
Mustafa Sari\textsuperscript{1,2,*}, Sotiris Alevizos\textsuperscript{2}, Thomas Poulet\textsuperscript{1,2}, Jack Lin\textsuperscript{2}, Manolis Veveakis\textsuperscript{3}

\textbf{Abstract}

Constitutive modelling in geotechnical engineering and applied earth sciences is facing the challenge of assessing the long-term potential of both man-made and natural geohazards. This involves designing engineering operations for length scales and timescales well beyond those observable in laboratory. In order to bridge the gap between scales, study rock behaviours well beyond their yield point and provide predictive capabilities to long term problems, constitutive models need to be equipped with multi-physical information that can be measured at laboratory time-scales and extrapolated beyond them. Enriching constitutive models with a sufficient level of details from the physical mechanisms taking place at the microstructure could provide such functionalities.

In this work, a physics-based constitutive theory for sedimentary rocks is proposed combining the results of laboratory tests, theoretical analysis and numerical validation. The viscosity of the material is assumed to be a function of the temperature, pore-pressure and energy required to alter the inter-granular interfaces. The resulting flow law and corresponding stress equilibrium are coupled to the energy and mass conservation laws, constituting a closed system of equations. To solve this system, the theoretical framework is implemented using the Finite Element REDBACK simulator.
and its qualitative behaviour is analysed in monotonous and cyclic isotropic compression, as well as in direct shear for different loading rates.

A series of numerical calibration tests is then performed against different types of rocks (sandstone, mudstone), saturating conditions (dry, wet), stress paths (triaxial, isotropic) and temperatures (from room temperature to over 800K). It concludes that the mechanical response of sedimentary porous rocks at strains usually achieved in laboratory testing is determined by the strength of the cementitious material bonding the grains. This strength is shown to be stress path dependent under the hypotheses made in this work and the interfaces are shown to obey a Kelvin-like interface law at the microscopic level.
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1. Introduction

A major challenge across the fields of geotechnical engineering and applied earth sciences is assessing the long-term potential of both man-made and natural Geohazards, as well as designing operational protocols around them. Examples of such geohazards include landslides, debris and mud-flows, sinkholes, over-pressurized underground zones, volcanoes, diapirism, earthquakes, liquefaction, but also includes avoidance and remediation of possibly hazardous events in the performance of nuclear waste disposal sites, as well as in production and storage of energy resources. The challenging part consists mainly of designing engineering operations for length- and time- scales well beyond those observable in the laboratory. In order to bridge the gap between the scales, constitutive modelling is required that can span the whole range.

Constitutive modelling of irreversible deformation in geomaterials is currently transitioning from the design era to that of long-term modeling of
processes. Such a transition requires a step-change in the models, not only designing structures up to their yield point but also capturing their behaviour beyond yield and offer predictive capabilities to long-term problems like the underground storage of energy waste, long-term energy production or seismic fault modeling. This requires a plasticity theory to help describe and even predict what is happening after yield, including far away from the initial yield. Of particular interest in such a transition, is modelling long term effects like creep, and loading of the materials in environments where temperatures and pressures are elevated and crucially affect the response of materials. Since extrapolation from laboratory conditions to field conditions like these is not always possible, the constitutive models need to incorporate a sufficient level of details from the physical mechanisms taking place at the microstructure, and which affect the macroscopic response of materials upon loading.

Examples of such models can be found in the field of Metal Plasticity, and in particular crystal plasticity with the first attempts of capturing the microscopic response of crystals dating as early as the 1930s with the pioneering works of (Taylor and Quinney 1934a; Eyring 1936; Orowan 1940; Kauzmann 1941), to name but a few. Through these works it was shown -and nowadays it is commonly accepted- that the mechanical resistance in shear in metals is dominated by lattice-related processes like the presence of discrete obstacles, lattice resistance, dislocation movements, etc (for more details see (Frost and Ashby, 1982)).

Since metals are predominantly pressure insensitive (von Mises) materials, all these microstructural processes are affecting their shear response. They can all be up-scaled in the macroscopic expression of the (plastic) shear strain rate using concepts from statistical mechanics and chemical rate theory (see (Kauzmann 1941) for example), to provide a viscous law of the form:

\[
\dot{\gamma} = \dot{\gamma}_0 \left( \frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^n e^{-Q/RT}
\]

where \(\tau\) is the shear stress and \(Q\) is the activation enthalpy of the microscopic mechanisms, i.e. the energy that needs to be provided to overcome/activate any microstructural obstacles/mechanisms resisting the motion. It has been shown (Frost and Ashby 1982) that this activation energy depends linearly on shear stress and can therefore be written as \(Q = E - a\tau\). In cases where the microstructural mechanisms include pressure sensitivity and volume change, like for example lattice vacancies that are filled, the activation enthalpy is
extended to account for pressure sensitivity in the form $Q = E - a\tau + pV$ (see (Frost and Ashby, 1982), chapter 17).

These concepts have been cast into a visco-plastic framework by Perzyna (Perzyna, 1966), Lubliner (Lubliner, 1990) etc., regarding pressure-insensitive (i.e. exhibiting J2 or von-Mises yield) metals. They resulted into defining a flow law for plasticity as a similar function to Eq. (1):

$$\dot{\gamma}^p = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau}, \dot{\lambda} = \dot{\gamma}_0 \langle \frac{\tau - \tau_0}{\tau_0} \rangle^ne^{-Q/RT}$$

where in this case, $\tau$ is the deviatoric stress, $\tau_0$ is its value at yield and $y$ is the von Mises yield surface ($\tau = \tau_0$). The addition of the macauley brackets $\langle . \rangle$ places these concepts into a plasticity framework, ensuring zero plastic strain rate before yield. The quantity $\tau - \tau_0$ inside the Macauley brackets is frequently called overstress, as it expresses the amount of stress in excess (over) of the current yield value that the material is experiencing. Based on this, this plasticity approach is usually called overstress visco-plasticity.

However, in materials displaying pressure sensitivity and more complicated microstructures, like geomaterials, such visco-plastic approaches have had limited appeal. Notable contributions include the visco-plastic work of Oka ((Oka, 1985, 1981; ADACHI and OKA, 1982)) and colleagues, as well as Borja ((Borja, 1991)) for whom the plastic flow law is assumed to obey a power law relationship with the shear overstress (i.e. the amount of stress exceeding yield). Recently ((Einav, 2012; Zhang and Buscarnera, 2017; Veveakis and Regenauer-Lieb, 2015)) have extended these concepts to allow the flow law to receive feedback from state variables (including pressure, temperature and entropy). Three main reasons for the limited popularity of visco-plastic approaches, which are also the main challenges, are that:

1) they involve more parameters than the classical 4-parameter (Young’s modulus, Poison’s ratio, cohesion, friction angle) elasto-plastic model, and as such they require more experiments validated, which is not always feasible;
2) viscoplastic models are usually criticized for their inability to properly fit cyclic loading data;
3) observations suggest that the irreversible deformation of geomaterials like rocks is not primarily visco-plastic in laboratory conditions.

Nonetheless, in disciplines studying long-term response of rocks under loading, like Geology, Geophysics and Geodynamics, geomaterials are commonly described as viscous without incorporating the concept of a yield surface or plasticity in general (Karato, 2008). It is intuitively understood
that rocks at depth deform at geological time-scales for millions of years, and therefore at these ambient conditions of depth (confinement and temperature) and loading rate, their response is more adequately described by visco-plastic concepts. A rather unique challenge is therefore rising for Geomechanical modeling: to develop a constitutive framework that would be able to accommodate both observations, span across different length and time scales, but also be able to be calibrated on laboratory conditions and extrapolated to the field.

Mechanical effects are not the only ones that need to be accounted for and other physical processes are also critical. The constitutive models need therefore to be equipped with multi-physical process that can be measured in laboratory timescales and extrapolated beyond them. An example of such multi-physical couplings in Geomechanics is the heat generation due to friction which lowers shear strength and thus causes catastrophic failure of landslides and faults. Another example is chemical reactions of dissolution type, as well as other interface phenomena between the solid skeleton and the saturating fluids, that alter mechanical properties, lower strength and increase compressibility. In order to include such complexity in the designing principles, the constitutive laws of the materials at hand need to be enriched to accommodate the aforementioned couplings, up-scalability from laboratory to field scales and long-term predictability. One way of enriching the constitutive model is by adding more physics in the hardening law as has been done in metal plasticity where microstructural processes have been introduced into the flow law through the Arrhenius dependency of the flow law on temperature and the activation enthalpy $Q$ (see Eq.2). Because of the definition of enthalpy as a thermodynamic potential, this choice allows for the straight-forward inclusion of the work done by volumetric, deviatoric and interface processes. Therefore, in this work, a Multiphysics Geomechanical framework is suggested based on this approach, constructed under the requirements of being able to reproduce laboratory results and provide adequate information for long-term field applications.

2. Constitutive Laws of Multi-Physics Elasto-Visco-Plasticity

In this section we describe the temperature- and pressure- sensitive law of elasto-viscoplasticity. The underlying physical model for this study is combining concepts from the viscoplastic approach in metals, as discussed in
the introduction, with the required modifications to capture interface mechanisms in pressure-sensitive, porous materials.

2.1. Elasto-Visco-Plasticity

The formulation is based on the principles of overstress plasticity [Perzyna (1966)], used in a novel elasto-viscoplastic approach [Poulet and Veveakis (2016)]. As is done classically in Mechanics, the total strain rate is decomposed into its elastic (reversible) and plastic (irreversible) components, \( \dot{\epsilon}_{ij} = \dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^r + \dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^p \).

2.1.1. Thermo-Poro-Elasticity

The reversible part is considered to observe linear thermo-elasticity,

\[
\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^r = C_{ijkl} \dot{\sigma}_{kl}^\prime - \lambda_s \Delta T
\]

where \( C_{ijkl} \) is the compliance modulus. The irreversible element of the strain rate respects an associative visco-plastic flow law expressed as \( \dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^p = \dot{\Pi} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{ij}^\prime} \),

where \( f \) is the yield function and \( \dot{\Pi} \) is a (scalar) plastic multiplier (see figure [1]). Note that the Einstein notation (summation of repeated indices) applies.

2.1.2. Visco-plasticity

The plastic multiplier respects the relation \( \dot{\Pi} = \sqrt{\dot{\epsilon}_{d}^2 + \dot{\epsilon}_v^2} \), where \( \dot{\epsilon}_d^i \) and \( \dot{\epsilon}_v^i \) represent the deviatoric (i.e. irreversible processes occurring in the absence of volume change) and volumetric (i.e. irreversible processes occurring in the absence of shear) components of the strain rate tensor respectively (see figure [1]), obeying the incremental relations

\[
\dot{\epsilon}_d^i = \dot{\epsilon}_0^d \left( \frac{q - q_Y}{\sigma_{ref}} \right)^m,
\]

\[
\dot{\epsilon}_v^i = \dot{\epsilon}_0^v \left( \frac{p' - p_Y}{\sigma_{ref}} \right)^m,
\]

where \( \dot{\epsilon}_0^{d,v} \) is the reference strain rate for the deviatoric and volumetric part, \( p' \) denotes the volumetric mean effective stress, \( q \) the equivalent deviatoric stress (also called von Mises stress), \( p_Y \) and \( q_Y \) the corresponding values of \( p' \) and \( q \) at yield, \( R \) the universal gas constant, \( \sigma_{ref} \) a reference value to normalize stresses, and \( T \) the temperature. The angle brackets \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) represent Macaulay brackets.
Following the discussion in the Introduction, the reference strain rates are assumed to take Arrhenius-like forms like:

\[
\dot{\varepsilon}_d^0 = \dot{\varepsilon}_0 \exp \left( -\frac{Q_{\text{mech}}^d}{RT} \right), \tag{5a}
\]

\[
\dot{\varepsilon}_v^0 = \dot{\varepsilon}_0 \exp \left( -\frac{Q_{\text{mech}}^v}{RT} \right) \tag{5b}
\]

where \( Q_{\text{mech}}^d \) and \( Q_{\text{mech}}^v \) are the activation enthalpies of the deviatoric and volumetric components, expressing the activation energy required to overcome (activate) the dissipative mechanisms admissible by the microstructure. The enthalpies are functions of all the state variables of the problem (density, pressure, temperature, etc). Therefore, temperature, pressure and density dependencies are accounted for through the definition of the activation enthalpies for the deviatoric \( Q_{\text{mech}}^d \) and the volumetric \( Q_{\text{mech}}^v \) components of the irreversible strain rate. Those terms incorporate the activation energies of all micromechanical mechanisms taking place, including frictional initiation (Rice et al. [2001]), volumetric pore collapse (Poulet and Veveakis [2016]), or debonding and grain cracking (Zhang and Buscarnera [2017]), and can be functions of all the global and internal state variables of the problem at hand. In essence, these exponential expressions are used in this framework as mechanisms to replace the hardening laws of classical plasticity. An example of such an approach is illustrated in the following sections for arbitrary processes at the skeleton’s interface, emphasizing the specific mechanism of grain cementation.
2.2. Model Validation Against Varying Temperature and Pressure for Different Rocks

In this section we calibrate the visco-plastic constitutive law for different pore pressures and temperatures and restrict further the mathematical formula of the chosen Arrhenius law of Eqs. (5), which encapsulates the pressure and temperature sensitivity of the model. For this task, the experimental data from Fischer and Paterson (1989) have been chosen, comprising a series of triaxial tests in Carrara marble, Solnhofen limestone and Gosford sandstone. These tests were performed under constant confinement (300 MPa), and the pore pressure was used to vary the mean effective stress instead of directly controlling the confining pressure as in typical triaxial experiments. Five different values of the pore pressure were applied, ranging from 30 to 280 MPa. Furthermore, the experiments were conducted at four different values of temperature (20° – 600° C), and two loading rates (10⁻⁴ s⁻¹ and 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹). An example of the results reported can be found in figure 9a of Fischer and Paterson (1989).

Given the number of possible combinations of these tests, Fischer and Paterson focused on reporting mainly the axial stress of the material at 10% axial strain as representative deformation at post yield state of the material (as can be seen figure 9b in Fischer and Paterson (1989)). At this strain the materials are already in the post-yield regime, having accumulated significant plastic deformation. Since our target in this session is to calibrate the visco-plastic formulation against these data, the following simplifying assumptions have been made based on the experimental results: 1) the material is treated as rigid-viscoplastic, 2) the material admits a Drucker-Prager yield surface. This choice allows to properly describe the pressure sensitivity of the material as suggested by the experimental data while keeping the yield surface as simple linear relationship (F_y = 0) (as can be seen in figure 9a,b in Fischer and Paterson (1989)).

2.2.1. Equations for fitting drained triaxial experimental data

Using this assumptions, our goal is to capture the non-linearity of the post-yield response using only the proposed overstress constitutive law, starting from the deviatoric expression of the flow law,

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_{vp} = \dot{\varepsilon}_{ref} \left( \frac{q - q_Y}{q_Y} \right)^m \exp \left( -\frac{Q_{mech}}{RT} \right) \]  

(6)
Figure 2: Schematic of the flow law, drawn in a compression positive sign convention for simplicity

where as a first approach we assume that the activation enthalpy is obeying an isotropic law of the form: $Q_{\text{mech}} = E + p_f V_{\text{act}}$, where $E$ is the activation energy, $p_f$ is the pore fluid pressure and $V_{\text{act}}$ is an activation volume of the assumed internal process of plasticity. This expression is assumed to be a function of $p_f$, since the control pressure in the experiments of Fischer and Paterson (1989) is the pore fluid pressure.

By inverting Eq. 6 for the deviatoric stress $q$, assuming that the loading is monotonous, we obtain:

$$q = q_Y \left( 1 + A \exp \left( \frac{E + p_f V_{\text{act}}}{mR \theta} \right) \right)$$

(7)

where $A = \left( \frac{\dot{\epsilon}_{\text{ref}}}{\dot{\epsilon}_{\text{vp}}} \right) \gamma^{-1/m}$

The experiments of Fischer and Paterson (1989) follow the standard stress path of drained triaxial tests. Let the subscript 0 denote the dry material, which is under the maximum effective confining pressure applied (300MPa) and the subscript $p_f$ denote any other state where some constant pore pressure is applied. Then, following the sketch in Figure (2) we can define a ratio of stresses based on the stress path,

$$\tan \beta = \frac{p_y'(p_f) - p_c'(p_f)}{q_y(p_f)} = \frac{p_y'(0) - p_c'(0)}{q_y(0)} = \frac{1}{3}$$

(8)
Solving for the mean effective stress, we obtain:

\[ p'_{y(p_f)} = p'_{c(p_f)} + \frac{1}{3} q_y(p_f), \]  
\[ p'_{y(0)} = p'_{c(0)} + \frac{1}{3} q_y(0), \]

(9a)

(9b)

The pore pressure \( p_f \) can be calculated as \( p_f = p'_{c(0)} - p'_{c(p_f)} \). By substituting the latter in equation (9a) we derive

\[ p'_{y(0)} - p'_{y(p_f)} = \frac{1}{3} (q_y(0) - q_y(p_f)) + p_f \]

(10)

Also, from the graphical definition of the friction angle \( \alpha \) we obtain:

\[ \tan \alpha = \frac{q_y(0) - q_y(p_f)}{p'_{y(0)} - p'_{y(p_f)}} \]

(11)

which in turn yields:

\[ q_y(p_f) = q_y(0) - p_f \left( \frac{3 \tan \alpha}{3 - \tan \alpha} \right). \]

(12)

By substituting equation (12) in equation (7), we arrive at the final equation that will be used to invert for the material properties of the model:

\[ q_{fit} = \left( q_y(0) - p_f \left( \frac{3 \tan \alpha}{3 - \tan \alpha} \right) \right) \left( 1 + A \exp \left( \frac{E + p_f V_{act}}{mRT} \right) \right) \]

(13)

In order to model the experimental data for those three types of materials (sandstone, limestone and marble) equation (13) is then used to invert for \( q_{fit} \) by using the following as inversion parameters: 1) the friction angle of the yield surface, \( \alpha \); 2) \( A = \left( \frac{\dot{\epsilon}_f}{\dot{\epsilon}_{ref}} \right) \); 3) the activation energy \( E/m \) and 4) the activation volume \( V_{act} \). Note that out of all these parameters the activation volume is the most poorly constrained.

2.2.2. Parameter inversion from drained triaxial experimental data

Starting with the sandstone data (figure 3a, b) it is observed that the material remains pressure sensitive throughout the experiments and the results are fairly regular. As such, it seems reasonable to assume that the composition of the material remains the same despite the significant increase in the
Table 1: Parameters used to fit experimental data for sandstone at $10^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ strain rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T(K)$</th>
<th>$q_y(MPa)$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$E/m(J/mol)$</th>
<th>$V_{act}(J/Pa/mol)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>$35^\circ$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>-0.001816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>$35^\circ$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>-0.001235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>$35^\circ$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>-0.00254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>$35^\circ$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>-0.004898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Parameters used to fit experimental data for sandstone at $10^{-5}\text{s}^{-1}$ strain rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T(K)$</th>
<th>$q_y(MPa)$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$E/m(J/mol)$</th>
<th>$V_{act}(J/Pa/mol)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>$40.11^\circ$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2936</td>
<td>-8.86e-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>$40.11^\circ$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2936</td>
<td>-4.02e-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>$40.11^\circ$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2936</td>
<td>-7.072e-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>$40.11^\circ$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2936</td>
<td>-0.01349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The corresponding results of the calibration are shown in figure (3a,b). Note that the nature of the activation volume is unknown in the thermo-mechanical context at the laboratory scale so far, and as such it is used as a free parameter inverted from the fitting exercise. Significant variation in $V_{act}$ could be explained as the result of the debonding of grains for instance. Note that the change of the parameter $A$ from 0.5 to 0.2 at $10^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $10^{-5}\text{s}^{-1}$ strain rate, respectively represents the viscous effect triggered from the change in the loading rate.

By looking at the reported data of limestone and marble (Fig. 4a,b and 5), it can be observed that the pressure sensitivity changes significantly with increasing the temperature. The materials become more pressure insensitive (i.e. undrained), indicative of excess pore pressure generation inside the sample. A possible candidate for a mechanism causing excess pore pressure under
Figure 3: (a) Fitting sandstone data at $10^{-4} s^{-1}$ strain rate, (b) Fitting sandstone data at $10^{-5} s^{-1}$ strain rate
high temperatures in carbonate-rich rocks is the presence of a fluid-release chemical alteration, potentially producing excess pore fluid pressure during chemical decomposition. This chemical change has been reported by Fischer and Paterson (1989), who stated that the limestone material was uncontrollable at high temperature and higher strain rate. The chemical alteration leads to the change of the yield surface, decreasing the friction angle $\alpha$ and the viscosity as expressed by the ratio of strain rates $A$. Therefore, in the optimization process those two parameters were allowed to vary for each temperature to capture the behaviour for limestone and marble, while $V_{\text{act}}$ and $E/m$ were kept constant. Setting the value of $V_{\text{act}}$ at very low values renders the hydraulic effects inactive, which seems reasonable since the experiments are drained and the pressure sensitivity is negligible at high temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$q_y$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$E/m (J/mol)$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{act}} (J/Pa/mol)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>61.55</td>
<td>6.674°</td>
<td>0.04737</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-1.274e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>61.55</td>
<td>4.049°</td>
<td>0.2606</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-1.274e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>61.55</td>
<td>0.4929°</td>
<td>0.4536</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-1.274e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>61.55</td>
<td>0.8898°</td>
<td>0.3181</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-1.274e-11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Parameters used to fit experimental data for limestone at $10^{-4}s^{-1}$ strain rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$q_y$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$E/m (J/mol)$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{act}} (J/Pa/mol)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12.1°</td>
<td>3.376</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-3.916e-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>8.562°</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-3.916e-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.334°</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-3.916e-14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Parameters used to fit experimental data for limestone at $10^{-5}s^{-1}$ strain rate

The values used to fit the limestone data at $10^{-4}s^{-1}$ and $10^{-5}s^{-1}$ strain rates are summarized in tables (3) and (4), respectively. The corresponding results of the calibration are presented in figure (4a,b). Finally, the values used to fit the data for marble at $10^{-4}s^{-1}$ strain rate are summarized in table (5), and the corresponding results of the calibration can be found in figures (5).
Figure 4: (a) Fitting limestone data at $10^{-4} \text{s}^{-1}$ strain rate, (b) Fitting limestone data at $10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}$ strain rate
Table 5: Parameters used to fit experimental data for marble at $10^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ strain rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T(K)$</th>
<th>$q_y(MPa)$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$E/(mJ/mol)$</th>
<th>$V_{act}(J/\text{Pa/mol})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>17.6(^\circ)</td>
<td>1.554</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-1.333e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>11.64(^\circ)</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-1.333e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>10.39(^\circ)</td>
<td>0.9774</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-1.333e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>7.093(^\circ)</td>
<td>0.01495</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-1.333e-11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Fitting marble data at $10^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ strain rate

In summary, all calibrations in this section show that the model can capture adequately the rate, temperature, and pressure dependency of different materials. They can be included just by changing the yield surface as in classical thermo-plasticity. The assumptions used here are crude as in the lab scale, thermo-elastic effects are important. The fact that the model does a good job despite that should be noted here. However, the fact that the same model, with reasonable and comparable parameter values, can capture adequately the behaviour of a variety of materials at different temperature and pressure conditions, suggests that this model is sufficiently rich to be used across materials and loading conditions.

3. Mathematical Framework for Multi-Physics Plasticity

Motivated by the outcomes of the experimental calibration, we then cast the visco-plastic law into a tightly coupled, thermo-hydro-mechanical-chemical (THMC) multiphysics framework, whereby the corresponding gov-
ering laws of mass, momentum, energy and entropy balance accompany it for the final mathematical system of equations to be complete. The work is based on the basic and fundamental principles of continuum mechanics, considering a representative elementary volume (REV), the smallest volume over which a measurement can be made that will yield a value representative of the whole [Hill 1963]. Given all applications in this work involve rocks of a few centimetres at the smallest, this assumption is not restrictive for the type of rocks considered (mudstone, sandstone, carbonates).

3.1. Mixture’s Theory

The continuum studied includes considerations for a two-phase material, made of a fully saturated porous matrix filled with fluid. As such, the material is decomposed into the part that constitutes the skeleton, receiving forces from the loading conditions, and the weak (or fluid) phase which occupies the void volume and does not participate into the force chain network. The volume ratio of the voids can therefore be defined as the porosity,

$$ \phi = \frac{V_{\text{void}}}{V}, $$

which allows the deployment in the following sections of the well known governing equations for a bi-phasic material in the context of mixtures theory.

Under the assumption of a closed system, the mass conservation equation (continuity equation) expresses the fact that the total mass remains constant over time, i.e. mass cannot be added or removed, created or destroyed. The following section describes the derivation of this continuity equation, which starts with the definition of the respective phase densities.

$$ \rho_1 = (1 - \phi)\rho_s $$
$$ \rho_2 = \phi \rho_f $$

where the subscripts $s$ and $f$ refer to the solid and fluid components respectively.

3.2. Mass Balance

Neglecting mass transfer between the solid and fluid phases, as no chemical reaction is considered here, the mass balance of the fluid phase can be expressed as:

$$ \frac{\partial \rho_2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho_2 V_k^{(2)})}{\partial x_k} = 0 $$
Similarly, the mass balance for the solid phase can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho_1 V_k^{(1)})}{\partial x_k} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Also, each phase is assumed to follow the equation of the state

$$\frac{d\rho_{(i)}}{\rho_{(i)}} = \beta_{(i)} dp_f - \lambda_{(i)} dT, \hspace{0.5cm} i \in \{s,f\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

Where $\beta_{(i)}$ is the compressibility ($\beta_{(i)} = \frac{1}{\rho_{(i)}} \left( \frac{d\rho_{(i)}}{dp_f} \right)_T$) and $\lambda_{(i)}$ is the thermal expansion ($\lambda_{(i)} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{(i)}} \left( \frac{d\rho_{(i)}}{dT} \right)_{p_f}$).

Using Eq. 16, Eq. 17 and Eq. 18 while neglecting convective terms, leads to the final mass balance equation for the mixture:

$$\beta_m \left[ (1 - \phi) \beta_k + \phi \beta_f \right] \frac{\partial p_f}{\partial t} - \lambda_m \left[ (1 - \phi) \lambda_k + \phi \lambda_f \right] \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\phi (V_k^{(2)} - V_k^{(1)}))}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial (V_k^{(1)})}{\partial x_k} = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

### 3.3. Momentum Balance

The acceleration of the mixture is assumed to be negligible, therefore the local form of the momentum balance of the mixture can be written in its stress equilibrium regime as follows,

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + b_i = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

where $\sigma_{ij}$ is the total stress tensor of the mixture and $b_i$ are the body forces. The total stress is related to the effective stress tensor of the mixture $\sigma'_{ij}$ and the pore pressure, $p_f$ through Terzaghi’s law (Terzaghi 1925)

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sigma'_{ij} - p_f \delta_{ij}$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

By convention, stresses are taken negative in compression in this work. The pore pressure itself is defined as $p_f = p_{hyd} + \Delta p_f$ where $p_{hyd}$ is the hydrostatic pressure and $\Delta p_f$ is the excess pore pressure.

Therefore, the local form of the momentum balance of the mixture can be written as follows,

$$\frac{\partial \sigma'_{ij}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \Delta p_f \delta_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + b_i = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)
3.4. Energy Balance

The local form of the energy equation expresses the energy balance and takes into account Fourier’s law for heat conduction and the second law of thermodynamics [Rosakis et al., 2000]:

$$(\rho C)_m \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x_i \partial x_i} + \Phi$$

(23)

where $(\rho C)_m$ the heat capacity of the mixture and $\alpha$ the thermal conductivity. The term $\Phi$ corresponds to the mechanical work dissipated into heat, which is non negative based on the second law of thermodynamics:

$$\Phi = \sigma'_{ij} \dot{\varepsilon}^i_{ij} \dot{\varepsilon}^j_{ij} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_k} \dot{\xi}_k \geq 0$$

(24)

In this expression, the (frequently called cold-) work of internal state variables is expressed through the product of derivative of the Helmholtz free energy $\psi$ with respect to any dissipative internal state variable vector $\xi_k$ and its rate, $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_k} \dot{\xi}_k$. The expression (24) can be re-arranged to take the form:

$$\Phi = \chi \sigma'_{ij} \dot{\varepsilon}^i_{ij} \geq 0, \quad \chi = 1 - \frac{E_\xi \dot{\xi}_k}{\sigma'_{ij} \dot{\varepsilon}^i_{ij}}$$

(25)

where $E_\xi = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi_k}$ and $\chi$ is the Taylor-Quinney coefficient [Taylor and Quinney, 1934b], expressing the amount of mechanical work dissipated by the internal state variables into internal microstructural mechanisms. When it is zero all the mechanical work is consumed into internal state variables, whereas when it is equal to one all the mechanical work is converted into heat. $\chi$ is in general a function of all the state variables, like density (or pressure), temperature, $\xi_k$, etc.

3.5. Normalisation

The assessment of the influence of the various physical processes involved, is facilitated by adopting a dimensionless formulation. To that end, the
following normalised variables are introduced:

\[
p^* = \frac{p_f}{\sigma_{ref}}, \tag{26a}
\]

\[
T^* = \frac{T - T_{ref}}{T_{ref}}, \tag{26b}
\]

\[
x^* = \frac{x}{x_{ref}}, \tag{26c}
\]

\[
t^* = \frac{c_{th,ref} t}{x_{ref}^2}, \tag{26d}
\]

\[
V^* = \frac{V}{V_{ref}}. \tag{26e}
\]

where \(c_{th,ref} = \alpha / (\rho C_p)_m\) is a reference thermal diffusivity of the mixture.

By introducing those normalized numbers to equation \ref{eq:19}, we obtain,

\[
\frac{\partial \Delta p_f}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \frac{1}{Le} \frac{\partial \Delta p_f}{\partial x_k} \right] - \Lambda_m \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{Pe \dot{\epsilon}_V}{\dot{\beta}} = 0 \tag{27}
\]

where \(\Lambda_m\) is the thermal pressurization coefficient for mixture, \(Pe\) is Péclet number, \(\dot{\beta}\) is the compressibility of the mixture and \(\dot{\epsilon}_V\) is the volumetric strain rate.

Note that the asterisks are dropped for simplicity.

Following the same procedure, the energy balance is brought in a dimensionless form:

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x_k \partial x_k} - Gr \sigma_{ij} \dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^{pl} = 0 \tag{28}
\]

These 2 equations, together with the normalised form of the stress equilibrium (gravity is neglected in the following), are constituting the final system of equations. These three equations, together with the constitutive law of elasto-plasticity are a closed system mathematically, that is implemented in a finite element scheme presented in the next section.

4. Numerical Implementation and Behaviour of the Framework

This section presents the numerical approach used to solve the theoretical framework described previously using REDBACK, an open-source parallel simulator for Rock mEchanics with Dissipative feedbacks \cite{Poulet and Veveakis, 2016}. An indicative suite of benchmarks is also reported to test
some of the key capabilities of the model. In particular we are showing the
model’s response: 1) in isotropic compression, retrieving the slope of vir-
gin consolidation and over-consolidation cases, as well as performing velocity
steps; 2) in cyclic isotropic compression, to show how isotropic hardening
ensures appropriate cyclic response of the model; 3) in simple shear, to show
that considering the energy equation provides an internal length scale that
regularizes the localization problem during softening of the material; 4) in
biaxial loading, to show the progressive localization of plastic deformation
stemming from the multi-physical feedbacks.

4.1. REDBACK: A Parallel Finite Element Simulator

The complexity of the model presented previously makes it necessary
to employ adequate numerical methods to solve the system of equations
presented in section [3]. The main challenge is the tight coupling between all
variables in those equations - displacement $u_i(u_x, u_y, u_z)$, pore pressure and
temperature - as well as the highly non-linear evolution of material properties
such as porosity and permeability. As such, the traditional solving of all
equations sequentially can lead to insurmountable numerical instabilities and
convergence issues (Poulet et al., 2012).

Considering the continuum scale of the mechanical problem and the non-
linearity of the feedbacks points to the Finite Element Method as an appro-
priate technique to solve that problem. The Multi-physics Object Oriented
Simulation Environment MOOSE (Gaston et al., 2009) provides the flexi-
bility to code very rapidly and investigate more complex physics implicitly,
in a tightly coupled manner, therefore accounting accurately for nonlinear
feedbacks. It also provides the computational scalability to simulate large
3D scenarios using High Performance Computing facilities. Specifically, the
REDBACK simulator (Poulet and Veveakis, 2016) is an application based on
MOOSE which was designed exactly for the purpose of solving the type of
THMC systems that described previously, expressed in dimensionless form to
properly account for the relative importance of the various physical processes.

4.2. Overstress Plasticity Return Map Algorithm

Within the final system of equations equations (20, 27, 28), the momen-
tum balance plays a particular role as its corresponding variables (displace-
ment $u_i(u_x, u_y, u_z)$) are not represented explicitly, but through the stress
divergence. It is the role of the return map algorithm to compute the value
of stress for a given displacement (Poulet and Veveakis, 2016). The main
algorithm is briefly summarized here to show explicitly the links between the theoretical model and the numerical implementation.

The displacement \((u_i)\) is firstly converted to strain \((\epsilon_{ij})\) using a finite strain approach \([Rashid, 1993]\) and an overstress plasticity formulation implemented following the generic approach from Wang \([Wang et al., 1997]\) to update the stress by using a fully implicit integration scheme as shown in figure (1). This algorithm uses a Newton-Raphson loop to find the values of the plastic strain rate and stress satisfying the selected flow law. Figure [1] shows the central importance of the flow law in the resolution of the mechanical problem and highlights the choice in this study of working on the formulation of that flow law to integrate multi-physical processes.
4.3. Simple Shear: Regularising the Post-Bifurcation Regime

Geomaterials exhibit a spontaneous change of the deformation mode from uniform deformation towards either diffuse or localized failure patterns in the inelastic regime (see (Vardoulakis and Sulem, 1995) for a comprehensive summary of the main results on this topic). Within the framework of bifurcation theory, this phenomenon can be modelled as a mathematical instability and, given an elasto-plastic constitutive law with a non-associative flow rule, it was shown that its onset can occur either in the strain hardening or in the strain softening regime (Rudnicki and Rice, 1975). As such, predicting a finite thickness of localization bands (Muhlhaus and Vardoulakis, 1987) is a necessary feature of any model designed to describe the inelastic behaviour of geomaterials (see also Sulem et al., 2011; Veveakis et al., 2012, 2013; Rattez et al., 2017, 2018a,b). As shown by (Needleman, 1988), the combination of the momentum balance law and the rheology of a viscous material under simple shear fails to provide a finite thickness at the quasi-static limit of the equations. In such a case, the latter is equal to the size of a predetermined imperfection, contradicting the concept that localization stems from the constitutive description of the material and is a material property. Indeed, when neglecting the energy and mass balance laws, it is only by introducing inertia terms in the momentum balance law that one can "regularise" the problem of shear banding for a rate-dependent material by delaying its arrival to the stationary wave limit, as shown by (Sluys, 1992). That study also showed that the shear band thickness \( l \) for a rate-dependent \( J_2 \)-material following a Perzyna-type law can be calculated as:

\[
l = \frac{4\sigma_Y c_s}{3\gamma E}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the reference shear strain rate of the material, \( E \) is the Young’s modulus, \( c_s = \sqrt{G/\rho} \) is the elastic shear wave speed velocity (\( G \) is the elasticity shear modulus and \( \rho \) is the density of the material). Using the formulation equation (5a), \( \gamma = \dot{\varepsilon}_0 \exp \left( -\frac{Q_{d_{\text{mech}}}}{RT} \right) \) and therefore

\[
l \propto \exp \left( \frac{Q_{d_{\text{mech}}}}{RT} \right)
\]

One question that remains is whether including the remaining basic principles of Continuum Mechanics, i.e. the energy and mass balance laws, the
length scales associated with these laws can extend the suitability of rate-
dependent models to the quasi-static case as suggested by (Paesold et al.,
2016). In particular, whether adopting a thermoplastic flow rule similar to
equation (5a) and including the temperature diffusion equation (23), is suf-
ficient for regularising the problem in the quasi-static case.

To answer this question, we consider the problem of simple shear of a layer
with isothermal and constant velocity boundary conditions (see Figure 7).
The material obeys the visco-plastic flow rule of equation (5a) with a von
Mises yield function. The equations are discretised and solved using the
REDBACK simulator. As shown in Figure (8a), after a short-lived strain
hardening regime, the material enters a softening phase and subsequently
reaches a constant-stress residual state. This behaviour is driven by the
evolution of temperature, which, as can seen in Figure (8b), increases because
of shear heating and then stabilises to a residual state clearly associated with
the heat diffusion process. After 1% plastic stain, both the temperature and
stress profiled have equilibrated.

Figure (9a) presents a mesh sensitivity analysis by varying the number of
elements across the layer and plotting the corresponding profile of deviatoric
strain rate. The results show that convergence is reached for 16 elements to a
profile of localised deformation around the center of the layer. The thickness
of localisation, which is mesh insensitive (see figure (9a)), is computed as
the distance between the inflection points of the shear strain rate profile and

---

**Figure 7**: Simple shear of a von Mises material. Constant temperature and velocity
boundary conditions were used.
Figure 8: a) Deviatoric stress at the core of the sample and b) normalized core temperature vs plastic shear strain. At first, the material appears to be in softening due to the temperature increase induced by shear heating until it reaches a residual regime. Notice that the shear band thickness is measured at this residual regime.

plotted in figure (b) for different values of the activation enthalpy $Q_{mech}$ (normalised by the boundary temperature). The results show that we retrieve the exponential dependency of the localisation thickness on the $Q_{mech}$ of equation 30.

4.4. Biaxial loading: Progressive Thermo-Mechanical Localization

A key feature of the presented framework is that localization is not spontaneous. Rather than that, deformation is progressively localizing, following the time-scales of the diffusion-reaction equations of temperature and/or pore-pressure. To demonstrate this, we summarize in Fig. 10 the results of a biaxial experiment (displacement controlled axial load, constant confinement on the sides, zero displacement on the out-of-page direction) performed at a rectangular mesh with a weak element on one side. The material

We observe in Fig. 10 that with increasing axial displacement (or time), a pair of conjugate shear bands -in equivalent plastic strain and temperature- are emerging immediately after the material is loaded beyond its yield point. With increasing axial strain, the shear bands are propagating towards the boundaries of the sample, where they eventually reflect around 10% axial strain. It is notable that the localization is progressive, rather than spontaneous, following the timescale of the temperature equation.
4.5. Effect of Loading Rate

To demonstrate the rate dependency of the model, two series of isotropic compression simulations were run at different loading and unloading rates and the corresponding evolution of porosity results are shown in Figure (11a,b). In both cases, for loading and unloading, the results are compared with a single reference simulation on sandstone presented in detail in the following sections. For the series of loading simulations, the resulting porosity evolution curves are shown on figure (11a) from the onset of plasticity as the elastic response is rate independent. The results highlight the evolution of the rate of hardening, increasing with the loading rate as expected. Similarly, for the unloading simulations, the results of figure (11b) only show the porosity curves after (and close to) the onset of unloading. Those results highlight the more pronounced creep effect at lower unloading rates.

4.6. Cyclic Loading and Isotropic Hardening

A common limitation of visco-plastic approaches is their difficulty to model cycles of loading and unloading. We test therefore our model on cycles of loading and unloading performed during isotropic compression of rectangular shaped soil specimens of 8 cm high and 4 cm side. The monotonic loading response can be seen in red in figure (12a). When cycles of loading-unloading are performed, however, the creep effects can dominate
Figure 10: Biaxial loading in a Drucker-Prager elasto-viscoplastic material with thermal sensitivity. The friction coefficient was set to 0.7, normalized cohesion equal to 1, $Ar = 6$, Young’s modulus 500 times the cohesion, Poison ratio 0.2, $Gr = 0.335$, $\dot{\epsilon}_0 = 1e5$, $m = 2$ normalized loading velocity 1. Left column is the equivalent plastic strain and right column the temperature profiles, at different axial strains.
Figure 11: Effect of loading rate on porosity evolution with respect to normalised mean stress in the compaction regime, under (a) loading and (b) unloading conditions. All comparison are made with respect to a reference case corresponding to the isotropic compression results of dry sandstone from Fortin et al. (2007) (for more details see section 5.1.1 and figure 13).

the response and the model cannot approach the normal consolidation line figure (12a). To alleviate this issue, a mechanism needs to be introduced to account for the re-hardening of the material due to over-consolidation. In consistency plasticity, this is achieved by adjusting the yield surface with an isotropic hardening law. Here, we select instead the equivalent approach of regulating the dissipation of the material when it is over-consolidated. As such, the following formulation is adopted for the volumetric part of the activation enthalpy,

$$dQ_V = \alpha(OCR)^\beta P'_C$$

where $OCR = \frac{P'_{C,max}}{P'_C}$ is the over-consolidation ratio, $P'_{C,max}$ is the maximum (global) confining pressure that the material has experienced. This power-law model contains two empirical constants, $\alpha$ that controls the hardening of the normally consolidated material and the exponent $\beta$ that regulates the creep effects in the re-loading phase, as shown in figure (12b).

5. Numerical Analysis of Isotropic and Triaxial Compression Tests

In this section, the theoretical and numerical framework described previously is used to fit a series of laboratory tests for different types of rocks (sandstone and mudstone), saturating fluids (dry, wet) and stress paths (triaxial, isotropic). Through this fitting exercise we want to constrain the previously unconstrained variable of our visco-plastic flow law, the activation
Figure 12: (a) Figure shows the creep effect in the loading-unloading-reloading cycles if the isotropic hardening doesn’t into account for isotropic consolidation. (b) figure showing the loading-unloading (3 cycles) for isotropic consolidation by using OCR.

5.1. Isotropic Compression Tests

5.1.1. Wet/Dry Sandstone

The isotropic compression tests for sandstone that we are calibrating against are the ones reported by Fortin et al. [2007]. The material used was Bleuswiller sandstone, reporting the variations of porosity and the evolution of elastic wave velocities (shear and compressional wave velocities) for wet and dry situations. Cylindrical sandstone specimens were used of 4cm diameter and 8cm height, with 25% initial porosity, a grain size between 80 and 180µm, and a permeability (in water) of 200 * 10^{-16} m^2. The loading
tests were conducted at increasing confining pressures up to 280 MPa for both the wet and dry cases, followed by an unloading phase decreasing the confinement back to 0 MPa.

The results of these experiments are shown as dots on figure (13) and show a similar porosity evolution for both the wet and dry scenarios. In both instances indeed, a linear decrease of the porosity with increasing confinement can be observed initially, up to a clearly defined threshold marking the preconsolidation pressure, after which decrease per pressure increment is much larger and no longer linear (during primary and secondary consolidation). The numerical curve was obtained solving the system of equations described earlier for the volumetric part of the flow law:

\[ \dot{\epsilon}_v^i = \dot{\epsilon}_0 \left( \frac{p' - p'_Y}{\sigma_{ref}} \right)^m \exp \left( -\frac{Q_{mech}}{RT} \right), \]  

(32a)

Note that the mean stress values presented in this figure are normalised against the respective preconsolidation pressure value \( p'_Y \) for each of the scenarios \( \sigma_{ref} = p'_Y \). Since the experiments were performed under isothermal and drained boundary conditions, temperature variations are negligible, and no excess pore pressure can build up in this quasi-static process so the pore pressure can be ignored altogether. As such, the system degenerates into a classical viscoplastic approach with no feedbacks. As a consequence the pore pressure dependency of the activation enthalpy can be omitted. Furthermore, since under isotropic compression condition there is not deviatoric stress, the activation enthalpy can only be a function of the volumetric work produced per unit area,

\[ Q_{mech} = E_0 + P_{c}^{max}V_{act} \]  

(33)

where \( P_{c}^{max} \) is the maximum pressure that the material experienced (i.e. the OCR). The results of the model for isotropic compression of sandstone can be seen in figure (13).

5.1.2. Isotropic Compression Test for Mudstone

Isotropic compression and triaxial compression test have also been previously performed on Noto diatomaceous mudstone (Oka et al., 2011). In that instance, rectangular-shape specimens were used with 8 cm high and 4 cm side. Similarly to Section 5.1.1, this experiment was simulated numerically and the results, presented in figure (14), show excellent agreement between the simulation and experiments. The porosity evolution follows indeed the exact same pattern as described in section (5.1.1).
Figure 13: Comparison of numerical and laboratory results for isotropic compression experiments from Fortin et al. (2007) on wet (left) and dry (right) samples. The nice match in both cases is obtained with the same model, with normalization pressures of 132 MPa and 190 MPa respectively for the wet and dry cases. The inverted parameters for the flow law of equation (32) are $\dot{\epsilon}_0 = 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$, $m = 3$ and $V_{iso}^{act} = 4.95$ (J/Pa/mol).

Figure 14: The porosity evolution with increase the effective pressure for mudstone. The inverted parameters for the flow law of equation (32) are $\dot{\epsilon}_0 = 10^{-6}$ 1/s, $m = 3$ and $V_{iso}^{act} = 5.4$ (J/Pa/mol).
5.2. Triaxial Compression Tests

Triaxial compression experiments represent another important type of tests to validate the model against, especially for sedimentary rocks. Having established the mechanical properties $\dot{\epsilon}_0$, $m$ and $V_{act}^{iso}$ of the visco-plastic flow law of equation (32), this section presents the calibrations of the model against conventional triaxial tests for different types of rocks: sandstone, mudstone. The goal of this section is to use these triaxial experiments where excess pore pressure is generated in the sample, to constrain the form of the activation enthalpy $Q_{mech}$ of the flow law. Recalling the discussion of the introduction and section 2.1 (Eqs ?? and 4), $Q_{mech}$ is expected to have a generic form of the following type for wet experiments:

$$Q_{mech} = E_0(q) + P_{c}^{max}V_{act}^{iso} + p_fV_{act}$$

(34)

where $E_0$ is a (stress path dependent) reference value of the activation energy, $p_f$ is the excess pore pressure and $V_{act}$ the activation volume for the pore volume deformation processes. When dry cases are considered, equation (34) is modified to account for density variations instead of the excess pore pressure. Given the above considerations, in this section the values of $\dot{\epsilon}_0$, $m$ and $V_{act}^{iso}$ inverted from the isotropic compression tests are used to invert for $E_0$ and $V_{act}$ from drained triaxial compression experiments.

5.2.1. Triaxial Compression Test for Sandstone

The first case considered in this section was conducted by (Wong et al., 1997), who conducted a series of triaxial experiments on Adamswiller sandstone under a broad range of effective pressures to identify the transition in failure mode from brittle faulting to cataclastic flow. Six cylindrical samples were used, cored parallel to the bedding, 38.1 mm long and 18.4 mm in diameter, with 22.6% porosity. The experiments were performed at a fixed loading rate of $5 \times 10^{-5}/s$, under confining pressures of 5, 20, 40, 60, 100 and 150 MPa respectively.

Figure (15a) shows the stress paths from the laboratory experiments (Wong et al., 1997) with the corresponding yield points identified by the original authors. See (Wong et al., 1997) for all details regarding the experiments. The data was fit with a cap envelope consisting of a Drucker Prager (Drucker and Prager, 1952) surface in shear and a Modified Cam Clay model as a cap (Roscoe and Burland, 1968), expressed as $(\frac{q}{M})^2 + p(p - p_c) = 0$. The preconsolidation stress $p_c$ and the slope of the critical state line $M$ were
Figure 15: (a) The experimentally derived yield points (circles) for experiments performed at 6 different confinements (CD 1-6) by [Wong et al., 1997] and the modified cam-clay yield envelope used in modelling the tests (solid line), (b) Best fits of the data using the original Cam Clay (dashed line) and Modified Cam Clay (solid line) models. The experimental stress paths and corresponding yield points were taken from figure 5 in [Oka et al., 2011]

Inverted from the experimental data and set to $p_c = 210$ MPa and $M = 1.35$. The Modified Cam Clay was selected as it is more stable numerically than the original Cam Clay formulation.

Those experiments are then modelled numerically following the methodology described previously (see also section 5.2 [Poulet and Veveakis, 2016]). The experimental curves reported for this sandstone have been fitted by using the material properties listed in table (6) and varying only $V_{act}$ at different confining pressures (see figure 18a) and the formula of $Q_{mech}$ was as shown in equation (34). The results are shown in figures 16a,b) for a constant value of $E_0$, noticing the derived logarithmic dependence of $V_{act}$ on confining pressure shown in figure (18a):

$$V_{act} = \alpha_0 \left(1 - \frac{\ln P_{c(max)}}{\ln P_{cs}}\right) RT = \alpha_0 \frac{RT}{\ln P_{cs}} \ln \frac{P_{cs}}{P_{c(max)}}$$ (35)

In this expression $P_{cs}$ is the confining pressure corresponding to the critical state for a given stress path. From figure (18a) it can be seen that for the yield envelope used during the inversion (figure 15b), $\alpha_0 = 29.4 (mol/Pa)$. It is to be noted that $V_{act}$ changes sign with confinement, being negative when $P_{cs} < P_{c(max)}$ (i.e. at the “dilatant” shear part of the yield envelope), positive
Table 6: Parameters used in order to fit the experimental data for sandstone and mudstone. The expression $c_{hy} = k \pi / \mu f \beta_m$ have been used for the hydraulic diffusivity where $k$ the permeability and $\mu_f$ the fluid viscosity.

when $P_{cs} > P_{c(\text{max})}$ (i.e. at the "contractant" cap of the yield envelope), and zero at critical state $P_{cs} = P_{c(\text{max})}$.

5.2.2. Triaxial Compression Test for Mudstone

The second series of experiments used to validate the model in triaxial compression was performed by (Oka et al., 2011) on diatomaceous mudstone. The test was aiming to demonstrate the existence of compaction bands in this rock and how the variation of the confinement pressure affect on the direction and the inclinations of the strain localization. A series of triaxial tests was performed on six rectangular shaped-prismatic specimens with 8 cm high and 4 cm side. In order to avoid the effect of the initial anisotropy the specimens were taken with their longitudinal direction perpendicular to the plane of sedimentation. Various levels of confining pressure to observe different deformation patterns and the scenarios are listed in table (7). All the tests were conducted up to roughly 20% axial strain.

Following the same methodology described in Section 5.2.1 for sandstone, a series of numerical experiments are performed using a Modified Cam Clay
Figure 16: (a) The deviatoric stress ($\tau$) vs. axial strain results for the experiments (symbols) and the numerical simulations (lines) for sandstone, (b) The volumetric strain versus the effective mean stress results for the experiments (dashed lines) and the numerical simulations (solid lines) for sandstone.

Table 7: The selected confinement pressures used in the triaxial test experiment from Oka et al. 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>CD1</th>
<th>CD3</th>
<th>CD4</th>
<th>CD5</th>
<th>CD6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective confining pressure (MPa)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
yield envelope defined by

\[ \left( \frac{q}{M} \right)^2 + p(p - p_c) = 0 \]  

(36)

where \( p_c \) is the pre-consolidation pressure and \( M \) the slope of the critical state line. To match the experimental data, the values of \( p_c = 2.26 \text{ MPa} \) and \( M = 1.44 \) were selected (see figure (15b). The activation enthalpy \( Q_{\text{mech}} \) is used as a free parameter which is inverted for in such a way such that its pressure dependency fits the experiment data, as seen in figure (17a,b). The experimental curves reported for this sandstone have been fitted by using the material properties listed in table [6] and varying only \( V_{\text{act}} \) at different confining pressures (see figure 18b). It can be seen from figure (18b) that in mudstone \( V_{\text{act}} \) is also following equation (35), with \( \alpha_0 = 22.9(\text{mol/Pa}) \).

It needs to be noted that the results are indeed mesh independent. A mesh sensitivity analysis was performed by running multiple simulations with different mesh size scenarios as shown for the stress-strain response of figure 19 for the three dimensional finite element simulation of Figure 19.

6. Synthesis of the Results

In this work the suggested flow law has been calibrated for triaxial and isotropic experiments in various materials. The dependence of the material’s
parameters on pressure, temperature and strain rate has been shown to be captured adequately by model in its present form, with the power law parameters $\dot{\epsilon}_0$ and $m$ encapsulating the strain rate effects and the activation enthalpy $Q_{\text{mech}}$ of the material encompassing thermal and pressure sensitivity:

$$Q_{\text{mech}} = E_0(P_{\text{c,max}}, q) + p_f V_{\text{act}}(P_{\text{c,max}}, T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

where $E_0(P_{\text{c,max}}, q)$ is a stress path dependent activation energy. It has been shown that $Q_{\text{mech}}$ in the present framework acts as a hardening function for the visco-plastic flow law, encompassing physical information of the system on its state variables (temperature and pore pressure). It was therefore shown that accurately determining the mechanisms operating in $Q_{\text{mech}}$ and constraining the values of the respective parameters is important for this model to be able to have any applicability.

6.1. Internal interface mechanisms expressed through the activation volume

From the analysis of all the experimental results, the activation volume $V_{\text{act}}$ was shown to obtain a logarithmic dependency with the confining stress [35], that has the form:

$$V_{\text{act}} = \alpha_0 \frac{RT}{\ln P_{cs}} \ln \frac{P_{cs}}{P_{c(\text{max})}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)
Figure 19: (top) Mesh sensitivity analysis showing the deviatoric stress ($\tau$) vs. axial strain results different simulations of CD1 with different mesh sizes, (bottom) Distribution of Mises stress on a centered vertical slice of models CD1 showing the shear bands.
where $P_{cs}$ is a stress path dependent quantity, representing the initial confining pressure corresponding to the critical state for a given stress path. One may immediately observe that this is a relationship akin to Kelvin’s equation (F.R.S., 1871) for curved liquid-vapour interfaces,

$$\gamma = \frac{rRT}{2V_m} \ln \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \right), \quad (39)$$

where $p$ and $p_0$ represent the actual and saturated vapour pressures, $r$ the radius of a droplet, $V_m$ the molar volume of the liquid, $\gamma$ the surface tension, $R$ the universal gas constant and $T$ the temperature. Since Kelvin’s law describes the pressure in a liquid-vapor interface, like water bridges in unsaturated samples, the inverted logarithmic law for $V_{act}$ of equation (38) could be seen as expressing the pressure experienced by any grain interface, like solid bridges (cement), water bridges (capillary forces), etc.

In addition to the pressure sensitivity of the material, it has been shown in this chapter that $Q_{mech}$ is stress path dependent. The different expressions of $Q_{mech}$ retrieved from isotropic (horizontal stress path) and triaxial (with a stress path slope $\omega = 3$) compression show that the internal mechanisms represented in $Q_{mech}$ are sensitive to the loading path. As such a sensitivity is intuitively expected -since different mechanisms will be activated in volumetric only loading than a shear-enhanced on- it is promising that the model is capturing this mechanism. However, it is impossible to constrain and fully validate the stress-path dependency of the model parameters from the available data in the literature and as such this exercise is left as future work.

### 6.2. Self-organization of the mechanical dissipation during volume change processes

One question that arises from the present work is whether the complexity of the suggested model, and the uncertainty in calculating properties like activation energy and chemical potential for porous rocks, can be reduced so that the multi-physical considerations can have practical applicability. To answer this question, we will analyze the results of the previous sections, and look for any potential self-organization of a representative measure of the system’s dissipation with respect to the parameters $E_0$ and $V_{act}$ of Eq. (37), i.e. with respect to $Q_{mech}$.

Indeed, we have performed a number of simulations for the triaxial compression tests of mudstone (see Section 5.2.2) for a range of values of $E_0$.
and $V_{act}$, using particle swarm optimization (Lin et al., 2019). The results are shown in Fig. 20 where we plot the volumetric part of the mechanical dissipation, $\Phi_v = p' \dot{\epsilon}_v^i$, against different values of $E_0$ and $V_{act}$, for the 3 confinements corresponding to the compressive part of the yield envelope (CD 4-6). The reason for choosing these tests over the low confinement regime is our interest to trace the role of internal volume change mechanisms to the dissipation of the system, and in the low confinement regime (CD1-3) the predominant mechanism of failure is shear. We have therefore selected to focus on the regime where volume change is more pronounced and compaction (shear-enhanced or pure) is the predominant mode of failure.

The top row in Fig. 20 traces the distance of the numerical result in stress-strain space against the experimental, with the optimum fit obtained for the lowest values of this cost function, depicted in blue-black (see (Lin et al., 2019) for further details on the optimization approach). The bottom row calculates the maximum norm of the volumetric component of the plastic mechanical work $\Phi_v = p' \dot{\epsilon}_v^i$, highlighting with red dots the saddle points of $\Phi_v$ with respect to $E_0$ and $V_{act}$ calculated through the determinant of the Hessian matrix of the system. We observe that the optimal fit of the experimental curves (minimum values of the top row) is achieved for values of $E_0$ and $V_{act}$ that correspond to a saddle point (or minimax) of the volumetric plastic work.

Unlike the triaxial compression case, in isotropic compression the optimal fit is obtained for the maximum value of the volumetric plastic work. The reason can be easily understood to be the diffuse failure and lack of pore-fluid pressure in isotropic compression, that renders the effect of $V_{act}$ inactive. Reciprocally, in triaxial compression the presence of localized failure and excess pore fluid pressure (due to the presence of shear stresses) forces the system to self-organize before its maximum (volumetric) dissipation limit, on a saddle point. This result is suggesting that in the presence of multiple physical mechanisms maximum dissipation should not be used as a constitutive assumption; instead the energy balance equation should be solved explicitly for identifying self-organization points, even if the result is isothermal.

7. Conclusions

In conclusion, in this study the suggested elasto-visco-plastic model based on multiphysical considerations was used to capture the behaviour of sedimentary rocks both in the brittle and ductile regimes. The model was val-
Figure 20: Sensitivity analysis in \((\alpha_1 = E_0, \alpha_2 = V_{act})\) parameter space for mudstone experiments CD4-6. The top row displays the value of the objective function interpolated from 313 simulations (white dots), showing a localised zone of good fits (low values). The bottom row shows the corresponding maximum norm of the volumetric component of the plastic mechanical work. The red dot shows the location of identified saddle points.
idated against a suite of multi-physics tests in different materials, showing remarkable agreement for a realistic range of material parameters.

Following the performance of the framework against the experimental data, the following conclusions were reached:

1. the dominant mechanism controlling the response of the model in triaxial compression tests is an internal interface mechanism, akin to the mechanisms expressed through Kelvin’s law in water-air capillary interfaces (Eq. 38).
2. at high confinement, the system self-organizes at different extrema of the mechanical dissipation function, depending on the presence of deviatoric loading (Fig. 20).

These conclusions are offering a new perspective for the presented framework, allowing a stress path dependent energetic quantity like $Q_{\text{mech}}$ to be evaluated from points of self organization of the dissipative energy of internal volume change mechanisms.
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