, A., Santos, R. V., de Almeida, T. S., Camargo, M. A., Filho, J. A., Miranda, C. R., ... Capistrano, G. G. (2024, 12). Unraveling the rapid co2 mineralization experiment using the paraná flood basalts of south america. *Scientific Reports*, 14. doi: doi:10.1038/s41598-024-58729-w
- Friedman, J. H. (2001). *Greedy function approximation: A gradient boosting machine* (Vol. 29).
- Geron, A. (2019). *Hands-on machine learning with scikit-learn, keras, and tensorflow : concepts, tools, and techniques to build intelligent systems* (Second edition. ed.). O'Reilly.
- Goulart, D. (2019, 12). *Análise e correlação de propriedades físicas e mecânicas de rochas da formação botucatu e grupo serra geral* (Tech. Rep.). Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina. Retrieved from <https://repositorio.ufsc.br/handle/123456789/204071>
- Guidicini, G., & de Oliveira Campos, J. (1968). Notas sobre a morfogênese dos derrames basálticos. *Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Geologia*, 17, 15-28.
- IPCC, Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D., Skea, J., ... Tabatabaei, M. (2018). *Global warming of 1.5°c. an ipcc special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°c above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty*. doi: doi:10.1017/9781009157940

- James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Taylor, J. E. (2023). *An introduction to statistical learning: with applications in python*. Springer.
- Kalule, R., Abderrahmane, H. A., Alameri, W., & Sassi, M. (2023). Stacked ensemble machine learning for porosity and absolute permeability prediction of carbonate rock plugs. *Scientific Reports*, 13, 1-17. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-36096-2> doi: doi:10.1038/s41598-023-36096-2
- Ketzer, J. M. M., Machado, C. X., Rockett, G. C., & Iglesias, R. S. (2016). *Atlas brasileiro de captura e armazenamento geológico de co₂*. EDIPUCRS. Retrieved from <https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/archive/hub/publications/202033/atlas-brasileiro.pdf>
- Lamur, A., Kendrick, J. E., Eggertsson, G. H., Wall, R. J., Ashworth, J. D., & Lavallée, Y. (2017, 12). The permeability of fractured rocks in pressurised volcanic and geothermal systems. *Scientific Reports*, 7. doi: doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05460-4
- Lundberg, S. M., & Lee, S.-I. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. In I. Guyon et al. (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (Vol. 30). Curran Associates, Inc. Retrieved from https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2017/file/8a20a8621978632d76c43dfd28b67767-Paper.pdf
- Manzoor, U., Ehsan, M., Hussain, M., Iftikhar, M. K., Abdelrahman, K., Qadri, S. M., ... Fnais, M. S. (2023). Harnessing advanced machine-learning algorithms for optimized data conditioning and petrophysical analysis of heterogeneous, thin reservoirs. *Energy and Fuels*, 37, 10218-10234. doi: doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels.3c01293
- Matter, J. M., Stute, M., Snæbjörnsdóttir, S., Oelkers, E. H., Gislason, S. R., Aradóttir, E. S., ... Broecker, W. S. (2016, 6). Rapid carbon mineralization for permanent disposal of anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions. *Science*, 352, 1312-1314. doi: doi:10.1126/science.aad8132
- McGrail, B. P., Schaef, H. T., Spane, F. A., Cliff, J. B., Qafoku, O., Horner, J. A., ... Sullivan, C. E. (2017, 1). Field validation of supercritical co₂ reactivity with basalts. *Environmental Science and Technology Letters*, 4, 6-10. doi: doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00387
- McGrail, B. P., Spane, F. A., Sullivan, E. C., Bacon, D. H., & Hund, G. (2011). The wallula basalt sequestration pilot project. In *Energy procedia* (Vol. 4, p. 5653-5660). Elsevier Ltd. doi: doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.557
- Mitášová, H., & Hofierka, J. (1993). Interpolation by regularized spline with tension: II. application to terrain modeling and surface geometry analysis. *Mathematical Geology*, 25, 657-669. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00893172> doi: doi:10.1007/BF00893172
- Mitášová, H., & Mitáš, L. (1993). Interpolation by regularized spline with tension: I. theory and implementation. *Mathematical Geology*, 25, 641-655. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00893171> doi: doi:10.1007/BF00893171
- Mueller, S., Melnik, O., Spieler, O., Scheu, B., & Dingwell, D. B. (2005, 7). Permeability and degassing of dome lavas undergoing rapid decompression: An experimental determination. *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 67, 526-538. doi: doi:10.1007/s00445-004-0392-4
- Nardy, A., Machado, F., & Oliveira, M. (2008, 10). As rochas vulcânicas mesozóicas ácidas da bacia do paran : litoestratigrafia e considera  es geoqu mico-estratigr ficas. *Brazilian Journal of Geology*, 38, 178-195. doi: doi:10.25249/0375-7536.2008381178195
- Navarro, J., Teramoto, E. H., Engelbrecht, B. Z., & Kiang, C. H. (2020). Assessing hydrofacies and hydraulic properties of basaltic aquifers derived from geophysical logging. *Brazilian Journal of Geology*, 50. doi: doi:10.1590/2317-4889202020200013
- Nguyen-Sy, T., Vu, M. N., Tran-Le, A. D., Tran, B. V., Nguyen, T. T. N., & Nguyen, T. T. (2021). Studying petrophysical properties of micritic limestones using machine learning methods. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 184, 104226. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2020.104226> doi: doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2020.104226
- Oelkers, E. H., Gislason, S. R., & Matter, J. (2008, 10). Mineral carbonation of co₂. *Elements*, 4(5), 333-337. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.4.5.333> doi: doi:10.2113/gselements.4.5.333
- Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., ...  douard Duchesnay (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12, 2825-2830. Retrieved from <http://jmlr.org/papers/v12/pedregosa11a.html>
- Piccirillo, E. M., & Melfi, A. J. (1988). *The mesozoic flood volcanism of the paran  basin: Petrogenetic and geophysical aspects*. Universidade de S o Paulo, Instituto Astron mico e Geof sico.
- Renne, P., Ernesto, M., Pacca, I., Coe, R., Glen, J., Pr vot, M., & Perrin, M. (1992, 10). The age of parana flood volcanism, rifting of gondwanaland, and the jurassic-cretaceous boundary. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 258, 975-979. doi: doi:10.1126/science.258.5084.975
- Rossetti, L. M., Healy, D., Hole, M. J., Millett, J. M., de Lima, E. F., Jerram, D. A., & Rossetti, M. M. (2019, 4). Evaluating petrophysical properties of volcano-sedimentary sequences: A case study in the paran -etendeka large igneous province. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 102, 638-656. doi: doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.01.028

- Snæbjörnsdóttir, S., Sigfússon, B., Marieni, C., Goldberg, D., Gislason, S. R., & Oelkers, E. H. (2020, 2). Carbon dioxide storage through mineral carbonation. *Nature Reviews Earth and Environment*, 1, 90-102. doi: doi:10.1038/s43017-019-0011-8
- Team, G. D. (2023). *Geographic resources analysis support system (grass gis) software, version 8.3*. Retrieved from <https://grass.osgeo.org> doi: doi:10.5281/zenodo.5176030
- Vedanti, N., Malkoti, A., Pandey, O. P., & Shrivastava, J. P. (2018, 8). Ultrasonic p- and s-wave attenuation and petrophysical properties of deccan flood basalts, india, as revealed by borehole studies. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 175, 2905-2930. doi: doi:10.1007/s00024-018-1817-x
- von Strandmann, P. A. P., Burton, K. W., Snæbjörnsdóttir, S. O., Sigfússon, B., Aradóttir, E. S., Gunnarsson, I., ... Gislason, S. R. (2019, 12). Rapid co2 mineralisation into calcite at the carbfix storage site quantified using calcium isotopes. *Nature Communications*, 10. doi: doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10003-8
- White, S. K., Spane, F. A., Schaef, H. T., Miller, Q. R., White, M. D., Horner, J. A., & McGrail, B. P. (2020, 11). Quantification of co2 mineralization at the wallula basalt pilot project. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 54, 14609-14616. doi: doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c05142
- Wildner, W., Camozzato, E., Toniolo, J. A., Binotto, R. B., Iglesias, C. M. F., & Laux, J. H. (2014). *Mapa geológico do estado de santa catarina*. CPRM. Retrieved from <https://rigeo.sgb.gov.br/handle/doc/17996> (Escala 1:500.000. Programa Geologia do Brasil. Subprograma de Cartografia Geológica Regional)
- Yokoyama, T., & Takeuchi, S. (2009, 2). Porosimetry of vesicular volcanic products by a water-expulsion method and the relationship of pore characteristics to permeability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 114. doi: doi:10.1029/2008JB005758
- Zakharova, N. V., Goldberg, D. S., Sullivan, E. C., Herron, M. M., & Grau, J. A. (2012, 11). Petrophysical and geochemical properties of columbia river flood basalt: Implications for carbon sequestration. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 13. doi: doi:10.1029/2012GC004305
- Zhang, Y., Zhong, H. R., Wu, Z. Y., Zhou, H., & Ma, Q. Y. (2020). Improvement of petrophysical workflow for shear wave velocity prediction based on machine learning methods for complex carbonate reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 192, 107234. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2020.107234> doi: doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2020.107234