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Abstract

Hazards such as heatwaves and floods are often linked to persistent weather patterns. Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Models (AOGCMs) are important tools for evaluating projected changes in extreme weather. Here, we demonstrate that 2-day weather pattern persistence is a useful concept for both investigating climate risks from multi-hazard events as well as for assessing AOGCM realism. This study evaluates the ability of a Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) multi-model sub-ensemble of 10 AOGCMs at reproducing seasonal weather pattern persistence and frequencies over the British Isles. Changes in persistence are investigated under two Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP8.5 and RCP4.5) up to 2100. Broadly, the ensemble replicates historical weather type persistence observed in reanalyses (1971-2000). Future persistence and frequency of summer anticyclonic patterns are found to increase, implying heightened risk of drought and heatwaves. On the other hand, the cyclonic weather type decreases in autumn suggesting reduced likelihood of flooding and severe gales. During winter, AOGCMs suggest increased risk of concurrent flood-wind hazards by 2100, however, they also tend to over-estimate such risks when compared to reanalyses. In summer, the strength of the nocturnal Urban Heat Island (UHI) of London is expected to intensify, enhancing the likelihood of combined heatwave-poor air quality events. Further research is needed to explore other multi-hazards in relation to changing weather pattern persistence and how best to communicate such threats to vulnerable communities.
1. Introduction

Persistent weather patterns can translate into hazards such as heatwaves, poor air quality, drought, wildfires and episodes of flooding [1–4], with significant socio-economic losses [5,6]. Examples of such impactful episodes include the 2003 and 2010 European summer heatwaves that led to more than 100,000 deaths, reduced gross primary productivity of crops and, in the latter episode over Russia, about US$15 billion economic losses [7–10]. Similarly, summer 2013 in eastern China, was the hottest ever recorded in that region, with persistent and widespread heatwaves and droughts causing severe socio-economic impacts amounting to 59 billion RMB in losses [11]. Conversely, the extremely wet and stormy 2013/14 winter over the United Kingdom (UK) was characterised by the passage of numerous low-pressure systems causing extensive pluvial, fluvial, coastal and groundwater flooding along with severe gales [12–14].

A growing body of literature is discussing possible dynamical mechanisms linking Arctic Amplification (AA) [15] (the faster warming of the Arctic compared to the global scale) with more persistent weather patterns across the northern hemisphere mid-latitudes [1–4,16,17]. The influence of AA on the polar jet stream is the dynamical mechanism that has attracted most attention from the media, policy makers and scientists. In fact, since AA began to be observed in the late 1980s, the jet stream has assumed a wavier [2–4,18–20] and weaker [3,4,20,21] character, which accounts for more persistent weather patterns, and hence impactful extreme events in the northern mid-latitudes [16,17,22,23]. Meanwhile, analysis of weather type occurrence and persistence in historical and 21st century climate model runs, under different Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs), is becoming relevant for assessing the dynamical realism of models as well for describing associated weather and climate extremes [24–27]. By focusing on weather type persistence both model realism and phenomena associated with the AA can be examined.
Natural hazards pose a significant socio-economic threat, yet their spatio-temporal co-occurrence (termed herein multi-hazards) are not yet fully understood [28,29]. Multi-hazards/risks research has grown considerably over the last decade [30–34], such that the United Nations Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR) [35] has called for multi-hazard approaches to disaster risk reduction. Examples of multi-hazard studies include interactions between earthquakes and landslides [36], multi-basin flooding and extra-tropical cyclones [37], river and coastal flooding [38], extreme wet and dry hydrological events [39].

Considering natural hazards as physical processes that can interact across both temporal and spatial scales is of interest to decision makers such as governments, local businesses, emergency management services and (re)-insurance companies. Until recently, natural hazards were almost always considered as independent perils. However, since they can compound in various ways (i.e. occur simultaneously, as cascades or cumulatively) over a sufficiently long time-frame [35], their combined socio-economic impacts can exceed what was originally planned for, putting societies and economies under stress [28].

Previous studies have investigated linkages between weather patterns (or large-scale atmospheric circulation) and local extreme events, such as heavy rainfall, storms, floods and heatwaves [27,37,40–46]. The conventional approach to flood analysis at the single catchment scale is being extended to frameworks with inter-related hazards, driven by global climate modes, covering multiple catchments [41]. Others show that the bias in simulating regional extreme precipitation days by an Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Model (AOGCM) is reduced by applying atmospheric circulation indices [43]. Moreover, weather patterns extracted from AOGCMs have also been used to downscale local climate variables, such as temperature, precipitation, radiation and humidity at local scales [27,47,48]. However, AOGCMs vary in their ability to simulate the frequency, seasonality and persistence of weather patterns at regional scales [27,44]. Some studies have linked heavy precipitation events to
atmospheric circulation states, such as the 850hPa geopotential height field or integrated vapour transport (IVT) [42], and found connections between selected weather patterns and multi-basin flooding driven by extra-tropical cyclones (ETCs) [37]. Others have used weather patterns to quantify changes in the strength of the nocturnal Urban Heat Island (UHI) – a phenomenon that may be associated with combined heatwave and air pollution events within cities [40].

Previous evaluations for Europe and the British Isles (BI) show that Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) AOGCMs generally reproduce synoptic-scale weather patterns, calculated using daily sea-level pressure (SLP) fields, but there are recognized biases [49,50]. For example, CMIP5 AOGCMs are not yet able to simulate correctly the number of anticyclonic (A-type) patterns and hence blocking episodes, with the former being underestimated in northern Europe and the BI, but overestimated in southern Europe [49–51]. Other biases are found for cyclonic (C-type) and westerly (W-type) occurrences, with both being overestimated across Europe [49]. These studies also examined future changes in frequency of weather patterns and blocking episodes by comparing historical conditions with RCP8.5, to determine how such changes might affect European temperatures. The A-type is projected to increase significantly over the BI during all seasons except for winter (DJF), the C-type to decrease in all seasons, and the W-type to increase except in summer (JJA) by the end of the century [49]. Overall, blocking episodes are projected to decrease for the BI in DJF and JJA by 2061-2090 (RCP8.5) [51].

We extend these analyses by assessing the ability of a CMIP5 [52] multi-model sub-ensemble (MME) of 10 AOGCMs at reproducing historical seasonal persistence of daily weather patterns, here identified as Lamb Weather Types (LWTs) over the BI [53–56]. We define 2-day persistence as the probability that a given LWT will occur on any two successive days. Climate model simulations of historic weather patterns are compared with those derived from 20CR [57], NCEP [58] reanalyses, and Lamb’s
catalogue of subjectively defined weather types [53,59]. We investigate how persistence and seasonal frequencies are projected to change within the full 21st century under RCP8.5 and RCP4.5, with persistence assessed for both the MME mean (MMEM) and individual AOGCMs. We also quantify and discuss the implications of future multi-hazards, here identified as nearly concurrent multi-basin flooding and ETCs impacting Great Britain (GB) in winter [37] or combined summer heatwave and poor air quality events over London [40]. Thus, two multi-hazard metrics are applied, along with their evaluation under RCP8.5 and RCP4.5 projections up to 2100: likelihood of (1) multi-basin flooding (F-Score) and (2) changing intensity of the nocturnal Urban Heat Island (UHI).

2. Methods and Data

2.1 Lamb Weather Types (LWTs)

Daily atmospheric sea-level pressure (SLP) patterns are categorized using the system of Lamb Weather Types (LWTs) [53] via an objective classification scheme centred over the BI (Fig 1) [54,56]. Choice of the LWTs objective scheme is justified by the fact that this methodology and weather typing classification was originally developed for the BI. LWTs of similar airflow properties are derived from a 5° by 10° latitude-longitude grid array (Fig 1) and computed from daily (12 UTC) SLP values at each grid point. The airflow characteristics are expressed by the following set of equations, where the integers in bold correspond to the grid point reference numbers in Fig 1:

\[ W = \frac{1}{2} (12 + 13) - \frac{1}{2} (4 + 5) \]  
\[ S = 1.74 \left[ \frac{1}{4} (5 + 2.0 \times 9 + 13) - \frac{1}{4} (4 + 2.0 \times 8 + 12) \right] \]
\[
F = (S^2 + W^2)^{1/2} \quad \text{(resultant flow)}
\]

\[
ZW = 1.07 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (15 + 16) - \frac{1}{2} (8 + 9) \right] - 0.95 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (8 + 9) - \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2) \right]
\]

\[
ZS = 1.52 \left[ \frac{1}{4} (6 + 2.0 \times 10 + 14) - \frac{1}{4} (5 + 2.0 \times 9 + 13) - \frac{1}{4} (4 + 2.0 \times 8 + 12) \right] + \frac{1}{4} (3 + 2.0 \times 7 + 11)
\]

\[
Z = ZW + ZS \quad \text{(total shear vorticity)}
\]

Flow units are derived from the geostrophic approximation (each equivalent to 1.2 knots) and they are, along with the geostrophic vorticity units, expressed as hPa per 10° latitude at 55°N (100 units are equivalent to 0.55x10^{-4}=0.46 times the Coriolis parameter at 55°N). Three coefficients are used within equations (2, 4 and 5) to account for variations in relative grid spacing at different latitudes with latitude (\psi) here set as 55° [56]: S is multiplied by 1.74, derived from 1/cos (\psi); ZW, 1.07 and 0.95 from sin(\psi)/sin(\psi-5°) and sin(\psi)/sin(\psi+5°); ZS, 1.52 from 1/2(cos(\psi)^2).

The last step for defining LWTs is to apply five rules [53,54,56]:

i) the flow direction is given by tan^{-1}(W/S) and is calculated on an eight-point compass with 45° per sector. If W is positive, add 180°. Thus, the W-type occurs between 247.5° and 292.5°;

ii) Lamb pure directional weather types (e.g. N, S, or E-types) correspond to an essentially straight flow, when |Z| is less than F;
iii) Lamb’s pure cyclonic (C) and anticyclonic (A) types are identified when $|Z|$ is greater than $2F$, respectively with $Z > 0$ and $Z < 0$;

iv) Lamb’s hybrid types (e.g. AE and CSW) are characterised by a flow partially anticyclonic/cyclonic, with $|Z|$ lying between $F$ and $2F$;

v) the unclassified (U) type is obtained when $F$ and $|Z|$ are less than 6, with the choice of 6 depending on grid spacing.

The objective classification scheme yields 27 LWTs comprised of two synoptic types (A and C), five purely directional types (W, NW, E, N, and S), 19 hybrid combinations of synoptic and directional types (e.g. CNW, CSE and AE), and 1 unclassified (U) type [54,56]. For persistence and frequency analyses, we focus on the 7 synoptic and directional LWTs plus the U-type; counts of hybrid types were spread across the main types as per Lamb’s original definition [53,60] and common practice within earlier studies [54,55,61,62]. We assess LWT persistence and frequency for summer (June-July-August, JJA), autumn (September-October-November, SON), winter (December-January-February, DJF) and spring (March-April-May, MAM). On the other hand, when calculating indices of future multi-hazards, the hybrid LWTs were not incorporated into the 7 main types as the F-Score and nocturnal UHI indices require these weather patterns to be considered independently.
Fig 1. Grid points used to calculate Jenkinson flow and vorticity terms for the British Isles (BI). Numbers refer to those points used in Equations 1 to 5.

2.2 Data

Weather patterns were derived from the SLP produced by each AOGCM in our CMIP5 MME listed in Table 1 [52]. We defined the historical period as the 1980s (1971-2000) whereas the future was divided into three 30-year periods: the 2020s (2011-2040), 2050s (2041-2070) and 2080s (2071-2100).

The CMIP5 AOGCMs and MME outputs for the historical period was compared with LWTs derived from 20CR [57], NCEP [58] reanalyses and Lamb’s subjective catalogue, which ends in 1997 [53,59]. The MME was built by first computing the LWTs and relative seasonal persistence and frequencies per each AOGCM then averaging these values within each time period.
2.3 Persistence and trend analyses

Weather pattern persistence is defined here as the conditional probability \( p_{ij} \) that a given LWT\(_j\) on day\( (t) \) is followed by the same LWT\(_j\) on day\( (t+1) \) \cite{63,64}. This diagnostic was extracted for the 7 main LWTs and the U-type using the diagonal cells of Markov-chain transition matrices. This enabled estimation of historical (1980s) and future (2020s, 2050s and 2080s) seasonal persistence for the MMEM as well as for individual AOGCMs for impactful weather types and seasons, the 20CR, NCEP reanalyses and Lamb’s subjective catalogue.

Uncertainty in \( p_{ij} \) for the 1980s was calculated by boot-strapping \((n=1,000)\) 30-year seasonal simulations using the \textit{markovchain} package within the R framework \cite{65}. This algorithm stochastically generates \( n \) series of daily LWTs from the original conditional distributions of the weather patterns in each AOGCM, then recomputes \( p_{ij} \) from each series. The resulting \( p^{\text{BOOTSTRAP}}_{ij} \) is the mean of all \( p_{ij} \) across the 1000 series, for each AOGCM. The 95\% confidence intervals of \( p^{\text{BOOTSTRAP}}_{ij} \) are obtained from the cumulative distribution of the 1000 values of \( p_{ij} \) for each AOGCM.

Statistical significance of changes in persistence for the AOGCM sub-ensemble between the 1980s and future periods (S1 and S2 Tables) was assessed using a Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon two-tailed test \cite{66} applied to the 10 estimates of \( p^{\text{BOOTSTRAP}}_{ij} \) for each time period. Changes in \( p_{ij} \) between the 1980s and future periods for \textit{individual} AOGCMs were regarded as statistically significant if future persistence of a given LWT and AOGCM fell outside the 95\% confidence intervals of the \( p^{\text{BOOTSTRAP}}_{ij} \) range of that AOGCM for the 1980s (Fig 3 and S2 Fig).

To detect both linear and non-linear annual changes in the total seasonal counts of LWTs MMEM frequencies under RCP8.5 and RCP4.5 scenarios, a trend analysis was performed for the 2006-2100 time period. For illustrative purposes, we only show trends for anticyclonic (A, summer JJA), cyclonic
(C, autumn SON) and westerly (W, winter DJF) types as indicators of impactful weather across the BI
(Fig 4 and S3 Fig). Results are also presented for the southerly (S, spring MAM) types as this LWT
shows most significant changes in seasonal persistence according to the non-parametric Mann-
Whitney-Wilcoxon two-tailed test between the 1980s and each of the three future periods (i.e. 2020s,
2050s and 2080s). A modified Mann-Kendall test, which takes into account possible autocorrelation
within the time series, was applied to both RCP8.5 and RCP4.5 seasonal MMEM LWTs frequencies
[67]. The significance of trends, along with their relative Sen’s slopes, are shown in S3 Table [68].

2.4 Indices of winter flood-wind hazards and summer UHI intensity

As a measure of concurrent flood-wind hazards we calculated an extended version of the F-Index
[37, 69], here defined as the F-Score, for each single AOGCM, MMEM, NCEP, 20CR and Lamb’s
subjective datasets, covering the 1980s, 2020s, 2050s and 2080s, for selected LWTs known to drive
these multi-hazard events [37] during winter under both RCP8.5 (Fig 5) and RCP4.5 (S4 Fig). The F-
Index is the ratio of observed to expected frequency of floods for a given LWT, where values greater
than 1 show higher than expected likelihood. Ten LWTs are known to be associated with historic,
multi-basin floods [37], of which eight (C, CS, CSW, CNW, S, SW, W, and NW-types) increase their
likelihood and two (N and A-types) reduce likelihood. All other LWTs are weighted zero. The F-Score
is then calculated by multiplying the winter DJF frequencies \( f_{\text{freq}}_{\text{djf}} \) of these LWTs by their
\( F_{\text{Index}} \) (as per Event Set E in [37]) and by summing these values:

\[
F_{\text{Score}} = \sum_{j=1}^{10} f_{\text{freq}}_{\text{djf},j} \times F_{\text{Index},j}
\]

(7)
where \( i \) represents the single AOGCM, NCEP, 20CR and Lamb’s subjective datasets within the relative time periods of 1980s, 2020s, 2050s, 2080s and \( j \) is the given LWT considered from the 10 types mentioned above. The higher the F-Score, the greater the likelihood of concurrent multi-basin flood and wind hazards within winter, over the specified time horizon and RCP scenario.

As a proxy for combined heatwave and poor air quality hazards occurring during summer, we use observed, simulated and projected nocturnal UHI temperatures in tenths of degree Celsius for London (UK) \[40\], using the same datasets, time periods and RCPs as per the F-Score (Fig 6 and S5 Fig). The UHI phenomenon is caused by absorption and trapping of heat as well as by changed airflows and sensible heat fluxes within the built environment. The simplest form of UHI metric (used by \[40\]) is based on the daily temperature difference between an urban and rural reference site (during daylight or night hours). These values may then be stratified by LWT to show the extent to which some weather patterns favour extreme UHI episodes. The UHI metric was derived as follows by: i) multiplying LWT summer JJA frequencies \( (\text{freq}_{jja_h}) \) by their respective average UHI intensities taken from \[40\] \( (UHI_{wh}) \); ii) summing these values; and iii) dividing the total from step ii) by the total number of days in the period analysed \( (\text{days}_{h}) \) to give the mean daily UHI intensity:

\[
UHI_i = \sum_{h=1}^{27} \frac{\text{freq}_{jja_h} \times UHI_{wh}}{\text{days}_{h,i}}
\]

(8)

where \( i \) is the same notation as per the F-Score and \( h \) refers to the 27 LWTs.

To assess the statistical significance of changes between the AOGCMs 1980s and future 2020s, 2050s and 2080s periods, for both the F-Score and nocturnal UHI temperatures, we applied a similar approach
as per persistence. Here, n=1,000 boot-strapped samples of daily LWT series (based on conditional distributions for all seasons combined) were generated for each AOGCM run in the 1980s. Next, the F-Score or UHI were calculated for every series and AOGCM, then averaged and confidence limits established as before. This procedure shows the extent to which estimates for the future indices fall within the 95% confidence range of the boot-strapped estimate for each AOGCM in the 1980s.

Sample sizes varied depending on the index and AOGCM. For the F-Score, we considered the period 1971-2001 to capture January and February of winter 2000/01. Here, models with leap years have a total of 11,323 days, models without leap years 11,315 days and the HadGEM2-ES model (with 360 days per year) has 11,160 days. For the UHI, the calendar years 1971-2000 were used as we are interested in summer temperatures, with leap year AOGCMs having 10,958 days, non-leap years models 10,950 days and the HadGEM2-ES 10,800 days.
Table 1. CMIP5 multi-model sub-ensemble (MME) used in the analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Research institute</th>
<th>Lat-Lon resolution</th>
<th>Ensemble member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HadGEM2-ES</td>
<td>Met Office, United Kingdom</td>
<td>1.25° × 1.875°</td>
<td>r1i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR</td>
<td>Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Germany</td>
<td>1.9° × 1.9°</td>
<td>r1i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-CGCM3</td>
<td>Meteorological Research Institute, Japan</td>
<td>1.1° × 1.1°</td>
<td>r1i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNRM-CM5</td>
<td>National Centre for Meteorological Research, France</td>
<td>1.4° × 1.4°</td>
<td>r1i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CanESM2</td>
<td>Canadian Center for Climate Modeling and Analysis, Canada</td>
<td>2.8° × 2.8°</td>
<td>r1i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC5</td>
<td>Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate, Japan</td>
<td>1.4° × 1.4°</td>
<td>r1i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-Mk3.6.0</td>
<td>Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australia</td>
<td>1.9° × 1.9°</td>
<td>r10i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSL-CM5A-LR</td>
<td>Institute Pierre-Simon Laplace, France</td>
<td>1.9° × 3.75°</td>
<td>r1i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSM4</td>
<td>National Center for Atmospheric Research, USA</td>
<td>0.94° × 1.25°</td>
<td>r6i1p1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-CM3</td>
<td>Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, USA</td>
<td>2° × 2.5°</td>
<td>r1i1p1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The columns in Table 1 show the: (1) CMIP5 model name; (2) research institute where the model was developed; (3) resolution as latitude by longitude in degrees; and (4) ensemble member analysed. For all models the historical and RCP8.5 (and RCP4.5) sea-level pressure (SLP) outputs are used to calculate daily LWTs for the BI.
3 Results

3.1 Persistence of weather patterns (MME)

The A, C and W patterns are the most frequent weather types affecting the BI. Overall, the MME replicates weather type persistence during the four climatological seasons when compared with 20CR [57] and NCEP [58] reanalyses for the historical period (1980s) (Fig 2). There is less agreement between Lamb’s subjectively classified daily weather catalogue and both the MME and reanalyses. A-type persistence is more variable within the MME and on average underestimated in winter, consistent with previous studies [49,50]. There is closer agreement for the A-type in other seasons.

W-type persistence agrees with the reanalyses but is always less than in Lamb’s catalogue. C-type persistence is overestimated by the MME in all seasons when compared to reanalyses as reported before [49] for Europe more generally. Such biases in the C-type could be interpreted as exaggerating the likelihood of flooding in the MME compared with reanalyses [69].

Fig 2 shows that the distributions of persistence are asymmetrical (or skewed) around the MME means for many of the weather types and time periods. This characteristic suggests potentially large biases in the estimation of extreme events, if studies rely on only single or a few AOGCMs. Changes in weather type persistence between the ensembles of historical and future periods within RCP8.5 (Fig 2) are weakly significant (p-value<0.1, Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon two-tailed test) for the C-type in summer and autumn by 2080s; W-type in winter by 2050s; E-type in summer by 2080s and winter for the 2020s and 2050s; N-type in spring by 2050s and 2080s; and S-type in summer by 2080s, autumn in all periods and spring by 2050s and 2080s (S1 Table).
Results for RCP4.5 show similar changes in persistence compared to RCP8.5, although they are less substantial (S1 Fig). In particular, the C-type is found to change significantly (p<0.1) only in summer by the 2080s; the E-type in winter by the 2080s; the N-type only in spring by the 2080s; and the S-type in summer by the 2050s and spring also by the 2020s (S2 Table).

Fig 2. Persistence of the seven main LWTs plus unclassified (U) type under RCP8.5. Persistence is calculated for (a) summer, (b) autumn, (c) winter and (d) spring, for the historical 1980s period (1971-2000) and under RCP8.5 by the 2020s (2011-2040), 2050s (2041-2070) and 2080s (2071-2100). Boxplots show distributions of persistence in each LWT, for the 10-member AOGCM ensemble, compared with 20CR, NCEP and the Lamb’s catalogue. Segments show the minimum, 1st quartile, median, 3rd quartile and maximum. Outliers are shown by dots.

3.2 Persistence of weather patterns (by model)

Fig 3 shows persistence for the same future periods but for each AOGCM in the MME compared with the reanalyses and Lamb’s catalogue, for impactful weather types and seasons. Significance of changes
was assessed against the boot-strapped confidence limits for the 1980s. Most model projections under RCP8.5 fall outside the 95% confidence intervals of historical persistence. A-type MMEM persistence increases during summer (Figs 2a and 3a); C-type persistence decreases in all seasons, most markedly in summer and autumn (Figs 2 and 3b); W-type persistence does not change in winter but increases in autumn and decreases in spring (Figs 2b-d and 3c).

Amongst the other weather types, we note only a decrease in C- and E-types during summer, an increase in N-type in spring, and S-type persistence decreases in all seasons (Figs 2 and 3d). The AOGCMs showing the largest change in A-type persistence during summer are CNRM-CM5, GFDL-CM3 and MIROC5, with a significant increase of 0.061, 0.059 and 0.035 respectively between 1980s and 2080s. For the C-type in autumn, CSIRO-Mk3.6.0, GFDL-CM3 and HadGEM2-ES show a significant decrease in persistence, between 1980s and 2080s, of 0.157, 0.140 and 0.098 respectively. During winter, for the W-type, the AOGCMs showing the largest change, between the same 1980s and 2080s periods, are MRI-CGCM3, CanESM2 and CSIRO-Mk3.6.0 with a significant increase in persistence of 0.367, 0.334 and 0.092 respectively.

Analysis of RCP4.5 output shows similar, though less marked, results when compared to RCP8.5 (S2 Fig). Under the lower emission scenario, we find that most AOGCMs project persistence that falls outside the 95% confidence intervals of the 1980s. A-type MMEM persistence in summer is expected to slightly increase, in particular during the 2080s (Figs S1a-S2a), C-type in autumn may decrease (Figs S1b-S2b), W-type during winter is projected to remain stable across the three future periods (Figs S1c-S2c) and S-type persistence in spring decreases by 2100 (Figs S1d-S2d). Other weather types changes in persistence are found for C-type in summer and A-type in autumn which are set to decrease and a marked increase in E-type during winter; the latter are not in agreement with RCP8.5 (S1 Fig).
**3.3 Frequency of weather patterns (MMEM)**

Projected frequency trends for selected weather types and seasons under RCP8.5 (2006-2100) are shown in Fig 4. Summer A- and winter W-type frequencies are expected to rise significantly \(^{(p<0.01, \text{S3 Table})}\) by 0.8 and 0.2 days per decade respectively over the period 2006-2100. Conversely, C- and S-type frequencies decrease significantly \(^{(p<0.01, \text{S3 Table})}\) in autumn and spring respectively. No significant trends are found for C-type frequency during winter. Sen’s slopes for the MMEM with their statistical significance are given in S3 Table for each weather type, season and RCP. We also computed the Sen’s slopes for A-type in each individual AOGCM during summer (RCP8.5, not shown here) to check whether the increase in A-type was solely due to a few models showing a large increase in this weather type. We found that all models within the MME show a positive increase in A-type frequency,
with 7 out of 10 AOGCMs showing significance at the 90% level, with no outliers skewing the MMEM. Among other seasons (not shown), a significant decrease in annual frequencies is observed for the C-type during summer (p<0.01) and spring (p<0.05), along with a significant (p<0.01) increase in A-type during spring, which all reflect the changes in persistence (Fig 2a and 2d).

Projections of MMEM frequencies for the same LWTs and seasons but under RCP4.5 are shown in S3 Fig and S3 Table. Results for RCP4.5 reflect the scenarios of RCP8.5 although the Sen’s slopes are less extreme and statistically significant. The A-type frequency is projected to increase significantly (p<0.01, S3a Fig and S3 Table) during summer, C-type in autumn is set to decrease (p<0.05, S3b Fig), W-type frequency in winter shows no significant trend (S3c Fig), and the S-type during spring decreases significantly (p<0.05, S3d Fig). As per RCP8.5, we also observe (not shown) a significant decrease in C-type frequencies during summer (p<0.01) and spring (p<0.05) and an increase in the A-type during spring (p<0.05), matching the relative changes in persistence (Figs S1a and S1d).
Fig 4. Projected annual frequencies for selected LWTs and seasons under RCP8.5. Frequencies are shown as MMEM for (a) summer anticyclonic A, (b) autumn cyclonic C, (c) winter westerly W and (d) spring southerly S LWTs under RCP8.5 (2006-2100). Trends are statistically significant at the 1% level (p-value<0.01, modified Mann-Kendall test). Shaded areas represent the 95% confidence intervals of the MMEM. The trend lines refer to the Sen’s slopes calculated with the modified Mann-Kendall test.

3.4 Application to future multi-hazards

In Fig 5 we extend an earlier analysis [37] based on impactful LWTs found to generate concurrent flood-wind hazards in GB. Thus, the F-Score for each single AOGCM, MMEM, NCEP, 20CR and Lamb’s subjective datasets and 1980s, 2020s, 2050s and 2080s time periods are shown for winter DJF weather patterns under RCP8.5. The F-Score is a measure of the severity of future concurrent flood-wind hazards, such that higher values represent more severe impacts compared to lower ones. Here, we show that the baseline risk from multiple flood-wind hazards is overestimated by all but two of the AOGCMs (i.e. HadGEM2-ES and MIROC5) when compared to NCEP, 20CR reanalyses and Lamb’s subjective catalogue for the 1980s. Assuming the same bias holds in the future, AOGCMs likely overestimate absolute future risk from concurrent flood-wind hazards by 2100. Moreover, in a similar
way as per Fig 3, there exists a large variability between the AOGCMs, so F-Score results are mixed
with some AOGCMs suggesting increased/decreased risk of flood-wind hazards by the end of the 21st
century. It is, therefore, always important to use large ensembles to characterise uncertainty in the
projections. Lastly, by looking at the MMEM we conclude that, although overestimated by AOGCMs,
future risk from concurrent flood-wind hazards could increase by 2100 compared with the 1980s.
Among the AOGCMs, those showing the largest F-Score increase between the 1980s and 2080s are
CanESM2, CCSM4 and IPSL-CM5A-LR. Results for RCP4.5 are shown in S4 Fig and they agree with
what was found for RCP8.5, with large variability amongst AOGCMs and MMEM F-Score even
slightly higher than RCP8.5.
Fig 5. F-Score for LWTs associated with concurrent flood-wind hazards during winter DJF. The F-Score is shown per each CMIP5 AOGCM, MMEM, NCEP, 20CR and Lamb’s subjective catalogue for the 1980s, 2020s, 2050s and 2080s periods. The LWTs used for calculating the F-Score are associated with concurrent multi-basin floods and wind hazards within Great Britain (GB) [37]. The 1980s MME F-Score were estimated from the mean of n=1,000 boot-strapped samples and all the future 2020s, 2050s and 2080s periods are significantly different from these, as the F-Score of the latter fall outside the 95% confidence intervals of the 1980s means. The AOGCMs 1980s confidence intervals bars are not shown for simplicity because they are vanishingly narrow.

Summer nocturnal UHI temperatures in tenths of °C for London (UK), were estimated for RCP8.5, by using UHI values obtained in a previous study [40] (Fig 6). Our results show that AOGCMs replicate nocturnal UHI temperatures, although there is a tendency for underestimation by the majority of AOGCMs except HadGEM2-ES and MIROC5 which show a good agreement when compared to NCEP, 20CR and Lamb’s subjective catalogue. We also note that there is less variability within the MME than displayed in Figs 3 and 5. Lastly, almost all the AOGCMs and MMEM show a statistically significant increase in UHI by the end of 2100, that could eventually translate into an increased multi-hazard risk from heatwave and poor air quality events associated with persistent A weather types [40,70–72]. The projected increase in the MMEM UHI between the 1980s and 2080s is 0.15 °C under
RCP8.5. The AOGCMs that show the largest increase in nocturnal UHI temperatures between 1980s and 2080s are CanESM2, HadGEM2-ES and CCSM4 with respectively 0.23, 0.22 and 0.22 °C. Results for RCP4.5 agree with the RCP8.5 projections although the changes are less marked (S5 Fig).

![Graph showing nocturnal Urban Heat Island in tenths of °C during summer JJA for different AOGCMs and models.](image)

**Fig 6.** As per Fig 5 but for London’s nocturnal UHI in tenths of °C during summer JJA.

### 4. Discussion and Conclusions

Greater A-type persistence and frequency during summer likely implies more blocking episodes with increased risk of poor air quality, drought and heatwaves [1,5,20]. A growing number of studies propose physical mechanisms that link AA [15] to more persistent weather patterns, which in turn enhance the likelihood of extreme weather events in the northern hemisphere mid-latitudes. The AA affects the polar jet stream by making Rossby waves more meridional (or wavier) and by weakening its flow. A wavier and weaker jet stream in summer favours more persistent extreme weather and it is also thought to extend ridges northward, enhancing such effects [1–3,17,20–22].
Our results support earlier analysis [49], and are consistent with the proposed mechanisms linking observed AA with mid-latitude weather extremes. On the other hand, AA is expected to have limited effect on simulated CMIP5 blocking over Eurasia under RCP8.5 in the second half of the 21st century [73]. Other work also shows an overall decrease in CMIP5 blocking events over the BI in winter DJF and summer JJA, during 2061-2090 (RCP8.5) [51]. Our findings for anticyclonic weather appear to contradict this. Although A-type persistence and frequency are not exactly the same as blocking, we would expect the studies to agree as both mechanisms involve high pressure weather patterns. The only common denominator between our findings and the studies on blocking [51,73] seems to be the underestimation of A-type/blocking events by CMIP5 models. Further research is needed to reconcile these apparently contradictory findings. Possible explanations are that results depend on the exact spatial domain and/or suite of AOGCMs analysed in each MME, as well as on the methodology used to define A-type days and blocking events.

Less persistent C-types in autumn suggests lower likelihood of heavy rainfall, with reduced recharge of soil moisture and aquifers at the start of the hydrological year favouring winter droughts. Fewer cyclonic days may also translate into less frequent severe gales and flooding episodes [69], as in GB extreme multi-basin flooding events are strongly associated with C-type weather over time windows from 1 to 19 days [37]. Conversely, more frequent zonal airflow (W-type) in winter may counteract some loss of precipitation from the C-type, especially across higher elevation regions of the north and west BI where there is strong orographic enhancement [74]. Such changes may be attributed to AA, however, the physical mechanisms linking AA to changes in northern hemisphere mid-latitude circulation currently remains an open question.
From our analyses it is also possible to infer future changes with respect to multi-hazards [28,30], through the F-Score and nocturnal UHI temperatures. Recent analyses show that in GB nearly concurrent multi-basin flooding and extreme wind events are driven by selected LWTs mainly associated with C- and W-types [37]. These multi-hazard events can generate significant economic losses, hence projections of such events may help in evaluating future risks and in improving resilience. We show that during winter DJF the AOGCMs overestimate the F-Score when compared to NCEP, 20CR reanalyses and Lamb’s subjective dataset. Even so, by the end of 2100 the MME shows a statistically significant increase in the F-Score compared with the 1980s within those same models, suggesting that the risk of concurrent flood-wind impacts may become more severe in a warmer world.

Nocturnal UHI temperatures in London modelled by AOGCMs agree with NCEP, 20CR and Lamb’s subjective datasets, although they are slightly underestimated for the 1980s. Nocturnal UHI severity is expected to increase by 2100 under RCP8.5 (MME). Our results confirm an increasing trend of ~0.3 °C in nocturnal UHI in London found in an earlier study over the observational period 1950-2006 [40]. Our findings are also in line with the UK Climate Projections Science Report 2009 [75] which suggests that intense UHI events are highly correlated with A-type weather patterns, and that in London, intense UHI summer events are expected to become more severe in the future [76]. However, further analysis of projections of UHI is needed with a larger model ensemble to better account for uncertainty. Our present findings, when coupled with a significant increase in persistence and frequency of A-type weather pattern, suggests a combined increased risk of heatwaves and poor air quality events in London [40,70–72,76] that could negatively impact human health.

Finally, we have illustrated how changes in the persistence and frequency of weather patterns are useful diagnostics of climate model realism and can translate into regional to local weather and climate risks scenarios, which could be helpful for developing narratives for decision-makers. However,
caution needs to be taken when qualitatively converting synoptic weather pattern changes into local variability because AOGCM skill in reproducing climatic variables at local scales varies significantly and is not always consistent with observations. This is particularly true for precipitation where, for example, pressure fields alone are not able to provide reliable local projections [27].

With the UK Climate Projections 2018 now partly released and planning underway for the third UK Climate Change Risk Assessment, weather pattern analysis could help to both evaluate the new projections and offer ways of explaining changes that are intelligible to a range of user communities. Similar links to persistence could be made in other regions with established weather pattern typologies, such as the Grosswetterlagen for Europe [77], hydrologically important weather types in the contiguous United States [78] and Spatial Synoptic Classification for North America [79].
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