Standardized Benchmark of Historical Compound Wind and Solar Energy Droughts Across the Continental United States

Cameron Bracken^{a,1}, Nathalie Voisin^{a,2}, Youngjun Son^{a,3}, Sha Feng^{a,4}, Osten Anderson^{a,5}, Xiaodong Chen^{a,6}, Li He^{a,7}, Konstantinos Oikonomou^{a,8}

> ^a Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 902 Battelle Boulevard, Richland, WA, 99352, USA.

This is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv. The manuscript was submitted for publication in *Environmental Research: Energy*. Please contact the lead author with any comments or questions.

 $^{^{1}}$ cameron.bracken@pnnl.gov

 $^{^{2}}$ nathalie.voisin@pnnl.gov ³youngjun.son@pnnl.gov

⁴sfeng@pnnl.gov

 $^{^{5}}$ osten.anderson@pnnl.gov ⁶xiaodong.chen@pnnl.gov

⁷li.he@pnnl.gov

⁸konstantinos.oikonomou@pnnl.gov

Seasonal compound renewable energy droughts in the Unites States

Cameron Bracken^a, Nathalie Voisin^{a,b}, Youngjun Son^a, Sha Feng^a, Osten
 Anderson^a, Xiaodong Chen^a, He Li^a, Konstantinos Oikonomou^a

^aPacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA ^bUniversity of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

5 Abstract

Variable renewable energy (VRE) droughts are periods of low renewable electricity production due to natural variability in the weather and climate. These compound renewable energy droughts occur when two or more (typically wind and solar) generation sources are in low availability conditions at the same time. Compound wind and solar droughts are most commonly studied at the hourly and daily timescale due to the short-term nature of energy markets and battery storage capacity. However the seasonal time scale allows for the examination of broader climate and hydrologic patterns that influence a broader renewable energy portfolio and inform the needs for long-duration energy storage. In this study, we use a newly developed dataset of coincident renewable generation to characterize seasonal compound VRE droughts which include wind, solar and hydropower at grid-relevant spatial scales across the contiguous United States. Along with the frequency, duration, magnitude, and spatial scale, we specifically examine these climate patterns with a composite climate analysis. Results for the historical period (1982-2019) indicate that seasonal compound VRE droughts can last up to 5 months and occur most frequently in the Fall. While not an established "climate stress" to consider in reliability studies yet, we demonstrate the impact of seasonal energy droughts on a resource adequacy study over the Western US interconnection using a nodal bulk power grid model. We further discuss how seasonal compound VREs can inform the sizing of long-duration energy storage and market incentives to manage short-term extreme events like heat waves and cold snaps while considering seasonal conditions.

Corresponding Author: Cameron Bracken cameron.bracken@pnnl.gov

6 Keywords: energy droughts, renewable energy, long duration energy

Preprint submitted to Environmental Research: Energy

April 21, 2025

8 1. Introduction

Variable renewable energy (VRE) droughts refer to naturally occurring 9 periods of low energy availability from a resource such as wind, solar, and 10 hydropower whose generation output is dependent on the weather or hydro-11 logic cycle. VRE droughts have been shown to have highly regional prop-12 erties that depend on both the local climatology and the specific makeup 13 of the renewable generation and transmission infrastructure in a particular 14 region [1, 2, 3, 4]. Compound VRE droughts refer to periods in which two 15 or more generation sources are simultaneously experiencing drought condi-16 tions. Acute compound VRE events are necessarily more rare but can have 17 much greater impacts to the grid in terms of increased costs, higher carbon 18 emissions, or energy shortfalls [5, 6, 2]. 19

Previous VRE drought studies [7, 2, 8, 3, 1, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20 18, 4, 19] typically focus on short timescales of hours to days which are partic-21 ularly relevant for grid stability [20] and energy storage [21]. Droughts which 22 can occur on longer timescales of months to years are not commonly studied 23 despite their implications for resource adequacy and at least higher green 24 house gas emissions when renewable generation must be replaced [22, 23]. 25 In this study we seek to examine energy droughts on the seasonal timescale, 26 which has typically been the focus of resource adequacy studies [24, 25]. 27

Most studies of VRE drought do not consider hydropower due to the 28 different timescale compared to wind and solar and the relative complexity 29 of extending the analyses with large scale hydrologic modeling, water uses 30 and associated water management. Raynaud et al. [1] analyze wind, solar 31 and hydropower droughts independently in Europe but they do not consider 32 compound events. Francois et al. [26] assess the statistical properties of solar 33 and hydropower droughts to reduce their compound occurrences in Northern 34 Italy. However, these studies incorporate hydrologic representations that are 35 confined to run-of-river hydropower with no seasonal reservoir storage man-36 agement. Most et al. [27] examine compound wind, solar and hydropower 37 droughts in Europe finding that appropriately managing reservoirs in winter 38 is critical to mitigating and recovering from compound events. Woerman et 30 al. [28] look at the complementarity of wind, solar and hydropower generation 40 across Europe finding that droughts can be mitigated through a combina-41 tion of transmission and storage at the continental scale. We note that even 42

when water conditions might be low, hydropower operations are managed to 43 provide a number of ancillary grid services such as operating reserves, volt-44 age support, blackstart and load factoring which are particularly valuable 45 alongside wind and solar generation [29]. Hydropower, encompassing both 46 run-of-river and reservoir storage types, is therefore important to consider in 47 compound VRE drought studies that focus on longer timescales. Pumped 48 storage hydro is economically designed to address shorter term storage needs 49 and is not considered in this study. 50

Previous studies have shown that large-scale atmospheric circulations and 51 climate modes, such as weather regimes and teleconnection patterns like the 52 North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and Pacific-North American (PNA), have 53 predictability for seasonal renewable energy generation [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 54 11, 35, 36, 14, 5, 15, 37]. For example, during the first quarter of 2015, 55 the United States (U.S.) experienced a widespread and extended period of 56 low surface wind speeds [38], which significantly impacted wind power gen-57 eration. Some wind farms failed to generate enough income to cover their 58 steady payments, causing the value of certain assets to decrease. [33] found 59 this wind energy drought event was due to high sea surface temperatures in 60 the western tropical Pacific Ocean associated with a strongly positive phase 61 of the North Pacific Mode, which played a crucial role in establishing and 62 maintaining persistent low wind events. [39] demonstrated that the dom-63 inant source of skillful subseasonal-to-seasonal prediction for wind energy 64 resources over the contiguous United States (CONUS) mainly comes from 65 year-to-year variations of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in the trop-66 ical Pacific, which alters large-scale wind and storm track patterns over the 67 CONUS. There are several studies examining relationships between climate 68 conditions and the availability of specific renewable energy resources, such 69 as solar or wind. However, research focusing on the atmospheric drivers of 70 compound energy droughts is rare. [5] analyzed the relationship between 71 compound solar radiation and wind speed droughts with weather systems 72 and climate modes and found that these droughts occur most frequently in 73 winter, affecting at least five key energy-producing regions in Australia on 74 10% of days. The associated weather systems vary by season and drought 75 type, but common features include widespread cloud cover and anticyclonic 76 circulation patterns. Major climate modes are not strong predictors of grid-77 wide droughts, though regional variations exist that influence drought fre-78 quencies. In this study, we examine how large-scale circulations and climate 79 modes contribute to seasonal compound VRE droughts over the CONUS. 80

The mechanisms identified may be useful for developing forecasting models or seasonal energy drought outlooks.

The impacts of VRE drought are not realized until they occur during pe-83 riods of high energy demand. One way to assess impacts to the energy system 84 is to examine VRE droughts alongside energy demand which are known as 85 VRE supply droughts or positive residual load (PRL) events [40, 4]. While 86 this type of analysis can provide insights into potential regional energy short-87 falls, it lacks detailed system information such the impacts on energy prices, 88 transmission congestion and unserved energy, and carbon emissions. Such 80 information is provided by a nodal production cost model (PCM), a detailed 90 unit commitment and economic dispatch model. In this paper we develop 91 a CONUS-wide assessment of compound seasonal wind-solar-hydropower 92 droughts which we complement with a case study that integrates five sepa-93 rate compound VRE drought events into the a PCM. The goal of this case 94 study is to demonstrate the value of considering compound seasonal VRE 95 drought in resource adequacy studies. Section 2 discusses the data used and 96 how seasonal compound VRE drought events were identified, Section 3 ex-97 amines the historical properties, Section 4 looks at the spatial co-occurrence 98 characteristics, Section 5 presents a composite climate analysis of the condi-99 tions that lead to compound VRE drought, Section 6 presents a case study 100 that demonstrates how drought events can be incorporated into a power sys-101 tem model, and Section 7 is discussion. 102

103 2. Identification of Seasonal Compound VRE Droughts

Coincident and consistent plant-scale datasets for wind, solar [4], and hy-104 dropower [41] generation were analyzed to identify monthly energy drought 105 events with 2020 infrastructure and historical weather conditions. Here, we 106 briefly summarize the development of each dataset, as detailed descriptions 107 are available in the cited literature. Historical meteorological data (1982-108 2019) from the Thermodynamic Global Warming (TGW) climate simulations 109 [42] were utilized to estimate coincident energy generation from wind, solar. 110 and hydropower renewable resources. In the TGW climate simulations, the 111 Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model [43] was used to dynami-112 cally downscale the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast 113 Version 5 Reanalysis (ERA5) [44]. 114

¹¹⁵ Wind and solar generation was estimated for every utility scale wind ¹¹⁶ and solar plant in the EIA 860 database [45]. Plant characteristics (turbine height, rotor diameter, solar panel type, etc.) were combined with the TGW meteorology data using NREL's reV model [46] to produce hourly plantscale generation estimates. The solar radiation data was observed to have a high bias relative to observations in the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB)[47]. To account for this bias, the solar generation was bias corrected using NSRDB as a baseline. Some added detail is available in the supplemental material, for full details please see [48].

Hydropower generation was estimated at individual facilities based on 124 integrated hydrologic modeling to simulate runoff, a river routing-reservoir 125 operations-water management model for regulated streamflow, and a hy-126 dropower model. The calculations of surface and subsurface runoff were 127 performed using the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) model [49, 50]. 128 which was calibrated at each $1/16^{\text{th}}$ degree grid cell using the daily runoff 129 dataset from the Global Reach-level Flood Reanalysis (GRFR) ReachHy-130 dro product [51, 52]. The generated runoff was subsequently aggregated to 131 $1/8^{\text{th}}$ degree grids and routed using the mosartwmpy model [53], a Python 132 translation of the Model for Scale Adaptive River Transport with Water 133 Management (MOSART-WM) [54, 55]. The mosartwmpy model simulates 134 regulated streamflow influenced by water management components, includ-135 ing reservoir operations and water withdrawals. The data-driven approach 136 [56] was implemented for reservoir operations with reasonable data coverage. 137 Hydropower estimates are developed using the B1hydro model [41] which 138 uses reservoir outflow, inflow, storage, and previous lagged power to esti-139 mate monthly power generation. 140

Seasonal compound VRE droughts are identified by first spatially aggre-141 gating all individual plants to the Balancing Authority (BA) scale. BAs 142 are entities in the U.S. where supply and demand must be balanced at all 143 times and specifically need to use local resources to balance the local "must-144 take" wind and solar resources. The BA represents a grid-relevant scale at 145 which to analyze VRE droughts. BA-scale wind, solar, and hydropower gen-146 eration data are then temporally aggregated to the monthly timescale. The 147 monthly timescale is used because it can represent droughts which last at 148 least 1 month so they can represent seasonal drought events. Table 1 shows 140 the considered BAs. In this study, a seasonal compound VRE drought is de-150 fined as any period of consecutive months for which the total generation from 151 wind, solar and hydropower is below the 40th percentile for each generation 152 type. For a single month a compound energy drought is identified if 153

$$q(W_t) < 0.4 \text{ and } q(S_t) < 0.4 \text{ and } q(H_t) < 0.4$$
 (1)

where q is the emperical quantile function using a Weibull plotting position, 154 and W_t , S_t , and H_t are the total wind, solar, and hydro generation at time 155 t, respectively. This means that each generation type individually must fall 156 below the 40th percentile, not the combined generation of all three sources. 157 This threshold was chosen to be representative of consistently low renewable 158 generation that still represents a range of drought events across the contigu-159 ous U.S. Based on the threshold, the severity of compound VRE droughts is 160 defined as the normalized energy deficit below the threshold [4]. 161

¹⁶² 3. Historical properties of seasonal compound VRE drought

In this section we examine the historical frequency, duration, and sea-163 sonality of compound VRE droughts in the CONUS. The seasonality and 164 seasonal complementarity of VRE generation can provide insights into when 165 and why compound droughts occur. For example a hypothetical region in 166 which wind, solar and hydropower are perfectly non-complementary, i.e. have 167 identical climotological patterns, would be highly susceptible to seasonal 168 compound drought. Conversely a perfectly complementary region would be 169 highly resilient against compound droughts if capacity are equivalent. Fig-170 ure 1(a) shows the seasonal climatology of simulated generation from wind, 171 solar, and hydro in each of the BAs in this study. The solid lines represent 172 the median generation expressed as a capacity factor (generation divided by 173 capacity) and the transparent ribbons span the 5th to 95th percentile of 174 monthly generation. While wind and hydro have highly regionally-variable 175 seasonal climotologies, solar presents a similar pattern in almost every BA, 176 peaking in the early summer and falling off in the winter. At the monthly 177 scale, solar also has remarkably low inter-annual variability. Figure 1(b) 178 shows the number of seasonal VRE droughts across all BAs and all years, 179 using a 40th percentile threshold for each resource, where the threshold is 180 based on the monthly values and is fixed across the entire year. Compound 181 droughts are rare in Summer mainly because of the low solar inter-annual 182 variability and strong seasonality, although in some regions seasonally low 183 wind and hydropower also contribute. The Fall is the season most prone to 184 droughts with both the longest duration droughts and highest frequency of 185 occurrence. The fall is when hydro is typically the lowest in both snowmelt 186

\mathbf{BA}	BA name	NERC IC*	Cluster	Hydro	Solar	Wind	Total
				$\operatorname{cap.}^{\dagger}$	cap.	cap.	cap.
				(GW)	(GW)	(GW)	(GW)
AECI	Associated Electric	Eastern	Midwest	0.03	0.005	1.84	1.875
	Cooperative Inc.						
AVA	Avista	Western	Inner West	1.17	0.0384	0.211	1.4194
BPAT	Bonneville Power Administration	Western	PNW	21.7	0.175	6.6	28.475
CISO	Calif. Ind. System Operator	Western	CA	6.46	29.4	11.6	47.46
ERCO	Electric Reliability Council of Texas	Texas	Midwest	0.57	9.71	54.7	64.98
IPCO	Idaho Power Company	Western	Inner West	2.03	0.633	1.41	4.073
ISNE	Ind. System Operator of New England	Eastern	Northeast	1.71	3.03	2.86	7.6
LDWP	L.A. Dept. of Water and Power	Western	CA	0.308	1.98	0.677	2.965
MISO	Midcontinent Ind.	Eastern	Midwest	2.4	4.08	52.1	58.58
	System Operator						
NEVP	Nevada Power Company	Western	Inner West	0.0134	3.19	0.3	3.5034
NWMT	NorthWestern Energy	Western	Intermountain West	0.681	0.028	0.902	1.611
NYIS	New York Ind.	Eastern	Northeast	4.62	1.32	3.98	9.92
	System Operator						
PACE	PacifiCorp East	Western	Intermountain West	0.27	2.37	5.11	7.75
PACW	PacifiCorp West	Western	PNW	1.13	0.568	1.37	3.068
PGE	Portland General Electric	Western	PNW	0.675	0.22	0.899	1.794
PJM	PJM Interconnection	Eastern	Northeast	3.31	9.07	19.7	32.08
PSCO	Public Service Company of Colorado	Western	Intermountain	0.0389	1.04	8.38	9.4589
PSEI	Puget Sound Energy	Western	PNW	0.346	0.001	0.734	1.081
SPA	Southwestern Power Authority	Eastern	Midwest	1.51	0.024	0.598	2.132
SRP	Salt River Project	Western	Inner West	0.0897	0.518	0.126	0.7337
SWPP	Southwest Power Pool	Eastern	Midwest	3.08	0.783	47.9	51.763
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority	Eastern	TVA	4.87	0.581	0.0036	5.454
WACM	WAPA** - Colorado-Missouri	Western	Intermountain West	0.707	0.381	1.46	2.548
WALC	WAPA** - Lower Colorado	Western	Inner West	3.8	0.264	0.7	4.764

Table 1: Balancing authorities and their grouping used in this study with hydro, wind, and solar generation capacity represented in this study in gigawatts (GW). The Cluster column indicates the resulting clusters derived from a hierarchical clustering analysis in Section 5.

*North American Electric Reliability Corporation Interconection region

**Western Area Power Administration

†Capacity

and rain driven regions which is consistent with national assessments [57]. 187 Wind can be either climotologically low or high in the fall and winter de-188 pending on the region, which, when high, helps to mitigate drought in those 189 seasons in some regions. In Figure 1(c), we can see the spatial pattern of 190 drought frequency: seasonal compound VRE droughts occur the most often 191 in the west with a decreasing frequency moving toward the east. This is 192 primarily due to the lower hydro seasonality and complementarity between 193 wind and solar in these regions. 194

Figure 2 shows the seasonal distribution of compound VRE droughts from 195 1982 to 2019 for each BA. In each stacked bar representing the annual total 196 occurrences, the unit bar height is equivalent to one month within a specific 197 season. The distribution over 38 years indicates that the majority of com-198 pound VRE droughts occur in fall and winter seasons, which is consistent 199 with the previous section and other's findings [33, 37, 5, 39]. Furthermore, 200 these drought events are predominantly concentrated in the Western Inter-201 connection (WI; BPAT to WACM, colored in purple), whereas relatively 202 smaller events are identified in the Eastern Interconnection (EI; AECI to 203 PJM, colored in brown). The WI BAs, including CISO, NEVP, and WALC, 204 experience a significant number of compound drought months during both 205 fall and winter seasons, potentially due to similar low generation patterns 206 across wind, solar and hydro during these seasons, as shown in Figure 1(a). 207 As a result, in these BAs, prolonged VRE droughts from fall to winter could 208 pose a risk to electricity supply, particularly when combined with increased 209 demand driven by winter weather events, such as cold snaps [58]. In contrast, 210 compound VRE droughts are infrequent in the EI BAs, including MISO and 211 TVA, where monthly generation patterns are complementary. A few short-212 term compound drought months in summer are identified specifically in two 213 EI BAs (SWPP and SPA), which may be linked to intermittent low wind 214 generation with little within-year variation in wind, solar, and hydro genera-215 tion (Figure 1(a)). Certain BAs (PGE, MISO, TVA, ISNE, NYIS, and PJM) 216 experience compound VRE droughts only during fall. These BAs typically 217 show strong wind or hydro power generation patterns during winter (Figure 218 1(a)). 219

4. Seasonal co-occurrence and severity

In an interconnected grid, simultaneous occurrences of compound VRE droughts across BAs may critically impact the bulk power system reliability



Figure 1: Historical properties of seasonal compound VRE drought: (a) shows the climotological patterns of wind, solar and hydro generation expressed as a capacity factor (generation divided by capacity) for each of the 18 BAs represented in this study. The solid lines represent the median monthly generation and the ribbons span from the 5th to 95th percentiles of the historical period (1982-2019). (b) Shows the seasonal occurrence of compound monthly VRE drought events where the bars are broken down by drought duration in months. (c) Shows the average compound VRE drought frequency for each BA.

(a)



Figure 2: Number of compound energy drought months by season from 1982 to 2019. The unit height of each bar represents one month within a particular season. Note that the BAs in this figure are ordered to align with the clusters from West (bottom) to East (top), detailed in the following sections.

[59, 4] as adjacent regions are stressed with balancing their demand with 223 their supply shortfall and may have limited regional coordination. Figure 224 3(a) illustrates the co-occurrences of compound VRE droughts during the 225 fall and winter seasons, with their frequencies represented by the thickness 226 and color of connecting lines between pairs of BAs. Each BA is denoted as a 227 circle, with its size proportional to the total number of VRE drought months, 228 including both isolated and concurrent droughts. In fall, the co-occurrences 229 of compound events are broadly distributed especially within WI BAs, and 230 are temporally aligned with EI BAs despite their lower frequencies. In con-231 trast, during winter, the co-occurrences are particularly pronounced in the 232 southwestern BAs such as CISO, NEVP, WALC, and SRP, with noticeable 233 frequencies extending to limited portions of EI BAs, including AECI, SWPP, 234 and SPA. The spatial distribution maps for fall and winter indicates two no-235 table trends: a decrease in frequency in the U.S. Pacific Northwest and an in-236 crease in frequency in the U.S. southwest and central regions. Consequently, 237 the region vulnerable to coincident resource shortfalls shifts from the west-238 ern CONUS in fall to the southwestern and central CONUS in winter. These 239 shifts are attributed to regional differences in the seasonality of wind, solar, 240 and hydro generation, as shown in Figure 1(a). However, it is important 241 to note that the concurrent occurrences (connecting lines in Figure 3(a)) do 242 not account for the electricity transmission constraints, which need further 243 consideration to understand their impacts on the interconnected grids. We 244 also note that the Western and Eastern interconnections are not substan-245 tially connected however national transmission planning studies explore such 246 opportunities [60], making this regional dependencies even more relevant for 247 cost-benefit analyses. 248

In the supplementary material, Figure S1 quantifies concurrent VRE 249 drought months between pairs of BAs over 38 years. The lower triangular 250 elements count the co-occurring months of compound droughts during fall, 251 whereas the upper triangular elements count them during winter. Similar to 252 Figure 3(a), a high number of co-occurrences are identified within the WI 253 BAs during fall. The most frequent co-occurrences in fall are between CISO-254 NEVP (35 months), followed by CISO-WALC (30 months) and CISO-BPAT 255 (30 months). During winter, while the primary locations of co-occurrences 256 remain similar, the CISO-BPAT connection weakens (15 months) and the 257 NEVP-WALC connection strengthens (34 months). Overall, CISO emerges 258 as a hotspot for compound VRE drought during both fall and winter. 259

As a measure of the combined severity of compound VRE droughts be-



Figure 3: Seasonal patterns of compound VRE droughts: (a) co-occurrence patterns and (b) severity covariance heat map. In panel (a), the circle sizes represent the total number of VRE drought months at BAs, and the connecting line thicknesses indicate the average annual frequency of co-occurrences. The dashed lines delineate the boundaries of Interconnections. In panel (b), the severity covariances for fall and winter are shown in the lower and upper triangular sections, respectively. The BAs are sorted by NERC grid interconnection and Cluster in Table 2.

tween two BAs, we introduced the concept of severity covariance, which is calculated based on Equation (2):

263

Severity Covariance
$$(i, j) = \frac{1}{N_D} \sum_{t=1}^{N_D} (z_{i,t} - \overline{z_i})(z_{j,t} - \overline{z_j})$$
 (2)

where t represents drought months, z_i and z_j denote the standardized sever-264 ity indices for a pair of BAs at locations i and j, respectively. N_D is the 265 total number of concurrent VRE drought months, and \overline{z} indicates the mean 266 of the standardized severity indices. Given that the combined severity of 267 VRE droughts is of our primary interest, only the severity indices during 268 drought months (i.e., negative values below the specified threshold) were 269 taken into consideration. In addition, the reference means were set to zero, 270 as the severity indices were derived from standardization to a normal distri-271 bution. Therefore, the severity covariances quantify the co-occurring severity 272 trends of compound VRE droughts between pairs of BAs. Figure 3(b) shows 273 a heat map of the severity covariances across BAs. Compared to the co-274 occurrences heat map in Figure S1, the severity covariances reveal different 275 patterns across BAs and between fall and winter seasons, indicating that the 276 frequency of occurrences is not necessarily correlated with their severity. In 277 winter, although compound VRE droughts are relatively infrequent across 278 the BAs (upper triangular elements of Figure S1), their severity tends to be 279 more intense. Notably, the severity covariance between SPA and PSCO is 280 identified as the highest (1.7 in Figure 3(b)) despite only two co-occurrences 281 over 38 years (two drought months in winter in Figure S1). Specifically, this 282 high severity covariance results from two severe compound events: one driven 283 by hydro droughts in both BAs and the other by low solar production in SPA 284 co-occurring with low hydro generation in PSCO. However, the impact of 285 these severe co-occurrences may be insignificant when considering electricity 286 transmission constraints, as these two BAs are located in different Intercon-287 nections. During fall, most BAs tend to undergo relatively frequent (Figure 288 3(a) and lower triangular elements of Figure S1) but less severe compound 289 VRE droughts, with few exceptions. For example, the primary locations 290 of compound VRE droughts, such as CISO, NEVP, and WALC, show rela-291 tively high severity covariance (> 1), in addition to frequent co-occurrences 292 of compound VRE droughts. 293

²⁹⁴ 5. Climate analysis

This section examines the climate mechanisms driving seasonal compound 295 VRE droughts. Previous studies [37, 2, 11, 61, 16, 33, 32, 12, 14, 23] have 296 demonstrated that seasonal energy droughts are closely related to large-scale 297 atmospheric circulations and climate modes, which typically influence exten-298 sive geographic areas and are not confined to specific balancing authority 299 definitions. Many BAs sharing similar geographic locations may be simul-300 taneously affected by the same weather or climate conditions. Therefore, 301 before further investigating the atmospheric conditions inducing these com-302 pound VRE droughts, we conduct a hierarchical clustering analysis based on 303 the correlations of the power generations among BAs. As a result, five clus-304 ters are generated (Figure 4): (1) PSEI, BPAT, PGE, and PACW; (2) AVA, 305 IPCO, NEVP, WALC, and SRP; (3) CISO and LDWP; (4) TVA; (5) the 306 remaining BAs (Figure S2). Given the geographical extent of the remaining 307 BAs, we further divided them into three groups: the 5th cluster includes 308 NWMT, PACE, WACM, and PSCO; the 6th cluster includes SWPP, SPA, 309 AECI, ERCO, and MISO; the 7th cluster includes PJM, NYIS, and ISNE. 310

To find the large-scale conditions associated with droughts for given clus-311 tered BAs, we selected large-scale variables, including 500 hPa geopotential 312 height, sea surface data, mean sea level pressure, relative humidity, temper-313 ature, and wind at the surface, 850 hPa, 500 hPa, and 200 hPa. We further 314 composited the monthly anomaly of each variable by removing the climato-315 logical monthly mean between 1982 and 2019 for each from ERA5 monthly 316 averaged reanalysis data [44, 62]. After clustering the BAs, we found that 317 historically the number of seasonal droughts events range from 4 for the TVA 318 cluster to a larger sample size for other clusters. To conduct a fair composite 319 analysis (averaging the anomalies for each cluster), we limited each cluster to 320 the top 4 events for the purposes of calculating the climatological anomalies. 321 Therefore, for TVA, we averaged all the drought months in the composite 322 analysis; for other clusters, we selected the four months with the most severe 323 drought indices. 324

For this study, we also calculated the correlation between power generation and the Arctic Oscillation (AO) [63, 64, 65], the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) [66], and the El Niño-Southern Oscillation Precipitation Index (ESPI) [67], obtained from the official National Oceanic and Atmosphereic Administration (NOAA) website (https://psl.noaa.gov/data/ climateindices/list/). Figure 5 shows the correlation coefficients between



Figure 4: Clustered BAs and their geographic locations.

power generation and climate indices for each BA. While individual energy
resources within these clusters may show correlations with climate indices,
the aggregate power generation for these clusters does not always exhibit
such relationships

For the PNW (PSEI, BPAT, PGE, and PACW) cluster, wind energy is 335 the dominant renewable energy source, and it shows a strong correlation with 336 the ESPI index. Additionally, the AO is correlated with power generation in 337 two BAs within this cluster: BPAT and PACW. Due to the predominant role 338 of wind energy, the correlation between wind energy and ESPI also drives the 330 compound total power generation for this cluster. Furthermore, hydropower 340 generation for PGE and PACW exhibits some correlations with the ESPI 341 index. 342

In the CA (CISO and LDWP) cluster, there are minimal correlations between power generation and the three climate indices (AO, NAO, and ESPI). The only notable correlations are between hydropower generation for CISO with ESPI and NAO.

The Inner West (AVA, IPCO, NEVP, WALC, and SRP) cluster also shows rare coherent correlations. NAO is correlated with both solar and hydro generation for WALC, while ESPI is correlated with hydropower generation for NEVP and with wind energy for SRP.

The Intermountain West (NWMT, PACE, WACM, and PSCO) cluster is predominantly wind energy-focused, but there is little evidence showing strong correlations between solar or hydropower and climate indices. The correlation with wind energy influences the cluster's total energy generation. However, the only significant correlation observed within this cluster is between NAO and wind generation for NWMT

The Midwest (SWPP, SPA, AECI, ERCO, and MISO) cluster exhibits 357 different behaviors. While some significant correlations exist between single-358 source generation (e.g., solar and hydropower) and climate indices, these 359 correlations are not evident in compound power generation. ESPI is corre-360 lated with solar generation for SWPP and with hydropower generation for 361 other BAs in this cluster. NAO is highly correlated with solar overall, and 362 AO shows correlation with hydropower generation for SWPP. However, there 363 is no evident correlation between wind energy and the climate indices. 364

In the NE (PJM, NYIS, and ISNE) cluster, there is a high correlation between NAO and solar generation across the entire cluster, as well as between NAO and hydropower generation for PJM. Similar to the fifth cluster, there is no evident correlation between wind generation and climate indices, and ³⁶⁹ the correlation rarely appears in the compound total energy.

The pattern in the TVA cluster is very similar to that in the NE cluster, with the exception that hydropower generation shows a correlation with ESPI.

Note that the Intermountain West, Midwest, and NE clusters are separated artificially; initially, they formed one larger cluster based on total power generation 4. This separation can be traced by no significant correlation with climate indices across these three clusters, except for the NAO in the case of NWMT.

The investigation into the weather mechanisms driving the occurrence 378 of seasonal energy droughts across various regions highlights important at-379 mospheric variables influencing energy resources. However, the direct appli-380 cation of these weather pattern descriptions for predictability remains chal-381 lenging. For example, while we observe that an Arctic Ridge pattern can be 382 associated with drought conditions in the Pacific Northwest (PNW: PSEI. 383 BPAT, PGE, and PACW) cluster, it is not necessarily the case that every 384 Arctic Ridge event results in a drought, nor is the duration of the Arctic 385 Ridge always sufficient to establish a clear and robust causality. 386

To strengthen the focus on predictability, we have concentrated on re-387 gions where we have identified statistically significant connections between 388 climate indices and renewable energy droughts. For instance, our analysis 389 shows that in the California (CA: CISO and LDWP) cluster, specific climate 390 indices such as the El Niño Southern Oscillation have a significant correla-391 tion with hydropower generation deficits. Detailed discussions and analyses 392 of these regions with statistically significant connections are provided in the 393 supplementary material. 394

We acknowledge that the qualitative descriptions of weather patterns in other regions require further research to establish their predictive capability. Therefore, we have moved the detailed composite analyses of these regions to the supplementary material. This allows us to maintain a clear focus in the main text on regions where predictability based on climate indices is more established.

401 6. Case Study

This section presents a case study of the potential impacts of these seasonal compound VRE droughts on grid operations in the western U.S. These impacts are studied on the WI using the WECC 2030 Anchor Data Set (ADS)



Figure 5: Heat map of the correlation (R) between power generation and climate indexes for each BA. Note that the black dots indicate that the correlation is statistically significant when the p-value is less than the significance level of 0.05; the dashed lines between BAs indicate different clusters for BAs. (a) is for total power energy generation, (b) is for solar, (c) is for hydropower, and (d) is for wind generations.

Gridview test case. GridView, a grid operations model widely utilized in the 405 industry, is used to model the behavior of dispatch. The WECC 2030 ADS 406 is the base nodal dataset for the Western Interconnection baseline analysis 407 [68]. The WECC 2030 ADS is a comprehensive scenario, incorporating input 408 from all planning regions. It aims to project the Western Interconnection's 409 infrastructure for the year 2030, based on the best available knowledge at 410 the time of the case's release as well as existing state and federal laws. The 411 transmission network topology for the WECC 2030 ADS Production Cost 412 Model was carried over from a previous WECC study—the 2030HS (Heavy 413 Summer) Power Flow dataset, which was compiled by the WECC Reliability 414 Assessment Committee using GE PSLF software. The transmission topology 415 was imported into the 2030 ADS Production Cost Model case as the basis 416 for the transmission network topology and represents the best available pro-417 jection of anticipated new generation, generation retirements, transmission 418 assets, and load growth in the 10-year planning horizon within the WECC 419 grid planning community. 420

A full year in hourly resolution, at the nodal scale, is modeled for six 421 scenarios. The first is presented as a control, and corresponds to a normal, 422 non-drought year. The other scenarios correspond to drought events that 423 were selected to represent the worst historical drought conditions across the 424 entire WI. These are referred to as "Event 1" through "Event 5", where 425 Event 1 is the most extreme overall and Event 5 is the least extreme. Table 426 2 shows the BA affected by each of the top 5 western US energy droughts 427 along with the year and month the drought occurred. Five months from 428 different years were identified that had the largest compound VRE drought 429 severity across all BAs in the WI where the severity is defined as the total 430 energy deficit below the 40th percentile drought threshold. Any BA drought 431 that overlapped with the identified month was also captured in the input 432 datasets for the GridView model. The droughts were used to modify the 433 WECC 2030 ADS test case by proportionally lowering the VRE generation 434 according to the historical generation during the identified droughts. For 435 example if the wind in a BA had an average monthly capacity factor of 0.2 436 during a drought month and the WECC 2030 ADS had an average monthly 437 capacity factor of 0.4, then the hourly generation of all plants in the BA 438 would be lowered by 50% to account for the drought conditions. 439

It is expected that drought years, as compared to typical years, will stress the grid in some way. In particular, reduced generation from solar, wind, and hydro, is expected to either reduce reliability, or increase dependence on gas

Event	BA	Year	Month
1	AVA, BPAT, CISO, IPCO,	2000	10, 11
	NEVP, PACW, PSEI, SRP		
2	AVA, BPAT, CISO, IPCO, NEVP,	2019	10, 11
	PACW, PGE, SRP, WALC		
3	BPAT, CISO, NEVP, NWMT, PACW,	2001	10
	PSCO, PSEI, SWPP, WALC		
4	AVA, CISO, IPCO, NEVP,	1985	10
	PSEI, SRP, WALC		
5	CISO, NEVP, SWPP	1986	11, 12

Table 2: The 5 worst (1 is the worst event) energy droughts affecting Western US BAs from 1982-2019. These 5 events are modeled in the case study.

resource. In practice, the WECC 2030 ADS features a robust generation
portfolio. For this analysis, no resource adequacy concerns, such as unserved
energy, are observed. Still, in less robust test cases, unserved energy may be
a concern.

Even without unserved energy, droughts still impose notable impacts on 447 grid operations. Figure 6 shows the increase in emissions and locational 448 marginal prices (LMPs) that exhibited during the drought as opposed to nor-449 mal operation. For the sake of this analysis, the averages are computed and 450 compared across the extent of the drought in question with the normal, non-451 drought year. This separates the impact of the drought from normal annual 452 variations in the behavior of these features. In general, drought events are 453 associated with a modest impact in the emissions. However, in some cases, 454 droughts result in spikes of the zonal emissions by well over 20% which is in 455 line with Voisin et al. [23] who showed that hydropower drought alone can 456 contribute to 10% increased emissions. This points towards the reduced gen-457 eration due to drought being compensated for using gas-fired power plants. 458

Locational marginal prices (LMPs) convey the price of energy at a par-459 ticular spatial location. In essence, they encode the availability of energy, 460 and are a function of several factors including fuel costs and transmission 461 congestion. Intuitively, droughts increase reliance on gas generation to serve 462 demand, thus leading to higher fuel component of LMPs. This behavior is 463 most heavily concentrated in the Northwest with LMPs increasing by 5-10%464 in every event. Some regions actually have 5-10% lower LMPs during the 465 drought. This is due to reduced renewable and hydro generation resulting 466



Figure 6: Average emission ratio (top row) and average locational marginal price (bottom row) ratio of each compound drought event divided by the baseline scenario (positive values indicate an increase in the energy drought scenario).

in less transmission congestion, and thus lower congestion component of theLMP.

469 7. Discussion

The analysis of monthly timescale VRE droughts require long term cli-470 mate simulations, representation of land surface processes and human sys-471 tems dynamics. Seasonal compound VRE droughts in the contiguous U.S. 472 have diverse regional characteristics that stem from the complementarity of 473 the wind, solar and hydro generation. In the CISO BA (California), these 474 three renewable resources are almost perfectly non-complementary and con-475 sequently we see that region with the highest frequency of compound VRE 476 drought. Conversely in the TVA BA (Tennessee), wind and hydro are al-477

most perfectly complementary with solar and in that BA we see the lowest 478 frequency of compound VRE drought. Furthermore, very few droughts occur 479 in the summer in any BA which is driven by both the high solar and high 480 hydro in the western U.S. Analogously, fall is the most prone to compound 481 VRE droughts due to low hydro and tapering off solar. Wind tends not to be 482 an overall driver of compound VRE drought due to how variable it is across 483 regions. Based on these results we can conclude that climatological comple-484 mentarity is the main driver of the seasonal occurrence and frequency of VRE 485 droughts at the monthly timescale. This insight indicates that climatology is 486 a good indication to guide the development of renewable energy. While this 487 is already performed in practice for individual resources, the insight further 488 informs balancing regions in how to promote sustainable growth with a strate-489 gic distribution of capacities across the different resources. Some long term 490 planning electricity models - also called capacity expansion models, often 491 have a simplified representation of sub-annual dynamics with representative 492 seasonal "stress periods" [69]. This study emphasizes the need to represent 493 the complementarity between the renewable resources across all seasons and 494 not select individual wind-solar-hydro supply curves which might lead to over 495 building. 496

The spatial co-occurrences and co-severity of compound VRE droughts 497 among BAs can provide valuable insights into the extent and intensity of their 498 seasonal occurrences concentrated in specific regions. This aspect is critical 499 to inform coordination across balancing regions with the exploration of new 500 transmission path or re-sizing of the existing capacity. This co-occurrence can 501 also inform the development of long term contracts across balancing regions. 502 The primary regions of co-occurrences shift from the Western Interconnect 503 BAs (CA, PNW, and Inner West clusters) in fall to the southwestern BAs 504 (CA, Inner West, and Midwest clusters) in winter, due to the different sea-505 sonal responses of solar, wind, and hydro generation by region. Particularly, 506 the BAs in California (CISO), Nevada (NEVP), and Arizona (WALC) ex-507 perience persistent co-occurrences of compound VRE droughts throughout 508 both fall and winter. Based on these seasonal shifts around surrounding BAs, 509 power system planning for compound VRE droughts could be optimized by 510 considering spatial complementarity together with electricity transmission 511 constraints and load seasonality also needs to be considered. Furthermore, 512 the severity covariance matrix informs the historical patterns of the concur-513 rent intensity, which could be further integrated into power system planning 514 to address deficiencies in electricity supply. The calculations of the sever-515

ity covariances reveal that co-occurrences do not necessarily correlate with severity, as demonstrated by the BAs, which experience smaller but more intense events in winter. It is important to note that the correlation and covariance information provides only spatiotemporal alignment in the frequency and severity of compound VRE droughts, without accounting for the relative scales of electricity generation by energy source. This aspect may be important for the practical planning of power system grids.

The clustering analysis based on power generation correlations among 523 BAs reveals a complex picture. Some BAs have one or two renewable energy 524 sources which are strongly correlated to climate indices, while others have 525 none. Although the total energy generation was utilized for clustering anal-526 ysis, the lack of correlation of specific resource may or may not propagate 527 to influence the total energy generation, depending on the relative amount 528 of each generation in each region. As a result, climate characteristics are 520 unlikely to fully explain the occurrence of compound droughts; rather, they 530 provide insight into the predictability of certain energy sources in specific re-531 gions. This phenomenon is particularly evident in regions such as the Pacific 532 Northwest, which has abundant wind and hydropower resources, but limited 533 solar power, and TVA, where solar and hydropower are plentiful but wind 534 resources are minimal. Future studies should conduct detailed regional analy-535 ses to better understand the underlying mechanisms driving energy droughts 536 and conclude on their predictability. 537

Studying single-resource energy droughts focuses on the impact of climate 538 variability on individual renewable energy sources, allowing for clearer iden-539 tification of climate drivers and more straightforward mitigation strategies. 540 For instance, analyzing wind energy droughts in isolation can directly link 541 specific atmospheric circulation patterns, such as weather regimes (WRs), 542 to wind speed anomalies. However, compound energy droughts involve the 543 simultaneous deficit of multiple energy sources, adding complexity to the 544 analysis. These compound events are influenced by a multitude of interact-545 ing climate variables and local factors, and our composite analysis reveals 546 that specific weather patterns are indeed associated with energy compound 547 droughts. This indicates that understanding these patterns can enhance 548 forecasting and energy planning. Understanding the key differences between 549 single and compound energy droughts is crucial for developing resilient en-550 ergy systems and improving forecasting methods that accurately account for 551 the combined effects of different renewable energy sources. 552

⁵⁵³ The resource adequacy case study demonstrated that the planned 2030

infrastructure for the Western US would be robust to compound energy 554 droughts with enough gas generation capacity held to meet demand in even 555 the most extreme compound VRE droughts. The WECC 2030 ADS case 556 dates back to 2020 when governmental policies were not targeting a substan-557 tial shift in energy generation portfolio and had a low end of wind and solar 558 penetration with respect to other projections [70, 71, 60]. We anticipate that 559 this library of compound wind-solar-hydro drought events will have a growing 560 interest as the generation portfolio includes more of those resources. We also 561 note that this library of events to guide resource adequacy studies needs to be 562 complemented with the consideration for the load seasonality. For example, 563 Yates et al. [72] demonstrated that the California irrigation load increase by 564 6 percent in times of hydrological drought when hydropower resources are 565 reduced by 20 percent. In addition, energy storage is recognized as a critical 566 fleet component for shifting energy from hours with plentiful generation to 567 hours with high load. In the case of seasonal droughts, long term duration 568 energy storage with time scale of weeks and months may be necessary to 569 maintain grid reliability and price volatility. 570

These results have implications for the long-term grid planning, especially 571 in a decarbonized or low carbon future grid. Current storage technology and 572 peaking capability may not be sufficient to mitigate droughts which last many 573 months in duration. As renewable buildout continues the need for long du-574 ration energy storage will likely increase if we are to ensure future demands 575 are met. To evaluate potential impacts, individual BAs should assess com-576 pound drought characteristics across multiple scales. For example, if low 577 production periods within a seasonal drought occur relatively uniformly vs 578 concentrated during smaller periods of the drought, could strongly influence 579 the amount and type of energy storage required. Mitigating strategies for 580 energy droughts such as investment in storage, transmission, or other gen-581 eration sources will be regionally specific and need to be tailored by each 582 BA to meet their unique needs. Such strategies should be developed with a 583 comprehensive understanding of local climate patterns, electricity generation 584 and load profiles, and other factors that affect grid reliability. 585

586 8. Limitations

One limitation of this study is that load was not considered along side generation. Considering load is important for resource adequacy considerations at this time scale. For example, Fall was identified as the season with the most likely compound VRE drought events, but this season typically has mild temperatures in the US so the grid impacts of such events are uncertain. Future studies may account for the dynamics between VRE drought events and load, such as high air conditioning loads and suppression of wind generation during heat domes. Evaluating events of compounded load and VRE drought may identify more stressful grid operation conditions.

Our results indicate some inconsistency between hydropower energy deficits 596 and their climate proxies (IWV and VPD), particularly in regions like the 597 TVA, Midwest, Intermountain, and Inner West. Hydropower is subject to 598 human management, especially for dams where the occurrence of hydropower 599 droughts is linked to atmospheric and hydrological drought conditions over 600 extended periods of time. An accurate explanation of the connection between 601 hydropower droughts and climate requires examining much longer timescales, 602 often multiple years. Therefore, a minimum time span must be established to 603 effectively use climate and weather patterns to explain hydropower droughts. 604 Additionally, since the time scales of wind and solar are much shorter it would 605 be beneficial to find an approach which allows for each resource to be included 606 at the time scale with the most impact, such as a wind and solar drought 607 during a heat wave which occurs during a period of hydrologic drought. 608

609 9. Conclusion

This study provides an analysis of compound wind, solar and hydropower 610 seasonal energy droughts across the contiguous Unites States. Hydropower 611 is by far the most complex component of the renewable droughts, requiring 612 climate, land surface and water management modeling. Hydropower neces-613 sarily operates at a different timescale than wind or solar, necessitating a 614 longer seasonal view of variable renewable droughts and strategies in man-615 aging multiple water uses. At this seasonal timescale, weather variability be-616 comes less important than the climatological complementarity of generation 617 resources. Regions which have highly complementary resources for similar 618 generating capacities will experience less compound drought and visa-versa. 619 While this is an intuitive result, it is important to quantify to aid in regional 620 planning and to prevent overbuilding. Additionally, our analysis identifies 621 transmission-connected regions which have high risk for compound variable 622 renewable energy droughts to co-occur, which can support transmission plan-623 ning adequacy and reliability studies. 624

Our climate analysis has indicated that predicting certain renewable sources at the seasonal scale may be possible in some regions of the contiguous United States. The atmospheric conditions which lead to compound droughts are complex and prediction of these compound events will require further study.

The case study presented serves as an example of how renewable energy 629 drought data can be incorporated into production cost models to determine 630 energy prices, transmission congestion, and carbon emissions during renew-631 able drought events. Our results indicated that the modeled 2030 infras-632 tructure was robust in the sense there was no unserved energy but this may 633 change as wind and solar are rapidly developed. The compound droughts 634 we identified using coincident data may serve as a new baseline for resource 635 adequacy planning. While coincident wind-solar-load datasets are necessary 636 for reliability studies and understand storage and reserve needs, this study 637 indicates that hydropower drought conditions may be paired with other wind-638 solar-load datasets for the purpose of evaluating compound multi-scale ex-639 treme events, in some regions like the snowmelt dominated Western US. Such 640 mix and match requires further evaluation and are scale-dependent and the 641 provided analytics can support such regional evaluation. 642

⁶⁴³ 10. Data Availability

The data used in this paper is available on Zenodo: https://zenodo. org/records/14270835. The code used to reproduce the analysis and produce figures is available on GitHub: https://github.com/GODEEEP/seasonal-energy-droughts

647 11. Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Grid Operations, Decarbonization, 648 Environmental and Energy Equity Platform (GODEEEP) Investment, under 649 the Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) Program at 650 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL). The study leverages the 651 TGW climate datasets developed by U.S. DOE Office of Science multisector 652 dynamics program as part of the IM3 and HyperFACETS projects. This work 653 leverages the capabilities of mosartwmpy, a Python version of the MOSART-654 WM model supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, as 655 part of research in MultiSector Dynamics, Earth, and Environmental Systems 656 Modeling Program and enhanced by the Energy Efficiency and Renewable 657 Energies - Hydrological Sciences Program. This work also leverages early 658

formulation developed under the HydroWIRES B1 project (grant 75563) 659 sponsored by the Water Power Technologies Office under the HydroWIRES 660 initiative. This research used resources of the Pacific Northwest Research 661 Computing at the PNNL, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility. The 662 PNNL is a multi-program national laboratory operated by Battelle Memorial 663 Institute for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. 664 DE-AC05-76RL01830. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains and the 665 publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. 666 Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license 667 to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript or allow others 668 to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. 669

670 References

[1] D. Raynaud, B. Hingray, B. François, J. D. Creutin, Energy droughts
from variable renewable energy sources in european climates, Renewable Energy 125 (2018) 578–589. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
renene.2018.02.130.

- [2] N. Otero, O. Martius, S. Allen, H. Bloomfield, B. Schaefli, Characterizing renewable energy compound events across europe using a logistic regression-based approach, Meteorological Applications 29 (5) (2022) e2089. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/met.2089.
- [3] N. Otero, O. Martius, S. Allen, H. Bloomfield, B. Schaefli, A copulabased assessment of renewable energy droughts across europe, Renewable Energy 201 (2022) 667–677. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
 renene.2022.10.091.
- [4] C. Bracken, N. Voisin, C. D. Burleyson, A. M. Campbell, Z. J. Hou,
 D. Broman, Standardized benchmark of historical compound wind and
 solar energy droughts across the continental united states, Renewable
 Energy 220 (2024) 119550. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.
 2023.119550.
- ⁶⁸⁸ URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.119550
- [5] D. Richardson, A. J. Pitman, N. N. Ridder, Climate influence on compound solar and wind droughts in australia, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science 6 (1) (Nov. 2023). doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/
 s41612-023-00507-y.

- [6] H. Lei, P. Liu, Q. Cheng, H. Xu, W. Liu, Y. Zheng, X. Chen, Y. Zhou,
 Frequency, duration, severity of energy drought and its propagation
 in hydro-wind-photovoltaic complementary systems, Renewable Energy
 230 (2024) 120845. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2024.
 120845.
- [7] V. Gburčik, S. Mastilović, Żeljko Vučinić, Assessment of solar and wind
 energy resources in serbia, Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy
 5 (4) (2013) 041822. doi:https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4819504.
- [8] P. E. Bett, H. E. Thornton, The climatological relationships between
 wind and solar energy supply in britain, Renewable Energy 87 (2016)
 96-110. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.10.006.
- [9] M. M. Miglietta, T. Huld, F. Monforti-Ferrario, Local complementarity of wind and solar energy resources over europe: An assessment study from a meteorological perspective, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology 56 (1) (2017) 217–234. doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/ jamc-d-16-0031.1.
- [10] B. François, B. Hingray, D. Raynaud, M. Borga, J. D. Creutin, Increasing climate-related-energy penetration by integrating run-of-the river hydropower to wind/solar mix, Renewable Energy 87 (2016) 686–696.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.10.064.
- [11] K. van der Wiel, H. C. Bloomfield, R. W. Lee, L. P. Stoop, R. Blackport, J. A. Screen, F. M. Selten, The influence of weather regimes on
 european renewable energy production and demand, Environmental Research Letters 14 (9) (2019) 094010. doi:https://doi.org/10.1088/
 1748-9326/ab38d3.
- [12] M. Gonzalez-Salazar, W. R. Poganietz, Making use of the complementarity of hydropower and variable renewable energy in latin america: A probabilistic analysis, Energy Strategy Reviews 44 (2022) 100972.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2022.100972.
- [13] J. A. Ferraz de Andrade Santos, P. de Jong, C. Alves da Costa,
 E. A. Torres, Combining wind and solar energy sources: Potential for
 hybrid power generation in brazil, Utilities Policy 67 (2020) 101084.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2020.101084.

- [14] H. C. Bloomfield, C. M. Wainwright, N. Mitchell, Characterizing the
 variability and meteorological drivers of wind power and solar power
 generation over africa, Meteorological Applications 29 (5) (2022) e2093.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/met.2093.
- [15] P. T. Brown, D. J. Farnham, K. Caldeira, Meteorology and climatology of historical weekly wind and solar power resource droughts over western north america in ERA5, SN Applied Sciences 3 (10) (sep 2021). doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-021-04794-z.
- [16] K. Doering, S. Steinschneider, Summer covariability of surface climate for renewable energy across the contiguous united states: Role of the north atlantic subtropical high, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology 57 (12) (2018) 2749–2768. doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/ jamc-d-18-0088.1.
- [17] K. Z. Rinaldi, J. A. Dowling, T. H. Ruggles, K. Caldeira, N. S. Lewis,
 Wind and solar resource droughts in california highlight the benefits of long-term storage and integration with the western interconnect, Environmental Science & Technology 55 (9) (2021) 6214–6226.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c07848.
- [18] Y. Amonkar, D. J. Farnham, U. Lall, A k-nearest neighbor space-time simulator with applications to large-scale wind and solar power modeling, Patterns 3 (3) (2022) 100454. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
 patter.2022.100454.
- [19] D. Zheng, D. Tong, S. J. Davis, Y. Qin, Y. Liu, R. Xu, J. Yang, X. Yan,
 G. Geng, H. Che, Q. Zhang, Climate change impacts on the extreme power shortage events of wind-solar supply systems worldwide during 1980–2022, Nature Communications 15 (1) (Jun. 2024). doi:https:
 //doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-48966-y.
- [20] M. Ghosal, A. M. Campbell, M. A. Elizondo, N. A. Samaan, Q. H.
 Nguyen, T. B. Nguyen, C. Munõz, D. M. Hernandéz, Grid reserve and
 flexibility planning tool (graf-plan) for assessing resource balancing capability under high renewable penetration, IEEE Open Access Journal
 of Power and Energy 10 (2023) 560–571. doi:https://doi.org/10.
 1109/0AJPE.2022.3169729.

- [21] O. J. Guerra, J. Zhang, J. Eichman, P. Denholm, J. Kurtz, B.-M. Hodge, The value of seasonal energy storage technologies for the integration of wind and solar power, Energy & Environmental Science 13 (7) (2020) 1909–1922. doi:https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EE00771D.
- [22] A. Zeighami, J. Kern, A. J. Yates, P. Weber, A. A. Bruno, U.s. west coast droughts and heat waves exacerbate pollution inequality and can evade emission control policies, Nature Communications 14 (1) (Mar. 2023). doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37080-0.
- ⁷⁶⁷ [23] N. Voisin, M. Kintner-Meyer, D. Wu, R. Skaggs, T. Fu, T. Zhou,
 ⁷⁶⁸ T. Nguyen, I. Kraucunas, Opportunities for joint water-energy man⁷⁶⁹ agement: Sensitivity of the 2010 western u.s. electricity grid oper⁷⁷⁰ ations to climate oscillations, Bulletin of the American Meteorologi⁷⁷¹ cal Society 99 (2) (2018) 299–312. doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/
 ⁷⁷² bams-d-16-0253.1.
- F. A. Wolak, Long-term resource adequacy in wholesale electricity markets with significant intermittent renewables, Environmental and Energy
 Policy and the Economy 3 (2022) 155–220. doi:https://doi.org/10.
 1086/717221.
- R. Schroeder, A. Joyeau, E. M. Carlini, Seasonal adequacy risks, in:
 2018 IEEE International Conference on Environment and Electrical
 Engineering and 2018 IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Europe (EEEIC / I&CPS Europe), IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–6. doi:
 10.1109/eeeic.2018.8494396.
- [26] B. François, H. Puspitarini, E. Volpi, M. Borga, Statistical analysis of
 electricity supply deficits from renewable energy sources across an alpine
 transect, Renewable Energy 201 (2022) 1200–1212. doi:https://doi.
 org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.10.125.
- [27] L. v. d. Most, K. v. d. Wiel, W. Gerbens-Leenes, R. R. Benders, R. Bintanja, Temporally compounding energy droughts in european electricity systems with hydropower, Nature Energy (Jan. 2024). doi:https: //doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3796061/v1.
- ⁷⁹⁰ [28] A. Wörman, I. Pechlivanidis, D. Mewes, J. Riml, C. Bertacchi Uvo,
 ⁷⁹¹ Spatiotemporal management of solar, wind and hydropower across con-

- tinental europe, Communications Engineering 3 (1) (Jan. 2024). doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s44172-023-00155-3.
- [29] A. Somani, S. Datta, S. Kincic, V. Chalishazar, B. Vyakaranam,
 N. Samaan, A. Colotelo, Y. Zhang, V. Koritarov, T. McJunkin,
 T. Mosier, J. Novacheck, M. Emmanuel, M. Schwarz, L. Markel,
 C. O'Reilley, Hydropower's contributions to grid resilience, Tech. rep.,
 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (2021).
- [30] D. Tong, D. J. Farnham, L. Duan, Q. Zhang, N. S. Lewis, K. Caldeira,
 S. J. Davis, Geophysical constraints on the reliability of solar and wind
 power worldwide, Nature Communications 12 (1) (2021) 6146. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26355-z.
- [31] K. Engeland, M. Borga, J.-D. Creutin, B. François, M.-H. Ramos, J.P. Vidal, Space-time variability of climate variables and intermittent
 renewable electricity production a review, Renewable and Sustainable
 Energy Reviews 79 (2017) 600–617. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/
 j.rser.2017.05.046.
- [32] K. Mohammadi, N. Goudarzi, Study of inter-correlations of solar radiation, wind speed and precipitation under the influence of el niño southern oscillation (enso) in california, Renewable Energy 120 (2018) 190–200.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.12.069.
- [33] L. Lledó, O. Bellprat, F. J. Doblas-Reyes, A. Soret, Investigating the
 effects of pacific sea surface temperatures on the wind drought of
 2015 over the united states, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 123 (10) (2018) 4837–4849. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/
 2017 JD028019.
- [34] K. van der Wiel, L. Stoop, B. van Zuijlen, R. Blackport, M. van den Broek, F. Selten, Meteorological conditions leading to extreme low variable renewable energy production and extreme high energy shortfall, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 111 (2019) 261–275.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.04.065.
- [35] H. C. Bloomfield, D. J. Brayshaw, A. J. Charlton-Perez, Characterizing
 the winter meteorological drivers of the european electricity system using

- targeted circulation types, Meteorological Applications 27 (1) (2020)
 e1858. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1858.
- [36] H. C. Bloomfield, C. C. Suitters, D. R. Drew, Meteorological drivers of
 european power system stress, Journal of Renewable Energy 2020 (2020)
 5481010. doi:https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5481010.
- [37] Y. Liu, S. Feng, Y. Qian, H. Huang, L. K. Berg, How do north american weather regimes drive wind energy at the sub-seasonal to seasonal timescales?, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science 6 (1) (Jul. 2023).
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00403-5.
- [38] D. Rife, N. Y. Krakauer, D. S. Cohan, J. C. Collier, A new kind of
 drought: Us record low windiness in 2015, Earthzine (2016).
 URL https://earthzine.org/a-new-kind-of-drought-u-s-record-low-windiness-in-
- [39] X. Yang, T. L. Delworth, L. Jia, N. C. Johnson, F. Lu, C. McHugh,
 Skillful seasonal prediction of wind energy resources in the contiguous
 united states, Communications Earth & Environment 5 (1) (Jun. 2024).
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01457-w.
- [40] M. Kittel, W.-P. Schill, Measuring the dunkelflaute: How (not) to
 analyze variable renewable energy shortage, Environmental Research:
 Energy (Aug. 2024). doi:https://doi.org/10.1088/2753-3751/
 ad6dfc.
- [41] C. Bracken, Y. Son, D. Broman, N. Voisin, Godeeep-hydro: Historical
 and projected power system ready hydropower data for the united states,
 Scientific Data (2024).
- [42] A. D. Jones, D. Rastogi, P. Vahmani, A. M. Stansfield, K. A. Reed,
 T. Thurber, P. A. Ullrich, J. S. Rice, Continental united states climate projections based on thermodynamic modification of historical
 weather, Scientific Data 10 (1) (sep 2023). doi:https://doi.org/10.
 1038/s41597-023-02485-5.
- [43] W. C. Skamarock, J. B. Klemp, J. Dudhia, D. O. Gill, Z. Liu, J. Berner,
 W. Wang, J. G. Powers, M. G. Duda, D. M. Barker, X.-Y. Huang, A description of the advanced research wrf model version 4, Report, National
 Center for Atmospheric Research (2019). doi:10.5065/1dfh-6p97.
- 856 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.5065/1dfh-6p97

[44] H. Hersbach, B. Bell, P. Berrisford, S. Hirahara, A. Horányi, J. Muñoz-857 Sabater, J. Nicolas, C. Peubey, R. Radu, D. Schepers, A. Simmons, 858 C. Soci, S. Abdalla, X. Abellan, G. Balsamo, P. Bechtold, G. Bia-859 vati, J. Bidlot, M. Bonavita, G. Chiara, P. Dahlgren, D. Dee, M. Dia-860 mantakis, R. Dragani, J. Flemming, R. Forbes, M. Fuentes, A. Geer, 861 L. Haimberger, S. Healy, R. J. Hogan, E. Hólm, M. Janisková, S. Kee-862 ley, P. Laloyaux, P. Lopez, C. Lupu, G. Radnoti, P. Rosnay, I. Rozum. 863 F. Vamborg, S. Villaume, J.-N. Thépaut, The ERA5 global reanalysis, 864 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 146 (730) (2020) 865 1999-2049. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803. 866

- [45] EIA, Form EIA-860 detailed data with previous form data (EIA-860 A/860B), https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/eia860/ (Sep. 2022).
- [46] G. Buster, M. Rossol, P. Pinchuk, R. Spencer, B. N. Benton, M. Bannister, T. Williams, The renewable energy potential model (rev) (Feb. 2023). doi:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7641483.
- [47] M. Sengupta, Y. Xie, A. Lopez, A. Habte, G. Maclaurin, J. Shelby, The national solar radiation data base (NSRDB), Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 89 (2018) 51–60. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
 rser.2018.03.003.
- [48] A. Campbell, C. Bracken, S. Underwood, N. Voisin, A multi-decadal
 hourly coincident wind and solar power production dataset for the contiguous us, Submitted (2024).
- [49] X. Liang, D. P. Lettenmaier, E. F. Wood, S. J. Burges, A simple hydrologically based model of land surface water and energy fluxes for general circulation models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 99 (D7) (2012) 14415–14428. doi:10.1029/94jd00483.
- URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/ 10.1029/94JD00483?download=true
- [50] J. J. Hamman, B. Nijssen, T. J. Bohn, D. R. Gergel, Y. Mao, The variable infiltration capacity model version 5 (vic-5): infrastructure improvements for new applications and reproducibility, Geoscientific Model Development 11 (8) (2018) 3481–3496. doi:10.5194/gmd-11-3481-2018.

⁸⁹¹ URL https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/11/3481/2018/ gmd-11-3481-2018.pdf

- [51] Y. Yang, M. Pan, H. E. Beck, C. K. Fisher, R. E. Beighley, S.-C. Kao,
 Y. Hong, E. F. Wood, In quest of calibration density and consistency in
 hydrologic modeling: Distributed parameter calibration against streamflow characteristics, Water Resources Research 55 (9) (2019) 7784–7803.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024178.
- [52] Y. Yang, M. Pan, P. R. Lin, H. E. Beck, Z. Z. Zeng, D. Yamazaki,
 C. H. David, H. Lu, K. Yang, Y. Hong, E. F. Wood, Global reach-level
 3-hourly river flood reanalysis (1980-2019), Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 102 (11) (2021) E2086–E2105, zc1gv Times Cited:25
 Cited References Count:100. doi:10.1175/Bams-D-20-0057.1.
 URL <GotoISI>://WOS:000757278200004
- [53] T. Thurber, C. Vernon, N. Sun, S. Turner, J. Yoon, N. Voisin, mosartwmpy: A python implementation of the mosart-wm coupled hydrologic routing and water management model, Journal of Open Source Software 6 (62) (2021). doi:10.21105/joss.03221.
- URL https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.03221.pdf
- [54] H. Y. Li, M. S. Wigmosta, H. Wu, M. Y. Huang, Y. H. Ke, A. M. Coleman, L. R. Leung, A physically based runoff routing model for land surface and earth system models, Journal of Hydrometeorology 14 (3) (2013) 808–828, 162xz Times Cited:158 Cited References Count:61. doi: 10.1175/Jhm-D-12-015.1.
- ⁹¹⁴ [55] N. Voisin, H. Li, D. Ward, M. Huang, M. Wigmosta, L. R. Leung, On
 ⁹¹⁵ an improved sub-regional water resources management representation
 ⁹¹⁶ for integration into earth system models, Hydrology and Earth System
 ⁹¹⁷ Sciences 17 (9) (2013) 3605-3622. doi:10.5194/hess-17-3605-2013.
 ⁹¹⁸ URL https://hess.copernicus.org/articles/17/3605/2013/
 ⁹¹⁹ hess-17-3605-2013.pdf
- S. W. D. Turner, J. C. Steyaert, L. Condon, N. Voisin, Water storage and release policies for all large reservoirs of conterminous united states, Journal of Hydrology 603 (2021). doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.
 2021.126843.

- ⁹²⁴ [57] D. Broman, N. Voisin, S.-C. Kao, A. Fernandez, G. R. Ghimire, Multi⁹²⁵ scale impacts of climate change on hydropower for long-term water⁹²⁶ energy planning in the contiguous united states, Environmental Re⁹²⁷ search Letters 19 (9) (2024) 094057. doi:https://doi.org/10.1088/
 ⁹²⁸ 1748-9326/ad6ceb.
- ⁹²⁹ [58] S. W. D. Turner, N. Voisin, J. Fazio, D. Hua, M. Jourabchi,
 ⁹³⁰ Compound climate events transform electrical power shortfall
 ⁹³¹ risk in the pacific northwest, Nat Commun 10 (1) (2019) 8.
 ⁹³² doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07894-4.

933 URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30602781https: 934 //www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6315041/pdf/41467_ 935 2018_Article_7894.pdf

- [59] K. Doering, C. L. Anderson, S. Steinschneider, Evaluating the intensity, duration and frequency of flexible energy resources needed in a
 zero-emission, hydropower reliant power system, Oxford Open Energy
 2 (2023). doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/ooenergy/oiad003.
- ⁹⁴⁰ [60] Grid Deployment Office, The nationaltransmission planning study,
 ⁹⁴¹ Tech. rep., U.S. Department of Energy, Grid Deployment Office.
 ⁹⁴² 2024. The NationalTransmission Planning Study. Washington, D.C.:
 ⁹⁴³ U.S. Department of Energy.https://www.energy.gov/gdo/national⁹⁴⁴ transmission-planning-study., Washington, D.C. (2024).
- 945 URL https://www.energy.gov/gdo/national-transmission-planning-study
- [61] F. Mockert, C. M. Grams, T. Brown, F. Neumann, Meteorological conditions during periods of low wind speed and insolation in germany: The role of weather regimes, Meteorological Applications 30 (4) (Jul. 2023).
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/met.2141.
- [62] H. Hersbach, B. Bell, P. Berrisford, G. Biavati, A. Horányi,
 J. Muñoz Sabater, J. Nicolas, C. Peubey, R. Radu, I. Rozum, D. Schepers, A. Simmons, C. Soci, D. Dee, J.-N. Thépaut, Era5 hourly data on
 single levels from 1940 to present, Copernicus Climate Change Service
 (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS) (2023). doi:https://doi.org/10.
 24381/cds.adbb2d47.
- [63] J. Larson, Y. Zhou, R. W. Higgins, Characteristics of landfalling tropical
 cyclones in the united states and mexico: Climatology and interannual

variability, Journal of Climate 18 (8) (2005) 1247–1262. doi:https:
 //doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3317.1.

- [64] R. W. Higgins, A. Leetmaa, Y. Xue, A. Barnston, Dominant factors influencing the seasonal predictability of u.s. precipitation and surface air temperature, Journal of Climate 13 (22) (2000)
 3994-4017. doi:{https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013}
 %3C3994:DFITSP\%3E2.0.CO\;2}.
- [65] R. W. Higgins, A. Leetmaa, V. E. Kousky, Relationships between climate variability and winter temperature extremes in the united states, Journal of Climate 15 (13) (2002) 1555–1572. doi:{https://doi.org/ 10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015\%3C1555:RBCVAW\%3E2.0.CO\;2}.
- [66] A. G. Barnston, R. E. Livezey, Classification, seasonality and persistence
 of low-frequency atmospheric circulation patterns, Monthly Weather
 Review 115 (6) (1987) 1083–1126. doi:{https://doi.org/10.1175/
 1520-0493(1987)115\%3C1083:CSAPOL\%3E2.0.CO\;2}.
- 973 [67] S. Curtis, R. Adler, Enso indices based on patterns of satellite 974 derived precipitation, Journal of Climate 13 (15) (2000) 2786–2793.
 975 doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013\%3C2786:
 976 EIBOPO\%3E2.0.CO\;2.
- ⁹⁷⁷ [68] Western Electricity Coordinating Councli (WECC), 2030 ADS PCM
 ⁹⁷⁸ release notes, https://www.wecc.org/Reliability/2030ADS_PCM_
 ⁹⁷⁹ ReleaseNotes_GV-V2.3_6-9-2021.pdf (2024).
- [69] O. Anderson, C. Bracken, C. D. Burleyson, A. Pusch, N. Yu, Improved decarbonization planning through climate resiliency modeling, IEEE Access 12 (2024) 128494–128508. doi:10.1109/access.2024.3451957.
- [70] Y. Ou, G. Iyer, H. McJeon, R. Cui, A. Zhao, K. T. O'Keefe, M. Zhao,
 Y. Qiu, D. H. Loughlin, State-by-state energy-water-land-health impacts
 of the us net-zero emissions goal, Energy and Climate Change 4 (2023)
 100117. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egycc.2023.100117.
- [71] Y. Ou, Y. Zhang, S. Waldhoff, G. Iyer, Gcam-usa scenarios for godeeep
 (2024). doi:https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENOD0.10642507.

[72] D. Yates, J. K. Szinai, A. D. Jones, Modeling the water systems of the western us to support climate-resilient electricity system planning, Earth's Future 12 (1) (Dec. 2023). doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2022EF003220.