- 1 Shallow long-term slow slip events along the Nankai Trough detected by the
- 2 GNSS-A
- 3
- 4 Yusuke Yokota¹ and Tadashi Ishikawa²
- ⁵ ¹ Institute of Industrial Science, University of Tokyo, 4-6-1, Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo
- 6 153-8505, Japan (yyokota@iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp)
- 7 ² Hydrographic and Oceanographic Department, Japan Coast Guard, 3-1-1, Kasumigaseki,
- 8 Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8932, Japan (eisei@jodc.go.jp)
- 9

10 Various slow earthquakes (SEQs), including tremors, very-low-frequency events 11 (VLFs) and slow slip events (SSEs) occur along megathrust zones, which generate catastrophic earthquakes¹⁻⁷. For comprehensive understanding of the megathrust 12 13 plate boundary, it is necessary to monitor such events not only on a land area (deep 14 plate boundary) but also on a sea area (shallow plate boundary). Many shallow 15 SEQs have been observed along pan-Pacific subduction zones⁸⁻¹¹, including the 16 Nankai Trough off southwestern Japan on which megathrust earthquakes are predicted to occur¹²⁻¹³. However, a SSE with a duration on the order of a year (long-17 18 term SSE) has not been detected in a sea area. Recently, we improved the Global 19 Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)-Acoustic combination technique (GNSS-A), 20 which had enabled monitoring of the seafloor absolute position, to a level that can 21 detect a transient crustal deformation. Here, we present the first detection of long-22 term SSE signals from seafloor geodetic observation data and discuss timings, 23 approximate locations and magnitudes of SSEs along the Nankai Trough inferred 24 therefrom. The results suggest features of SSEs for the strong-coupling regions and 25 other SEQ activities, i.e., temporal synchronization with VLF and short-term SSE 26 activities, regional complementarity with coupling and VLF regions and recurrence in the near region. Although these are similar to features observed even in deep 27 SSEs^{4,6,14}, the occurrence timing for VLF activity was different depending on each 28 29 generation region. The GNSS-A monitoring network that can detect SSEs will 30 provide new basic information to promote research on subduction geophysics and 31 megathrust earthquake disaster prevention. 32

In the last two decades, many kinds of SEQs, including aseismic SSEs, have been 33 detected using onshore high-precision seismometers and GNSS networks¹⁻³. Along the 34 Nankai Trough in western Japan, where recurring interplate megathrust earthquakes have 35 occurred¹²⁻¹³ and which has a dense seismic and geodetic monitoring network, their 36 interrelationships were discussed and compared in detail³⁻⁶. Most SEQs occurred not in a 37 strong coupling region, but rather around such a region and have features of repeatedly 38 39 occurring and migrating. Different types of SEQs sequentially occurred in the 40 neighbouring region, and temporal synchronization with other SEQs was also observed.

41 Observation of deep and shallow SEQ analogies and differences has multidisciplinary 42 value with respect to physical process of the plate boundary, submarine geology and 43 earthquake disaster research. However, shallow SEQs cannot be easily monitored due to 44 the technological difficulty of observation. With recent advances in technology, shallow 45 SEQs, such as tremors, VLFs and short-term SSEs with durations on the order of days, 46 have been detected by high-precision onshore seismometers, seafloor seismometers, ocean bottom pressure gauges and submarine borehole strainmeters⁷⁻¹¹. However, only 47 48 long-term SSEs with durations on the order of a year have not been detected in the group 49 of shallow SEQs. From the analogy of deep long-term SSEs, GNSS-like geodetic 50 observation is necessary to detect the shallow long-term SSEs.

51 A seafloor geodetic monitoring technique called as the GNSS-A was proposed in the 1980s and has been developed in the latest two decades. The GNSS-A seafloor geodetic 52 observation majorly constrained an interplate coupling condition¹⁵⁻¹⁶ along the Nankai 53 Trough subduction zone. Recently, we improved GNSS-A technology and upgraded 54 observation sensitivity¹⁷ in order to detect a transient crustal deformation with durations 55 56 on the order of a year. Then, the GNSS-A monitoring can reveal the occurrence of SSEs, 57 which cannot be observed by the onshore geodetic network, as shown in Extended Data 58 Fig. 2. The GNSS-A methodology is described in the Method section.

59 We first show SSE signals detected in the GNSS-A dataset in Fig. 1. Our data is listed 60 in Supplementary Table 1. Signal detection was carried out in the procedure shown in Extended Data Fig. 3. Coseismic and postseismic effects resulting from the 2011 Tohoku-61 62 oki earthquake were preliminarily deducted as similar to those described in ref. 15. If 63 there was no transient event, the time series can be simply approximated by a straight line. 64 When there is a temporal change due to SSEs in the time series, the time series can be 65 approximated by the piece-wise line. By estimating this piece-wise line, the timing and 66 scale of deformation can be determined. We fitted straight and piece-wise lines for the 67 time series of each site rotating a direction every 10 degrees. Since the GNSS-A sampling rate is low, this detection is not so sensitive to the duration of the SSEs. Here, we set the 68

69 deformation slope of the piece-wise line to one year, which is a typical duration of a long-

70 term $SSE^{14,18-19}$. The significance of fitting by the piece-wise line to the straight line is

verified using the c-AIC (refs 20 and 21) based on the method of ref. 22. The c-AIC is

72 defined as the following function:

73 c-AIC =
$$n \ln(2\pi) + n \ln\left(\frac{RSS}{n}\right) + \frac{2nk}{n-k-1}$$
 (1)

74 where *n*, *k* and *RSS* are the numbers of data, a model parameter and the residual sum of 75 squares, respectively. The maximum likelihood solution minimizes this parameter. After 76 removing a detected deformation signal, we carried out the same process once again. 77 When the difference (Δ c-AIC) between c-AICs for the straight line and the piece-wise 78 line is greater than -6, the process terminated because there was no clear signal. The time 79 series of seafloor positions and Δc -AICs and signal detected periods were compared with the time series of neighbour VLF activity⁷ in Figs 1a - e. Details of this process are 80 described in the Method section. Although the Tohoku-oki earthquake effects were 81 82 preliminarily deducted, the remaining effects due to model uncertainty are detected as 83 shown in Extended Data Fig. 5. Detected deformation vectors other than the Tohoku-oki effects are judged as SSE signals and are shown in Fig. 1f. Direction of the signal at site 84 (7) in 2014 was not from south to east and was assumed to be due to deep SSE activity¹⁸ 85 or error, and an SSE model was not estimated for this signal. 86

SSE signals at offshore sites of the Bungo and Kii deep SSEs¹⁸⁻¹⁹ were detected. Off
the Bungo channel, signals were detected after 2015. Off the Kii channel, signals were
detected in 2009 and after 2017. In addition, SSE signals at sites around the Kumanonada were detected in 2015 and 2017. A clear signal was not obtained for the offshore
regions of the Tokai deep SSE and Shikoku Island.

92 We discuss timings, approximate locations and magnitude scales of SSEs by 93 estimating rectangular fault models that can explain the detected signals at offshore sites 94 of the Bungo and Kii channels and the Kumano-nada by the grid search method. Details 95 of the grid search method and the results are described in the Method section and are 96 shown in Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 6, respectively. Considering durations as about 97 one year, these undersea shallow SSE models roughly follow a SEO scaling law whereby the event magnitude is proportional to the logarithm of the duration⁴ and had no 98 significant difference from deep SSEs¹⁴. 99

100 In a deep region around the Bungo channel, SSEs repeatedly occurred^{19,23}. The most 101 recent SSEs occurred intermittently between 2013 and 2016. Shallow SSE signals 102 detected after 2015 indicate that the deep SSE after 2013 spread to the offshore region. 103 According to the grid search results, there were M_w 6.1 – 6.3 events that migrated away 104 from the Bungo channel. The north shallow SSEs in 2015 were believed to be the first 105 step in the spread of the deep SSE to the offshore region, and this SSE sequence ended 106 around site (4). This is the first observation of SSE migration activity connecting deep 107 and shallow regions that was predicted in previous studies³. The migration speed of the 108 shallow SSE was approximately 50 - 100 km/year. This speed is much lower than other 109 SEQs⁸ but similar with deep long-term SSEs¹⁴.

- This SSE activity proceeded around the northeastern edge of the shallow VLF activity region from north to southeast. The VLF activity also shifted to the northeast in 2015 and converged in 2016, as shown in Fig. 2b and was thought to jump across the SSE region around sites (3) and (4). The activities of these VLFs and SSEs synchronized in time, while their activity regions were complementary.
- Off the Kii channel, according to the grid search result, the $M_{\rm w}$ 6.2 SSE and the $M_{\rm w}$ 115 6.6 SSE were estimated in 2009 and 2017 – 2018, respectively. These SSEs repeatedly 116 occurred at eight-year intervals. In this region, detailed shallow VLF source reanalysis 117 was performed considering the 3D structure²⁴. According to this analysis, VLFs were 118 119 strongly activated in 2009 and 2018. Although there was an activity in 2015, this activity 120 was smaller than other two cases. The VLF activity in 2009 was slightly closer to the 121 western side than in 2018, as shown in Fig. 2b. The 2009 SSE was also located in the 122 western part of the 2017 - 2018 SSE region, although spreading to the west cannot be 123 sufficiently constrained because there was no western site before 2011. This consistency 124 suggests the temporal synchronization and the spatial correlation between SSE and VLF 125 in this region. Unlike the Bungo case, the SSE appears to precede the VLF activity.
- 126 The $M_{\rm w}$ 6.1 SSEs estimated off Kumano-nada were in the vicinity of short-term SSE activity¹⁰, although it is possible that the western SSE was due in part to an afterslip of 127 the M_w 5.8 earthquake on Apr. 1, 2016 that occurred below this region. Since total slip 128 amounts of short-term SSEs had been presumed small¹⁰, the detected long-term SSEs 129 were believed to be independent activities synchronous with the short-term SSE activity. 130 131 In this region, after the eastern long-term SSE occurred in 2015, VLF activity was 132 monitored around the area between the eastern and western long-term SSEs and was believed to be associated with short-term SSEs¹¹. In this case, long-term SSEs occurred 133 both before and after this VLF activity. 134

The relationship with a strong coupling region is similar to deep SSEs. Deep SSEs have a feature to occur on the deep side adjacent to a strong coupling region and a historical slip region^{18-19,25}. The detected shallow SSEs are also roughly adjacent on the shallow side. This indicates that the edge areas of strong coupling regions may have two periods that accumulate coupling and release at least a part of the coupling both by a deepSSE and a shallow SSE.

Among sites other than the eight sites, no clear SSE signal was detected. The SSE signal has not been detected even by the closest site (13) to the Tokai deep SSE region²⁵, although this site, which has only an approximately seven-year observation period, may not yet have adequate resolution. Regions off Shikoku Island and off Tokai deep SSE are locations where strong coupling regions¹⁵⁻¹⁶ overlapped assumed and historical seismogenic zones¹²⁻¹³. The absence of SSEs during our observation period indicates the possibility that these are main slip regions of the Nankai Trough megathrust zone.

The detected shallow SSEs led new knowledge and discussion about a diverse behaviour of SEQs and provide many academic targets about such as stress loading for the coupling region and difference in geological conditions in SSEs and coupling regions, to be solved by future seafloor and onshore geophysical monitoring. It is also necessary to enhance the seafloor geodetic observation system in order to upgrade the observation density and continuity for investigating a detail relation between SSEs and megathrust earthquakes.

155

156 References

- 157 (1) Dragert, H., Wang, K. & James, T. S. A silent slip event on the deeper Cascadia
 158 subduction interface. *Science* 292, 5521, 1525-1528, doi:10.1126/science.1060152
 159 (2001).
- (2) Ozawa, S. *et al.* Detection and Monitoring of Ongoing Aseismic Slip in the Tokai
 Region, Central Japan. *Science* 298, 5595, 1009–1012, doi:10.1126/science.1076780
 (2002).
- (3) Hirose, H., Asano, Y., Obara, K., Kimura, T., Matsuzawa, T., Tanaka, S. & Maeda,
 T. Slow earthquakes linked along dip in the Nankai subduction zone. *Science* 330,
 1502, doi:10.1126/science.1197102 (2010).
- (4) Ide, S., Beroza, G. C., Shelly, D. R. & Uchide, T. A scaling law for slow earthquakes.
 Nature 447, 76–79, doi:10.1038/nature05780 (2007).
- (5) Ruiz, S. *et al.* Intense foreshocks and a slow slip event preceded the 2014 Iquique M_w
 8.1 earthquake. *Science* 345, 6201, 1165-1169, doi:10.1126/science.1256074 (2014).
- (6) Obara, K. & Kato, A. Connecting slow earthquakes to huge earthquakes. *Science* 353,
 6296, 253-257, doi:10.1126/science.aaf1512 (2016).
- (7) Asano, Y., Obara, K. & Ito, Y. Spatiotemporal distribution of very-low frequency
 earthquakes in Tokachi-oki near the junction of the Kuril and Japan trenches

- 174 revealed by using array signal processing. *Earth Planet. Space* 60, 871–875,
 175 doi:10.1186/BF03352839 (2008).
- (8) Yamashita, Y. *et al.* Migrating tremor off southern Kyushu as evidence for slow slip
 of a shallow subduction interface. *Science* 348, 6235, 676–679,
 doi:10.1126/science.aaa4242 (2015).
- (9) Wallace, L. M., *et al.* Slow slip near the trench at the Hikurangi subduction zone, New
 Zealand. *Science* 352, 701-704, doi:10.1126/science.aaf2349 (2016).
- 181 (10) Araki, E, *et al.* Recurring and triggered slow-slip events near the trench at the Nankai
 182 Trough subduction megathrust. *Science* 356, 1157-1160,
 183 doi:10.1126/science.aan3120 (2017).
- (11) Nakano, M., Hori, T., Araki, E., Kodaira, S. & Ide, S. Shallow very-low-frequency
 earthquakes accompany slow slip events in the Nankai subduction zone. *Nature Comm.* 9, 984, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03431-5 (2018).
- 187 (12) Ando, M. Source mechanism and tectonic significance of historical earthquakes
 188 along the Nankai Trough, Japan. *Tectonophysics* 27, 119–140, doi:10.1016/0040189 1951(75)90102-X (1975).
- 190 (13) Sagiya, T. & Thatcher, W. Coseismic slip resolution along a plate boundary
 191 megathrust: The Nankai Trough, southwest Japan. J. Geophys. Res. 104, B1, 1111–
 1129, doi:10.1029/98JB02644 (1999).
- 193 (14) Takagi, R., Uchida, N. & Obara, K. Along-strike variation and migration of long194 term slow slip events in the western Nankai subduction zone, Japan. J. Geophys. Res.,
 195 doi:10.1029/2018JB016738 (2019).
- 196 (15) Yokota, Y., Ishikawa, T., Watanabe, S., Tashiro, T. & Asada, A. Seafloor geodetic
 197 constraint for interplate coupling along the Nankai Trough megathrust zone. *Nature*198 534, 374-377, doi:10.1038/nature17632 (2016).
- (16) Nishimura, T., Yokota, Y., Tadokoro, K. & Ochi, T. Strain partitioning and interplate
 coupling along the northern margin of the Philippine Sea plate, estimated from
 Global Navigation Satellite System and Global Positioning System-Acoustic data. *Geosphere* 14(2), doi:10.1130/GES01529.1 (2018).
- 203 (17) Yokota, Y., Ishikawa, T. & Watanabe, S. Gradient field of undersea sound speed
 204 structure extracted from the GNSS-A oceanography. *Mar. Geophys. Res.*205 doi:10.1007/s11001-018-9362-7 (2018).
- (18) Kobayashi, A. Objective detection of long-term slow slip events along the Nankai
 Trough using GNSS data (1996-2016). *Earth Planet. Space* 69, 171, doi:10.1186/s40623-017-0755-7 (2017).

- (19) Ozawa, S. Long-term slow slip events along the Nankai trough subduction zone after
 the 2011 Tohoku earthquake in Japan. *Earth Planet. Space* 69, 56,
 doi:10.1186/s40623-017-0640-4 (2017).
- (20) Akaike, H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans. Auto. Control* 19, 716-723, doi:10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705 (1974).
- (21) Sugiura, N. Further analysts of the data by Akaike's information criterion and the
 finite corrections. *Communications in Statics Theory and Methods* 7(1), 13-26,
 doi:10.1080/03610927808827599 (1976).
- (22) Nishimura, T., Matsuzawa, T. & Obara, K. Detection of short-term slow slip events
 along the Nankai Trough, southwest Japan, using GNSS data. J. Geophys. Res. Solid *Earth* 118, 3112–3125, doi:10.1002/jgrb.50222 (2013).
- 220 (23) Ozawa, S., Suito, H., Imakiire, T. & Murakmi, M. Spatiotemporal evolution of 221 aseismic interplate slip between 1996 and 1998 and between 2002 and 2004, in 222 southwest Bungo channel, Japan. J. Geophys. Res. 112, B05409, doi:10.1029/2006JB004643 (2007). 223
- (24) Takemura, S., Matsuzawa, T., Noda, A., Tonegawa, T., Asano, Y., Kimura, T. &
 Shiomi, K. Structural characteristics of the Nankai Trough shallow plate boundary
 inferred from shallow very low-frequency earthquakes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*doi:10.1029/2019GL082448 (2019).
- (25) Ozawa, S., Tobita, M. & Yarai, H. A possible restart of an interplate slow slip
 adjacent to the Tokai seismic gap in Japan. *Earth Planet. Space* 68, 54,
 doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0430-4 (2016).
- (26) Colombo, O. L. Long range kinematic GPS, in *GPS for Geodesy, 2nd Edition*,
 Kleusberg, A. & Teunissen, P., Editors. Springer-Verlag (1998).
- (27) Colombo, O. L., Sutter, A. W. & Evans, A. G. Evaluation of precise, kinematic GPS
 point positioning, *Proceedings of ION GNSS-2004*, Long Beach, California,
 September (2004).
- (28) Iwasaki, T., Sato, H., Shinohara, M., Ishiyama, T. & Hashima, A. Fundamental structure model of island arcs and subducted plates in and around Japan. 2015 Fall Meeting, American Geophys. Union, San Francisco, Dec. 14-18, T31B-2878 (2015).
- (29) Lindquist, K. G., Engle, K., Stahlke, D. & Price, E. Global Topography and
 Bathymetry Grid Improves Research Efforts, *Eos Trans. AGU*, **85**(19), 186,
 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/2004EO190003/abstract (2004).
- (30) Altamimi, Z., Collilieux, X., Legrand, J., Garayt, B. & Boucher, C. ITRF2005: a new
 release of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame based on time series of

244 245 station positions and Earth Orientation Parameters. *Geophys. J. Int.* **112**, B09401, doi:10.1029/2007JB004949 (2007).

246

247 Acknowledgements

248 Valuable comments from T. Nishimura improved our manuscript. We would like to thank 249 O. L. Colombo of the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center for providing us with the kinematic GNSS software "IT" (Interferometric Translocation)²⁶⁻²⁷ and the Geospatial 250 251 Information Authority of Japan (GSI) for providing us with the high-rate GNSS data for 252 the kinematic GNSS analysis and the daily coordinates of the sites on the GSI website. 253 The VLF catalogue was provided by Y. Asano in National Research Institute for Earth 254 Science and Disaster Resilience (NIED). The plate models of refs 28 and 29 were constructed from topography and bathymetry data provided by the Geospatial 255 256 Information Authority of Japan (250-m digital map), Japan Oceanographic Data Center 257 data, (500-m mesh bathymetry J-EGG500, 258 http://www.jodc.go.jp/jodcweb/JDOSS/infoJEGG j.html) and the Geographic 259 Information Network of Alaska, University of Alaska. In addition, many among the staff 260 of the Hydrographic and Oceanographic Department, Japan Coast Guard (JHOD), 261 including the crews of the S/Vs Takuyo, Shoyo, Meiyo and Kaiyo, supported our 262 observations and data processing. Some figures were produced using the GMT software. 263

264 Author Contributions

Y.Y. and T.I. designed the study and performed the statistical processing. Y.Y. carried
out the grid search analysis. Y.Y. and T.I. developed the GNSS-A seafloor geodetic
observation system and wrote this manuscript.

268

269 Author Information

270 Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The
271 authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and requests for
272 materials should be addressed to Y.Y. (yyokota@iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp) or T.I.
273 (eisei@jodc.go.jp).

- 274
- 275

276 Figure legends



Fig. 1 | Results of the SSE signal detection process. a – e, time series of seafloor 277 278 crustal deformations in the sites in coloured regions drawn in f. The maximum 279 likelihood straight and piece-wise lines in the sites where SSE signals were detected and were not detected, respectively, were displayed. In site (8), two detected cases were 280 281 displayed. Each time series was plotted in the direction for the case of the maximum likelihood solution in the SSE signal detection process. The reference frame is 282 283 International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) 2005 (ref. 30). Red lines indicate straight and piece-wise lines estimated as the maximum likelihood solutions. Grey 284 285 histograms are Δ c-AIC time series (bin range: one year) every 0.2 years. Each light-blue

bin is the case that was judged as an SSE signal. Bottom red histograms are shallow VLF

- 287 numbers⁷ within coloured regions in \mathbf{f} every month. Purple and blue dashed lines indicate
- the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake and the 2016 $M_{\rm w}$ 5.8 earthquake in the Kumano-nada
- 289 region, respectively. f, Seafloor crustal deformations detected in the SSE signal
- 290 detection process. Vectors indicated seafloor crustal deformations detected in the GNSS-
- 291 A data. Closed and open squares are the seafloor observation sites set before and after
- **292** 2011, respectively.
- 293
- 294



295 Fig. 2 | a, Spatial relationship between detected shallow SSEs, coupling rate

296 distribution and deep SSEs along the Nankai Trough. Red rectangles and vectors are 297 shallow SSE models and slip angles, respectively, estimated by the grid search. The 298 yellow regions show distributions of SSE model centres with weighted RMSEs calculated 299 in the range between the minimum and 4 mm greater than the minimum. The light-blue polygon indicates the Kumano-nada short-term SSE activity region detected by 300 submarine borehole monitoring¹⁰. The grey contour map indicates high coupling rate 301 distribution¹⁶ (rate: more than 0.5). The light-blue solid line indicates the most recent 302 seismogenic region¹³ (slip: more than 2 m). Green regions indicate deep SSE regions¹⁸⁻ 303 ^{19,25} (total slip: more than 2 cm). Dashed lines indicate the depths of the plate boundary 304 of refs 28 and 29. Closed squares are the seafloor observation sites. b, Snapshots of 305 306 detected shallow SSEs in 2009 and 2012 - 2018. Blue dots indicate shallow VLF activities⁷ in each year. Green focal mechanisms of VLFs (134.5°E - 137.5°E) were 307 308 estimated in ref. 24 by reanalysis of a part of the VLF catalogue of ref. 7 in consideration of the 3D structure. Green regions indicate deep Kii and Tokai SSE regions^{18,25} (total slip: 309 more than 2 cm). Light-green regions indicate deep Bungo SSE regions¹⁹ (slip during 310 each period: more than 2 cm). 311 312

313 Methods

314 Seafloor geodetic observation. Seafloor movements are determined combining the 315 GNSS observation above the sea and the acoustic ranging system under the sea. This 316 method is called the GNSS-A, which is a unique approach to monitor the absolute 317 horizontal movement directly above the offshore interplate boundary. This technique was 318 proposed in the 1980s (ref. 31) and established after the 1990s. We have been developing observation techniques³²⁻³⁴ and have provided valuable data for geodesy and seismology, 319 320 e.g., the pre-, co- and post-seismic seafloor crustal deformations of the 2011 Tohoku-oki 321 earthquake³⁵⁻³⁷ and the interseismic coupling condition along the Nankai Trough¹⁵.

A schematic diagram of the seafloor geodetic observation system is shown in Extended Data Fig. 1. Before 2015, the observation frequency was approximately two to three times/year. After 2016, the acoustic system has been improved in order to observe each site four to 10 times/year (refs 38 and 39). Details of the GNSS-A system and the data were described and published in ref. 40. Dataset used in the present study was improved from published data about the underwater sound speed structure error using the method of ref. 17.

329

330 Slow slip event detectability of the GNSS-A. Detectability for SSEs was verified based 331 on the method proposed in ref. 41. We verified whether crustal deformations calculated 332 using the SSE fault models set on the plate boundary are observable in the onshore and 333 seafloor geodetic monitoring networks. We assumed crustal deformations for the 334 horizontal component in all of the sites using Green's functions calculated using the 335 formulation of ref. 42 considering a homogeneous elastic half-space. The fault models 336 were set depending on the magnitude of every 0.1 and were deployed every 0.1 degree 337 on the plate boundary model (refs 28 and 29), which dip angles at shallower part than 10 338 km were set to roughly match seismic survey results in ref. 43. The fault size of each 339 magnitude was set according to scaling law using in ref. 41, assuming a rigidity of 10 340 GPa. The strike angle of the fault model was set to 249° in most areas and was adjusted 341 in an area where the trough axis angle was largely different. The rake angle was set to 342 90°.

RMSs of GNSS and GNSS-A data are about 3 mm (ref. 41) and about 2 cm or more (ref. 40), respectively. In this study, considering these observation abilities, it is judged that SSEs can be detected when the horizontal movements in the onshore and seafloor networks exceed 5 mm and 5 cm which are about twice RMSs, respectively, even at one site. Extended Data Figs 2a and b show the resultant maps calculated using the onshore network only and using the onshore and seafloor networks, respectively. Extended Data Fig. 2c indicates SSEs which can be detected only by the seafloor network. These results show that the seafloor network can detect M_w 6 class shallow SSEs that cannot be detected using only the onshore network.

352

353 Slow slip event signal detection process using Δc -AIC. We detected an SSE signal 354 according to the process flow shown in Extended Data Fig. 3. Before the signal detection 355 process, the same deductions as ref. 15 on effects resulting from the 2011 Tohoku-oki 356 earthquake were performed for the dataset. Coseismic and postseismic effects were 357 calculated based on the models established in refs 44, 45 and 46. Resultant time series of 358 their locations in the sites along the Nankai Trough were listed in Extended Data Fig. 4 359 and Supplementary Table 1. The reference frame is International Terrestrial Reference 360 Frame (ITRF) 2005 (ref. 30).

361 We detected an SSE signal in these time series based on the method of ref. 22 using c-362 AIC (refs 20 and 21). We fitted straight and piece-wise lines for the time series and 363 compared each c-AIC to determine if a time series contains SSE-like transient 364 deformation. We rotated a direction of time series every 10 degrees to extract the 365 maximum deformation angle. A deformation duration of the piece-wise line was set to 366 one year which is a typical timescale for long-term SSE. This piece-wise line was fitted 367 for the time series data for all periods. The residual sums of squares (RSSs) in Eq. (1) 368 were calculated for the straight and piece-wise lines for the data for the whole periods. 369 The start timing and scale of deformation were estimated every 0.2 years and every 1 cm 370 between 1 and 20 cm, respectively, and were chosen to minimize c-AIC. We defined Δc -371 AIC as a difference between c-AICs for the straight line and the piece-wise line. When a 372 deformation signal of Δc -AIC < -6 was detected by the piece-wise line, after removing 373 this detected deformation, the same process was performed. When a signal of Δc -AIC < 374 -6 was not detected, the process ended because there was no clear signal. Signals detected 375 between 2011 and Dec. 2013 were considered to be a remaining influence due to the 2011 376 Tohoku-oki earthquake, even after the deduction process. We identified a signal other 377 than this type of signal as an SSE signal.

378

379 Grid search process to determine SSE models. Each SSE fault model that explains the 380 deformation fields determined in the c-AIC detection process was estimated using a grid 381 search technique. We set rectangular fault models for a grid every 0.1 degree on the plate 382 boundary to estimate the weighted RMSEs for the data in the region delimited. The strike 383 angle was set to 249°. The fault length, width, slip and rake angles were properly changed 384 every 10 km between 20 and 100 km, every 10 km between 20 and 50 km in the dip

direction, every 4 cm between 2 and 78 cm and every 10° between 70° and 130°, 385 386 respectively. The dip angle was set along the plate boundary model described in the above section "SSE detectability of the GNSS-A". Crustal deformations were also calculated 387 388 using the method and setting described in the above section. We calculated crustal 389 deformations for the horizontal component at seafloor sites and the neighbour onshore 390 GNSS sites of the GEONET. Considering the observation abilities, the weighted RMSE 391 was calculated by multiplying the onshore data by nine times the weight of the seafloor 392 data.

393 Refs 14 and 19 showed unsteady crustal deformation fields detected by GEONET around the Bungo channel and suggested that there was no deformation fields over 5 mm 394 in 2015 and after Apr. 2016. Crustal deformation fields¹⁸ detected by GEONET around 395 the Kii channel and the Kumano-nada also suggested that there was no deformation field 396 397 over 5 mm in the time periods for calculating SSE models. Then, onshore crustal 398 deformations were assumed to be less than about 5 mm in estimations. Signals off the 399 Bungo channel in 2015 (sites (1) and (3)) and off the Kii channel in 2017 (sites (8) and 400 (9)) were synchronized and were considered to cause each SSE sequence. However, off 401 the Bungo channel, since it was not possible to estimate a single rectangular fault model 402 with an onshore deformation of less than about 5 mm, a rectangular fault was estimated 403 for each site observation. Off the Kii channel, one rectangular fault model was estimated. 404 Observed and calculated deformation values in the best cases are compared in 405 Extended Data Fig. 6. The distributions of SSE model centres with weighted RMSEs 406 calculated in the range between the minimum and 4 mm greater than the minimum are 407 drawn in Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 6. A small RMSE region can be interpreted as the 408 fault location estimation accuracy in each case. Small RMSE regions spread in the 409 vicinity of the trough axis, where there is no site, so it is difficult to determine not only 410 the fault position near the trench axis but also the upper end of the magnitude.

411

412 **Data availability.** The dataset of seafloor positions is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

- 413
- 414
- 415

416 References

- 417 (31) Spiess, F. N. *et al.* Precise GPS/Acoustic positioning of seafloor reference points for
 418 tectonic studies. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 108, 101–112 (1998).
- 419 (32) Asada, A. & Yabuki, T. Centimeter-level positioning on the seafloor. *Proc. Jpn Acad.*420 *Ser. B* 77, 7–12 (2001).
- 421 (33) Fujita, M. *et al.* GPS/acoustic seafloor geodetic observation: method of data analysis
 422 and its application. *Earth Planet. Space* 58, 265–275, doi:10.1007/s00190-013423 0649-9 (2006).
- 424 (34) Ishikawa, T. & Yokota, Y. Detection of seafloor movement in subduction zones
 425 around Japan using a GNSS-A seafloor geodetic observation system from 2013 to
 426 2016. J. Disaster Res. 13(3), 511-517, doi:10.20965/jdr.2018.p0511 (2018).
- 427 (35) Sato, M. *et al.* Interplate coupling off northeastern Japan before the 2011 Tohoku428 oki earthquake, inferred from seafloor geodetic data. *J. Geophys. Res.* 118, 1–10,
 429 doi:10.1002/jgrb.50275 (2013).
- (36) Sato, M. *et al.* Displacement above the hypocenter of the 2011 Tohoku-oki
 earthquake. *Science* 332, 1395, doi:10.1126/science.1207401 (2011).
- 432 (37) Watanabe, S. *et al.* Evidence of viscoelastic deformation following the 2011 Tohoku433 oki earthquake revealed from seafloor geodetic observation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41,
 434 5789–5796, doi:10.1002/2014GL061134 (2014).
- (38) Yokota, Y., Tashiro, T. & Shimomura, H. Implementation of multi-acoustic ranging
 system. *Rep. Hydro. Ocean Res.* 55, 32–37 (2017).
- 437 (39) Matsushita, M. & Koike, M. Improving efficiency in seafloor geodetic observation
 438 system using a multi-acoustic ranging method. *Rep. Hydro. Ocean Res.* 56, 46–49
 439 (2018).
- (40) Yokota, Y., Ishikawa, T. & Watanabe, S. Seafloor crustal deformation data along the
 subduction zones around Japan obtained by GNSS-A observations. *Scientific Data*5, 180182, doi:10.1038/sdata.2018.182 (2018).
- (41) Suito, H. Detectability of interplate fault slip around Japan, based on GEONET daily
 solution F3, *Journal of Geod. Soc. Japan* 62(3), 109-120 (2016).
- (42) Okada, Y. Internal deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. *Bull. Seis. Soc. Am.* 82(2), 1018–1040 (1992).
- (43) Kimura, G., Kitamura, Y., Hashimoto, Y., Yamaguchi, A., Shibata, T., Ujiie, K. &
 Okamoto, S. Transition of accretionary wedge structures around the up-dip limit of
 the seismogenic subduction zone. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 255, 471-484,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.01.005 (2007).

451 (44) Iinuma, T., *et al.* Coseismic slip distribution of the 2011 off the Pacific Coast of
452 Tohoku Earthquake (M9.0) refined by means of seafloor geodetic data. *J. Geophys.*453 *Res.* 117, B07409, doi:10.1029/2012JB009186 (2012).

- 454 (45) Sun, T. *et al.* Prevalence of viscoelastic relaxation after the 2011 Tohoku-oki
 455 earthquake. *Nature* 514, 84–87, doi:10.1038/nature13778 (2014).
- 456 (46) Sun, T. & Wang, K. Viscoelastic relaxation following subduction earthquakes and
 457 its effects on afterslip determination. J. Geophys. Res. 120, 1329–1344,
 458 doi:10.1002/2004JB011707 (2015).





- 461 Extended Data Figure 1 | Schematic diagram of the GNSS-A seafloor geodetic
 462 observation system. This figure is modified from refs 15, 17, 32, 33 and 34.



Extended Data Figure 2 | Maps representing SSE detectability constructed using, a,
the onshore GNSS network only and, b, onshore and seafloor GNSS-A networks. c,
Maps representing SSEs which can be detected only by the seafloor GNSS-A
network. Colour contours indicate the magnitude of detectable minimum events at each
grid on the plate boundary.



- 472 Extended Data Figure 3 | Flow of the SSE signal detection process.



476 Extended Data Figure 4 | Time series of horizontal components of seafloor GNSS-A

477 data. Black circles indicate seafloor positions after deduction of deformations due to the

- **478** 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake based on refs 44, 45 and 46. Blue circles indicate raw data
- 479 before deduction. The reference frame is ITRF2005 (ref. 30).
- 480
- 481



482 Extended Data Figure 5 | Results of the SSE signal detection process for all the time

483 series. Each time series was described in the direction with the maximum likelihood 484 solution in the SSE signal detection process. The reference frame is ITRF2005 (ref. 30). 485 The results for each step were obtained in order from the left. Red lines indicate straight 486 and piece-wise lines estimated as the maximum likelihood solutions. Grey histograms are 487 Δ c-AIC time series (bin range: one year) in the process every 0.2 years. Light-blue and 488 green bins are Δ c-AIC in the cases that were judged as SSE signals and as remaining 489 influences due to the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake, respectively. Purple and blue dashed 490 lines indicate the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake and the 2016 $M_{\rm w}$ 5.8 earthquake in the 491 Kumano-nada region, respectively.



493 Extended Data Figure 6 | Grid search results for estimating SSE models; a and b, 494 off the Kii channel in 2009 and after 2017, c - e, off the Bungo channel around site 495 (1) and site (3) in 2015 and in 2016 and f and g, around Kumano-nada in 2015 and 496 2017. Grey regions indicate distributions of SSE model centres with weighted RMSEs 497 calculated in the range between the minimum and 4 mm greater than the minimum. Red 498 rectangles indicate the final obtained rectangular fault models in the results. Blue and 499 white vectors are observed and calculated movements for the sites, respectively. Fault 500 parameters are listed at the right bottom. Pink and light-blue squares and light-blue circles

- are the seafloor sites moved due to SSEs, unmoved sites and onshore GEONET sites used
- 502 in the grid search, respectively. GEONET site codes are described beside the sites. Open
- 503 squares are the seafloor sites that were not used in the grid search.
- 504

505 Supplementary Table legends

506 Supplementary Table 1 | Time series of seafloor positions. The reference frame is 507 ITRF2005 (ref. 30). The first column shows an observation epoch. Eastward and 508 Northward components (second and third columns) indicate the data corrected the 509 coseismic and postseismic effects due to the Tohoku-oki earthquake. East_{raw} and 510 North_{raw} components (forth and fifth columns) are raw data before March 2014.

F 1	T 1		E. (NL 41
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	Northwar d (m)	East _{raw} (m)	North _{raw} (m)
2012 077	(111)	u (iii)	(111)	(11)
2012.077	-0.02	0 022	-0.02	0.023
2012.102	-0.018	0.022	-0.017	0.023
2012.447	-0.03	0.013	-0.029	0.022
2013.044	-0.024	0.022	-0.023	0.020
2013.310	-0.024	0.022	-0.023	0.031
2014.047	-0.025	0.020	0.007	0.000
2014.010	_0.023	0.054		
2014.00	-0.025	0.03		
2014.342	-0.023	0.041		
2015.411	-0.029	0.04		
2013.030	-0.042	0.041		
2015.54	-0.083	0.000		
2016 219	-0.052	0.04		
2016 334	-0.026	0.010		
2016 485	-0.061	0.000		
2016 608	-0.066	0.039		
2016.775	-0.046	0.057		
2016.877	-0.013	0.046		
2017.047	-0.037	0.026		
2017.104	-0.033	0.006		
2017.208	-0.047	0.027		
2017.466	-0.051	0.03		
2017.575	-0.065	0.064		
2017.874	-0.019	0.044		
2017.94	-0.049	0.054		
2018.129	-0.069	0.073		
2018.192	-0.051	0.031		
2018.351	-0.072	0.068		
2018.605	-0.052	0.042		
2018.696	-0.017	0.074		
		(2) HYG2		
Epoch	Eastward	Northwar	East	North
(year)	(m)	d (m)	(m)	(m)
2012.074	0	0	0	0

2012.17	0.062	0.011	0.062	0.011
2012.452	0.025	0.017	0.025	0.019
2013.047	0.03	0.006	0.03	0.011
2013.518	0.016	-0.017	0.017	-0.01
2014.049	0.025	-0.025	0.026	-0.016
2014.321	0.04	0.015		
2014.658	0.036	-0.005		
2014.94	0.041	-0.008		
2015.408	0.032	0.01		
2015.699	0.046	0.001		
2015.948	0.029	0.012		
2016.044	0.033	-0.013		
2016.216	0.058	-0.009		
2016.337	0.026	0.013		
2016.488	0.024	-0.015		
2016.611	0.003	0.004		
2016.775	0.016	0.016		
2016.877	0.028	0.026		
2017.047	0.02	0.004		
2017.107	0.016	0.023		
2017.208	0.032	0.006		
2017.466	0.068	0.011		
2017.575	0.119	-0.019		
2017.874	0.049	0.008		
2017.942	0.025	0		
2018.132	0.029	0.003		
2018.195	0.031	0.006		
2018.345	0.067	0.021		
2018.4	0.063	0.019		
2018.605	0.061	0.008		
2018.699	0.027	0.001		

		(3) ASZ1		
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	Northwar d (m)	East _{raw} (m)	North _{raw} (m)
2012.077	0	0	0	0
2012.159	-0.002	0.01	-0.002	0.011
2012.455	-0.003	0.021	-0.003	0.025
2013.036	-0.004	0.02	-0.002	0.027

2013.512	-0.01	0.015	-0.008
2014.044	0.008	0.06	0.011
2014.315	-0.014	0.02	
2014.945	-0.041	0.035	
2015.195	-0.045	0.085	
2015.405	-0.043	0.056	
2015.663	-0.061	0.05	
2015.701	-0.058	0.062	
2016.058	-0.058	0.037	
2016.334	-0.071	0.043	
2016.49	-0.063	0.037	
2016.614	-0.085	0.062	
2016.773	-0.06	0.038	
2016.877	-0.085	0.022	
2017.047	-0.095	0.038	
2017.107	-0.092	0.025	
2017.208	-0.084	0.049	
2017.575	-0.047	0.008	
2017.871	-0.069	0.021	
2017.942	-0.098	0.073	
2018.129	-0.077	0.075	
2018.195	-0.071	0.042	
2018.351	-0.095	0.023	
2018.397	-0.083	0.076	
2018.608	-0.084	0.032	
2018.69	-0.106	0.056	

0.025

0.072

		(4) ASZ2		
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	Northwar d (m)	East _{raw} (m)	North _{raw} (m)
2012.082	0	0	0	0
2012.088	-0.003	0.003	-0.002	0.003
2012.463	-0.002	-0.021	-0.001	-0.019
2013.033	0.012	-0.01	0.013	-0.005
2013.51	0.008	-0.022	0.009	-0.015
2014.041	-0.027	-0.017	-0.025	-0.007
2014.666	-0.018	0.011		
2015.197	-0.043	0.038		
2015.403	-0.045	0.026		

2015.666	-0.079	0.012
2015.704	-0.058	-0.006
2015.951	-0.055	0.026
2016.06	-0.077	0.044
2016.332	-0.083	0.07
2016.501	-0.079	0.025
2016.614	-0.078	0.018
2016.773	-0.105	-0.005
2016.874	-0.069	-0.022
2017.049	-0.044	-0.017
2017.107	-0.02	-0.02
2017.211	-0.046	-0.012
2017.466	-0.076	0.018
2017.578	0.009	-0.028
2017.871	-0.084	-0.011
2018.068	-0.036	-0.001
2018.197	-0.051	0.027
2018.332	-0.041	-0.046
2018.397	-0.075	-0.001
2018.688	-0.116	-0.015

		(5) TOS1		
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	Northwar d (m)	East _{raw} (m)	North _{raw} (m)
2012.074	0	0	0	0
2012.444	0.002	-0.014	0.003	-0.011
2012.786	-0.028	0.012	-0.026	0.018
2013.068	-0.011	-0.032	-0.008	-0.023
2013.521	-0.022	-0.003	-0.018	0.009
2014.038	-0.018	0.006	-0.013	0.021
2014.668	-0.018	0.029		
2014.951	-0.04	0.032		
2015.414	-0.046	0.038		
2015.707	-0.071	0.014		
2015.937	-0.056	0.022		
2016.055	-0.076	0.029		
2016.321	-0.068	0.024		
2016.49	-0.083	0.033		
2016.778	-0.08	0.056		

2016.879	-0.112	0.05
2017.049	-0.094	0.001
2017.104	-0.087	0.002
2017.205	-0.109	0.027
2017.581	-0.071	0.012
2017.877	-0.087	0.032
2017.945	-0.103	0.031
2018.192	-0.1	0.072
2018.329	-0.096	0.062
2018.608	-0.127	0.047
2018.69	-0.09	0.043

		(6) TOS2		
Epoch	Eastward	Northwar	East _{raw}	North _{raw}
(year)	(m)	d (m)	(m)	(m)
2011.904	0	0	0	0
2011.951	-0.033	0.008	-0.033	0.008
2013.055	-0.058	0.022	-0.056	0.031
2013.419	-0.079	0.009	-0.076	0.021
2013.899	-0.083	0.036	-0.079	0.05
2014.06	-0.046	0.056	-0.043	0.072
2014.312	-0.061	0.04		
2014.948	-0.071	0.035		
2015.4	-0.071	0.047		
2015.668	-0.11	0.032		
2015.704	-0.098	0.043		
2016.222	-0.11	0.05		
2016.329	-0.106	0.043		
2016.479	-0.108	0.036		
2016.616	-0.113	0.041		
2016.773	-0.052	0.014		
2016.874	-0.129	0.033		
2017.049	-0.124	0.013		
2017.118	-0.128	0.043		
2017.211	-0.134	0.05		
2017.578	-0.096	0.017		
2017.868	-0.132	0.014		
2017.932	-0.149	0.055		
2018.068	-0.127	0.062		

2018.197	-0.125	0.057		
2018.395	-0.134	0.063		
2018.688	-0.146	0.043		
		(7) MRT1		
Epoch	Eastward	Northwar	East _{raw}	North _{raw}
(year)	(m)	d (m)	(m)	(m)
2012.09	0	0	0	0
2012.156	0.003	0.018	0.003	0.02
2012.438	-0.023	-0.005	-0.021	0
2012.775	-0.019	-0.011	-0.016	-0.003
2013.425	-0.042	-0.029	-0.036	-0.014
2013.896	-0.061	-0.03	-0.053	-0.01
2014.674	-0.034	-0.02		
2014.967	-0.05	-0.003		
2015.674	-0.071	0.013		
2016.063	-0.084	0.017		
2016.318	-0.083	0.013		
2016.477	-0.069	0.002		
2016.627	-0.066	0.003		
2016.778	-0.105	0.021		
2016.879	-0.084	0.003		
2017.052	-0.103	-0.019		
2017.101	-0.101	-0.01		
2017.197	-0.089	0.003		
2017.581	-0.083	-0.032		
2017.877	-0.09	-0.034		
2018.126	-0.097	-0.001		
2018.329	-0.107	-0.006		
2018.515	-0.102	-0.006		
2018.611	-0.153	-0.034		
2018.685	-0.126	-0.026		

		(8) MRT2		
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	Northwar d (m)	East _{raw} (m)	North _{raw} (m)
2006.668	0	0	0	0
2007.351	0.025	-0.01	0.024	-0.009
2008.71	0.014	-0.011	0.013	-0.011

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2009.11	-0.003	0.012	-0.004	0.012				
2009.556 0.002 -0.042 0.001 -0.041 2010.049 0.021 -0.029 0.02 -0.029 2010.603 -0.006 -0.032 -0.007 -0.031 2010.956 -0.044 -0.019 -0.045 -0.018 2011.951 -0.102 -0.064 -0.056 -0.039 2012.784 -0.142 -0.079 -0.093 -0.046 2013.058 -0.147 -0.078 -0.099 -0.042 2013.422 -0.115 -0.077 -0.065 -0.038 2014.671 -0.173 -0.054 -0.046 2014.304 -0.181 -0.088 -0.116 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.046 -0.046 2015.178 -0.199 -0.082 -0.166 -0.07 2015.671 -0.186 -0.07 -0.054 -0.017 2016.225 -0.197 -0.054 -0.016 -0.016 2016.77 -0.188 -0.042 -0.1731	2009.332	-0.006	-0.017	-0.006	-0.017				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2009.556	0.002	-0.042	0.001	-0.041				
2010.603 -0.006 -0.032 -0.007 -0.031 2010.956 -0.044 -0.019 -0.045 -0.018 2011.441 -0.084 -0.06 -0.04 -0.043 2011.951 -0.102 -0.064 -0.056 -0.039 2012.784 -0.142 -0.079 -0.093 -0.046 2013.058 -0.147 -0.078 -0.099 -0.042 2013.422 -0.115 -0.077 -0.065 -0.038 2013.893 -0.167 -0.088 -0.116 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.046 -0.046 2014.304 -0.181 -0.089 -0.116 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.042 -0.046 2015.178 -0.199 -0.082 -0.15 -0.07 2015.671 -0.186 -0.07 -0.05 -0.069 2016.318 -0.225 -0.042 -0.042 -0.043 -0.16 2016.77 -0.188 -0.043 -0.17 -0.18 -0.044 2017.321	2010.049	0.021	-0.029	0.02	-0.029				
2010.956 -0.044 -0.019 -0.045 -0.018 2011.441 -0.084 -0.064 -0.056 -0.039 2012.784 -0.142 -0.079 -0.093 -0.046 2013.058 -0.147 -0.078 -0.099 -0.042 2013.058 -0.147 -0.078 -0.099 -0.042 2013.422 -0.115 -0.077 -0.065 -0.038 2013.893 -0.167 -0.088 -0.116 -0.046 2014.304 -0.181 -0.089 -0.116 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.046 -0.046 2014.78 -0.199 -0.082 -0.15 -0.07 2015.178 -0.199 -0.082 -0.15 -0.07 2015.671 -0.186 -0.07 -0.05 -0.16 -0.07 2016.504 -0.237 -0.054 -0.069 -0.16 -0.17 2016.619 -0.225 -0.042 -0.16 -0.17 -0.18 -0.043 2016.871 -0.194 -0.048 -0.11 -0.17 <	2010.603	-0.006	-0.032	-0.007	-0.031				
2011.441 -0.084 -0.06 -0.04 -0.043 2011.951 -0.102 -0.064 -0.056 -0.039 2012.784 -0.142 -0.079 -0.093 -0.046 2013.058 -0.147 -0.078 -0.099 -0.042 2013.422 -0.115 -0.077 -0.065 -0.038 2013.893 -0.167 -0.088 -0.116 -0.046 2014.304 -0.181 -0.089 -0.116 -0.046 2014.304 -0.181 -0.089 -0.116 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.0165 -0.046 2015.178 -0.199 -0.082 -0.054 2015.178 -0.199 -0.082 -0.017 2015.671 -0.186 -0.07 2015.715 -0.235 -0.09 2016.225 -0.197 -0.054 2016.504 -0.237 -0.054 2016.77 -0.188 -0.043 2016.871 -0.194 -0.048 2017.121 -0.18 -0.066 2017.211 -0.245 -0.051 2018.071 -0.203 -0.077 2018.12 -0.2 -0.102 2018.329 -0.239 -0.097 2018.512 -0.194 -0.11 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086	2010.956	-0.044	-0.019	-0.045	-0.018				
2011.951 -0.102 -0.064 -0.056 -0.039 2012.784 -0.142 -0.079 -0.093 -0.042 2013.058 -0.115 -0.077 -0.065 -0.038 2013.422 -0.115 -0.077 -0.065 -0.038 2013.893 -0.167 -0.088 -0.116 -0.046 2014.304 -0.181 -0.089 -0.116 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.054 -0.046 2015.178 -0.199 -0.082 -0.054 2015.671 -0.186 -0.07 -0.055 2016.225 -0.197 -0.055 -0.069 2016.504 -0.237 -0.054 -0.069 2016.619 -0.225 -0.042 -0.043 2016.77 -0.188 -0.043 -0.17.21 2017.211 -0.18 -0.043 -0.17.21 2017.321 -0.245 -0.051 -0.17.21 2017.321 -0.239 -0.077 -0.182 2018.071 -0.	2011.441	-0.084	-0.06	-0.04	-0.043				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2011.951	-0.102	-0.064	-0.056	-0.039				
2013.058 -0.147 -0.078 -0.099 -0.042 2013.422 -0.115 -0.077 -0.065 -0.038 2013.893 -0.167 -0.088 -0.116 -0.046 2014.304 -0.181 -0.089 -0.116 -0.046 2014.304 -0.173 -0.105 -0.042 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.042 2015.178 -0.199 -0.082 -0.15 2015.671 -0.186 -0.07 -0.05 2016.715 -0.235 -0.09 -0.05 2016.318 -0.226 -0.069 -0.054 2016.504 -0.237 -0.054 -0.042 2016.77 -0.188 -0.043 -0.043 2016.77 -0.188 -0.043 -0.012 2017.211 -0.218 -0.066 -0.077 2017.211 -0.203 -0.077 -0.182 2017.932 -0.197 -0.066 -0.102 2018.071 -0.203 -0.077 -0.182 2018.12 -0.194 -0.11 -0.183	2012.784	-0.142	-0.079	-0.093	-0.046				
2013.422 -0.115 -0.077 -0.065 -0.038 2013.893 -0.167 -0.088 -0.116 -0.046 2014.304 -0.181 -0.089 -0.116 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.116 -0.046 2014.671 -0.173 -0.105 -0.116 -0.046 2014.953 -0.184 -0.054 -0.15 -0.07 2015.178 -0.215 -0.07 -0.15 -0.162 2015.671 -0.186 -0.07 -0.15 -0.163 -0.235 -0.09 2016.225 -0.197 -0.05 -0.169 -0.237 -0.054 -0.216 -0.042 -0.042 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.043 -0.17.211 -0.18 -0.049 -0.17.211 -0.218 -0.066 -0.077 -0.203 -0.077 -0.203 -0.077 -0.182 -0.2 -0.102 -0.18.2 -0.2 -0.102 -0.18.2 -0.2 -0.102 -0.18.329 -0.239 -0.097 -0.18.2	2013.058	-0.147	-0.078	-0.099	-0.042				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2013.422	-0.115	-0.077	-0.065	-0.038				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2013.893	-0.167	-0.088	-0.116	-0.046				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2014.304	-0.181	-0.089						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2014.671	-0.173	-0.105						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2014.953	-0.184	-0.054						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2015.178	-0.199	-0.082						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2015.425	-0.215	-0.07						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2015.671	-0.186	-0.07						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2015.715	-0.235	-0.09						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2016.225	-0.197	-0.05						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2016.318	-0.226	-0.069						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2016.504	-0.237	-0.054						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2016.619	-0.225	-0.042						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2016.77	-0.188	-0.043						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2016.871	-0.194	-0.048						
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2017.121	-0.18	-0.049						
2017.321 -0.245 -0.051 2017.877 -0.21 -0.066 2017.932 -0.197 -0.076 2018.071 -0.203 -0.077 2018.2 -0.2 -0.102 2018.329 -0.239 -0.097 2018.611 -0.177 -0.088 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086	2017.211	-0.218	-0.06						
2017.877 -0.21 -0.066 2017.932 -0.197 -0.076 2018.071 -0.203 -0.077 2018.2 -0.2 -0.102 2018.329 -0.239 -0.097 2018.512 -0.194 -0.11 2018.611 -0.177 -0.088 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086	2017.321	-0.245	-0.051						
2017.932 -0.197 -0.076 2018.071 -0.203 -0.077 2018.2 -0.2 -0.102 2018.329 -0.239 -0.097 2018.512 -0.194 -0.11 2018.611 -0.177 -0.088 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086	2017.877	-0.21	-0.066						
2018.071 -0.203 -0.077 2018.2 -0.2 -0.102 2018.329 -0.239 -0.097 2018.512 -0.194 -0.11 2018.611 -0.177 -0.088 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086	2017.932	-0.197	-0.076						
2018.2 -0.2 -0.102 2018.329 -0.239 -0.097 2018.512 -0.194 -0.11 2018.611 -0.177 -0.088 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086	2018.071	-0.203	-0.077						
2018.329 -0.239 -0.097 2018.512 -0.194 -0.11 2018.611 -0.177 -0.088 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086	2018.2	-0.2	-0.102						
2018.512 -0.194 -0.11 2018.611 -0.177 -0.088 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086 (9) SIOW	2018.329	-0.239	-0.097						
2018.611 -0.177 -0.088 2018.685 -0.21 -0.086 (9) SIOW	2018.512	-0.194	-0.11						
2018.685 -0.21 -0.086 (9) SIOW	2018.611	-0.177	-0.088						
(9) SIOW	2018.685	-0.21	-0.086						
(9) SIOW									
			(9) SIOW						

Epoch	Eastward	Northwar	East _{raw}	North _{raw}				
(year)	(m)	d (m)	(m)	(m)				
2006.693	0	0	0	0				
2007.647	-0.034	-0.03	-0.034	-0.03				
2008.038	0.006	-0.059	0.006	-0.059				
2008.71	-0.006	-0.028	-0.006	-0.029				
2009.323	-0.039	-0.009	-0.039	-0.009				
2009.553	-0.05	-0.005	-0.05	-0.006				
2010.047	-0.061	0.006	-0.061	0.005				
2010.603	-0.061	0.01	-0.061	0.01				
2011.063	-0.107	0.008	-0.107	0.008				
2011.441	-0.112	0.01	-0.062	0.03				
2011.948	-0.119	0.003	-0.065	0.032				
2012.778	-0.122	-0.009	-0.065	0.03				
2013.063	-0.174	-0.026	-0.116	0.017				
2013.427	-0.15	-0.023	-0.091	0.023				
2013.89	-0.218	-0.003	-0.157	0.048				
2014.682	-0.185	-0.006						
2014.973	-0.212	0.005						
2015.655	-0.208	0.022						
2016.071	-0.228	-0.01						
2016.315	-0.227	0.014						
2016.474	-0.22	-0.005						
2016.781	-0.256	0.003						
2016.882	-0.216	0.032						
2017.058	-0.237	0.024						
2017.214	-0.222	0.023						
2017.879	-0.242	0.007						
2018.123	-0.244	-0.002						
2018.326	-0.228	-0.013						
2018.515	-0.226	0.015						
2018.614	-0.248	-0.014						
2018.682	-0.264	-0.028						
	(10) VUM2							

(10) KUM3						
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	East _{raw} (m)	North _{raw} (m)			
2008.707	0	0	0	0		
2009.112	-0.009	-0.007	-0.009	-0.008		

2009.334	-0.018	0.011	-0.018	0.01
2009.551	-0.006	0.002	-0.006	0.002
2010.06	-0.022	-0.042	-0.022	-0.043
2010.6	-0.02	-0.012	-0.02	-0.013
2011.058	-0.021	-0.012	-0.021	-0.012
2011.438	-0.071	-0.017	-0.015	0.005
2011.899	-0.075	-0.016	-0.015	0.015
2011.945	-0.093	-0.017	-0.033	0.015
2012.795	-0.069	-0.036	-0.006	0.008
2013.43	-0.07	-0.042	-0.004	0.009
2013.888	-0.097	0.015	-0.029	0.07
2014.685	-0.087	-0.031		
2015.175	-0.122	0.005		
2015.677	-0.13	0.027		
2016.038	-0.122	0.031		
2016.312	-0.103	0.012		
2016.507	-0.11	-0.026		
2016.633	-0.123	-0.069		
2016.781	-0.141	-0.031		
2016.882	-0.058	0.035		
2017.033	-0.087	0.004		
2017.121	-0.111	-0.009		
2017.214	-0.114	-0.02		
2017.318	-0.133	-0.016		
2017.468	-0.072	-0.002		
2017.879	-0.123	-0.028		
2018.058	-0.06	-0.057		
2018.189	-0.088	-0.015		
2018.326	-0.087	-0.043		
2018.392	-0.098	-0.077		
2018.518	-0.057	-0.033		
2018.616	-0.154	-0.046		

(11) KUM2					
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	Northwar d (m)	East _{raw} (m)	North _{raw} (m)	
2012.093	0	0	0	0	
2012.427	-0.009	-0.02	-0.008	-0.015	
2013.074	-0.043	-0.009	-0.039	0.005	

2013.433	-0.027	-0.028	-0.021	-0.01
2013.885	-0.033	-0.045	-0.026	-0.023
2014.299	-0.051	-0.032		
2014.975	-0.057	-0.007		
2015.652	-0.012	-0.024		
2015.723	-0.037	-0.047		
2016.036	-0.026	-0.028		
2016.348	-0.006	-0.044		
2016.507	-0.017	-0.028		
2016.633	-0.032	-0.039		
2016.784	0	-0.052		
2017.03	-0.015	-0.057		
2017.214	-0.048	-0.048		
2017.468	-0.035	-0.031		
2017.584	-0.062	-0.02		
2017.882	-0.057	-0.049		
2018.058	-0.024	-0.041		
2018.192	-0.058	-0.049		
2018.323	-0.04	-0.034		
2018.521	-0.03	-0.049		
2018.616	-0.062	-0.021		

-0.01

(12) KUM1						
Epoch	Eastward	East _{raw}	North _{raw}			
(year)	(m)	d (m)	(m)	(m)		
2008.729	0	0	0	0		
2009.337	0	-0.02	-0.001	-0.02		
2009.551	-0.02	-0.024	-0.021	-0.024		
2010.041	0.021	-0.053	0.021	-0.053		
2010.597	0.001	-0.018	0.001	-0.018		
2011.055	-0.022	-0.034	-0.022	-0.034		
2011.438	-0.056	-0.027	0.011	0		
2012.773	-0.074	-0.027	0.003	0.025		
2013.408	-0.086	-0.045	-0.006	0.015		
2013.507	-0.081	-0.063	0	-0.002		
2014.066	-0.103	-0.052	-0.019	0.016		
2014.69	-0.07	-0.036				
2015.2	-0.099	-0.074				
2015.647	-0.136	-0.069				

2016.036	-0.113	-0.076
2016.351	-0.118	-0.076
2016.51	-0.104	-0.066
2016.784	-0.039	-0.036
2017.03	-0.082	-0.046
2017.216	-0.113	-0.085
2017.584	-0.113	-0.086
2017.882	-0.1	-0.072
2018.074	-0.128	-0.091
2018.121	-0.109	-0.081
2018.203	-0.108	-0.086
2018.323	-0.092	-0.064
2018.521	-0.092	-0.053
2018.701	-0.044	-0.053

(13) TOK3				
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	Northwar d (m)	East _{raw} (m)	North _{raw} (m)
2012.096	0	0	0	0
2012.153	-0.01	-0.015	-0.01	-0.014
2012.422	-0.022	0.004	-0.019	0.012
2013.077	0.009	-0.032	0.017	-0.013
2013.436	-0.02	-0.02	-0.009	0.005
2013.91	-0.025	-0.026	-0.009	0.006
2014.293	-0.055	-0.028		
2015.17	-0.056	-0.015		
2015.644	-0.032	-0.029		
2015.825	-0.044	-0.024		
2016.033	-0.05	-0.015		
2016.353	-0.088	-0.004		
2016.636	-0.073	-0.045		
2016.786	-0.079	-0.04		
2017.03	-0.04	-0.081		
2017.216	-0.056	-0.018		
2017.885	-0.042	-0.024		
2018.044	-0.06	-0.038		
2018.181	-0.083	-0.037		
2018.321	-0.072	-0.045		
2018.521	-0.052	-0.029		

(14) TOK2							
Epoch	Eastward	East _{raw}	North _{raw}				
(year)	(m)	d (m)	(m)	(m)			
2008.529	0	0	0	0			
2009.104	-0.003	-0.014	-0.003	-0.014			
2009.548	-0.03	-0.019	-0.031	-0.019			
2010.063	-0.04	0.011	-0.04	0.011			
2010.595	-0.032	-0.01	-0.032	-0.01			
2010.964	-0.047	-0.01	-0.047	-0.01			
2011.066	-0.053	0.014	-0.053	0.014			
2011.436	-0.119	0.006	-0.045	0.037			
2011.942	-0.118	-0.002	-0.036	0.043			
2012.77	-0.13	-0.033	-0.044	0.026			
2013.405	-0.131	-0.023	-0.041	0.045			
2013.882	-0.162	-0.016	-0.069	0.057			
2014.068	-0.149	-0.029	-0.055	0.047			
2014.296	-0.157	-0.027					
2015.203	-0.182	0					
2015.641	-0.18	-0.01					
2015.726	-0.226	0.001					
2015.932	-0.18	-0.019					
2016.227	-0.181	-0.039					
2016.378	-0.188	-0.046					
2016.512	-0.206	-0.028					
2016.501	-0.214	-0.012					
2016.784	-0.208	-0.016					
2017.06	-0.206	-0.048					
2017.216	-0.157	-0.04					
2017.885	-0.186	-0.009					
2018.044	-0.152	0.007					
2018.192	-0.207	-0.024					
2018.321	-0.212	-0.026					
2018.523	-0.255	-0.009					
		(15) TOK1					
Epoch (year)	Eastward (m)	Northwar d (m)	East _{raw}	North _{raw}			

2008.54	0	0	0	0
2009.34	-0.014	0.009	-0.014	0.009
2009.545	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
2009.627	0.007	-0.014	0.007	-0.014
2010.595	-0.039	-0.032	-0.04	-0.032
2010.948	0.008	-0.003	0.008	-0.004
2011.068	-0.028	-0.002	-0.028	-0.002
2011.436	-0.056	-0.029	0.027	0.005
2011.942	-0.045	-0.034	0.048	0.014
2012.419	-0.04	-0.044	0.056	0.013
2012.71	-0.056	-0.038	0.042	0.024
2013.085	-0.102	-0.027	-0.002	0.041
2013.403	-0.148	-0.038	-0.046	0.035
2013.501	-0.132	-0.03	-0.03	0.044
2013.879	-0.15	-0.038	-0.045	0.041
2014.693	-0.176	-0.067		
2015.205	-0.184	-0.034		
2015.641	-0.201	-0.043		
2015.726	-0.221	-0.052		
2015.923	-0.16	-0.069		
2016.23	-0.214	-0.044		
2016.356	-0.208	0.011		
2016.515	-0.176	-0.016		
2016.504	-0.195	-0.044		
2016.597	-0.218	-0.04		
2016.786	-0.245	-0.019		
2016.885	-0.239	-0.005		
2017.123	-0.193	0.007		
2017.219	-0.275	-0.017		
2017.888	-0.209	-0.053		
2018.041	-0.203	-0.031		
2018.195	-0.237	-0.026		
2018.318	-0.261	0.017		
2018.523	-0.207	-0.006		
2018.619	-0.247	-0.016		