This is a non-peer-reviewed preprint submitted to EarthArXiv. This manuscript has been submitted to Communications Earth and Environment and is currently under consideration.

1 Origin of the Harappan Ernestites: Fabrication and Raw Materials

- M.K. Mahala¹, Jyotiranjan S. Ray^{1,*}, A.K. Kanungo², G.N.S. Sree Bhuvan³, A. Chatterjee^{1,4},
 B.G. George⁵, N. Sorcar^{6,7}, Y.S. Rawat⁸, J.S. Kharakwal⁹, and S.V. Rajesh¹⁰
- 3 B.G. George³, N. Sorcar^{3,7}, Y.S. Rawat³, J.S. Kharakwal⁷, and S.V. Rajesh
- 4 ¹Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380009, India
- ⁵ ²Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Palaj, Gandhinagar 382055, India
- ⁶ ³Department of Earth Sciences, Pondicherry University, Puducherry 605014, India
- ⁴Department of Geology, Presidency University, College Street, Kolkata 700073, India
- 8 ⁵Department of Earth Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai 400076, India
- 9 ⁶National Centre for Earth Science Studies, Akkulam, Thiruvananthapuram 695011, India
- ⁷Korea Polar Research Institute, Incheon 21990, Republic of Korea
- ¹¹ ⁸Archaeological Survey of India, Dharohar Bhawan, 24 Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110011, India
- 12 ⁹JRN Rajasthan Vidyapeeth, Sahitya Sansthan, Udaipur 313001, India
- ¹⁰Department of Archaeology, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram 695581, India
- 14
- 15 *Correspondence: jsray@prl.res.in
- 16

17 Abstract

18

19 Significant developments in stone bead technology in South Asia, including drilling

- 20 techniques, happened during the Harappan civilization. Among the stone drill bits used for
- 21 bead making, long and constricted cylindrical drill bits made from a unique stone named
- Ernestite were exclusive to the Harappan civilization. Most Ernestites have been discovered in the Harappan cities in Gujarat, India. The origin of Ernestite remains a mystery without a
- natural analog and unknown manufacturing process. Here, we present the results of a detailed
- 25 textural, mineralogical, geochemical, and Sr-Nd isotopic investigation of Ernestite stones and
- 26 drill bits from three Harappan sites and one Sorath Harappan site in Gujarat carried out to
- 27 understand their manufacturing process and establish the provenance of the raw materials
- 28 used. ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ genetically link the drill bits to the Ernestite stones. The texture,
- 29 pseudomullite (aluminosilicate with $SiO_2 > 40$ wt%) matrix, and high substitutions of Al_2O_3
- 30 (> 10 wt%) and TiO₂ (> 30 wt%) in hematites point to Ernestites' synthetic origin via a 31 sintering process, with the temperature reaching \sim 1100°C. The abundant sand-to-silt-sized
- 32 detrital quartz and detrital hematite, ilmenite, rutile, and zircon suggest that the Harappans
- 33 used crudely powdered sandstones and laterites as raw materials. The major oxide contents
- 34 $(SiO_2 > 40 \text{ wt}\%; Al_2O_3 > 20 \text{ wt}\%; TiO_2 > 1 \text{ wt}\%)$, Primitive Mantle-normalized trace
- element patterns, and Sr-Nd isotopic compositions (87 Sr/ 86 Sr < 0.731 and $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0) > -18.3$) of
- 36 the Ernstite whole-rocks not only support sand-laterite mixtures as basic ingredients but hint
- at a regional provenance for the raw materials, within the Kutch region of Gujarat.
- 38
- 39 Keywords: Bronze Age, Harappan Civilization, Ernestite, cylindrical drill bits, Dholavira,
- 40 Bhagatrav, Kanmer, Khirsara
- 41

42 **1. Introduction**43

44 Stone beads are one of the critical indicators of cultural and trade practices within prehistoric

- 45 South Asian civilizations (Kenoyer, 2017a; Kenoyer and Vidale, 1992). Manufacture of stone
- 46 beads began with the perforation of soft stones (e.g., limestone, steatite, lapis lazuli) and later
- 47 with hard stones (e.g., chert, agate, and jasper). The earliest evidence of stone beads dates

48 back to the Mesolithic period (Jwalapuram; Clarkson et al., 2008); significant developments 49 in bead production technologies, such as drilling, shaping, coloring, and mounting onto 50 ornaments, occurred in the Neolithic and Chalcolithic periods (Kenoyer, 2017a) and became 51 a key component of regional and external trades during the Indus valley (Harappan) 52 civilization (Kenoyer, 2007, 2017a,b; Law, 2011). Although various beads of different 53 materials were in use, the long cylindrical beads of harder stones, typically jasper and 54 carnelian, were manufactured through perforation using constricted cylindrical drill bits cut 55 out from unique chips/stones (Kenoyer and Vidale, 1992; Kenoyer, 2003, 2005; Prabhakar et al., 2012). Kenoyer and Vidale (1992) temporarily named these stones "Ernestite" (after 56 57 Ernst J.H. Mackay). This name is still in use. The constricted cylindrical drill bits have a long 58 cylindrical shape that is typically wide at the tip and narrow at the mid-section (Fig. 2b), 59 resulting in a drill hole section with a stepped profile (Kenoyer, 2017a). The Ernestite drill 60 bits were primarily manufactured by the artisans of the Harappan civilization (Kenoyer, 2017b; Kenover et al., 2022). Ernestite drill bits became almost extinct in subsequent cultural 61 62 periods (Kenoyer, 2015; Ludvik et al., 2022).

63

64 Despite their ubiquitous presence in the Harappan settlements (Fig. 1), the origin of 65 the Ernestite stones and drill bits remains uncertain. Kenoyer and Vidale (1992) speculated that these stones are of metamorphic origin. Later, Law (2011), based on his limited 66 67 mineralogical/chemical data and data from earlier experimental studies on clays, speculated 68 that the Ernestite was a transformed claystone produced by heat treatment (~1100 °C) to 69 achieve the desired hardness. Although Law (2011) tried to justify the presence of the 70 constituent minerals, he did not establish if all of these were produced during the heating 71 process or if some could have been detrital. Besides, he did not explain why the so-called 72 mullites in the Ernestites contained much less Al₂O₃ and higher SiO₂ than stoichiometry 73 mandated (e.g., Shears and Archibald, 1954). Similarly, ambiguity persists about the 74 provenance (source regions) of the Ernestite raw materials as earlier workers (Law, 2011; 75 Prabhakar et al., 2012) proposed both local (Kutch/Ratanpur) and regional (Gujarat) sources, 76 and there exists no isotopic data to establish the source(s) conclusively.

77

78 Deciphering Ernestite source materials and their geologic origin are vital to 79 understanding the stone drilling technology and the inter-regional communication network 80 during the Harappan period. In this study, we have addressed the following poorly understood 81 aspects of the Ernestites with detailed petrography, mineralogy, mineral chemistry, 82 geochemical and isotopic investigations from three Harappan sites (Dholavira, Khirsara, 83 Kanmer) and one Sorath Harappan site (Bhagatrav) in Gujarat, India: (1) What is the nature 84 of Ernestites, (2) If artificial, what raw materials were used for their manufacturing, and (3) 85 What were the geologic sources for these raw materials? In addition, we have attempted to 86 shed some light on the manufacturing process of these stones.

87

88 2. Background and Previous Work

89

90 Ancient Gujarat was well known for its rich agate resources, which attracted the Harappans

91 to this region, and bead manufacturing industries/workshops were established in several

92 urban centers in Kutch and Saurashtra (Law, 2011, 2013). It is generally believed that the

93 Ernestite drill bits were primarily used for making long cylindrical carnelian beads since their

hardness is higher than agate (~7.5 on Mohs' scale; Kenoyer, 2017b; Law, 2013; Prabhakar,

95 2016). The Ernestite is a signature finding of the urban phase of the Harappan civilization;

96 however, they have been reported in large numbers from the late phase, single-cultured

97 Harappan and Sorath Harappan sites as well (Prasad and Prabhakar 2022, Nath and Kumaran

98 2017; Pokharia et al. 2017). Some important Harappan and Sorath Harappan sites, including 99 those where Ernesites have been reported, are shown in the map (Fig.1). Many Ernestite 100 stones and drill bits have been found in close association with bead workshops in several 101 Harappan sites in Pakistan (e.g., Harappa, Mahenjo-daro, Chahnudaro; Mackay, 1937, 1938; Kenoyer & Vidale, 1992; Law, 2011) as well as in India (e.g., Dholavira, Khirsara, Kanmer, 102 Bisht, 2015; Prabhakar et al., 2012; Nath & Kumaran, 2017; Pokharia et al., 2017; Kharakwal 103 104 et al., 2008, 2012), and in a few Sorath Harappan sites such as Bhagatrav, Bagasra, Shikarpur, 105 Nagwada (Chase et al., 2014; Bhan, 2018; Prasad & Prabhakar, 2022; and references therein). 106

107 Kenover and Vidale (1992) described Ernestite at Mohenjo-daro as a rock composed 108 of a mottled greyish-green to yellow-brown matrix with dark brown to black irregular patches or dendritic formations, and based on the XRD analysis of samples from Mohenjo-daro, 109 110 Chanhudaro, and Harappa, they opined that these are metamorphic rocks composed of quartz, sillimanite, mullite, hematite, and titanium oxide phases. Law (2011) observed significant 111 112 quartz, mullite-sillimanite, and hematite phases in two samples from Harappa and mullite and 113 cristobalite in the other two. He found from XRD and EMPA analyses that the light and dark 114 matrices consisted of clay-sized (< 2 µm) Al-Si bearing phases, compositionally similar to mullite and sillimanite, apart from quartz. The dark matrix contained additional phases such 115 as hematite, titanohematite, rutile, and zircon. Law (2011) suggested that the Ernestite is 116 117 likely a highly indurated tonstein flint clay, sufficiently heat treated to yield Ernestite 118 characteristics. However, no experimental proof was provided for transforming any natural 119 rock or mineral to Ernestites by heating.

120

121 Tonstein is a kaolinitic (flint) clay stone formed by diagenesis of volcanic ash in a 122 swampy or non-marine environment (Spears 2012). Despite a detailed analysis of Ernestites, 123 Law (2011) did not provide the locations of the probable sources of tonstein. Because of the 124 sheer number of Ernestite drill bits reported from the Harappan city of Dholavira in Gujarat (1212), Prabhakar et al. (2012) hypothesized that the sources of Ernestite raw materials were 125 126 located within the Kutch province of Gujarat. The XRD analyses of two samples of Ernestites 127 from Dholavira and one sample from Bhgatrav, done by Prabhakar et al. (2012) and Prasad 128 and Prabhakar (2022), respectively, showed the presence of quartz, hematite, and 129 sillimanite/mullite. No cristobalite has been reported in Ernestites from any of the Indian 130 sites. 131

132 **3. Materials and Methods**

133

134 Owing to our limited access to the Harappan artifacts, only six samples could be included in 135 this study consisting of three Ernestite stone/rock samples (Fig. 2a) and three drill bits (Fig. 136 2b) from four sites (Khirsara, Kanmer, Dholavira, and Bhagatrav; Fig. 1) in Gujarat. The 137 sample from Kanmer is associated with the mature Harappan phase and comes from the 138 collection of Kharakawal et al. (2012). The Bhagatrav sample is related to the Sorath 139 Harappan phase (Kanungo et al., 2025) and comes from the collection of Kanungo (2021). 140 Sample from Dholavira represents the Mature/Late Harappan phase (Bisht, 2015). The 141 stratigraphic contexts of the samples can be found in the references given for each location. 142 The Ernestite from Bhagatrav was subsampled into three; there were two drill bits from Kanmer (the first and third from the left in Fig. 2b) and one from Khirsara. Two laterite 143 samples and two sandstone samples from the island of Khadir, on which Dholavira is located, 144 145 were also studied. Because of their size and rarity, the drill bits were analyzed only for Sr-Nd 146 isotopic compositions, whereas the stones/rocks were powdered for mineralogical,

147 geochemical, and isotopic analyses.

148 Petrographic studies were conducted on thin sections of all three Ernestite samples 149 using transmitted and reflected lights. Grain size analysis was done using the inbuilt software (Stream Basic) associated with the petrographic microscope (Olympus[®] BX-53). The 150 151 mineralogical compositions of the Dholavira and Bhagatrav Ernestites whole rock powders 152 were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D2 Phaser diffractometer at the 153 Physical Research Laboratory (PRL). The major element contents of Ernestites were 154 determined by X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy using a Rigaku® Supermini200 155 instrument at PRL and the pressed pellet method (Tripati et al., 2022). Multiple international 156 rock standards were used for calibration, and the reference material OU-6 from the 157 International Association of Geoanalysts (IAG) was used for accuracy and precision checks. 158 The major element contents of laterites and sandstones were measured at the National Centre 159 for Earth Science Studies (NCESS), Thiruvananthapuram, using an S4 Pioneer sequential 160 wavelength dispersive-XRF, with reference materials VL-1 and MAG-1 used for accuracy and precision checks (Table S1; Kumar and Sreejith, 2016). In-situ mineral chemical analyses 161 162 were conducted using a CAMECA SX-5 Tactics Electron Probe Microanalyzer (EPMA) at 163 NCESS (Dev et al., 2022; Sorcar et al., 2021). Analyses were performed at 20 kV 164 accelerating voltage and 20 nA beam current with a beam diameter of 1µm.

165

166 Bulk sample geochemical and isotopic measurements were carried out at PRL. About 167 50 mg of sample powder each was digested using conventional HF-HNO₃ and HF-HNO₃-168 HCl dissolution protocols for trace element and isotopic analyses, respectively. The details of 169 the analytical procedures are given in George and Ray (2017). Trace element concentrations were measured on a Thermo® HR-ICPMS using BHVO-2 (USGS) as a calibration standard. 170 Machine drift correction was performed using ¹¹⁵In as an internal standard. The accuracy and 171 precision of our measurements, determined by repeated analyses of BHVO-2 (as unknown), 172 173 were better than 2% for REE and 5% for other trace elements. Sr and REE were separated 174 from digested solutions by conventional cation exchange column chromatography using AG 175 50W-X8 resin (BioRad®), and Nd was eluted from REE using Ln-specific resin (Eichrom®), 176 using protocols given in George and Ray (2017). Sr and Nd isotopic ratio measurements were 177 performed on a TIMS (Thermo® Triton Plus) in static multicollection mode. Sr isotopes of 178 some samples were measured on an MC-ICPMS at PRL (Chatterjee and Ray, 2018). 179 Instrumental mass fractionation for Sr and Nd isotopic ratios was corrected using exponential fractionation (internal) correction equations of Thirlwall (1991) and assuming 88 Sr/ 86 Sr = 180 8.375209 and 146 Nd/ 144 Nd = 0.7219. Multiple measurements of SRM-987 and JNdi-1 over 181 182 three years yielded an average of 87 Sr/ 86 Sr = 0.710249±0.000009 (2 σ ; n = 14) and 143 Nd/ 144 Nd = 0.512102±0.000010 (2 σ ; n = 14). 183

184

185 **4. Results**

- 186 *4.1 Petrography and Mineralogy*
- 187

All Ernestite stone chips from Dholavira, Kanmer, and Bhagatrav are heterogeneous in
physical appearance (Fig. 2a). They are hard (harder than quartz), highly compact, do not
make streaks, and are difficult to break. Two clear domains, a yellowish-brown or khaki color

191 phase and a black color phase, can be distinguished by the naked eye (Fig. 2b). Transmitted

and reflected light microscopy reveals that Ernestite stones contain detrital subangular to

- subrounded quartz grains (sand to silt-sized) and angular to sub-angular opaque phases like
- hematite and ilmenite set in a compact, fine-grained, light-colored (yellowish/khaki)
- 195 groundmass of unidentifiable mineral(s) (Fig. 3). Quartz in Dholavira Ernestite occurs as
- 196 fractured angular to subangular grains (Fig. 3a,b) compared to the sub-angular to sub-rounded 197 $(F_1^2, F_2^2, F_3^2, F_$
- 197 grains in Bhagatrav (Fig. 3c,d) and Kanmer (Fig. 3e,f). The opaque phases (hematite,

198 titanohematite, and ilmenite) appear as narrow bands or irregular patches. They occur in 199 lower proportions in the Dholavira Ernestite than in the Kanmer and Bhagatrav stones. 200 Hematite appears gray and displays the characteristic reddish internal reflection under plane 201 and cross-polar view, respectively, in reflected light (Fig. 3g,h) and is often associated with 202 ilmenite (shows bi-reflectance). All these detrital phases are essentially larger than clay-sized 203 ($\sim 4 \mu m$) mineral grains that constitute a clay stone. Sand-sized (210-736 μm diameter; 204 Supplementary Figure 1) detrital grains of ilmenite and its partial replacement by hematite 205 are also observed in the Kanmer Ernestite under a cross-polar view in reflected light (Fig. 206 3e,f). Zircon and rutile in Kanmer Ernestite have subrounded to irregular grain boundaries, 207 confirming their detrital nature (Supplementary Figure 3). The size (longest diameter) 208 distributions of detrital quartz grains (measured in the thin sections) in Dholavira, Kanmer, 209 and Bhagatrav Ernestites are presented in a box plot (Fig. 4). Their ϕ (= -log₂d; d = diameter) 210 sizes (1.84-6.64) vary between medium sand to fine silt, with half of the distributions falling between very-fine sand to coarse silt fractions. The quartz grains in Kanmer and Dholavira 211 212 stones are moderately sorted ($1\sigma = 0.81$ and 0.71, respectively), whereas those in the 213 Bhagatrav stone are moderately well-sorted ($1\sigma = 0.68$). Powder XRD patterns of the 214 Dholavira and Bhagatrav samples (Supplementary Figure 2) reveal that quartz is the most abundant phase in all the samples, followed by a mullite-like phase (mullite/sillimanite). 215 Hematite was detected only in the Bhagatrav dark matrix (Supplementary Figure 2), though it 216 217 is observed in the petrography of all Ernestites.

218

219 4.2. Major and Trace elements

220

221 The major oxide and trace element contents of two Ernestite samples from Bhagatrav and 222 Dholavira, as well as two laterite and two sandstone samples from Khadir island, are 223 presented in Supplementary Data 1. SiO₂ content (47-61 wt%) is the highest among all 224 oxides, with Al₂O₃, FeO^T, and TiO₂ being other major components. MnO, Na₂O, and P₂O₅ are 225 either very low (< 0.1wt%) or absent, whereas K₂O and MgO concentrations are minor. 226 Bhagatrav Ernestite has lower SiO₂ and Al₂O₃, FeO_T, and TiO₂ than Dholavira Ernestite. The 227 major oxide data of the laterite and sandstone samples from the Khadir island are also 228 presented in Supplementary Data 1. Laterites have high Fe₂O₃ (36.7-37.6 wt%), moderate 229 SiO₂ (32.31-32.61 wt%) and low Al₂O₃ (8.77-8.89 wt%), TiO₂ (1.32-1.34 wt%) contents, 230 whereas sandstones are characterized by high SiO₂ (67.57-68.42 wt%), moderate Al₂O₃ 231 (13.26-13.19), K₂O (1.83-1.84 wt%) and low Fe₂O₃ (2.06-2.09 wt%). Various oxides vs. SiO₂ 232 diagrams plotted for Ernestites, sandstones, and laterites, along with the published data for 233 Mesozoic sandstones (Mandal et al., 2016; Periasamy and Venkateshwarlu, 2017) and 234 laterites-bauxites of Kutch (Meshram and Randive, 2011; Jadhav et al., 2012; Singh and 235 Srivastava, 2019), are presented in Fig. 5. Figure 6 presents the primitive mantle (PM) 236 normalized multi-element patterns for the Ernestite samples and those for Mesozoic rocks 237 (Chaudhuri et al., 2020), and laterites of Kutch region (Singh and Srivastava, 2019).

- 238 230
- 239 Mineral Chemistry
- 240
- 241 Representative backscattered electron (BSE) images of various phases in a polished thin
- section of the Kanmer Ernestite are given in Supplementary Fig. 3. Mineral compositions of
- 243 different phases are provided in Supplementary Data 2. X-ray elemental maps for all three
- 244 Ernestite stones (i.e., Kanmer, Bhagatrav, and Dholavira) and chemical spot analysis (both by
- EPMA) data are provided in Supplementary Figs. 3-6 and Supplementary Data 2. Quartz
- $(SiO_2: 98-100 \text{ wt\%})$ of varying sizes are scattered within the light-colored (yellow) fine
- 247 matrix, mainly consisting of aluminosilicate phases (SiO₂: 40-53 wt%; Al₂O₃: 40-50 wt%).

- 248 Although identified as mullites by XRD, the aluminosilicate matrix phases contain much
- higher SiO₂ than that mandated by stoichiometry (i.e., < 30 wt%; Lentz et al., 2019),
- 250 therefore, we identify these phases as pseudomullites. Fe-Ti bearing phases, such as hematite
- 251 (FeO: 71-74 wt%) and ilmenite (TiO₂: 51-56 wt%), often occur as narrow patches or are
- 252 finely dispersed within the light (yellow) matrix. Many hematite grains have high TiO_2
- content (29-40 wt%), thus can be classified as titanohematite. The titanohematites also
- contain an appreciable amount of Al_2O_3 (5-21 wt%).
- 255 256

256 4.3. Sr-Nd isotopic ratios257

- 258 Results of Sr and Nd isotopic compositions of Ernestite whole rocks and drill bits, laterites, and sandstones are provided in Table 1. The 87 Sr/ 86 Sr and $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ of Ernestite stones and drill 259 bits from Kutch (Dholavira, Kanmer, and Khirsara) vary between 0.71000 and 0.72282, and 260 -14.7 and -13.9, respectively. In contrast, the Sr-Nd isotopic compositions of Bhagatrav 261 Ernestites are more radiogenic in Sr and less radiogenic in Nd (87 Sr/ 86 Sr = 0.73022 to 262 0.730876; $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0) = -18.3$ to -18.1). The drill bits from Kanmer have identical $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ of -13.9, 263 and one of the drill bits has almost identical ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr as that of the Ernestite stone (0.72282 264 vs. 0.72207; Table 4). The laterite samples collected from the Khadir (Dholavira) have 265 87 Sr/ 86 Sr varying from 0.7089 to 0.7096, and their $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ ranges from -7.7 to -7.5, whereas the 266 sandstones have more radiogenic Sr and Nd (87 Sr/ 86 Sr = 0.71494 and 0.74344; $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ = 267 268 -23.5 and -17.7).
- 268 -23.5 and -17 269

270 **5. Discussion**

271 5.1. The Making of Ernestites

272 273 In the first-ever detailed characterization, Kenover and Vidale (1992) suggested a 274 metamorphic origin for Ernestites based on their identification of the matrix phases as 275 sillimanite and mullite. Mullite is a rare mineral and has only been reported from specific 276 contact-metamorphic rocks (in metamorphosed clays) and pseudotachylites (Deer et al., 277 2013; Moecher and Brearley, 2004). It is also commonly observed in high-temperature 278 ceramics and has been synthesized by high-temperature (> 1100°C) heating of various 279 aluminosilicate minerals (e.g., kaolinite, kyanite, andalusite, sillimanite, etc.; Chin et al., 280 2017; Komarneni et al., 2005; Septawendar, 2007; Worral, 1968). However, we identify these 281 phases, which show identical XRD spectra as mullite, as pseudomullites based on their higher 282 SiO₂ contents (> 40 wt%). Since mullite and pseudomullite are isostructural, all earlier 283 studies, relying primarily on XRD data, had identified pseudomullite as mulite. 284 "Pseudomullite" refers to a structure or phase that resembles mullite (Fig. 7) but is not the 285 true, stoichiometric mullite. It can be formed by decomposition of kaolinite or other 286 aluminosilicate materials (e.g., Worral, 1968; Querol et al., 1994; Noghani and Emami, 287 2014). Mullite refers to an experimentally observed solid solution series $Al_{4+2x}Si_{2-2x}O_{10-x}$ with 288 0.2 < x < 0.9 (Fig. 7; Lenz et al., 2019; Schneider et al., 2008). According to Shears and 289 Archibald (1954), the stoichiometric composition of synthetic mullite commonly varies 290 between 3Al₂O₃.2SiO₂ (~72wt% Al₂O₃) and 2Al₂O₃.SiO₂ (~78wt% Al₂O₃). In natural 291 mullites, Fe₂O₃ substitutes Al₂O₃, producing a wide range of compositions at ~30 wt% SiO₂ 292 (Fig. 7; Moecher and Brearley, 2004; Lenz et al., 2019), whereas stoichiometric sillimanite 293 has ~61 wt% Al₂O₃ (Fig. 7). The aluminosilicate phase in Ernestite matrix are pseudomullites 294 and have higher SiO_2 and lower Al_2O_3 than those of natural mullite or silimanite (Fig.7). 295 Pseudomullites are not found in nature and have been shown in synthetic heating experiments 296 to be developed as an intermediate phase during kaolinite to mullite transformation at high 297 temperature (~1100°C; Worral, 1968; Yanti and Pratiwi, 2018). Therefore, the presence of

298 pseudomullites unambiguously rules out that Ernestites are natural rocks, indicating their 299 origin by high-temperature processing.

300 301 The Harappans artificially produced the Ernestites as the source stones for drill bits 302 through some high-temperature heating process that could generate the pseudomullites. Further evidence for a high-temperature process comes from the chemical composition of Fe-303 304 Ti bearing phases as determined by EPMA analyses. The presence of titanohematites with 305 significant TiO₂ (29-40 wt%) and Al₂O₃ (5-21 wt%) suggests an extensive substitution between Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂ and between Fe₂O₃ and Al₂O₃. It is known that at temperatures 306 307 below 800°C, only a limited solid solution between TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ is possible (Deer et al., 308 1992). Similarly, in the Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃ system, higher Al₂O₃ (up to 10 wt%) can be substituted 309 in the hematite (Fe₂O₃) structure at high temperatures only (~1000°C; Deer et al., 1992). 310 Therefore, higher TiO_2 and Al_2O_3 in the titanohematite confirms a heating process (> 1000°C) in Ernestite manufacturing. It is thus apparent that the pseudomullite matrix was 311 312 produced during high-temperature sintering. This provides the first geochemical evidence of 313 sintering being utilized in manufacturing Ernestites. This also successfully explains the 314 presence of high-temperature craft objects such as stoneware bangles (Pracchia et al., 1993; Vidale, 2000), steatite beads (Barthelmyde Saizieu and Bouquillon, 1994), and furnaces 315 (Kharakwal et al., 2008, 2012) in the Harappan sites. The presence of detrital quartz grains, 316 317 ilmenite, hematite, zircon, and rutile suggests that the raw materials used for making the Ernestites are natural, even though the process was artificial.

- 318
- 319 320

5.2. Raw Materials and Provenance

321 322 Law (2011) suggested tonstein flint (kaolinitic claystone) as the only raw material for 323 Harappan Ernestites. He attributed the coarser (up to 100 µm) subhedral quartz or cristobalite grains (detected in his BSE images) to the recrystallized free silica (released during heating) 324 and zircon to a magmatic origin. He suggested that the raw materials for the Ernsitites (i.e. 325 326 tonsteins) were sourced from local/regional sources (i.e., Kutch). Tonsteins are hard and 327 compact kaolinite-altered volcanic ash layers, generally found in coals and associated 328 sediments (Spears, 2012). These often contain magmatic quartz and zircon (Lyons et al., 329 1994; Spears, 2012). However, as we observed (section 4.1), quartz, zircon, ilmenite, and 330 rutile in Ernestites are detrital. Therefore, tonstein flint is ruled out as Ernestite's raw 331 material. Additional evidence against using tonsteins for Ernestites comes from the presence 332 of non-radiogenic Nd in the Ernestites ($\varepsilon_{Nd}(0) < -14$), because all ash beds in Kutch are linked 333 to the Deccan Traps (Singh and Srivastava, 2019), which contain more radiogenic Nd ($\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$) 334 > -11, Fig. 8).

335

336 The detrital quartz grains' size and moderately sorted nature suggest using coarser raw 337 materials, such as sandstones, which were likely pounded to sand/silt-sized particles before being processed for sintering. It is possible that the Fe-Ti phases (hematite, titanohematite, 338 339 ilmenite, rutile) observed in the Ernestites also came from the sandstones since sandstones 340 generally contain such heavy minerals. However, our Ernestites appear to show mixing trends 341 between Mesozoic sandstones and laterites-bauxites of the Kutch region in various oxides vs. 342 SiO₂ plots (Fig. 5). Trace element patterns (Fig. 6) also advocate for such a mixture being 343 necessary to explain the chemistry of the Ernestites. Besides, high contents of Al₂O₃ (> 20 344 wt%) and high field strength elements (e.g., Sc, V, Cr, and Co) in Ernestites cannot be achieved by the sandstones of the Kutch alone. Therefore, a second end-member, containing 345 Fe-Ti minerals but low in alkali elements, is needed to explain the Ernestite chemistry, and 346 347 the laterites of Kutch, derived from the mafic volcanic rocks of the Deccan Traps, fit the bill.

- 348 The Paleocene to Eocene lateritic deposits in western Kutch (Matanomadh Formation) and
- Saurashtra (Jamnagar) contain both Al-rich (gibbsite, kaolinite) and Fe-rich (goethite,
 hematite, ilmenite-rich) phases and are depleted in alkalis (Meshram and Randive, 2011;
- Jadhav et al., 2012; Singh and Srivastava, 2019) have the required characteristics of this raw
- 352 material. Although we discard claystone as the sole raw material, we do not deny its possible
- 353 use in combination with sandstone and laterite for Ernestite manufacturing.
- 354
- 355 Since kaolinite is a common mineral in laterites, it could have decomposed and 356 undergone subsequent chemical and structural changes to form the pseudomullite matrix 357 during the sintering process. The free (amorphous) silica released during the heating of pure 358 kaolinite recrystallizes as cristobalite upon further heating (to ~1350°C; Worral, 1968) and 359 when kaolinite is heated with alumina-bearing material (e.g., bauxite, aluminum fluoride, 360 aluminum hydroxide), free silica formation is prohibited (Chatterjee and Panti, 1965; Locsei, 1968; Rossini et al., 1970). We suspect that during the sintering process carried out by the 361 362 Harappans, the free silica (SiO₂) formation was suppressed by the presence of gibbsite (Al(OH)₃) in the laterite. Moreover, gibbsite undergoes thermal decomposition to boehmite 363 364 (AlOOH) at 200°C, which transforms into a transitional alumina (α - Al₂O₃) phase at 500°C (Baranyai et al., 2013; Kloprogge et al., 2002). We suspect that the Al₂O₃ in the 365 titanohematite structure was sourced from gibbsite (lateritic) in the mixture during the a-366 367 Al₂O₃ stage. Since the results of our study point to a maximum temperature of 1100°C for the 368 sintering process, the cristobalites observed by Law (2011) likely represent a higher 369 temperature or longer heating process.
- 370

Similar 87 Sr/ 86 Sr and $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ of Ernestite stone and drill bits from Kanmer genetically 371 372 link drill bits to the stone. Although it has been well established that Ernestite stones are the 373 raw materials for long and constricted cylindrical drill bits (e.g., Law, 2011), their isotopic similarity is the first-ever chemical evidence for the same. Because of the sheer number of 374 375 Ernestite stones and drill bits from Dholavira, Law (2011) speculated that the raw materials 376 for the stones came from either the island itself (i.e., Khadir) or the Kutch region of Gujarat. 377 However, our geochemical data (Figs. 5 and 6) support a regional sourcing of the raw 378 materials. The sandstones and laterites of Kutch appear to have been the primary sources of 379 the raw materials for the Ernestites. In search of more robust evidence for this geological provenance hypothesis, we make use of the Sr-Nd isotopic compositions of Ernestites and 380 their potential source rocks (Table 1; Fig. 8). Although, the ε_{Nd} and ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$ compositions of 381 382 the Ernestites plot well within the compositional field of the Mesozoic sandstones of Kutch, 383 they can be explained by a two-component mixing between the sandstones and laterites of 384 Khadir (Fig. 8). The isotopic compositions of laterites of Khadir, which are developed over 385 volcanic ash of Deccan Traps fall well within the field of the Deccan Basalts, which suggests 386 that other lateritic horizons in the Kutch and Saurashtra region, developed over Deccan Trap 387 rocks could also have served as sources for the raw material for Ernestites. The mixing model 388 suggests 55-60% contribution from these end-members to the isotopic compositions of most 389 of our samples; however, those from Bhagatrav (in south Gujarat) require ~80% contribution 390 from the sandstones. Therefore, we infer that the Harappans used laterite from different 391 weathered (Deccan) horizons and sand from Mesozoic sandstones from Kutch to manufacture 392 Ernestites. 393

394 6. Conclusions

- 395 We make the following inferences based on our investigation of Ernestites, the parent
- 396 material for the unique constricted drill bits of the Harappan Civilization, using petrographic,
- 397 mineralogical, geochemical, and Sr-Nd isotopic techniques.

- Stone drill bits have been isotopically fingerprinted to the Ernestites, confirming their genetic link.
- 400
 401
 2. The Ernestites consist of medium sand to fine silt detrital quartz, hematite, ilmenite,
 401
 401
 402
 403
 404
 404
 404
 405
 405
 404
 406
 406
 406
 407
 407
 408
 408
 409
 409
 409
 409
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
- 402 3. Ernstite's texture (larger mineral grains and their detrital nature), and its whole-rock Nd 403 isotopic composition ($\varepsilon_{Nd}(0) > -11$) rule out the use of tonstein flint as a raw material.
- 404
 4. The aluminosilicate matrix/groundmass phase has been chemically identified as
 405 pseudomullite, though its XRD spectrum is similar to mullite.
- 406
 5. The presence of pseudomullites, with high SiO₂ contents (> 40 wt%), unambiguously
 407
 408
 408
 409
 409
 409
 409
 409
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400<
- 410
 6. Mineralogy, texture, and mineral chemistry suggest Ernstites were manufactured through
 411 a high-temperature sintering process involving sand and clay-bearing raw materials.
- 412 7. Major and trace element and Sr-Nd isotopic data point to the likelihood of the raw
 413 materials' regional provenance (sandstones and laterites of Kutch).
- 414 8. All our findings suggest that Ernestites were likely made in the Harappan centers of
- 415 Gujarat, India, and the Ernestite-based drilling technology was exclusive to this 416 civilization.

417 **Author contributions**

- 418 JSR and AC conceived the study. AKK, YSR, JSK, and SVR supplied samples. AC, MKM,
- 419 BGG, NS, and GNSSB conducted the analytical work. MKM, GNSSB, AC, and JSR
- 420 interpreted the data. JSR secured the project's funding, and all authors contributed to the421 writing.

422 Data Availability Statement

All data generated for this study are in the tables in the manuscript and the supplementaryfiles.

425 Competing interests

426 The authors declare no competing interests related to this work.

427 Acknowledgments

- 428 JSR thanks the Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, India, for funding. The authors
- 429 acknowledge the laboratory support of Sneha Mukherjee of NCESS and Jitender Kumar of430 PRL.

431 Appendix A. Supplementary Information

- 432 Supplementary materials for this article have been attached as separate files.
- 433 1) Supplementary Data 1 (Excel file containing Major and Trace element data for Ernestites)
- 434 2) Supplementary Data 2 (Mineral chemistry EMPA data for various phases in Ernestites)
- 435 3) Supplementary Figures (Supplementary Figures 1-6)
- 436

437 References

- 438 Banerjee, A., and Chakrabarti, R. (2019). A geochemical and Nd, Sr and stable Ca isotopic
- 439 study of carbonatites and associated silicate rocks from the~ 65 Ma old Ambadongar
- 440 carbonatite complex and the Phenai Mata igneous complex, Gujarat, India: Implications for
- 441 crustal contamination, carbonate recycling, hydrothermal alteration and source-mantle
- 442 mineralogy.
- 443 Baranyai, V. Z., Kristály, F., and Szűcs, I. (2013). Influence of the short time grinding on the
- thermal decomposition processes of gibbsite produced by the Bayer process. *Materials Science and Engineering*, 38(1), 15–27.
- 446 Bhan, K. K. (2018). Some important aspects of technology and craft production in the Indus
- civilization with specific reference to Gujarat. *Walking with the unicorn social organization and material culture in ancient south Asia: Jonathan Mark Kenoyer Felicitation Volume*, 4867.
- 450 Bisht, R. S. (2015). *Excavations at Dholavira (1989-90 to 2004-05)*. A Report Submitted to 451 Archaeological Survey of India. New Delhi.
- 452 Chase, B. P., Ajithprasad, P., Rajesh, S.V., Patel, A., Sharma, B. (2014)
- 453 Materializing Harappan identities: Unity and diversity in the borderlands of the Indus
- 454 Civilization. Journal of Anthropological Archaeology, 35, 63-78, ISSN 0278-4165,
- 455 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2014.04.005.
- 456
- 457 Chandra, J., Paul, D., Stracke, A., Chabaux, F., and Granet, M. (2019). The origin of
- 458 carbonatites from Amba Dongar within the Deccan large igneous province. *Journal of* 459 *Petrology*, 60(6), 1119-1134.
- 460 Chatterjee, A. (2018). Provenance of Late Quaternary Continental Sediments in Western
- 461 India: Insights from Trace Element and Isotope Geochemistry. Maharaja Sayajirao University
- 462 of Baroda (India).
- 463 Chatterjee, A., and Ray, J.S. (2017). Sources and depositional pathways of mid-Holocene
- sediments in the Great Rann of Kachchh, India: Implications for fluvial scenario during the
 Harappan Culture. *Quaternary International*, 443, 177–187.
- 466 Chatterjee, A., and Ray, J. S. (2018). Geochemistry of Harappan potteries from Kalibangan
- and sediments in the Ghaggar River: Clues for a dying river. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 9(4),
 1203-1211.
- Chatterjee, N. B., and Panti, B. N. (1965). Mullite Refractories from Clay-Bauxite or QuartzBauxite Mixes. *Transactions of the Indian Ceramic Society*, 24(1), 116–121.
- 471 Chaudhuri, A., Chatterjee, A., Banerjee, S., and Ray, J. S. (2021). Tracing multiple sources of
- 472 sediments using trace element and Nd isotope geochemistry: provenance of the Mesozoic
- 473 succession in the Kutch Basin, western India. *Geological Magazine*, 158(2), 359–374.
- 474 Chaudhuri, A., Schönig, J., Le Pera, E., von Eynatten, H., Chauhan, G., and Lünsdorf, N. K.
- 475 (2023). Provenance changes revealed by a multi-proxy approach to sandstone analysis and its
- 476 implications on palaeogeography: Mesozoic Kutch Basin, India. Sedimentary Geology, 452,
- 477 106411.
- 478 Chin, C. L., Ahmad, Z. A., and Sow, S. S. (2017). Relationship between the thermal
- 479 behaviour of the clays and their mineralogical and chemical composition: Example of Ipoh,
- 480 Kuala Rompin and Mersing (Malaysia). *Applied Clay Science*, *143*, 327–335.

- 481 Cucciniello, C., Demonterova, E. I., Sheth, H., Pande, K., and Vijayan, A. (2015). 40 Ar/39
- 482 Ar geochronology and geochemistry of the Central Saurashtra mafic dyke swarm: insights
- 483 into magmatic evolution, magma transport, and dyke-flow relationships in the northwestern
- 484 Deccan Traps. *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 77, 1-19.
- 485 Cucciniello, C., Sheth, H., Duraiswami, R. A., Wegner, W., Koeberl, C., Das, T., and Ghule,
- 486 V. (2020). The Southeastern Saurashtra dyke swarm, Deccan Traps: magmatic evolution of a
- 487 tholeiitic basalt–basaltic andesite–andesite–rhyolite suite. *Lithos*, *376*, 105759.
- 488 Dalim K. Paul, Arijit Ray, Brindaban Das, Shiva K. Patil, Sanjib K. Biswas, Petrology,
- 489 geochemistry and paleomagnetism of the earliest magmatic rocks of Deccan Volcanic
- 490 Province, Kutch, Northwest India, Lithos, 102(1–2), 2008, 237-259.
- 491 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2007.08.005.
- 492 Deer, W. A., Howie, R. A., and Zussman, J. (2013). *An introduction to the rock-forming* 493 *minerals*. Mineralogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland.
- 494 Dev, J. A., Tomson, J. K., Sorcar, N., and Francis, K. A. (2022). Timing of UHT
- 495 metamorphism and cooling in south Indian granulites: New PTt results from a sapphirine 496 granulite. *Precambrian Research*, 371, 106582.
- 497 George, B. G., and Ray, J. S. (2017). Provenance of sediments in the Marwar Supergroup,
- 498 Rajasthan, India: Implications for basin evolution and Neoproterozoic global events. Journal
- 499 *of Asian Earth Sciences*, *147*, 254–270.
- 500 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2017.07.027
- 501 Jadhav, G. N., Sharma, N., and Sen, P. (2012). Characterization of bauxite deposits from
- 502 Kachchh area, Gujarat. *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, 80, 351-362.
- 503 Kanungo A.K. 2021. Harappan Horn Deity Tradition: A Recent Finds at Bhagatrav, *Bulletin of*
- 504 *the Deccan College Post-Graduate & Research Institute* 81: 1-16.
- 505 Kanungo, A.K., Kaushal R., Bhushan R., Chauhan N., Kharakwal J.S. and Ansari S. 2025.
- 506 Luminescence and Radiocarbon Chronology of Bhagatrav: A Sorath Harappan Camp Site in
- 507 South Gujarat, *Radiocarbon* 67(1): 53-73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2024.119</u>
- 508 Kenoyer, J. M. (1997). Trade and technology of the Indus Valley: New insights from
- 509 Harappa, Pakistan. World Archaeology, 29(2), 262–280.
- 510 https://doi.org/10.1080/00438243.1997.9980377
- 511 Kenoyer, J. M. (2003). Stone Beads and Pendant Making Techniques. In J. W. Lankton (Ed.),
- 512 *A Bead Timeline: Vol. 1 Prehistory to 1200 CE* (pp. 14–19). Washington DC: The Bead 513 Museum
- 513 Museum.
- 514 Kenoyer, J. M. (2005). Bead technologies at Harappa, 3300- 1900 bc: A comparison of tools,
- 515 techniques and Finished Beads from the Ravi to the Late Harappan Period,. In C. Jarrige and
- 516 V. Lefèvre (Eds.), South Asian Archaeology 2001 (pp. 157–170). CNRS.
- 517 Kenoyer, J. M. (2007). Stone beads in Ancient South Asia-7000-600 BC: A comparative
- approach to technology, style, and ideology. *The Global Perspective of Beads and Beadwork: History, Manufacture, Trade, and Adornment*, 1–12.
- 520 Kenoyer, J. M. (2015). The Indus civilization (2600-1900 BC): Early urbanism in South Asia
- and Its Legacy. *Bulletin of the Shanghai Archaeology Forum 1*, 304–322.

- Kenoyer, J. M. (2017a). History of stone beads and drilling: South Asia. Stone Beads of South
 and Southeast Asia: Archaeological, Ethnographic and Global Connections, 127–150.
- Kenoyer, J. M. (2017b). History of stone beads and drilling: South Asia. Stone Beads of South
 and Southeast Asia: Archaeological, Ethnographic and Global Connections, 127–150.
- 526 Kenoyer, J. M., Cameron, A., Bukhchuluun, D., Amartuvshin, C., Byambatseren, B.,
- 527 Honeychurch, W., Dussubieux, L., and Law, R. (2022). Carnelian beads in Mongolia: new
- 528 perspectives on technology and trade. Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences, 14(1), 6.
- 529 Kenoyer, J. M., and Vidale, M. (1992). A new look at stone drills of the Indus Valley 530 Tradition. *MRS Online Proceedings Library (OPL)*, 267, 495.
- Kharakwal, J. S., Rawat, Y. S., and Osada, T. (2012). Excavations at Kanmer. *Research Institute for Humanity and Nature: Kyoto, Japan.*
- 533 Kharakwal, J. S., Rawat, Y. S., Osada, T., & Uesugi, A. (2008). Preliminary observations on
- the excavation at Kanmer, Kachchh, India 2006–2007. *Linguistics, Archaeology and the Human Past*, 5-23.
- 555 *Human Fast*, 5-25.
- 536 Kloprogge, J. T., Ruan, H. D., and Frost, R. L. (2002). Thermal decomposition of bauxite
- 537 minerals: Infrared emission spectroscopy of gibbsite, boehmite and diaspore. *Journal of*
- 538 *Materials Science*, 37(6), 1121–1129. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014303119055/METRICS
- Komarneni, S., Schneider, H., and Okada, K. (2005). Mullite synthesis and processing. *Mullite*, 251–348.
- 541 Kumar, G. R., and Sreejith, C. (2016). Petrology and geochemistry of charnockites (felsic
- ortho-granulites) from the Kerala Khondalite Belt, Southern India: Evidence for intra-crustal
- 543 melting, magmatic differentiation and episodic crustal growth. *Lithos*, *262*, 334-354.
- Law, R. W. (2011). Inter-regional interaction and urbanism in the ancient Indus Valley. A
 Geological Provenience Study of Harappa's Rock and Mineral Assemblage. Manohar.
- Law, R. W. (2013). The important stone and metal resources of Gujarat during the Harappan period. *Heritage: Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies in Archaeology*, *1*, 319–343.
- 548 Lenz, S., Birkenstock, J., Fischer, L. A., Schüller, W., Schneider, H., and Fischer, R. X.
- (2019). Natural mullites: chemical composition, crystal structure, and optical properties.
 European Journal of Mineralogy, *31*(2), 353–367.
- 551 Locsei, B. P. (1968). Possible technical developments in the manufacture of fireclay
- refractory materials: III, Mechanisms of the synthesis of mullite in the system kaolinitealuminum fluoride. *Keram. Z*, *20*, 362–367.
- Ludvik, G. E., Kenoyer, J. M., Klonymus, H. C., Barkay, G., and Dvira, Z. (2022). Stone
- beads from the temple mount, Jerusalem: a relative chronology through high-resolution
- studies of bead technology. Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences, 14(6), 115.
- Mackay, E. J.H. (1937). Bead making in ancient Sind. *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, *57(1)*, *1-15*.
- Mackay, E. J. H. (1938). Further Excavations at Mahenjo-daro. Government of India, NewDelhi.

- 561 Mandal, A., Koner, A., Sarkar, S., Tawfik, H. A., Chakraborty, N., Bhakta, S., and Bose, P. K.
- 562 (2016). Physico-chemical tuning of palaeogeographic shifts: Bhuj formation, Kutch, India.
- 563 *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 78, 474–492.
- 564 McCarthy, B., Vandiver, P. Ancient High-Strength Ceramics: Fritted Faience Bracelet
- 565 Manufacture at Harappa (Pakistan), Ca. 2300-1800 B.C.. MRS Online Proceedings
- 566 *Library* **185**, 495–510 (1990). https://doi.org/10.1557/PROC-185-495
- 567 McDonough, W. F., and Sun, S. S. (1995). The composition of the Earth. *Chem. Geol*, *120*,
 568 223-253.
- 569 Meshram, R. R., and Randive, K. R. (2011). Geochemical study of laterites of the Jamnagar
- district, Gujarat, India: Implications on parent rock, mineralogy and tectonics. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 42(6), 1271–1287.
- 572 Moecher, D. P., and Brearley, A. J. (2004). Mineralogy and petrology of a mullite-bearing
- pseudotachylyte: Constraints on the temperature of coseismic frictional fusion. *American Mineralogist*, *89*(10), 1486–1495.
- 575 Nath J. and Kumaran R.N. (2017). Khirsara-A Harappan Metropolis in Western Kachchh,
- 576 Gujarat, India, Heritage: Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies in Archaeology 5: 541-555.
- Navias, L., and Davey, W. P. (1925). Differentiation between mullite and sillimanite by their
 X-ray diffraction patterns 1. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 8(10), 640–647.
- Noghani, S., & Emami, M. (2014). Mineralogical phase transition on sandwich-like structure
 of clinky pottery from parthian period, Iran. *Periodico di Mineralogia*, *83*(2).
- 581 Periasamy, V., and Venkateshwarlu, M. (2017). Petrography and geochemistry of Jurassic
- sandstones from the Jhuran Formation of Jara dome, Kachchh basin, India: Implications for
- provenance and tectonic setting. *Journal of Earth System Science*, *126*, 1–20.
- 584 Pokharia A.K., Agnihotri R., Sharma S., Bajpai S., Nath J., Kumaran R.N. and Negi B.C.
- 585 (2017) Altered cropping pattern and cultural continuation with declined prosperity following
- abrupt and extreme arid event at ~4,200 yrs BP: Evidence from an Indus archaeological site
- 587Khirsara, Gujarat, western India.PLoSONE12(10):e0185684.588https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185684
- 589 Potts P.J. and Kane J.S. (2003) International Association of Geoanalysts Certificate of
- 590 Analysis: Certified Reference Material OU-6 (Penrhy Slate). Geostandards and
- 591 Geoanalytical Research, 29, 233-236.
- 592 Prabhakar, V. N. (2016). An overview of the stone bead drilling technology in South Asia
- from earliest times to Harappans. *Heritage: Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Studies in Archaeology*, 4, 47–74.
- Prabhakar, V. N., Bisht, R. S., Law, R. W., and Kenoyer, J. M. (2012). Stone Drill Bits from
 Dholavira: A multifaceted analysis. *Man and Environment*, *37*(1), 8–25.
- 597 Pracchia, S., Vidale, M., & Volpicelli, O. (1993). The Archaeological Context of Stoneware
 598 Firing at Mohenjo-Daro. *East and West*, 43(1/4), 23–68. http://www.jstor.org/stable/29757083
- 599 Prasad, R. K., and Prabhakar, V. N. (2022). Mineralogical characterization of raw materials
- from Dholavira, Gujarat, India and its geological and archaeological significance. *Current*
- 601 Science (00113891), 123(11).

- 602 Querol, X., Fernandez Turiel, J. L., & Lopez Soler, A. (1994). The behaviour of mineral
- 603 matter during combustion of Spanish subbituminous and brown coals. *Mineralogical*
- 604 *Magazine*, 58(390), 119–133. doi:10.1180/minmag.1994.058.390.11
- Rossini, A. R., Arazi, S. C., and Krenkel, T. G. (1970). Mullitization of mixtures of kaolinitic clay and aluminium hydroxides. *Bol. Soc. Espan. Ceram*, *9*, 579–591.
- 607 Barthelmyde Saizieu, B. and Bouquillon, A. (1994) Steatite working at Mehrgarh during the
- 608 Neolithic and Chalcolithic periods: quantitative distribution, characterization of material and
- 609 manufacturing processes. Pp. 47-59 in A. Parpola and P. Koskikallio (eds), South Asian
- 610 Archaeology 1993, Volume I. Proceedings of the Twelfth International Conference of the
- European Association of South Asian Archaeologists, Helsinki University 5-9 July 1993.
- 612 Helsinki: Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia.
- 613 Schneider, H., Schreuer, J., and Hildmann, B. (2008). Structure and properties of mullite—a 614 review. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, *28*(2), 329–344.
- 615 Septawendar, R. (2007). Sifat fisik lempung Tanjung Morawa dalam transformasi fasa
- 616 mineral berdasarkan investigasi difraksi Sinar X. *Riset Geologi Dan Pertambangan*, 17(1),
 617 11–19.
- 618 Shears, E. C., and Archibald, W. A. (1954). Aluminosilicate refractories. *Iron Steel*, 27, 26–
 619 30.
- 620 Sheth, H. C., Ray, J. S., Ray, R., Vanderkluysen, L., Mahoney, J. J., Kumar, A., ... and Jana,
- B. (2009). Geology and geochemistry of Pachmarhi dykes and sills, Satpura Gondwana
- Basin, central India: problems of dyke-sill-flow correlations in the Deccan Traps.
- 623 *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, *158*, 357-380.
- 624 Simonetti, A., Goldstein, S. L., Schmidberger, S. S., and Viladkar, S. G. (1998). Geochemical
- and Nd, Pb, and Sr isotope data from Deccan alkaline complexes—inferences for mantle
- 626 sources and plume–lithosphere interaction. *Journal of Petrology*, *39*(11-12), 1847-1864.
- Singh, B. P., and Srivastava, V. K. (2019). Petrographical, mineralogical, and geochemical
 characteristics of the Palaeocene lateritic bauxite deposits of Kachchh Basin, Western India.
- 629 *Geological Journal*, 54(4), 2588–2607.
- 630 Sun, S. S., and McDonough, W. F. (1989). Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic
- basalts: implications for mantle composition and processes. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 42(1), 313-345.
- 633 Sorcar, N., Mukherjee, S., Pant, N. C., Dev, J. A., and Nishanth, N. (2021). Chemical dating
- of monazite: Testing of analytical protocol for U–Th–total Pb using CAMECA SXFive tactis
- 635 EPMA at the National Centre for Earth Science Studies, Thiruvananthapuram, India. *Journal*
- 636 *of Earth System Science*, *130*, 1–11.
- Thirlwall, M. F. (1991). Long-term reproducibility of multicollector Sr and Nd isotope ratio
 analysis. *Chemical Geology: Isotope Geoscience Section*, 94(2), 85–104.
- 639 Tripati, S., Ray, J. S., Behera, R. P., Babu, P., Mahala, M. K., Kocherla, M., and Khedekar, V.
- 640 (2022). Geochemical provenance of an Indo-Arabian stone anchor from Manikapatna
- highlights the medieval maritime trade of India. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 13559.
- 642 Vidale, M. (2000). The archaeology of Indus crafts: Indus craftspeople and why we study
- 643 them. Istituto Italiano per l"Africa el'Oriente, Rome.

- Worral, W. E. (1968). *Clays: their nature, origin and general properties*. Elsevier Science
 Ltd.
- Wentworth, C.K. (1922). A scale of grade and class terms for clastic sediments. The Journal
 of Geology, 30(5), 337-424. https://doi.org/10.1086/622910
- 648 Yanti, E. D., and Pratiwi, I. (2018). Correlation between thermal behavior of clays and their
- 649 chemical and mineralogical composition: A review. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and*
- 650 *Environmental Science*, *118*(1), 12078.

652 Figures



653

- **Figure 1**. A schematic geographical map of western India and Pakistan shows important
- 655 Harappan urban centers and cities yielding Ernestite stones and drill bits. The four Harappan
- 656 sites whose Ernestite samples have been studied in this work are marked.



659 **Figure 2**. (a) Ernestite stones from Dholavira, Bhagatrav, and Kanmer studied in this work.

- 660 Note the compositional variations between different samples, as reflected in their colors. (b)
- 661 Ernestite drill bits from Kanmer. Note the compositional variations. The first and third
- 662 samples have been used for isotopic analyses.



Figure 3. Photomicrographs of Ernestite thin sections: (a) sample from Dholavira in planepolarized transmitted light showing the presence of quartz (Qz) and opaques (Opq); (b) same as in (a) with hematite (Hem) displaying characteristic red internal reflection under reflected lights; (c-d) sample from Bhagatrav in plane-polarized and cross-polarized transmitted lights; (e-f) sample from Kanmer showing detrital ilmenite (Ilm) and quartz (Qz) in plane-polarized transmitted light; (g-h) sand-sized ilmenite (Ilm) grains and hematite (Hem) patches in the same sample as in (e) in plane and crossed polarized reflected lights, respectively.



Figure 4. Boxplot showing grain size distribution (in ϕ scale) in the Ernestites. Relevant statistical information is given in boxes inside the figure. The mean (square) and median (red dashed line) are marked in each box. Bhagatrav-1 and Bhagatrav-2 represent the yellow (khaki) and black colored groundmasses, respectively. Symbols: n= number of observations;

677 $\mu = \text{mean}; \sigma = \text{standard deviation}; SK = \text{skewness}; K = Kurtosis.$



679

680 Figure 5. Plots of various oxides vs SiO_2 for Ernestites of Gujarat, laterites, and sandstones

from Khadir Island (data in Table 1). Compositions of Mesozoic sandstones and laterites and
 bauxites of Kutch are plotted as fields for comparison. Data sources for the latter: Mandal et

al. (2016); Periasamy and Venkateshwarlu (2017); Jadhav et al. (2012); Meshram and

684 Randive (2011); Singh and Srivastava (2018).





Figure 6. Primitive Mantle normalized spider diagram for Ernestites of Gujarat. Also plotted are the data for Mesozoic shales and laterites of Kutch (Data sources: Chaudhury et al., 2020;

Meshram and Randive, 2011). Normalizing values are from Sun and McDonough (1989) and

689 McDonough and Sun (1995).



Figure 7. The plot of Al₂O₃ vs. SiO₂ for the aluminosilicate phases in our Ernestite samples
 compared with compositions of natural mullite, stoichiometric (synthetic) mullite, mullite
 solid solution, and stoichiometric sillimanite. Data sources: Natural mullite - Moecher and

695 Brearley (2004); Lenz et al. (2019).



697

Figure 8. The plot of $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ vs. ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of the Ernestite stones and drill bits, along with 698 laterites and sandstones from Khadir Island. The compositional fields for Deccan Basalts and 699 Mesozoic sedimentary rocks (sandstones) of Kutch are also shown for comparison. The 700 curves represent binary mixing curves between a sandstone (87 Sr/ 86 Sr = 0.743415; ε_{Nd} = 701 -23.5) and a laterite (87 Sr/ 86 Sr = 0.70927; ε_{Nd} = -7.6). The sandstone end-member (A) 702 composition is similar to a Mesozoic sandstone of Kutch, and the laterite (B) is from Khadir 703 704 (Table 4); f represents the fraction of sand end-member in the mixture. Data sources: Deccan 705 Basalts - Simonetti et al. (1998); Paul et al. (2008); Sheth et al. (2009); Cucciniello et al. (2015), (2020); Banerjee and Chakrabarti (2019); Chandra et al. (2019) and Mesozoic 706 707 sandstones - Chatterjee (2017).