1	From Magma Chambers to Magma Oceans: A Unified
2	Model for the Thermo-chemical-mechanical Evolution of
3	Magma Bodies
4	Tobias Keller ^{1,*}
5	¹ School of Geographical & Earth Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow
6	G12 8RZ, Scotland.
7	*Tobias.Kellerglasgow.ac.uk
8	February 5, 2025

9 This is a non-peer reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv.

Abstract

Magma bodies play a critical role in Earth's geological evolution, influencing vol-11 canic activity, crustal differentiation, and planetary-scale processes. Understanding their 12 thermo-chemical and mechanical evolution requires models that integrate fluid dynamics, 13 phase changes, and chemical transport. This study presents a new numerical model that 14 couples these processes using a multi-phase, multi-component formulation. The model 15 simulates convection, phase segregation, and thermo-chemical evolution across a wide 16 range of scales, from crustal magma chambers to planetary magma oceans. To ensure nu-17 merical stability and physical realism, adaptive regularisation schemes are implemented, 18 including eddy diffusivity for higher-dimensional turbulent flows and convective mixing 19 diffusivity for one-dimensional column models. Benchmark tests confirm the accuracy of 20 the numerical scheme, and use cases demonstrate its applicability to scenarios such as 21 fractional crystallisation, wall-rock assimilation, and magma recharge on crustal scales, 22 and magma ocean solidification on planetary scales. By providing an open-source imple-23 mentation, this work aims to advance our understanding of dynamic magmatic systems 24 and their role in planetary evolution. 25

26 1 Introduction

10

Melt-rich magma chambers in Earth's crust have long been recognised as sources of volcanic eruptions and sites of magma differentiation [e.g., Marsh, 1989]. These chambers host complex processes, including fractional crystallisation, crustal assimilation, magma recharge, and mingling or mixing. These end-member processes drive the differentiation trends and geochemical diversity observed in igneous rocks.

Similar processes occur in planetary-scale magma oceans [e.g., Abe, 1997], a critical stage of early planetary evolution when large portions of terrestrial bodies remain molten. Their crystallisation, driven by heat loss to space, induces simultaneous turbulent convection and phase segregation, forming mantle- and crust-like layers that set the initial conditions for longterm solid-state evolution.

All of these processes arise from the dynamic interplay of material transport, governed by multiphase fluid mechanics, and phase change and chemical exchange, dictated by multicomponent chemical thermodynamics. While fluid mechanics [e.g., Keller and Suckale, 2019] and chemical thermodynamics [e.g., Ghiorso and Sack, 1995] are well-described individually, their non-linear interactions pose a significant challenge.

Addressing this requires numerical models that couple fluid mechanics with the thermochemical evolution of melt-rich magma bodies. Such models must simulate magma dynamics across a range of scales and compositions while accurately predicting major, trace, and isotopic magma compositions.

Active magma bodies are largely cryptic, with direct observations limited by their burial in the deep subsurface or loss in deep geological time. Our understanding of their dynamics and thermo-chemical evolution is derived from remote geophysical observations and the structural, ⁴⁹ analytical, and experimental study of igneous rocks.

Geophysical data interpretation is constrained by limited spatial resolution relative to magma body size, brief observation windows compared to system lifespans, and an inherent non-uniqueness of interpretation. Structural, analytical, and experimental studies of volcanic and plutonic rocks, including phase transformations under varying temperature and pressure, provide valuable constraints on magma body dynamics. The density [Iacovino and Till, 2019], viscosity [Giordano et al., 2008], and melting behaviour [Holland et al., 2018] of many igneous materials are well understood.

⁵⁷ However, interpreting major, trace, and isotopic compositions of igneous rocks remains ⁵⁸ challenging due to non-unique signatures inherited from mantle and crustal sources, as well ⁵⁹ as overprints from reaction-transport processes during magma ascent and storage. Magma ⁶⁰ oceans, being utterly inaccessible, are preserved only in lunar and meteorite records, where ⁶¹ sparse samples complicate interpretation. Closing these knowledge gaps requires a method to ⁶² resolve how petrological and geochemical signatures emerge from complex reaction-transport ⁶³ dynamics in magma bodies across crustal to planetary scales.

In this work, I present a comprehensive new model of melt-rich magma body dynamics and petrological and geochemical evolution. This contribution includes the formulation of governing equations, their numerical implementation, and demonstrations of key use cases. These include calibrating the petrological model to a specific petrogenetic context and simulating classical processes such as fractional crystallisation, host rock assimilation, magma recharge followed by mingling and mixing, and magma ocean solidification.

The model applies to both crustal-scale magma bodies and planetary-scale magma oceans. This broad applicability is enabled by an adaptive regularisation scheme that accounts for enhanced mixing by sub-grid turbulent eddies. Additionally, reduced-dimensionality modes allow for rapid and efficient zero-dimensional box models of equilibrium and fractionating system evolution paths, as well as one-dimensional column models incorporating parameterised convective mixing.

Previous models of crustal magma chamber evolution fall into two categories, each addressing complementary but incomplete aspects of these systems. The first category treats magma chambers as radially symmetrical, isotropic bodies, where temperature, phase proportions, internal pressure, and chamber volume evolve in response to continuous magma recharge, exposure to cool visco-elastic wall rock, and periodic evacuation by eruption [Jellinek and DePaolo, 2003, Karlstrom et al., 2010, Degruyter and Huber, 2014].

These models are useful for studying magma chamber growth, thermal stability, and eruption potential under varying conditions. However, they do not resolve internal convection or phase segregation, making them incapable of predicting the geochemical consequences of internal dynamics or the generation and destruction of chemical diversity in magmas.

The second category of models represents magma chambers by coupling thermodynamic phase equilibria with mass balance calculations of diverse chemical components. These evolve through algorithmic recipes representing fractional crystallisation, crustal assimilation via remelt⁸⁹ ing and stoping (ingestion of crustal blocks from the roof), and recharge from deeper levels of
⁹⁰ an assumed transcrustal magma system [Bohrson et al., 2014, 2020, Heinonen et al., 2020].

While these models effectively reproduce differentiation trends and geochemical diversity observed in igneous rocks, they do not resolve internal magma chamber dynamics. Instead, they rely on idealised assumptions for fractionation, assimilation, and recharge rates, without accounting for how these processes are constrained by conservation of momentum and energy in natural systems.

Previous models of magma oceans generally follow two approaches, similar to those used for 96 magma chambers. One class of models employs reduced dimensionality, often treating magma 97 oceans as well-mixed reservoirs or layered convective systems with simplified phase change 98 dynamics [e.g., Abe, 1993]. These models provide insight into large-scale thermal evolution, 99 including heat loss to space, convective vigour, and the timescales of crystallisation. However, 100 they do not fully resolve the interactions between phase change, convective flow, and phase 101 segregation, limiting their ability to capture the development of compositional heterogeneity 102 and its consequences for planetary differentiation. 103

A second class of models focuses on petrological evolution, often incorporating algorithmic 104 prescriptions for the formation of cumulate stacks, flotation crusts, and residual melt layers. 105 These approaches effectively reconstruct differentiation sequences and predict the final geochem-106 ical stratigraphy of solidified magma oceans. However, they rely on assumed phase separation 107 efficiencies and mixing rates, rather than explicitly simulating the interplay of thermodynamics, 108 fluid dynamics, and phase segregation. As a result, they may overlook the self-consistent feed-109 backs between crystallisation, melt migration, and convective redistribution, which are crucial 110 for understanding the final structure of differentiated planetary interiors. 111

Few models of magma body evolution have integrated both multi-phase transport and multicomponent reactions in one [Solano et al., 2012, Jackson et al., 2018] or two [Dufek and Bachmann, 2010, Gutiérrez and Parada, 2010] spatial dimensions. While the latter studies represent the current state of the art, their methods and results have not been reproduced or widely applied in over a decade. Neither model has been made publicly available, raising questions about their implementation and reproducibility.

To advance beyond the *status quo*, this contribution introduces a new open-source numerical model for multi-phase, multi-component magma dynamics, enabling the study of system-scale thermo-chemical-mechanical evolution in crustal magma bodies. The model represents magma as a mixture of three material phases: silicate melt, crystals, and volatile fluid bubbles. These phases are composed of approximately half a dozen pseudo-components, calibrated to reflect a specific petrogenetic context.

The model follows the generalised framework of Keller and Suckale [2019] and is based on continuum mixture theory. This approach assumes that system-scale behaviour can be effectively described by governing equations formulated through averaging over a large sample of local-scale phase topologies and their interactions. However, averaging small-scale interactions to derive large-scale dynamics is generally intractable. Consequently, the model re¹²⁹ lies on strongly idealised phenomenological material coefficients to represent how local-scale

phase topologies permit fluxes of mass, momentum, and entropy within and between phases
[Keller and Suckale, 2019].

The model is formulated in the zero-segregation-compaction-length limit (ZSCL) [Wong and Keller, 2023], where crystals and bubbles are treated as being suspended in melt, the carrier phase, while compaction effects in solid-rich layers are neglected.

The model is numerically implemented in Matlab using a staggered-grid finite-difference discretisation with a grid-based, non-oscillatory, conservative advection scheme for material transport and a second-order implicit time integration scheme. The numerical algorithm is validated through the Method of Manufactured Solutions and by verifying accurate conservation of mass and energy over time.

Phase equilibrium is determined using an idealised pseudo-phase diagram based on temperature, pressure, and bulk composition. This local phase equilibrium model is calibrated against
a state-of-the-art thermodynamic equilibrium solver [Riel et al., 2022] using a Markov-Chain
Monte Carlo (MCMC) parameter fitting routine.

The applicability of the model is demonstrated across zero-dimensional (0-D) box models, one-dimensional (1-D) column models, and two-dimensional (2-D) vertical cross-section models. 0-D box models are used to generate equilibrium and fractional crystallisation sequences, while 1-D column models highlight the role of gravity-driven phase segregation in magma differentiation. The effect of convective mixing on the equilibration of temperature and composition within convectively unstable layers is parameterised using an effective diffusivity approach.

In 2-D models, the system exhibits a range of convection styles, from whole-chamber mixing to layered convection, with asymmetrical evolution of convectively stable and unstable boundary layers controlling the thermo-chemical evolution. Scenarios approaching turbulent convection are regularised using an eddy viscosity approach, adaptively increasing momentum, thermal, and chemical diffusivities to limit grid-scale eddy development. This methodology enables simulations spanning from the 10-metre scale of crustal sills to the 1000-km scale of planetary magma oceans. The simulation code is released as an open-source software package.

$_{157}$ 2 Methods

158 2.1 Model Overview

The mathematical model and its numerical implementation are structured as follows: (i) the 159 mixture model, defining material phases and chemical components representing the physical 160 and chemical states of magma; (ii) the petrological model, describing how phase proportions 161 and compositions depend on pressure, temperature, and bulk composition; (iii) the thermo-162 chemical model, governing the evolution of phase fractions, composition, and heat content over 163 time via conservation of energy and mass; (iv) the fluid-mechanics model, based on bulk mass 164 and momentum conservation, to compute magma mixture and phase segregation velocities as 165 well as magma pressure; (v) the material model, providing closures for magma density, viscosity, 166

and phase segregation coefficients; and (vi) the geochemical model, tracking the evolution of
 geochemical tracers using mass conservation.

The section concludes with the numerical implementation of the governing equations using a finite-difference scheme on a regular, square, staggered grid, a flexible three-point (semi-)implicit time integration scheme, and regularisation techniques for representing eddy mixing in turbulent flows and convective layer mixing in 1-D column models.

The model is posed in a Cartesian coordinate system \mathbf{x} with its origin at the top of the magma body and the z-coordinate pointing vertically down in the direction of gravity $\mathbf{g} = g\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. For the sake of generality, the governing equations are given in full 3-D form, but the numerical implementation that follows is limited to 0-D, 1-D, and 2-D Cartesian cases.

All variables and parameters are generally functions of space, \mathbf{x} , and time, t, unless noted otherwise.

179 2.2 Mixture Model

180 2.2.1 Phases

The model is formulated at the system scale ($\sim 10\text{-}1000 \text{ m}$) using a continuum mixture approach 181 [Passman et al., 1984, Drew and Passman, 2006, Keller and Suckale, 2019]. Magma is treated 182 as a three-phase mixture consisting of a liquid silicate melt suspending solid silicate crystals and 183 volatile fluid bubbles. Each phase is characterised by its mass fractions, f^i [wt = kg/kg], with 184 i = m, x, f for melt, crystal, and fluid phases, mass densities, ρ^i [kg/m³], and average local-scale 185 constituent sizes, d^i [m]. Local-scale (μ m-m) interactions between melt, crystals, and bubbles 186 are not explicitly resolved but instead parameterised through idealised assumptions regarding 187 phase topology (proportions, constituent sizes, connectivity) and their influence on large-scale 188 deformation and transport. Phase mass fractions are converted into volume fractions using: 189

$$\phi^i = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho^i} f^i,\tag{1}$$

where $\bar{\rho} = (\sum_{i} f^{i} / \rho^{i})^{-1} = \sum_{i} \phi^{i} \rho^{i}$. Overbars denote phase-averaged bulk properties of the multi-phase mixture.

For readability, the following notations will occasionally be used for phase-specific mass and volume fractions: $m = f^m$, $x = f^x$, $f = f^f$, and $\mu = \phi^m$, $\chi = \phi^x$, and $\phi = \phi^f$.

¹⁹⁴ 2.2.2 Components

In natural systems, magma consists of a dozen or more major and minor element oxides (e.g., SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, FeO, CaO) along with a few volatiles (mostly H₂O, CO₂). These elements form multiple thermodynamic phases, including minerals such as quartz, solid-solution endmembers like forsterite and fayalite (olivine) or anorthite and albite (plagioclase), silicate melt, and volatile fluid.

²⁰⁰ Tracking the full chemical composition of all relevant thermodynamic phases would result

in a highly complex and computationally expensive model. To reduce complexity, the model constraints chemical variability to a relatively small number (~ 4-8) of dimensions, termed *pseudo-components*. These are represented by their bulk concentrations $\bar{c}_j = xc_j^x + mc_j^m$ ($j = 1, ..., n_{cmp}$), assuming partitioning only between one solid and one melt phase.

A special case is the volatile component, which exists only in the melt and fluid phases and is represented by its bulk concentration $\bar{c}_v = fc_v^f + mc_v^m$. Consequently, the solid phase is considered free of dissolved volatiles, while the fluid phase contains no dissolved pseudocomponents.

To map pseudo-component compositions onto petrologically meaningful representations, each pseudo-component is assigned a reference composition based on a set of mineral endmembers and their major oxide compositions. By selecting appropriate mineral end-members and tuning their oxide compositions, the pseudo-component approach can be calibrated to a specific petrogenetic context (see calibration procedure below).

214 2.3 Petrological Model

215 2.3.1 Local Phase Equilibrium

Understanding magmatic petrogenesis requires determining the local phase equilibrium, i.e., the 216 stable proportions and compositions of thermodynamic phases at a given temperature T, ther-217 modynamic pressure P_t , and bulk composition \bar{c}_i . Various computational tools exist for calcu-218 lating local phase equilibria by minimising Gibbs free energy (e.g., MELTS [Ghiorso and Sack, 219 1995], MAGEMin [Riel et al., 2022]). However, none are computationally robust or efficient 220 enough to be used *on-the-fly* in a dynamic model, where phase assemblages must be rapidly 221 and reliably determined across the model domain, multiple times per time step, over thousands 222 of time steps. 223

One alternative is to pre-compute look-up tables, requiring only relatively inexpensive interpolation during a coupled model run [Rummel et al., 2020]. However, this either significantly increases the memory footprint, as tables must cover the entire relevant P, T, \bar{c}_j space, or necessitates a complex algorithm for dynamically generating tables based on the specific portions of parameter space accessed during a simulation. Additionally, artefacts from discrete representation and interpolation can introduce robustness issues.

Another alternative is to use simplified two-component phase diagrams, such as linearised solidus-liquidus planes [Weatherley and Katz, 2012], a single-phase loop [Katz, 2008], or singlesided eutectic [Solano et al., 2014]. While computationally efficient and conceptually straightforward, these approaches capture only the first principal component of magma compositional diversity.

The approach adopted here formulates an adaptable multi-component pseudo-phase diagram based on simplified thermodynamic relations [Keller and Katz, 2016]. This method is robust and efficient enough for *on-the-fly* application while preserving sufficient complexity to capture well over 90% of magma compositional variance. It can be meaningfully calibrated against full thermodynamic models and experimental data, as demonstrated below with a cal-ibrated model example.

241 2.3.2 Pseudo-phase Equilibrium Model

Following this approach, we formulate a multi-component pseudo-phase diagram as a simplified model of local phase equilibrium. The model determines phase proportions f^i and phase compositions c_j^i (for components $j = 1, ..., n_{cmp}, v$ in phase i = m, x, f) at given P_t, T, \bar{c}_j . The phase diagram forms an n_{cmp} -dimensional phase loop in T, \bar{c}_j space, shifting upward with increasing pressure and downward with increasing melt volatile content.

Note that we assume local thermal and mechanical equilibrium, meaning the model uses a single absolute temperature $T \equiv T^i \ge 0$ and a single thermodynamic pressure $P_t \equiv P_t^i$ for all phases.

To incorporate volatile effects, we extend the method of Keller and Katz [2016] to account for both the depression of melting temperatures due to dissolved volatiles and the exsolution of fluid bubbles upon volatile saturation. While this approach can be generalised for multiple volatiles, we limit the model to H₂O as the sole volatile component here. Assuming no major elements dissolve into the fluid phase, its composition is taken as constant and uniform: $c_v^f \equiv$ $c_{\text{H2O}}^f \equiv 1$ and $c_j^f \equiv 0$ for all non-volatile components.

The following section summarises the multi-component pseudo-phase equilibrium model of Keller and Katz [2016], highlighting its extension to a volatile-bearing, three-phase magma system.

The model of Keller and Katz [2016] defines partition coefficients between solid and melt phases for a set of pseudo-components, expressed as calibrated functions of pressure and temperature. The partition coefficients $K_j \equiv c_j^x/c_j^m$ follow a simplified relationship derived from ideal solution thermodynamics:

$$K_j = \exp\left[\frac{\Delta s}{r_j} \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_{m,j}}\right)\right],\tag{2}$$

where Δs is the entropy jump of fusion (assumed constant and uniform for all components), $T_{m,j}$ are pressure- and volatile-dependent pure-component melting temperatures, and r_j are shape factors that adjust the phase diagrams to more open or closed phase loop topology.

The pressure dependence of all components follows a non-linear Simon's law [Rudge et al., 267 2011], while the water dependence of melting temperatures is described by a power-law rela-268 tionship [Katz et al., 2003]. The pure-component melting points are given by:

$$T_{m,j} = (T_{0,j} - D_j c_{\text{H2O}}^m{}^p) \times \left(1 + \frac{P_t}{A}\right)^{1/B}.$$
(3)

The parameters in (3) include the reference melting temperature at zero pressure and anhydrous composition, $T_{0,j}$, as well as the component-specific prefactors D_j and power-law coefficient p = 0.75 governing water dependence. The melt water content c_{H2O}^m at a given bulk water content \bar{c}_{H2O} increases with crystallinity (assuming solids are anhydrous) but is limited by the saturation point $c_{\text{H2O}}^{\text{m,sat}}$:

$$c_{\rm H2O}^m = \min\left(\frac{\bar{c}_{\rm H2O}}{m}, c_{\rm H2O}^{\rm sat,m}\right).$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Here, the empirical parameterisation of Moore et al. [1998] is used to calculate $c_{\text{H2O}}^{\text{m,sat}}$ as a function of P_t, T, c_j^m .

With (2)-(4), local phase equilibrium is determined using the three-phase lever rule:

$$\bar{c}_j = mc_j^m + xc_j^x + fc_j^f,\tag{5}$$

²⁷⁷ along with unity sum constraints on phase and component fractions:

$$\sum_{i} f^{i} = 1, \tag{6a}$$

$$\sum_{j} c_j^i = 1. \tag{6b}$$

Solving (5) for c_j^m and c_j^x , while substituting the other phase composition using partition coefficients and the given fluid composition, leads to an implicit equation for the melt fraction at local phase equilibrium:

$$\sum_{j} \frac{\bar{c}_{j} - fc_{j}^{f}}{m/K_{j} + (1 - m - f)} - \sum_{j} \frac{\bar{c}_{j} - fc_{j}^{f}}{m + (1 - m - f)K_{j}} = 0,$$
(7a)

$$\bar{c}_{\rm H2O} - mc_{\rm H2O}^m - f = 0,$$
 (7b)

which, together with (5) for $j = v = H_2O$ solved for f, forms a system of equations for m, f. This system can be solved using a root-finding method such as Newton's method.

²⁸³ Once equilibrium phase proportions are obtained, phase component fractions are given by:

$$c_j^m = \frac{\bar{c}_j - fc_j^f}{m/K_j + (1 - m - f)},$$
(8a)

$$c_j^x = \frac{\bar{c}_j - fc_j^f}{m + (1 - m - f)K_j}.$$
 (8b)

Solidus and liquidus temperatures at a given bulk composition, pressure, and melt water content are found by substituting m = 0 and x = 0 into (7a), along with partition coefficients as a function of temperature (2), and solving for T using a suitable root-finding method.

287 2.3.3 Phase Equilibrium Calibration

While the above algorithm ensures a robust and efficient *on-the-fly* solution for local phase equilibrium, the challenge lies in defining pseudo-components and calibrating their melting parameters to approximate a given petrogenetic context. Keller and Katz [2016] calibrated the model for mid-ocean ridge-style mantle decompression melting qualitatively by comparisonwith experimental constraints.

Here, we introduce a more quantitative approach using machine learning techniques. The following section provides a high-level summary of the procedure, with a detailed demonstration for an example petrological use case following further below.

Ground-truthing the calibration requires phase equilibrium data obtained from either petrological experiments or energy-minimising thermodynamic models. Since our focus is on solidification and differentiation of melt-rich magma bodies, the dataset should ideally span temperatures from the liquidus to near-solidus, covering a broad range of bulk compositions along a relevant liquid line of descent to capture a typical differentiation trend from primitive melt to the relevant eutectic minimum.

For simplicity, we currently restrict our analysis to dominantly anhydrous mineral assemblages. However, this constraint could be relaxed in future work to incorporate volatile-bearing systems.

³⁰⁵ Given suitable ground-truth data, the calibration procedure consists of the following steps:

- i. Data Preparation: Merge or discard minor or accessory phases (e.g., merge spinel +
 ilmenite, discard minor biotite near the solidus), remove minor elements (e.g., discard Ca
 in olivine, K in clinopyroxene), and normalise phase and mineral compositions to unity
 sum.
- ii. Mineral End-members: Apply principal component analysis (PCA) and end-member
 estimation (EME) to simplify compositional trends and determine suitable end-members
 for each major mineral system (e.g., olivine, plagioclase, clinopyroxene).
- iii. Data Projection: Use regularised least squares to project melt and solid phase com positions onto the reduced compositional space of selected mineral end-members. Apply
 PCA and EME to determine the optimal number of pseudo-components, and extract an
 initial estimate of their compositions.
- iv. Final Calibration: Refine pseudo-component compositions in terms of mineral endmember fractions and determine P_t , T-dependent melting parameters in (2)-(3) via an MCMC parameter fitting routine, minimising misfit between model predictions and groundtruth data for phase fractions, phase oxide compositions, and P-dependent solidus-liquidus constraints.

This procedure generalises across various petrological systems. Tested use cases include (shallow) fractional crystallisation following a tholeiitic trend for dry, reduced MORB-type basalt (demonstrated below), a calc-alkaline trend for wet, oxidised arc-type basalt, and an anhydrous lunar magma ocean composition (latter two not shown here).

Additionally, the calibration process helps judiciously limit complexity compared to the ground-truth dataset, which can be advantageous when formulating idealised petrogenetic hypotheses for coupled modelling. Despite reducing compositional variability to a limited set of mineral end-members forming a few pseudo-components, this approach still captures the evolution of a comprehensive set of major mineral and melt phases and their oxide compositions across model space and time. This, in turn, enables the use of material models (e.g., density, viscosity; see below) as functions of mineral stability and oxide composition, producing results directly comparable to igneous mineral and whole-rock compositional analyses.

334 2.4 Thermo-chemical Evolution Model

335 2.4.1 Energy and Entropy

To model the dynamic evolution of a magma body, we must track the temporal changes in 336 compositional variables and temperature (i.e., heat content). The sensible and latent heat 337 content of the magma is expressed using specific phase entropies, s^i [J/kg] [Rudge et al., 2011, 338 Katz, 2022], which sum to the mixture entropy weighted by phase mass fractions: $\bar{s} = \sum_{i} f^{i} s^{i}$. 339 Using entropy for energy conservation, rather than internal energy or enthalpy, naturally 340 incorporates latent heat and adiabatic effects without requiring additional terms in the gov-341 erning equation. The melt phase entropy serves as the reference point for phase change 342 latent heat. The entropy jumps of crystallisation, Δs^x , and fluid exsolution, Δs^f , are as-343 sumed uniform and constant, defining the crystal and fluid phase entropies relative to the melt: 344 $s^{x} = s^{m} + \Delta s^{x}$, $s^{f} = s^{m} + \Delta s^{f}$. Consequently, bulk entropy can be expressed as $\bar{s} = s^{m} + \Delta \bar{s}$, 345 where $\Delta \bar{s} = x \Delta s^x + f \Delta s^f$. 346

347 2.4.2 Mass and Energy Conservation

The evolution of phase and component fractions and heat content follows the fundamental principles of mass conservation and entropy production [Keller and Suckale, 2019]:

$$\frac{\partial F^{i}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot F^{i} \, \mathbf{v}^{i} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{q}^{i}_{\phi} + \Gamma^{i}, \tag{9a}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_j}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \sum_i F^i c^i_j \, \mathbf{v}^i = -\sum_i \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{q}^i_j,\tag{9b}$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \sum_{i} F^{i} s^{i} \mathbf{v}^{i} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{s} + \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{s}.$$
(9c)

On the left-hand side, the equations describe rates of change (first term) and advective fluxes carried by phase velocity fields \mathbf{v}^i (second term). On the right-hand side, they include diffusive fluxes \mathbf{q} , phase change rates Γ^i , and the entropy production or heat dissipation rate Υ_s (see below). We neglect heat production from radioactive decay and assume no external sources or internal production of phase or component mass.

Equations (9) introduce quantity densities $[\cdot/m^3]$ as primary variables for phase, component,

³⁵⁶ and entropy evolution:

$$F^i = \bar{\rho} f^i, \tag{10a}$$

$$C_j = \bar{\rho}\bar{c}_j,\tag{10b}$$

$$S = \bar{\rho}\bar{s}.\tag{10c}$$

Several summation constraints apply. Since $\sum_i f^i = 1$ (saturated mixture), we require $\sum_i \mathbf{q}^i_{\phi} = 0$ and $\sum_i \Gamma^i = 0$. Summing (9a) over all phases yields bulk mass conservation:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\rho} \mathbf{\overline{v}} = 0. \tag{11}$$

Similarly, since $\sum_{j} c_{j}^{i} = 1$ (complete composition), we require $\sum_{j} \mathbf{q}_{j}^{i} = 0$. Summing (9b) over all components again recovers bulk mass conservation (2.4.2).

361 2.4.3 Diffusive fluxes

The diffusive fluxes on the right-hand side of (9) include the heat flux \mathbf{q}_s driven by temperature gradients, phase-wise component fluxes \mathbf{q}_j^i driven by gradients in phase component concentrations, and phase volume fluxes \mathbf{q}_{ϕ}^i driven by gradients in phase volume fractions ϕ^i (i = m, x, f):

$$\mathbf{q}_s = -k_s \boldsymbol{\nabla} T,\tag{12a}$$

$$\mathbf{q}_j^i = -k_c \boldsymbol{\nabla} c_j^i, \tag{12b}$$

$$\mathbf{q}^{i}_{\phi} = -k^{i}_{\phi} \Delta(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi)^{i*}.$$
 (12c)

The entropy diffusion parameter, $k_s = \bar{k}_T/T$, is the bulk thermal conductivity \bar{k}_T divided by temperature. The component diffusion coefficient k_c does not represent molecular diffusion of major elements, which is negligible at system scale, but instead captures the system-scale effects of local fluctuations in phase segregation speeds and unresolved convective mixing in turbulent flows and one-dimensional column models. The phase diffusion coefficient k_{ϕ}^i serves a similar role.

The operator $\Delta()^{i*}$ applied to phase fraction gradients in (12c) enforces the zero-sum constraint on phase volume fluxes. This method, along with the definitions of k_c and k_{ϕ}^i , will be further detailed in the section on model regularisations below.

375 2.4.4 Entropy and Temperature

While we represent the system's heat content in terms of entropy, it is often more convenient to specify temperature directly. Temperature is required as input for the phase equilibrium model introduced above and for several material models discussed below. Following standard thermodynamic relations, changes in phase entropies can be expressed as functions of changes to absolute temperature T and thermodynamic pressure P_t :

$$Tds^{i} = c_{P}^{i}dT - \frac{T\alpha_{T}^{i}}{\rho^{i}}dP_{t},$$
(13)

where c_P^i is the specific heat capacity, α_T^i the thermal expansivity, and ρ^i the mass density of phase *i*.

Multiplying all terms in (13) by partial phase densities $\rho^i \phi^i$ and taking the sum over all phases \sum_i we find an expression for changes in temperature as a function of changes in phase entropies and pressure,

$$dT = \frac{\bar{\rho}T}{\bar{\rho}c_P} ds^m + \frac{\bar{\alpha}_T T}{\bar{\rho}c_P} dP_t .$$
(14)

Note that $ds^i \equiv ds^m$ due to the simplifying assumption of uniform and constant entropy jumps of phase change made above. Other parameters are the phase-averaged heat capacity density $\overline{\rho c_P}$ and the phase-averaged thermal expansivity $\bar{\alpha}_T$. The evolution of potential temperature T_p (the temperature a parcel of mass would have if brought to zero pressure without heat exchange with its environment) is given by a similar relationship,

$$dT_p = \frac{\bar{\rho}T}{\bar{\rho}c_P} \, ds^m \; . \tag{15}$$

³⁹¹ 2.4.5 Phase Closures

To solve eqs. (9) for the bulk densities S, C_j , we require closures for phase entropies s^i and phase compositions c_j^i . The latter are given by the phase equilibrium model in (8), while the former are extracted from the solution variable S as:

$$s^m = \frac{S}{\bar{\rho}} - \Delta \bar{s}_0, \tag{16a}$$

$$s^x = s^m + \Delta s_0^x,\tag{16b}$$

$$s^f = s^m + \Delta s_0^f. \tag{16c}$$

³⁹⁵ 2.4.6 Pseudo-equilibrium Approach

Magma dynamics models coupling fluid mechanics to phase change have traditionally employed either equilibrium [Katz, 2008] or disequilibrium [Rudge et al., 2011] approaches. The equilibrium approach directly imposes phase fractions and compositions from a phase diagram at each time step, eliminating the need for explicit phase and component mass conservation or reaction rate prescriptions. This simplifies the governing equations to energy and bulk composition conservation, as phase fractions and compositions remain constrained by the phase diagram.

However, achieving non-linear convergence in coupled heat and phase fraction evolution can be challenging, especially where minor temperature variations cause abrupt phase fraction changes, such as near cotectic or eutectic points. Stability often requires iterative lagging schemes. Additionally, the absence of explicit phase change rates complicates quantitative diagnostics of phase change dynamics. While phase change rates can be reconstructed in postprocessing, this requires precise numerical reconstruction of phase advection, which can be challenging.

The disequilibrium approach treats equilibrium phase properties as targets for reaction rate terms in a full set of phase and component mass conservation equations. This allows phase proportions and component concentrations to evolve dynamically while being nudged toward equilibrium, capturing transient disequilibrium effects. Additionally, reaction rates provide key diagnostic insights into reaction-transport coupling.

However, solving additional conservation equations increases computational cost, and ki-414 netic models for reaction rates are often poorly constrained. Earlier studies [Keller and Katz, 415 2016] demonstrated that rapid reaction rates (relative to advective transport) recover behaviour 416 converging towards the equilibrium approach but exacerbate non-linear convergence challenges, 417 while slow reaction rates permit disequilibrium build-up that can destabilise solutions. Reac-418 tion rates optimal for model stability and robustness must therefore constrain reaction rates to 419 drive equilibration on timescales similar to advective transport, effectively acting as a physics-420 informed lagging scheme that maintains proximity to phase equilibrium while improving non-421 linear convergence. 422

Here, I propose a hybrid pseudo-equilibrium approach that balances the conceptual appeal and computational efficiency of equilibrium models with the diagnostic utility and numerical robustness of disequilibrium methods. This approach defines linear kinetic reaction rates as:

$$\Gamma^{i} = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\tau_{r}} \left(f_{\rm eq}^{i} - f^{i} \right), \qquad (17a)$$

where Γ^i represents mass transfer rates to phase *i* (melting Γ^m , crystallization Γ^x , fluid exsolution Γ^f), subject to the zero-sum constraint $\sum_i \Gamma^i = 0$. These rates act as source terms in phase mass conservation (9a).

The kinetic timescale τ_r is adaptively set to a small multiple of the system's fastest transport timescale (typically advection, occasionally diffusion). In the discretised system (see below), the reaction time is set to $\tau_r \equiv 10\Delta t$, where Δt is the stable discrete time step, ensuring equilibration lags slightly behind transport-driven disequilibrium.

By solving only bulk component concentrations (as in the equilibrium approach) rather 433 than individual phase compositions, computational costs remain low, and component-specific 434 phase change and chemical exchange reactions need not be explicitly defined [Rudge et al., 2011, 435 Keller and Katz, 2016]. Phase fractions evolve to closely track—but not fully match—equilibrium 436 states from the phase diagram. This minor deviation from the lever rule (5) necessitates adjust-437 ing phase compositions to maintain consistency with slight disequilibrium in phase fractions. 438 A simple iterative procedure ensures that disequilibrium phase compositions conform to both 439 the lever rule as well as the unity sum constraint on complete compositions, $\sum_j c_j^i = 1$. 440

441 2.5 Fluid-mechanics Model

442 2.5.1 Mixture Flow

The fluid mechanics of the magma mixture are governed by the compressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\rho} \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\bar{\rho} \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \eta_{\phi} \underline{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{v}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} P + \Delta \bar{\rho} \mathbf{g},$$
(18a)

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\bar{\rho} \mathbf{\bar{v}}) = 0, \tag{18b}$$

where P is the mixture dynamic (non-lithostatic) pressure, and \mathbf{v} the mixture velocity. The mixture mass flux is given by $\overline{\rho}\mathbf{v} = \overline{\rho}(\mathbf{v} + \sum_i f\Delta \mathbf{v}^i)$, where $\Delta \mathbf{v}^i = \mathbf{v}^i - \mathbf{v}$ represents the phase-to-mixture velocity differences.

In the ZSCL limit [Keller and Suckale, 2019, Wong and Keller, 2023], phase pressure differences are assumed negligible ($P \equiv P^i$). The term $\underline{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{v})$ denotes the deviatoric strain rate tensor, and η_{ϕ} is the effective mixture viscosity; $\partial/\partial t$ is the partial time derivative, and $\nabla = \partial/\partial \mathbf{x}$ represents spatial derivatives.

452 Convective magma flow is driven by horizontal mixture density contrasts:

$$\Delta \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho} - \langle \bar{\rho} \rangle_x,\tag{19}$$

where $\langle \bar{\rho} \rangle_x$ is the horizontal average of the mixture density. This is used to integrate the corresponding lithostatic pressure:

$$P_{\text{lith}} = P_0 + \int \langle \bar{\rho} \rangle_x g \, dz, \tag{20}$$

with g as the gravitational acceleration and P_0 as the surface pressure at z = 0.

456 2.5.2 Phase Segregation

Each phase is transported by the magma mixture flow but can segregate based on its relative buoyancy, $\Delta \rho^i = \rho^i - \langle \bar{\rho} \rangle_x$, and phase segregation mobility, K^i . In the ZSCL limit, phase segregation occurs purely due to buoyancy across suspension, mush, and porous flow regimes, with lateral segregation driven by compaction pressures assumed negligible [Wong and Keller, 2023]:

$$\Delta \mathbf{v}^i = \mathbf{v}^i - \mathbf{v} = K^i \Delta \rho^i \mathbf{g}.$$
 (21a)

⁴⁶² The phase segregation coefficients K^i are detailed below.

With the reference velocity of the magma mixture, \mathbf{v} , the phase segregation velocity differences, $\Delta \mathbf{v}^i$, and the phase diffusion fluxes, q^i_{ϕ} , the effective phase velocities are given by:

$$\mathbf{v}^{i} = \mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v}^{i} + \mathbf{q}^{i}_{\phi} / \phi^{i}. \tag{22a}$$

466 2.5.3 Entropy Production

The entropy production rate, Υ_s , accounts for heat dissipation due to magma convection, phase segregation, and heat diffusion:

$$\Upsilon_s = \frac{1}{T} \left(\breve{\eta}[\underline{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{v})]^2 + \sum_i \frac{\phi^i}{K^i} [\Delta \mathbf{v}^i]^2 + k_s [\boldsymbol{\nabla} T]^2 \right).$$
(23)

Here, $[\mathbf{a}]^2 = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ and $[\underline{\mathbf{a}}]^2 = \underline{\mathbf{a}} : \underline{\mathbf{a}}$ denote dot products of vectors and tensors with themselves. Contributions from chemical and phase volume diffusion, as well as phase change reactions, are assumed negligible and are omitted.

472 2.5.4 Net Volume Change and Pressure

As the model allows for compressible materials, flow problems generally exhibit some net volume
change across the domain. To accommodate this, a uniform expanding or contracting velocity
field is applied at the domain boundaries. For all tested scenarios, the amplitude of this volumecompensating boundary flow is negligible compared to internal convection and segregation
speeds.

The model includes a simplified visco-elastic response of the wall rock to net volume change, calculating the resulting chamber overpressure relative to the surrounding wall rock [Degruyter and Huber, 2014]:

$$\frac{\partial P_{\rm chmb}}{\partial t} = E_{\rm wall} \dot{V}_{\rm chmb} - \frac{E_{\rm wall}}{\eta_{\rm wall}} P_{\rm chmb}, \qquad (24)$$

where P_{chmb} is the chamber overpressure, E_{wall} the Young's modulus, and η_{wall} the shear viscosity of the wall rock. This calculation is only applicable where the model setup represents a magma body enclosed by wall rock.

The thermodynamic pressure P_t used in phase equilibrium calculations must be related to the mechanical pressure P from the fluid mechanics equations (18). Since the model does not account for bulk viscosity or elasticity, we assume that P_t corresponds to the full mechanical pressure, i.e., the sum of lithostatic pressure, dynamic pressures, and chamber overpressure:

$$P_t \equiv P + P_{\text{lith}} + P_{\text{chmb}}.$$
(25)

However, including dynamic and chamber pressure components can introduce nonlinear convergence issues between phase change and mechanical solutions. Thus, in practice, the coupling can be relaxed by approximating thermodynamic pressure as the lithostatic pressure alone: $P_t \approx P_{\text{lith}}$.

465

492 2.6 Material Closures Model

493 **2.6.1** Density

⁴⁹⁴ Applying the fluid mechanics model to melt-rich magma bodies requires suitable closures for ⁴⁹⁵ phase densities, segregation coefficients, and effective mixture viscosity. Phase densities are ⁴⁹⁶ computed using a linearised equation of state as a function of temperature and pressure:

$$\rho^{i} = \rho_{0}^{i}(c_{j}^{i}) \left(1 - \alpha_{T}^{i}(T - T_{0}) + \beta_{P}^{i}(P_{t} - P_{0}) \right),$$
(26)

where $\rho_0^i(c_j^i)$ is the composition-dependent reference phase density at reference conditions T_0 , P_0 , α_T^i is the phase thermal expansivity, and β_P^i is the phase compressibility, both assumed uniform and constant for each phase.

The compositional dependence of reference densities is determined using the melt oxide composition, following the DensityX model [Iacovino and Till, 2019] for melt density, and by averaging mineral end-member densities according to their mass fractions for solid density. Since the fluid phase consists only of water, its reference density is taken as a constant.

⁵⁰⁴ 2.6.2 Phase Segregation Mobility

The phase segregation coefficients and effective mixture viscosity are computed based on the 505 multi-phase material closures model of Keller and Suckale [2019]. These closures assume that 506 shear stress within the mixture and momentum transfer between phases are mediated by 507 local-scale momentum diffusion between phase constituents. The coefficients are derived from 508 weighted geometric means of phase viscosity contrasts, with weights representing a phenomeno-509 logical measure of local-scale phase connectivity. These are calibrated against theoretical mod-510 els as detailed in Keller and Suckale [2019] and Wong and Keller [2023], with the three-phase 511 calibration of Wong and Keller [2023] applied here. 512

⁵¹³ One modification from previous work is that local-scale phase constituent sizes are not taken ⁵¹⁴ as constant but instead decrease as a function of their corresponding phase fraction:

$$d^{i} = d_{0}^{i} (1 - \phi^{i})^{1/2}.$$
(27)

This reduces phase segregation mobility as a phase becomes dominant or forms the matrix. For example, in dilute suspensions, the segregation mobility of melt as the continuous phase is suppressed, while crystal settling and bubble flotation mobilities remain largely unaffected. Figure XXX illustrates how the resulting segregation coefficients and effective mixture viscosity vary with phase fractions for given reference phase viscosities η_0^i and constituent sizes d_0^i .

520 2.6.3 Viscosity

The effective mixture viscosity η_{ϕ} is the volume average of effective phase viscosities [Keller and Suckale, 2019], which are in turn depend on composition and temperature. The reference melt viscosity is calculated as a function of melt oxide composition and temperature using the model of Giordano et al. [2008]. The reference solid viscosity is obtained from a geometric average of mineral end-member viscosities, weighted by their relative volume fractions in the solid phase, with an Arrhenius-type temperature dependence characterized by an activation energy E_a^x . The potential pressure-dependence of viscosity is neglected.

528 2.7 Geochemical Evolution

In addition to the major and volatile components introduced above, trace elements and isotope 529 ratios are common tools used for detecting signatures of melt sources or dynamic transport 530 processes in igneous rock samples. As the geochemical variables do not affect the material 531 properties or phase equilibrium in the model system, they present a relatively inexpensive 532 model addition. Here, a group of geochemical concentrations, $\theta_k = \sum_i f^i \theta_k^i$ $(k = 1, ..., n_{\text{gch}})$ is 533 introduced to represent trace element concentrations or stable isotope ratios as elaborated in 534 the following paragraph. Geochemical concentrations are assumed to only partition between 535 the melt and crystal phases $(\theta_k^f \equiv 0)$ and are understood as dimensionless relative concentra-536 tion normalised to some background concentration. The latter need not be specified unless 537 comparison to a specific data set is desired. 538

Trace elements partition between the melt and solid phases according to their partition coefficients, $K_k = \theta_k^m / \theta_k^x$, such that $K_k \gg 1$ represents an incompatible, and $K_{tr} \ll 1$ a compatible trace element. Partition coefficients are defined as bulk averages of mineral end-member partition coefficients such that mineral-specific trace element behaviour can be captured. For stable isotope ratios, θ_k , is assumed not to preferential partition between phases (i.e., $K_k \equiv 1$, $\theta_k^x = \theta_k^m = \bar{\theta}_k$), hence providing a passive, non-fractionating tracer for the transport of melt and crystal phases.

The geochemical evolution follows similar mass conservation laws as pseudo-components above,

$$\frac{\partial \Theta_k}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \sum_i \theta_k^i \, \mathbf{v}^i = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \sum_i \mathbf{q}_k^i \; . \tag{28}$$

⁵⁴⁸ The geochemical equations use the conserved trace elemeent densities,

$$\Theta_k = \bar{\rho} \bar{\theta}^k , \qquad (29)$$

as solution variables. Trace element densities evolve as a consequence of phase mass fluxes carried on phase velocities \mathbf{v}^i and phase diffusive fluxes \mathbf{q}_k^i down trace element concentration gradients,

$$\mathbf{q}_k^i = -k_c \boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta_k^i \,, \tag{30}$$

⁵⁵² using the same effective chemical diffusivity as major components to represent system-scale ⁵⁵³ mixing from regularising sub-grid scale turbulent eddies in 2-D models or convective mixing in ⁵⁵⁴ general in 1-D column models (see below). As trace element concentrations are interpreted as ⁵⁵⁵ normalised to some very small reference concentration no summation constraint over all trace ⁵⁵⁶ element is required in contrast to the major element component model above. The phase trace element concentrations are found from the bulk concentration using the partition coefficients and phase fractions,

$$\theta_k^m = \frac{\bar{\theta}_k}{m + xK_k} , \qquad (31a)$$

$$\theta_k^x = \frac{\theta_k}{m/K_k + x} . \tag{31b}$$

(31c)

Note that here it is assumed that trace elements are in instantaneous equilibrium with given phase fractions..

⁵⁶¹ 2.8 Numerical Implementation and Model Setup

⁵⁶² 2.8.1 Spatial and Temporal Discretisation

The governing equations for fluid mechanics (18), thermo-chemical evolution (9), and geochemi-563 cal evolution (28) are discretised using a staggered-grid finite-difference approach [Keller and Katz, 564 2016, Gerya, 2019, Katz, 2022, Wong and Keller, 2023]. Solution variables and material pa-565 rameters are defined on a uniform grid of square cells with spacing h. Scalars are positioned 566 at cell centres, while vector components are placed on cell faces normal to their direction. 567 Diagonal tensor components are located at cell centres, and off-diagonal components at cell 568 corners. This arrangement enables second-order accurate central differencing for spatial deriva-569 tives. Scalar parameters required at vector or tensor locations are averaged from adjacent cell 570 centres, using arithmetic means for most cases and geometric means for mixture viscosity and 571 phase segregation coefficients. 572

Mass flux divergence terms are discretised using higher-order, upwind-biased, flux-conservative schemes, including the 2nd order Fromm scheme [Trompert and Hansen, 1996], the 3rd order QUICK scheme [Leonard, 1995], the Total-Variation-Diminishing (TVD) scheme [Harten, 1997], and 3rd and 5th order Weighted-Essentially-Non-Oscillating (WENO) schemes [Jiang and Shu, 1996].

Accurate and conservative advection schemes are crucial for modelling thermo-chemically 578 coupled magma dynamics. Keller et al. [2017] noted that advection-induced mass conserva-579 tion errors are amplified in strongly partitioning chemical components, roughly proportional 580 to the magnitude of their partitioning coefficient. Comparisons indicate that the WENO-5 581 scheme best preserves mass conservation while minimising numerical diffusion and dispersion 582 [Dominguez et al., 2024]. Consequently, WENO-5 is recommended for advection-dominated 2-583 D models, whereas lower-order schemes may suffice for numerically efficient 1-D column models. 584 The time-derivatives in thermo-chemical equations (9) are discretised using a generalised 585 time integration approach on a three-point stencil: 586

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \approx \frac{a_1 Q^p - a_2 Q^{p-1} - a_2 Q^{p-2}}{\Delta t} = b_1 R(Q^p) + b_2 R(Q^{p-1}) + b_3 R(Q^{p-2}).$$
(32)

where Q represents the conserved quantity density, R(Q) its total rate of change, Δt the discrete time step, and p the time step counter. By selecting the time integration coefficients a_{1-3} and b_{1-3} , this scheme can implement first-order Backward Euler Implicit, second-order Crank-Nicolson Semi-implicit, and second-order Three-point Backward Implicit and Semi-implicit schemes (see Table XX). Extensive testing has revealed that the Three-point Backward Implicit scheme performs best.

The stable discrete time step Δt is constrained by the Courant-Friedrich-Lewy (CFL) condition. The advective step must satisfy $\Delta t \leq (h/2)/u_{\text{max}}$, where u_{max} is the highest phase volume flux magnitude, while the diffusive step must satisfy $\Delta t \leq (h/2)^2/\kappa_{\text{max}}$, where κ_{max} is the maximum diffusivity.

⁵⁹⁷ 2.8.2 Numerical Solution

The fluid mechanics equations (18) are solved using an implicit direct solver via Matlab's mldivide function, which efficiently approximates matrix inversion. Solver efficiency is enhanced by vectorising the coefficient matrix assembly, precomputing block matrices for the pressure gradient, velocity divergence, and pressure diagonal once per simulation, and scaling the assembled matrix to ensure diagonal values remain of order one [Keller et al., 2013]. The momentum advection term is treated as a source term on the right-hand side, enabling the use of higher-order advection schemes.

⁶⁰⁵ The mixture mass conservation equation (18b) is implemented in the form,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \boldsymbol{V} \ , \tag{33}$$

with the volume source term $\dot{V} = -(\partial \bar{\rho}/\partial t + \nabla \cdot \sum_i f^i \Delta \mathbf{v}^i)$ including volume changes due to variable density and phase segregation effects.

Time integration is implemented as an explicit update using the residual of the timedependent equations:

$$Q^{q} = Q^{q-1} - \alpha r^{q} \Delta t / a_{1} + \beta (Q^{q-1} - Q^{q-2}), \qquad (34a)$$

$$r^{q} = (a_{1}Q^{k} - a_{2}Q^{k-1} - a_{2}Q^{k-2})/\Delta t - (b_{1}R(Q^{k}) + b_{2}R(Q^{k-1}) + b_{3}R(Q^{k-2})), \quad (34b)$$

where q is the iteration counter. The iterative update procedure can be tuned for robustness using the update step size parameter $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ and the update damping parameter $0 \le \beta \le 1$. As the solution guess for the newest time step Q^p and its corresponding rate of change $R(Q^p)$ improves, the iterative scheme converges toward a semi- or fully implicit solution.

Although the iterative method converges toward a (semi-)implicit solution, achieving significantly larger time steps than the stable explicit limit is less straightforward compared to a direct solver. The iterative step size parameter α must be adjusted to maintain stability. For instance, to use a time step 10 times the CFL limit, $\alpha \leq 0.1$ is required, necessitating more iterations. The trade-off between time step size and non-linear iteration count generally favours taking smaller steps to minimise time discretisation errors. The phase diagram calculation introduces additional non-linearity in hydrous systems compared to the original method in Keller and Katz [2016], as phase fractions depend on the solidus and liquidus curves, which are depressed by the water content in the melt. However, water is excluded from the solid phase, making the melt water content itself dependent on the phase fractions. This interdependence is resolved using an iterative scheme based on Newton's method, typically converging to near machine precision within a few tens of iterations.

To adjust equilibrium phase compositions in response to the slight disequilibrium introduced by the pseudo-equilibrium approach, a numerically simple and lightweight predictor-corrector method is employed, usually achieving convergence within a dozen iterations.

629 2.8.3 Non-linear Iterations

The global system of fluid-mechanics and thermo-chemical governing equations, along with material closure and phase equilibrium models, contains multiple non-linearities. These are resolved using a fixed-point iterative scheme.

First, the thermo-chemical variables S and C_j are updated based on entropy production and component mass conservation. These are then converted to the petrological variables Tand \bar{c}_j , which determine the local equilibrium phase fractions f_{eq}^i and phase compositions c_j^i by solving the phase diagram. The phase equilibrium is used to update phase change rates Γ^i , which in turn are used to update disequilibrium phase fractions f^i according to phase mass conservation.

Next, updated phase fractions are used to reconstruct disequilibrium phase compositions c_{j}^{i} and phase entropies s^{i} . All non-linear model parameters—including densities, viscosities, segregation coefficients, regularised diffusivities, and auxiliary fields such as lithostatic pressure, stresses, strain rates, and dissipation rates—are then recalculated. These updated parameters are used to solve the fluid-mechanics equations, yielding **v** and *P*.

⁶⁴⁴ Phase segregation speeds are then updated to close phase velocities \mathbf{v}^i , which are used in ⁶⁴⁵ the advection of thermo-chemical variables. Finally, geochemical variables are updated, and ⁶⁴⁶ the non-linear residual norm is calculated to monitor convergence.

The residual norm is defined as the 2-norm of the iterative update, normalised by the 2norm of the solution. Convergence criteria can be set based on absolute or relative residual norms, or a maximum iteration count, though the latter is primarily useful for rapid testing. Typically, reducing the relative residual by three orders of magnitude requires about a dozen iterations.

652 2.8.4 Numerical Efficiency

With the thermo-chemical solution updated explicitly and the coefficient matrix assembly for fluid mechanics efficiently vectorised, the primary computational bottleneck is the direct solve for the velocity-pressure system. While more efficient solvers, such as those leveraging external packages like SuiteSparse in MATLAB [Räss et al., 2017], have been demonstrated, the present approach prioritises computational efficiency while keeping algorithmic complexity minimal. For a grid size of 200×200 cells, computing one time step takes approximately 10-20 seconds on a standard personal computer or a single node of a supercomputer cluster (tested on a MacBook laptop, Mac Studio desktop, and the Petrarch supercomputer at the University of Glasgow).

662 2.8.5 Reduced-dimensionality Modes

In 1D models or low-resolution 2D models, the Newton solver used for computing local phase equilibrium can occasionally become the rate-limiting step.

The numerical implementation is designed for two spatial dimensions but can be adapted at runtime based on the number of grid points. In a 0-D box model ($N_x = N_z = 1$), there is no transport by flow, only isotropic deformation to accommodate volume changes caused by phase change or compressibility. In a 1-D vertical column model ($N_x = 1$), there is no horizontal variability or flow.

The box model mode is useful for tracking the thermo-chemical and pressure evolution of an isotropic magma chamber under imposed cooling and/or assimilation rates. The column model mode highlights phase segregation in the absence of convection or with convective mixing parameterised as enhanced diffusivity. The full 2-D mode is useful for resolving how wholechamber or layered convection interacts with phase change and segregation processes. Use cases for each mode are presented in the following sections.

In the column model mode the fluid mechanics equations reduce to a form where matrix assembly and direct solve by approximate matrix inversion are not required. Instead, the solution can be found by numerically integrating the vertical velocity W and dynamic pressure P along the column given the appropriate momentum and volume source terms.

680 2.8.6 Initial and Boundary Conditions

The initial conditions for time-dependent solution variables S, C_j, Θ_k are set to match the chosen fields for $T, \bar{c}_j, \bar{\theta}_k$. These fields can be initialised as a uniform value, representing a homogeneous magma chamber, as two horizontal layers for a simple layered chamber, or as a linear gradient from top to base.

Additionally, a smooth random perturbation field of specified amplitude can be introduced to add initial heterogeneity, facilitating the onset of flow dynamics.

⁶⁸⁷ By default, domain boundaries are treated as the magma-wall rock contact. Alternatively, ⁶⁸⁸ the chamber walls can be placed inside the domain, with wall rock defined by $T_{\text{wall}}, \bar{c}_{j,\text{wall}}, \bar{\theta}_{k,\text{wall}}$. ⁶⁸⁹ The rectangular domain can represent either the full chamber extent or a horizontal segment ⁶⁹⁰ of a sill, in which case wall rock interaction is applied only at the top and base, with periodic ⁶⁹¹ side boundaries.</sup>

Wall rock interaction is simulated using a two-part approach. First, thermo-chemical variables obey zero-flux boundary conditions ($\partial[T, \bar{c}_j, \bar{\theta}_k]/\partial \mathbf{n} = 0$), while velocity-pressure variables follow no-slip conditions ($\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}, \partial P/\partial \mathbf{n} = 0$). Second, volumetric cooling and/or assimilation rates B^a_{wall} are applied in a boundary layer decaying exponentially away from the boundary:

$$B_{\text{wall}}^{a} = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\tau_{a}} (a_{\text{wall}} - a) \exp(-d/\delta), \qquad (35)$$

where $a = [T, \bar{c}_j, \bar{\theta}_k]$, d is the distance from the boundary, and δ is the imposed boundary layer thickness. This approach, similar to Birnbaum et al. [2020], ensures stable boundary conditions while avoiding numerical artefacts from sharp temperature and composition contrasts.

The cooling timescale τ_a depends on an assumed external thermal boundary layer thickness D_0 (i.e., assumed thermal aureole) and wall rock diffusivity κ_0 , approximated by $\tau_a \approx$ D_0^2/κ_0 . For $\kappa_0 = 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ and $D_0 = [0.1, 1, 10]$ m, this results in $\tau_a = [10^4, 10^6, 10^8]$ s, or $\sim [3 \text{ hours}, 12 \text{ days}, 3 \text{ years}].$

Component assimilation timescales are harder to constrain. Purely diffusive assimilation is much slower than thermal effects, but meso-scale processes such as wall rock disaggregation and mechanical mingling may enhance assimilation rates. For simplicity, we assume assimilation follows thermal timescales. Using internal wall rock boundaries can mitigate uncertainties, though interactions remain limited to grid-resolved advection diffusion as well as additional mixing by regularisation as discussed in the following section.

The boundary algorithm can also model scenarios where a more evolved, cooler magma is underplated by hotter, more primitive recharge magma. In this case, boundary interactions are applied only at the base, while the sides and top remain no-contact (zero-flux, free-slip). Instead of setting the base condition to sub-solidus rock properties, T_{wall} , $\bar{c}_{j,\text{wall}}$, $\bar{\theta}_{k,\text{wall}}$ are specified to represent a hot and melt-rich recharge magma. The recharge setup can be initialised with the recharge magma directly at the domain boundary or positioned as an internal layer within the domain.

⁷¹⁶ 2.9 Model Regularisation by Diffusion

Diffusion, understood as the system-scale effect of local-scale fluctuating motion, is used in
four distinct ways in this model to represent sub-grid scale processes and regularise the model
for numerical stability in a physics-inspired manner. The four ways diffusion is used include
classical heat diffusion, phase fraction diffusion, turbulent mixing, and convective layer mixing
in one-dimensional models. The first does not require further discussion.

722 2.9.1 Phase Fraction Diffusion

Phase segregation at the granular scale is subject to local fluctuations around a meso-scale
mean segregation velocity [Segre et al., 2001, Keller and Suckale, 2019]. These fluctuations are
modelled by introducing a phase diffusivity:

$$\kappa^i_\phi = u^i_\phi \ell^i_\phi,\tag{36}$$

where $u_{\phi} \approx |\Delta \mathbf{v}^i|$ is the characteristic magnitude of speed fluctuations of phase constituents (e.g., crystals, bubbles) around their mean segregation velocity, proportional to and of the same order of magnitude as the absolute segregation speed itself [Segre et al., 2001]. $\ell^i_{\phi} \approx 10 \times d^i$ is the characteristic correlation length linked to the local-scale phase constituent size [Segre et al., 2001]. This formulation ensures smooth phase fraction fields without artificially suppressing segregation processes.

To ensure a zero sum over all phase diffusion fluxes, $\sum_{i} \mathbf{q}_{\phi}^{i}$ the flux in (12c) is not directed down gradients in phase fractions but rather down deviations in phase fraction gradients from a reference gradient [Keller and Suckale, 2019],

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi)^{i*} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi^i - \boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi^* , \qquad (37a)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi^* = \sum_i \frac{k_{\phi}^i}{\sum_l k_{\phi}^l} \boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi^i , \qquad (37b)$$

where the reference gradient is found from a weighted sum of phase gradients by relative weightsof phase diffusion coefficients.

The diffusive transport of phase fractions affects the evolution of other properties carried along by phase transport, including heat, momentum, major, and trace composition. To ensure consistency in material transport through phase diffusion we include the phase diffusion flux as a component of phase velocities as described in (22). This effect is generally small and most relevant in dilute suspensions.

742 2.9.2 Eddy Diffusivity for Sub-grid Scale Mixing

In two-dimensional simulations which achieve a high Reynolds number (Re > 10), turbulent 743 eddies can cascade down to smaller and smaller spatial scales. Numerically, eddies can only 744 be resolved robustly at scales well above the grid spacing. Increasing the effective viscosity 745 (i.e., momentum diffusivity) has the effect of dampening out small-scale eddies. However, 746 the additional mixing effected by sub-grid scale turbulent eddies on momentum, heat, and 747 compositional transport are important to consider when regularising turbulent flows. This 748 adaptive diffusivity enhances numerical stability in simulations approaching turbulence while 749 preserving physically meaningful mixing dynamics. 750

These effects are parameterised through an eddy diffusivity approach [e.g., Gatski and Rumsey,
 2002]:

$$\kappa_{\rm trb} = (C_{\rm trb}h)^2 |\underline{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{v})|, \tag{38}$$

where $\kappa_{\rm trb}$ is the effective eddy diffusivity, $0.5 \leq C_{\rm trb} \leq 1$ is a dimensionless calibration constant, *h* the grid spacing, and $|\underline{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{v})|$ the magnitude of the strain rate tensor relating to the systemscale flow field. The eddy diffusivity is applied to momentum diffusion by multiplying to the magma density and adding the regularisation term to the effective viscosity of the magma mixture,

$$\breve{\eta}_{\phi} = \eta_{\phi} + \kappa_{\rm trb} \bar{\rho} , \qquad (39)$$

where η_{ϕ} is the non-regularised effective mixture viscosity.

The turbulent Prandtl and Schmidt numbers, Pr_t and Sc_t , the ratio of momentum diffusivity (viscosity) to thermal and chemical diffusivities in turbulent flows, approach values of order unity with increasingly turbulent dynamics [Reynolds, 1975, Gatski and Rumsey, 2002]. Hence, the turbulent eddy diffusivity is used to enhance thermal and chemical diffusion coefficients as a function of increasing Reynolds number,

$$\breve{k}_s = \frac{\kappa_{\rm trb}}{\Pr} \frac{\rho c_P}{T} , \qquad (40a)$$

$$k_c = \frac{\kappa_{\rm trb}}{\rm Sc} , \qquad (40b)$$

 $[\Pr, Sc] = [\Pr_t, Sc_t] (1 - \exp(-\text{Re}/10))^{-1} .$ (40c)

These relations prescribe effective eddy mixing diffusivities for thermal and chemical fields 764 which gradually approach the eddy diffusivity for Reynolds numbers above 10–100. Whereas 765 the eddy-enhanced chemical diffusivity k_c is the only diffusion process implemented for major 766 and trace components, the eddy-enhance thermal conductivity \breve{k}_s is applied in addition to 767 the classical thermal conductivity k_s . An important distinction, however, is that eddy mixing 768 should move temperature towards an adiabatic profile and hence the regularised eddy diffusion 769 flux goes down gradients in potential temperature T_p , not full temperature T. The regularised 770 771 heat flux hence becomes,

$$\breve{\mathbf{q}}_s = -k_s \boldsymbol{\nabla} T - \breve{k}_s \boldsymbol{\nabla} T_p \,\,, \tag{41}$$

The eddy diffusivity is also added to all phase diffusion coefficients, scaled by the respective phase volume fraction,

$$\breve{\mathbf{q}}^i_\phi = \mathbf{q}^i_\phi + \kappa_{\rm trb}\phi^i. \tag{42}$$

It is the regularised phase diffusion coefficient, $\check{\mathbf{q}}_{\phi}^{i}$, which is used to determine the reference phase gradient $\nabla \phi^{*}$ required to calculate phase diffusion fluxes as shown in (12c) and (37).

776 2.9.3 Convective Mixing in 1D Column Models

Convective mixing cannot be resolved in one-dimensional models. To approximate the effect
of convective mixing, an enhanced diffusivity is introduced for heat and composition in regions
diagnosed as convective [Abe, 1993, 1997]:

$$\kappa_{\rm cnv} = |\mathbf{v}_{\rm cnv}| \Delta_{\rm cnv} , \qquad (43)$$

where $|\mathbf{v}_{cnv}|$ is the estimated magnitude of convective velocity, and Δ_{cnv} is the convective mixing length. The convective mixing speed is estimated using a Stokes law scaling relation on the length scale of half the convective mixing length,

$$|\mathbf{v}_{\rm cnv}| = \frac{2}{9} \frac{\max(-\partial \rho_{\rm np}/\partial z, 0) g \,\Delta_{\rm cnv}^2}{\breve{\eta}} \,. \tag{44}$$

max $(-\partial \rho_{\rm np}/\partial z, 0)$ diagnoses where unstable density stratification is present by taking the vertical gradient of the density calculated in the absence of any *P*-dependence. The gradient is taken by centred differencing over a depth interval corresponding to the convective mixing length.

According to Prandtl's Mixing Length Hypothesis [Prandtl, 1925, Pirozzoli, 2014], the mix-787 ing length should be proportional to the distance from the convective layer boundary [Abe, 788 1993, as convective cells and turbulent eddies are constrained by this distance. In our ap-789 proach, the mixing length is defined as the distance to the nearest convective layer boundary, 790 capped at a maximum value, typically around 10-20% of the domain depth. Since magma 791 bodies can contain multiple stably stratified convective layers, a peak-detection algorithm is 792 employed to identify peaks in $(\partial \rho_{nP}/\partial z)$, which mark the boundaries of stable stratification. 793 The mixing length is then computed as the shortest distance to any detected stratification 794 boundary, including the top and bottom domain boundaries as well as any internal stable 795 layers. 796

⁷⁹⁷ The resulting convective mixing diffusivity κ_{cnv} is used to enhance thermal, chemical, and ⁷⁹⁸ phase diffusion in 1-D column models similarly to how the eddy viscosity κ_{trb} is employed in 2-D ⁷⁹⁹ models. The only difference is that the Prandtl and Schmidt numbers are applied indepent on ⁸⁰⁰ any scaling with Reynolds number (cf., (40)). This formulation ensures convectively unstable ⁸⁰¹ regions experience enhanced mixing, mimicking large-scale overturn processes in magma bodies ⁸⁰² such as large basaltic intrusions or planetary magma oceans.

3 Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges extensive code testing by P. Aellig, E. Machi, S. Swan, V. Perez, and
L. Rey.

⁸⁰⁶ 4 Code availability

The simulation code is open source under a Gnu General Public License Version 3 and available for download at https://github.com/kellertobs/nakhla.

References

- Y. Abe. Thermal evolution and chemical differentiation of the terrestrial magma ocean. Evo-*lution of the Earth and Planets*, 74:41–54, 1993.
- Y. Abe. Thermal and chemical evolution of the terrestrial magma ocean. *Physics of the Earth*and Planetary Interiors, 100(1-4):27–39, 1997.
- ⁸¹⁴ J. Birnbaum, T. Keller, J. Suckale, and E. Lev. Periodic outgassing as a result of unsteady

- convection in ray lava lake, mount erebus, antarctica. Earth and Planetary Science Letters,
 530:115903, 2020.
- W. A. Bohrson, F. J. Spera, M. S. Ghiorso, G. A. Brown, J. B. Creamer, and A. Mayfield.
 Thermodynamic model for energy-constrained open-system evolution of crustal magma bodies undergoing simultaneous recharge, assimilation and crystallization: the magma chamber
 simulator. Journal of Petrology, 55(9):1685–1717, 2014.
- W. A. Bohrson, F. J. Spera, J. S. Heinonen, G. A. Brown, M. A. Scruggs, J. V. Adams, M. K.
 Takach, G. Zeff, and E. Suikkanen. Diagnosing open-system magmatic processes using the

magma chamber simulator (mcs): part i—major elements and phase equilibria. *Contributions*

- to Mineralogy and Petrology, 175:1–29, 2020.
- W. Degruyter and C. Huber. A model for eruption frequency of upper crustal silicic magma
 chambers. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 403:117–130, 2014.
- H. Dominguez, N. Riel, and P. Lanari. Modelling chemical advection during magma ascent.
 Geoscientific Model Development, 17(16):6105–6122, 2024.
- D. A. Drew and S. L. Passman. *Theory of multicomponent fluids*, volume 135. Springer Science
 & Business Media, 2006.
- J. Dufek and O. Bachmann. Quantum magmatism: Magmatic compositional gaps generated by melt-crystal dynamics. *Geology*, 38(8):687–690, 2010.
- T. Gatski and C. Rumsey. Linear and nonlinear eddy viscosity models. *Closure strategies for turbulent and transitional flows*, pages 9–46, 2002.
- ⁸³⁵ T. Gerya. Introduction to numerical geodynamic modelling. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- M. S. Ghiorso and R. O. Sack. Chemical mass transfer in magmatic processes iv. a revised and
 internally consistent thermodynamic model for the interpolation and extrapolation of liquid solid equilibria in magmatic systems at elevated temperatures and pressures. *Contributions* to Mineralogy and Petrology, 119(2):197–212, 1995.
- D. Giordano, J. K. Russell, and D. B. Dingwell. Viscosity of magmatic liquids: a model. *Earth*and Planetary Science Letters, 271(1-4):123-134, 2008.
- F. Gutiérrez and M. A. Parada. Numerical modeling of time-dependent fluid dynamics and
 differentiation of a shallow basaltic magma chamber. *Journal of Petrology*, 51(3):731–762,
 2010.
- A. Harten. High resolution schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws. Journal of computational *physics*, 135(2):260-278, 1997.

- J. S. Heinonen, W. A. Bohrson, F. J. Spera, G. A. Brown, M. A. Scruggs, and J. V. Adams.
 Diagnosing open-system magmatic processes using the magma chamber simulator (mcs):
 part ii—trace elements and isotopes. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 175:1–21,
 2020.
- T. J. Holland, E. C. Green, and R. Powell. Melting of peridotites through to granites: a simple
 thermodynamic model in the system kncfmashtocr. *Journal of Petrology*, 59(5):881–900,
 2018.
- K. Iacovino and C. B. Till. Densityx: A program for calculating the densities of magmatic
 liquids up to 1,627 c and 30 kbar. *Volcanica*, 2(1):1–10, 2019.
- M. Jackson, J. Blundy, and R. Sparks. Chemical differentiation, cold storage and remobilization
 of magma in the earth's crust. *Nature*, 564(7736):405–409, 2018.
- A. M. Jellinek and D. J. DePaolo. A model for the origin of large silicic magma chambers:
 precursors of caldera-forming eruptions. *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 65:363–381, 2003.
- G.-S. Jiang and C.-W. Shu. Efficient implementation of weighted eno schemes. Journal of
 computational physics, 126(1):202–228, 1996.
- L. Karlstrom, J. Dufek, and M. Manga. Magma chamber stability in arc and continental crust.
 Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 190(3-4):249-270, 2010.
- R. F. Katz. Magma dynamics with the enthalpy method: Benchmark solutions and magmatic
 focusing at mid-ocean ridges. *Journal of Petrology*, 49(12):2099–2121, 2008. doi: test.doi.
 19387.
- ⁸⁶⁷ R. F. Katz. *The dynamics of partially molten rock*. Princeton University Press, 2022.
- R. F. Katz, M. Spiegelman, and C. H. Langmuir. A new parameterization of hydrous mantle
 melting. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 4(9), 2003.
- T. Keller and R. F. Katz. The role of volatiles in reactive melt transport in the asthenosphere.
 Journal of Petrology, 57(6):1073–1108, 2016.
- T. Keller and J. Suckale. A continuum model of multi-phase reactive transport in igneous systems. *Geophysical Journal International*, 219(1):185–222, 2019.
- T. Keller, D. A. May, and B. J. Kaus. Numerical modelling of magma dynamics coupled to
 tectonic deformation of lithosphere and crust. *Geophysical Journal International*, 195(3):
 1406–1442, 2013.
- T. Keller, R. F. Katz, and M. M. Hirschmann. Volatiles beneath mid-ocean ridges: Deep melting, channelised transport, focusing, and metasomatism. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 464:55–68, 2017.

- B. Leonard. Order of accuracy of quick and related convection-diffusion schemes. Applied
 Mathematical Modelling, 19(11):640–653, 1995.
- B. D. Marsh. Magma chambers. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 17(1):439–472, 1989.
- G. Moore, T. Vennemann, and I. Carmichael. An empirical model for the solubility of h 2 o in magmas to 3 kilobars. *american Mineralogist*, 83(1-2):36–42, 1998.
- S. L. Passman, J. W. Nunziato, and E. K. Walsh. A theory of multiphase mixtures. In *Rational thermodynamics*, pages 286–325. Springer, 1984.
- S. Pirozzoli. Revisiting the mixing-length hypothesis in the outer part of turbulent wall layers:
 mean flow and wall friction. *Journal of fluid mechanics*, 745:378–397, 2014.
- L. Prandtl. Uber die ausgebildete turbulenz (investigations on turbulent flow). Z. Angew.
 Math. Mech, 5:136–139, 1925.
- L. Räss, T. Duretz, Y. Y. Podladchikov, and S. M. Schmalholz. M2di: Concise and efficient
 matlab 2-d stokes solvers using the finite difference method. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 18(2):755–768, 2017.
- A. Reynolds. The prediction of turbulent prandtl and schmidt numbers. International Journal
 of heat and mass transfer, 18(9):1055–1069, 1975.
- N. Riel, B. J. Kaus, E. Green, and N. Berlie. Magemin, an efficient gibbs energy minimizer: application to igneous systems. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 23(7):e2022GC010427, 2022.
- J. F. Rudge, D. Bercovici, and M. Spiegelman. Disequilibrium melting of a two phase multicomponent mantle. *Geophysical Journal International*, 184(2):699–718, 2011.
- L. Rummel, T. S. Baumann, and B. J. Kaus. An autonomous petrological database for geodynamic simulations of magmatic systems. *Geophysical Journal International*, 223(3):1820–
 1836, 2020.
- P. N. Segre, F. Liu, P. Umbanhowar, and D. A. Weitz. An effective gravitational temperature
 for sedimentation. *Nature*, 409(6820):594–597, 2001.
- J. Solano, M. Jackson, R. Sparks, J. D. Blundy, and C. Annen. Melt segregation in deep crustal
 hot zones: a mechanism for chemical differentiation, crustal assimilation and the formation
 of evolved magmas. *Journal of Petrology*, 53(10):1999–2026, 2012.
- J. Solano, M. Jackson, R. Sparks, and J. Blundy. Evolution of major and trace element composition during melt migration through crystalline mush: implications for chemical differentiation
 in the crust. American Journal of Science, 314(5):895–939, 2014.

- R. Trompert and U. Hansen. The application of a finite volume multigrid method to threedimensional flow problems in a highly viscous fluid with a variable viscosity. *Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics*, 83(3-4):261-291, 1996.
- S. M. Weatherley and R. F. Katz. Melting and channelized magmatic flow in chemically
 heterogeneous, upwelling mantle. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 13(5), 2012.
- 918 Y.-Q. Wong and T. Keller. A unified numerical model for two-phase porous, mush and suspen-
- sion flow dynamics in magmatic systems. *Geophysical Journal International*, 233(2):769–795,
- 920 2023.